

Musick - DNR, Jason <jason.musick@state.co.us>

### Bowie No. 2 2nd Quarter Gob & Pond Reports

1 message

 Tamme Bishop <tamme.jestover@bresnan.net>
 Thu, Jul 14, 2016 at 9:58 AM

 To: "Musick - DNR, Jason" <jason.musick@state.co.us>, Bill Bear <BBear@bowieresources.com>

Please see the attached 2nd quarter report. Let me know if you have any questions. <<...>>

Tamme Bishop, P.E.

JE Stover & Associates, Inc.

2352 N. 7th Street, Unit B

Grand Junction, CO 81501

970-245-4101

2nd Quarter 2016 Gob\_Pond Inspections.pdf

# J. E. STOVER & ASSOCIATES, INC.

2352 NORTH 7<sup>TH</sup> STREET, UNIT B GRAND JUNCTION, COLORADO 81501 PHONE: (970) 245-4101, FAX: (970) 242-7908

MINE ENGINEERING MINE RECLAMATION CIVIL ENGINEERING CONST. MANAGEMENT

Via Electronic Transmittal

July 14, 2016

Jason Musick Division of Reclamation, Mining & Safety 1313 Sherman St., Room 215 Denver, CO 80203

Re: Bowie Resources, LLC, Bowie No. 2 Mine Coal Mine Waste Banks & Instrumentation Monitoring Pond Quarterly Inspections Permit C-1996-083

Dear Mr. Musick:

Enclosed please find the referenced reports for the 2nd quarter of 2016.

Please call if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

glamme Bistep

Tamme Bishop, P.E. Project Engineer

cc: Alysha Hernandez (DRMS) Wm. A. Bear, Jr.

#### BOWIE RESOURCES, LLC

#### Bowie No. 2 Mine

#### Coal Mine Waste Bank Nos. 1, 2, & 3 Inspections - 2nd Quarter 2016

On June 30, 2016 a visual inspection of the Bowie No. 2 Mine coal mine waste banks was performed by the undersigned in accordance with Rule 4.10.2. This inspection includes Gob Pile Nos. 1, 2, and 3. Pile No. 1 is considered inactive. Pile no. 2 is located north of Bowie Road. Pile no. 3 is located south of Bowie Road.

I, Tamme Bishop, P.E., have a wide variety of experience in the design and construction of earth fill embankments. Nothing was observed during the inspection that would indicate the piles have a potential for failure. A small area of seepage was seen at the toe of gob pile #2, west of the haul road. On the far east side of gob pile #2, there were windrows of stacked gob. All organic material and topsoil has been removed ahead of the waste bank founding. The diversion ditches at gob pile #2 were inspected, both lower and upper, and found to be in good condition. The upper and lower diversion ditches at gob pile #3 were inspected, and were in good condition. The underdrain was flowing, and the water was clear. A new seep has been discovered at gob pile #3, north of the east drying area. At this time, the seep will not impact the long term stability of the gob pile. However, before final placement and compaction of gob in the footprint of the east drying area, an underdrain will be installed. Approval of the underdrain design was incorporated into the permit under Technical Revision No. 105.

At gob pile #2, the first bench east of the haul road is covered with soil. The second bench east of the haul road is mostly covered with a subsoil pile. Most of the third and forth benches east of the road are covered with soil. Soil has been placed on most of the second and third benches west of the haul road.

Most of the coal mine waste was generated from the preparation plant. Approximately 29,742 tons of gob were hauled during the quarter with an estimated 90% of the gob going to gob pile #3. Coal mine waste is to be placed in the piles in approximately horizontal lifts no more than 24-inches thick. The coal mine waste is dried and then spread and compacted by self propelled sheepsfoot compactors. Thirty-three compaction tests were taken at gob pile #2 during the quarter. No compaction tests were performed at gob pile #3 during the quarter.

The top of gob pile #2 serves as a drying area for end dumped gob. Gob is to be stacked to a maximum height of 20 feet, with a slope angle up to 1.5h:1v. A 25 foot buffer zone on the face of the gob pile will be maintained at all times. Gob will be spread and compacted to the currently approved slope configuration as soon as gob and weather conditions allow.

The westernmost and easternmost sections of gob pile #3 serve as drying areas for end dumped material. The purpose of the gob drying area is to provide an area for temporary storage of gob for drying purposes. End-dumped gob in the gob drying areas is worked with dozers and track hoes to assist in the drying process.

At gob pile #3 it is necessary to stockpile gob material during the winter months then place and compact the stockpiled gob when weather allows. Stockpiling of gob can commence November 15 and end April 15. Winter stockpiled material will be rehandled and compacted by September 30. Beginning on October 1, the Operator should be compacting all material concurrently, until conditions again require stockpiling. The stockpiling dates listed above should be considered flexible and may change slightly from year to year based on weather conditions. The gob material will be stockpiled in rows generally running from northwest to southeast. The rows of gob will be placed in a controlled manner and overlap will be minimized so there is space between rows to allow for drainage to the southeast. There were no rows of stockpiled gob at gob pile #3 during the inspection.

The available volume of coverfill material is sufficient to meet the requirements of Rule 4.10.4(5). No coverfill was used for blending or other uses during the quarter.

A failure of the gob pile no. 1 would probably not be a hazard to human life. The pile is located above a large flat bench. The bench is approximately 80 to 150-feet wide directly below the pile. Additionally, the gob pile sediment pond is located below the pile. If the coal mine waste bank failed, the material would very likely be contained on the bench below the pile and or within the gob pile sediment pond.

A failure of gob pile no. 2 would probably not be a hazard to human life. A residential dwelling is located over 300-feet below pile no. 2. The piles are located above Bowie Road. A failure of the piles might damage Bowie Road and the Fire Mountain Canal but would not likely impact the residential dwelling.

A failure of gob pile no. 3 would not be a hazard to human life. A failure of the pile might damage the rail track below the pile.

I certify that to the best of my knowledge and belief, that the fill and other aspects of the coal mine waste banks have been constructed as permitted in the design approved by the DRMS.

4340 Talhnae Bishop

Date

Colorado Professional Engineer Registration No. 43402

#### QUARTERLY POND INSPECTION REPORT

Operator: Mine:         Bowie Resources LLC Bowie No. 2 Mine - C-1996-083         Outer: Inspection Date:         Genome 2016 30-Jun-16           Pond Identification Type of Pond         B         C         D - Gob Pile         F - New Gob         JUTL East:         K UTL West           Status During Inspection: Approximate Water Level         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment           Status During Inspection: Approximate Water Level         5944         5991         6971         5948         Dry.           Sediment (% remaining)         0								
Mine:         Bowie No. 2 Mine - C-1996-083         Inspection Date:         30-Jun-16           Pond Identification Type of Pond         B         C         D - Gob Pile         F - New Gob         J UTL East         K UTL West           Status During Inspection:         Approximate Value1 Livel         5944         5991         5971         5948         5648         Dry- Approximate Value1 Livel         5946         5971         5948         5648         Dry- Approximate Value1 Livel         5971         5948         5648         Dry- Approximate Value1 Livel         5971         5948         5648         Dry- Approximate Value1 Livel         5971         5948         5649         Dry- Approximate Value1 Livel         5971         5948         50971         5948         50971         5948         50971         5948         50971         5948         50971         5948         50971         5948         50971         50987         50071         50987         50071         500877         50071	Operator:	Bowie Resources	s LLC	7		Quarter:	Second 2016	
B         C         D - Gob Pile         F - New Gob         J UTL East         K UTL West           Type of Pond         Sediment         Sedimet         Sedimet         Problem         No         No <td>Mine:</td> <td>Bowie No. 2 Mine</td> <td>e - C-1996-083</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>Inspection Date:</td> <td>30-Jun-16</td>	Mine:	Bowie No. 2 Mine	e - C-1996-083	1		Inspection Date:	30-Jun-16	
B         C         D         -Gob Pile         F-New Gob         JUTL East         K UTL West           Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment           Status During Inspection:         Approximate Water Level         5644         5991         5971         5948         5848         Dry           Sediment         5941         100%         90%         60%         40%         80%           Outflow (cfs)         0								
Type of Pond         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment         Sediment           Approximate Water Level         5944         5991         5971         5948         5849         Dry           Approximate Water Level         5944         5991         100%         90%         60%         40%         80%           Outfow (cfs)         0	Pond Identification	В	C	D - Gob Pile	F - New Gob	J UTL East	K UTL West	
Status During Inspection:           Approximate Water Level Sediment (% remaining)         5944         5991         5971         5948         5848         Dry Sediment (% remaining)           Outflow (cfs)         0	Type of Pond	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	Sediment	
Status During Inspection:       5944       5991       5971       5948       5848       Dry.         Sediment (% remaining)       0								
Approximate Water Level       5944       5991       5071       5948       5848       Dry         Sediment (% remaining)       0 <t< td=""><td>Status During Inspection:</td><td><b></b></td><td>1</td><td>T</td><td>r</td><td></td><td></td></t<>	Status During Inspection:	<b></b>	1	T	r			
Sediment (% remaining)       75%       100%       90%       60%       40%       80%         Preatures       Problem       Problem       Problem       Problem       Problem       Problem         Features       Problem       Problem       Problem       Problem       Problem       Problem         Frestoral       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Rills & Gulleys       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Outled Channel Erosion       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Burrows       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Structural           No       No       No       No       No       No         Other       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Other       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Defective Spillways       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       N	Approximate Water Level	5944	5991	5971	5948	5848	Dry	
Outflow (cfs)         0         <	Sediment (% remaining)	75%	100%	90%	60%	40%	80%	
Features         Problem         <	Outflow (cfs)	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Problem     Problem     Problem     Problem     Problem     Problem     Problem     Problem       Rills & Gulleys     No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Rills & Gulleys     No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Outlet Channel Erosion     No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Burrows     No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No       Structural     Differential Settling     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Structural     Differential Settling     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No       Appurtenant Structures     Defective Spillways     No     No     No     No       Devalating Devices Clogged     No     No     No     No     No       Pord Erit     No     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No					БЛ			
Teshno         Yeshno         No	Features	Problem	Problem	Problem	Problem	Problem	Problem	
Erostonal       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Rills & Gulleys       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Outlet Channel Erosion       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Burrows       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Other       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Structural       Differential Settling       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Other       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Structural       Differential Settling       No		Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	Yes/No	
No     NO     NO     NO     NO     NO     NO     NO       Inadequate Vegetation     No     No     No     No     No     No       Outlet Channel Erosion     No     No     No     No     No     No       Burrows     No     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No       Structural     Differential Setting     No     No     No     No       Cracks or Slides     No     No     No     No     No       Seepage     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No       Defective Spillways     No     No     No     No       Defective Spillways     No     No     No     No       Defective Spillways     No     No     No     No       Devatering Devices Clogged     No     No     No     No       Pout Gates, Etc.     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No       Pond B held about 6 feet of water     Sediment     No     No       Pond B held about 6 feet of water     Sediment		Na	Nia	Na	Ne	Na	Ne	
No       NO <th< td=""><td>Rills &amp; Gulleys</td><td>NO</td><td>NO</td><td>NO</td><td>NO</td><td>NO</td><td>NO No</td></th<>	Rills & Gulleys	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO No	
No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Burrows     No     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No       Structural     Differential Settling     No     No     No     No       Cracks or Slides     No     No     No     No     No       Seepage     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No       Appurtenant Structures     Defective Spillways     No     No     No       Defective Spillways     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No       Additional Comments     Design depth measured from pond bottom to invert of emergency spillway:     Pond B no     No       Pond B 10, C-10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10 TD     Pond B no     No     No     No       Pond B chold about 6 feet of water     Pond B chola about 5 feet of water     Pond B chola about 5 feet of water       Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.     Pond C had a water pool at the of the quarter.     A3402 Structure       Pond F held about 5 feet of water & sediment     There were no mud pits open at the end of the quarter.     A3402 Structure	Outlet Channel Erasion	NO	NO	NO	NO	NO	No	
Buildwise     No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Structural     Differential Settling     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No     No       Seepage     No     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No       Appurtenant Structures     Defective Spillways     No     No     No     No       Detective Spillways     No     No     No     No     No       Detective Spillways     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No       Other     No     No     No     No     No     No       Additional Comments     Design depth measured from pond bottom to invert of emergency spillway.     Pond B held about 6 feet of water     Peond.       Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.     Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.     Pond C had a water pool at the out of the quarter	Burrowe	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Other       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Structural       Differential Settling       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Cracks or Slides       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Structural       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Cracks or Slides       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Other       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Appurtenant Structures       Defective Spillways       No       No       No       No       No         Defective Spillways       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Gates, Etc.       No       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Additional Comments       Design depth measured from pond bottom to invert of emergency spillway:       Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10' TD       Pond B held about 6 feet of water       Pond J held about 4 feet of water 8 sediment       Pond J held about 4 feet of water 8 s	Other	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Structural       No	Other		NO			NO	NO	
No       No <th< td=""><td>Structural</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></th<>	Structural							
No       No <th< td=""><td>Differential Settling</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td><td>No</td></th<>	Differential Settling	No	No	No	No	No	No	
No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Other       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Appurtenant Structures       Defective Spillways       No       No       No       No       No       No         Dewatering Devices Clogged       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Aulty Gates, Etc.       No       No       No       No       No       No       No         Additional Comments       Design depth measured from pond bottom to invert of emergency spillway:       Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10' TD       Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10' TD       Pond B beld about 6 feet of water         Pond B beld about 4 feet of water       Pond D contained a pool of water below the primary spillway.       Pond D contained a pool of water below the primary spillway.         Pond F held about 5 feet of water and sediment       There were no mud pits open at the end of the quarter.       A3402 9         Maintenance Required: Ponds F, B and J will need to be cleaned of sediment this summer/fall       Tamme Bishop         Colorado P, E, 43402       Date	Cracks or Slides	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Other         No         No         No         No         No         No           Appurtenant Structures         Defective Spillways         No         No         No         No         No         No           Dewatering Devices Clogged         No         No         No         No         No         No         No           Faulty Gates, Etc.         No         No         No         No         No         No         No           Other         No         No         No         No         No         No         No           Additional Comments         Design depth measured from pond bottom to invert of emergency spillway:         Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10' TD         Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10' TD         Pond B held about 6 feet of water         Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.         Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.         Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.         Pond F held about 5 feet of water and sediment         A3402         #3402	Seepage	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Appurtenant Structures         Defective Spillways         Dewatering Devices Clogged         Faulty Gates, Etc.         No       No         Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10' TD         Pond B held about 6 feet of water         Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.         Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.         Pond F held about 5 feet of water         Pond F held about 5 feet of wate	Other	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Appurtenant Structures       No       <				1				
Defective Spillways       No	Appurtenant Structures							
Dewatering Devices Clogged Faulty Gates, Etc.       No	Defective Spillways	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Faulty Gates, Etc. Other       No	Dewatering Devices Clogged	No	No	No	No	No	No	
OtherNoNoNoNoNoNoAdditional CommentsDesign depth measured from pond bottom to invert of emergency spillway: Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10' TD Pond Bottom Elevations B=5942, C=5990, D=5970, J=5846, F=5944, K=5819 Pond B held about 6 feet of water Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond. Pond D contained a pool of water below the primary spillway. Pond J held about 4 feet of water & sediment Pond K was damp. Pond F held about 5 feet of water and sediment There were no mud pits open at the end of the quarter.Image: Converting the sediment the summer/failMaintenance Required:Ponds F, B and J will need to be cleaned of sediment this summer/failImage: Converting the sediment the summer/failName of Inspector:Jim StoverTamme Bishop Colorado P. E. 43402Tamme Bishop	Faulty Gates, Etc.	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Additional Comments       Design depth measured from pond bottom to invert of emergency spillway:         Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10 TD         Pond Bottom Elevations B=5942, C=5990, D=5970, J=5846, F=5944, K=5819         Pond B held about 6 feet of water         Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond.         Pond D contained a pool of water below the primary spillway.         Pond J held about 4 feet of water & sediment         Pond K was damp.         Pond F held about 5 feet of water and sediment         There were no mud pits open at the end of the quarter.         Maintenance Required: Ponds F, B and J will need to be cleaned of sediment this summer/fall         Name of Inspector: Jim Stover	Other	No	No	No	No	No	No	
Tamme Bishop Colorado P. E. 43402 Date	Additional Comments Maintenance Required: Ponds F, Name of Inspector: Jim Stover	NoNoNoNoNoNoDesign depth measured from pond bottom to invert of emergency spillway: Pond B=10, C=10, D=10, J=10, K=3, F=10' TD Pond Bottom Elevations B=5942, C=5990, D=5970, J=5846, F=5944, K=5819 Pond B held about 6 feet of water Pond C had a water pool at the bottom of the pond. Pond D contained a pool of water below the primary spillway. Pond J held about 4 feet of water & sediment Pond K was damp. Pond F held about 5 feet of water and sediment There were no mud pits open at the end of the quarter.Image: Content of the sediment the summer/fallF, B and J will need to be cleaned of sediment this summer/fallStore the sediment the summer/fall						
						Tamme Bishop Colorado P. E. 43	402 Date	



640 White Avenue, Unit B Grand Junction, Colorado 81501 Phone: 970-255-8005 Fax: 970-255-6818 HuddlestonBerry@Bresnan.net

> July 12, 2016 Project#01349-0001

Bowie Resources, LLC 43659 Bowie Road Paonia, Colorado 81428

Attention: Mr. Bill Bear

Subject: Construction Materials Testing 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2016 Bowie Mine No. 2 Paonia, Colorado

Dear Mr. Bear,

At your request, a representative of Huddleston-Berry Engineering and Testing, LLC (HBET) conducted field moisture and compaction testing, and laboratory moisture testing of coal mine waste materials placed at Bowie Mine No. 2 near Paonia, Colorado. Field testing was conducted on May 4<sup>th</sup> and June 29<sup>th</sup>, 2016. Soil compaction test reports, test location data, and laboratory optimum moisture and density (Proctor) data are attached.

We are pleased to be of service to your project. Please contact us if you have any questions or comments regarding the contents of this report.

Respectfully Submitted: Huddleston-Berry Engineering and Testing, LLC

Michael A. Berry, P.E. Vice President of Engineering



#### Task:Gob Pile Densities

## SOIL COMPACTION TEST REPORT

#### Field vs. Lab Densities

Tested By: MS	<b>Date:</b> <u>5/4/16</u>
Work Order No:	40624
Authorized By: Client	<b>Date:</b> 5/4/16
Reviewed By: MAB	<b>Date:</b> 7/12/16

**Project No.:** 01349 - 0001

Project Name: Bowie Mine

Client Name: Bowie Resources

Placement Contractor: Bowie Resources

Ron

Contractor Representative:

No.	Point No.	Elevation	Max. Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture (%)	Wet Density (pcf)	Speedy Moisture (%)	Field Dry Density (pcf)	Relative Field Comp.(%)	Tare Weight (g)	Wet Weight (g)	Dry Weight (g)	Lab Dry Density (pcf)	Lab Moisture (%)	Relative Lab Comp.(%)	
1	5444	6190.6	83.5	16.0	89.0	14.0	78	93	391.6	1300.3	1185.6	77.8	14.4%	93%	
2	5445	6192.5	83.5	16.0	89.7	-	-	-	180.6	1293.3	1151.2	78.2	14.6%	94%	
3	5446	VOID													
4	5447	6194.6	83.5	16.0	90.7	14.4	79	95	189.7	1613.8	1430.6	79.0	14.8%	95%	
5	5448	6194.3	83.5	16.0	90.3	-	-	-	192.1	1246.0	1107.9	78.5	15.1%	94%	
6	5449	6195.4	92.0	13.5	102.4	-	-	-	236.4	1680.8	1514.1	90.3	13.4%	98%	
7	5450	6193.5	92.0	13.5	93.0	-	-	-	191.7	1057.4	986.7	83.3	11.6%	91%	
8	5451	6193	92.0	13.5	98.7	-	-	-	312.5	1580.7	1443.6	87.7	12.6%	95%	
9	5452	6195.7	92.0	13.5	99.8	-	-	-	318.7	1245.8	1149.7	88.5	12.8%	96%	
10	5453	6191.2	92.0	13.5	94.9	-	-	-	237.1	1258.7	1155.6	85.1	11.5%	93%	
11	5454	6188.8	92.0	13.5	93.0	-	-	-	313.2	2063.5	1894.2	83.0	12.0%	90%	

**Remarks:** 

Gauge Number: 26906

Moisture Counts: 607





#### Task:Gob Pile Densities

# SOIL COMPACTION TEST REPORT

#### Field vs. Lab Densities

Tested By: DM	Date: <u>6/29/16</u>
Work Order No:	41596
Authorized By: Client	Date: 6/29/16
Reviewed By: MAB	Date: 7/12/16

**Project No.:** 01349 - 0001

Project Name: Bowie Mine

Client Name: Bowie Resources

Placement Contractor: Bowie Resources

Ron

Contractor Representative:

No.	Point No.	Elevation	Max. Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture (%)	Wet Density (pcf)	Speedy Moisture (%)	Field Dry Density (pcf)	Relative Field Comp.(%)	Tare Weight (g)	Wet Weight (g)	Dry Weight (g)	Lab Dry Density (pcf)	Lab Moisture (%)	Relative Lab Comp.(%)	
1	5455	6198.3	83.5	16.0	89.5	-	-	-	394.2	1423.3	1289.6	77.9	14.9%	93%	
2	5456	6197.5	83.5	16.0	89.0	-	-	-	393.5	1512.9	1367.3	77.4	15.0%	93%	
3	5457	6195.6	83.5	16.0	88.3	-	-	-	202.0	1338.6	1195.1	77.2	14.4%	92%	
4	5458	6193.9	83.5	16.0	86.9	-	-	-	388.3	1493.1	1351.6	75.8	14.7%	91%	
5	5459	6195.3	92.0	13.5	98.5	-	-	-	237.6	1382.5	1259.2	87.9	12.1%	96%	
6	5460	6197.9	83.5	16.0	86.1	-	-	-	387.3	1767.7	1597.3	75.5	14.1%	90%	
7	5461	6199.3	83.5	16.0	85.6	-	-	-	189.4	1408.3	1258.6	75.1	14.0%	90%	
8	5462	6201.1	83.5	16.0	85.7	-	-	-	193.9	1299.3	1161.6	75.0	14.2%	90%	
9	5463	6202.2	92.0	13.5	98.2	-	-	-	200.7	1232.5	1116.1	87.1	12.7%	95%	
10	5464	6202.8	92.0	13.5	98.3	-	-	-	238.5	1182.4	1085.2	88.2	11.5%	96%	
11	5465	6202	92.0	13.5	94.6	-	-	-	274.0	1508.5	1366.9	83.7	13.0%	91%	
12	5466	6200.6	92.0	13.5	93.2	-	-	-	193.3	1095.0	995.3	82.9	12.4%	90%	
13	5467	6196.7	83.5	16.0	86.9	-	-	-	189.8	1464.7	1305.2	76.0	14.3%	91%	
14	5468	6195.6	83.5	16.0	85.5	-	-	-	312.9	1523.3	1373.2	74.9	14.2%	90%	
15	5469	6198	83.5	16.0	92.9	-	-	-	316.6	1605.8	1440.9	81.0	14.7%	97%	

**Remarks:** 

Gauge Number: 12122

Moisture Counts: 563



#### Task:Gob Pile Densities

# SOIL COMPACTION TEST REPORT

#### Field vs. Lab Densities

Tested By: Dm	Date: <u>6/29/16</u>
Work Order No:	41596
Authorized By: Client	Date: 6/29/16
Reviewed By: MAB	<b>Date:</b> 7/12/16

**Project No.:** 01349 - 0001

Project Name: Bowie Mine

Client Name: Bowie Resources

Placement Contractor: Bowie Resources

Ron

Contractor Representative:

No.	Point No.	Elevation	Max. Dry Density (pcf)	Optimum Moisture (%)	Wet Density (pcf)	Speedy Moisture (%)	Field Dry Density (pcf)	Relative Field Comp.(%)	Tare Weight (g)	Wet Weight (g)	Dry Weight (g)	Lab Dry Density (pcf)	Lab Moisture (%)	Relative Lab Comp.(%)	
16	5470	6199.4	83.5	16.0	86.3	-	-	-	286.5	1586.3	1425.2	75.6	14.1%	91%	
17	5471	6200.7	92.0	13.5	94.2	-	-	-	238.4	1441.9	1317.6	84.5	11.5%	92%	
18	5472	6199.6	92.0	13.5	97.7	-	-	-	309.7	1620.9	1482.7	87.4	11.8%	95%	
19	5473	6197.2	92.0	13.5	94.3	-	-	-	392.5	1572.7	1449.6	84.5	11.6%	92%	
20	5474	6198	92.0	13.5	97.1	-	-	-	313.3	1371.2	1238.1	84.9	14.4%	92%	
21	5475	6201.6	92.0	13.5	100.1	-	-	-	236.8	1254.8	1148.1	89.6	11.7%	97%	
22	5476	6203.3	92.0	13.5	94.5	-	-	-	237.9	1419.3	1295.9	84.6	11.7%	92%	

Remarks:

Gauge Number: 12122



	Bowie Re	sources Go	b Disposal	
	Location	of Compact	tion Tests	
Gob Pile #2	2 N a sth	<b>F</b> = = 4	El a vati a a	Dete
Doint #	North	East	Elevation	Date
POINT #	10440.05	26552.09	6100 50	E/4/2016
5444	19440.95	36631 78	6102 50	5/4/2010
5446	Void	30031.70	0192.50	5/4/2016
5447	19522 70	36718 92	6194 55	5/4/2016
5448	19536.87	36807.42	6194.26	5/4/2016
5449	19595.94	36824.39	6195.44	5/4/2016
5450	19572.17	36914.38	6193.47	5/4/2016
5451	19668.93	36911.58	6192.95	5/4/2016
5452	19732.59	37022.08	6195.67	5/4/2016
5453	19676.14	37062.32	6191.22	5/4/2016
5454	19615.99	37075.62	6188.80	5/4/2016
5455	19580.58	36928.23	6198.33	6/29/2016
5456	19532.56	36827.24	6197.49	6/29/2016
5457	19488.39	36731.65	6195.64	6/29/2016
5458	19440.34	36611.34	6193.88	6/29/2016
5459	19452.63	36553.63	6195.33	6/29/2016
5460	19499.69	36660.13	6197.85	6/29/2016
5461	19534.51	36740.71	6199.30	6/29/2016
5462	19579.52	36819.71	6201.11	6/29/2016
5463	19635.33	36878.99	6202.24	6/29/2016
5464	19707.24	36931.39	6202.80	6/29/2016
5465	19759.15	36990.46	6202.03	6/29/2016
5466	19688.31	37011.40	6200.58	6/29/2016
5467	19626.41	37034.24	6105.62	6/29/2016
5400	19033.10	37139.32	6107.02	6/29/2016
5470	19710.12	37142.33	6100 /2	6/29/2010
5470	19812 15	37231 16	6200 70	6/29/2016
5472	19733.39	37246.08	6199.57	6/29/2016
5473	19667.36	37249.42	6197.16	6/29/2016
5474	19684.00	37338.59	6197.96	6/29/2016
5475	19752.02	37346.15	6201.60	6/29/2016
5476	19817.07	37352.39	6203.33	6/29/2016

Total 33 Tests







640 White Avenue, Unit B Grand Junction, Colorado 81501 Phone: 970-255-8005 Fax: 970-255-6818 Info@huddlestonberry.com

> July 12, 2016 Project#01349-0001

Bowie Resources, LLC 43659 Bowie Road Paonia, Colorado 81428

Attention: Mr. Bill Bear

- Subject: Summary of Instrumentation Monitoring 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2016 Bowie Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 2 Paonia, Colorado
- Reference: Summary of Instrumentation Monitoring, 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2016, Bowie Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 2, Paonia, Colorado by Huddleston-Berry Engineering & Testing, LLC for Bowie Resources, LLC, April 13, 2016.

Stability Evaluation, Technical Revision #85, Gob Pile #2 Drying Area, Bowie No. 2 Mine by Huddleston-Berry Engineering & Testing, LLC for Bowie Resources, LLC, June 3, 2014.

Dear Mr. Bear,

At the request of the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (DRMS), Huddleston-Berry Engineering & Testing, LLC (HBET) prepared this letter regarding quarterly monitoring of vibrating wire piezometers and inclinometers at Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 2 (CWDA No. 2) at the Bowie mine near Paonia, Colorado. The intent of the monitoring was to detect significant changes in the pore water pressures or significant displacements within the coal waste which may impact the stability of the waste pile.

#### **Inclinometers**

In 2005, three inclinometers, designated BG05-04, BG05-05, and BG05-07, were installed at CWDA No. 2 through the coal refuse and into the native foundation soils. The inclinometers have been monitored quarterly since August 2005. The 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2016 monitoring was completed by DOWL on June 25<sup>th</sup>, 2016. The monitoring report prepared by DOWL includes a site plan showing the locations of the inclinometers and cumulative displacement curves relative to the baseline readings in 2005. Axis "A" reflects deformation with depth in the direction of anticipated movement perpendicular to the face of the gob. Axis "B" reflects deformation with depth parallel to the face of the gob.



#### **Discussion of Inclinometer Monitoring**

The latest inclinometer readings indicate no major movements since the last quarterly reading. As indicated in the DOWL report, some deflection was observed at BG05-05. However, the movement in the A-axis is zero at the top and bottom with a fairly uniform shift of the data along the length of the instrument. In general, it is unusual to see a fairly uniform shift along the 'middle' of the instrument with no movement at the top along the same axis. In addition, the movement along the B-axis is only in the upper portion of the instrument. Overall, HBET does not believe that the data represents instability in the gob. However, the 3<sup>rd</sup> Quarter 2016 monitoring data may provide additional information regarding the observed deflection.

#### **Vibrating Wire Piezometers**

Between 2005 and 2012, a total of ten vibrating wire piezometers were installed in CWDA No. 2. However, several of the piezometers have been damaged or have otherwise ceased to function. Currently, five of the piezometers are functional.

Monitoring of the functioning piezometers was completed by DOWL on June 25<sup>th</sup>, 2016. The attached monitoring report prepared by DOWL includes the piezometer monitoring data and the data is summarized in the following table.

VWP ID	Initial Pore	3/21/16 Pore	6/25/16 Pore	Difference Since	Difference
	Pressure	Pressure	Pressure	Installation	Since Last
	(psi)	(psi)	(psi)	(psi)	Reading (psi)
VWP-05	6.8	1.7	1.8	-5.0	+0.1
VWP-06	11.3	16.1	14.3	+3.0	-1.8
VWP-08	8.2	10.0	10.0	+1.8	0.0
VWP-09	2.8	2.8	2.9	+0.1	0.0
VWP-10	-1.9	-1.8	-1.8	+0.1	0.0

#### **Discussion of Vibrating Wire Piezometers**

#### <u>VWP-05</u>

VWP-05 was installed on August 3, 2005 near the toe of CWDA No. 2 adjacent to the access road/bench. The pore pressures recorded at VWP-05 have shown some seasonal fluctuations; however, the range of pore pressure changes is fairly small. In general, HBET does not believe that the pore pressures in VWP-05 are cause for concern regarding stability of the gob pile.

#### <u>VWP-06</u>

VWP-06 was installed on June 5, 2009 near the existing top of CWDA No. 2. The pore pressures recorded at VWP-06 have fluctuated since installation. In general, the fluctuations have been seasonal and reflect the level of coal mine waste placement activity on top of CWDA No. 2. Although an increase was recorded in the 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2016, the pore pressures recorded in VWP-06 decreased during the 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter. In general, HBET does not believe that the measured pore pressures are an indication of any instability in CWDA No. 2.

#### <u>VWP-08</u>

VWP-08 was installed on June 5, 2009 at a slightly lower elevation than VWP-06. The pore pressures recorded at VWP-08 have fluctuated since installation. However, the fluctuations have generally been within a narrow range of values. The measured pore pressures are generally consistent with the level of coal mine waste placement activity at CWDA No. 2.

CWDA No. 2 #01349-0001 07/12/16



As indicated in the referenced *Stability Evaluation* report, the stability of CWDA No. 2 is sensitive to increases in pore pressures in VWP-08. An increase in the pore pressure of 7 psi in VWP-08 would result in a reduction of the Factor of Safety to below 1.5.

The current pore pressure reflects a piezometric surface elevation of approximately 6098 feet which is much less than the critical elevation of 6113 feet. As a result, HBET does not believe that the measured pore pressures in VWP-08 are any indication of instability in CWDA No. 2.

#### <u>VWP-09</u>

VWP-09 was installed on May 18, 2012 near the toe of CWDA No. 2. The pore pressures recorded at VWP-09 have been fairly steady since installation. This suggests that dewatering of the gob in this area is likely nearly complete. It is anticipated that the pore pressures at VWP-09 will remain fairly steady over time.

#### <u>VWP-10</u>

VWP-10 was installed on May 18, 2014 near the toe of CWDA No. 2. The pore pressures recorded at VWP-10 have been fairly steady since installation. This suggests that dewatering of the gob in this area is likely nearly complete. It is anticipated that the pore pressures at VWP-10 will remain fairly steady over time.

#### Site Visit Observations

HBET visited the site on July 1<sup>st</sup>, 2016. At the time of our visit, no coal mine waste was being actively placed. However, it appeared that some material had been moved around CWDA No. 2 during the last quarter. HBET did not observe any material from the wash plant being moved to CWDA No. 2. In general, CWDA No. 2 appeared to be in good condition and no evidence of instability or other adverse conditions were observed.

#### <u>General</u>

In general, based upon the results of the recent VWP and inclinometer monitoring data, and upon our observations at the site, HBET does not believe that there is any reduction in the stability of CWDA No. 2. Continued quarterly monitoring of piezometers and inclinometers is recommended.

We are pleased to be of service to your project. Please contact us if you have any questions or comments regarding the contents of this report.

#### Respectfully Submitted: Huddleston-Berry Engineering and Testing, LLC



Michael A. Berry, P.E. Vice President of Engineering

ATTACHMENTS

July 7, 2016

Huddleston-Berry Engineering and Testing, LLC 640 White Avenue Grand Junction, CO 81501 Attention: Mike Berry, PE

# SUBJECT: Summary Report, Second Quarter 2016, Inclinometer and Active Vibrating Wire Piezometer Data through June 2016, Bowie Mine No. 2, Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 2

Dear Mr. Berry,

DOWL conducted quarterly monitoring of inclinometers and vibrating wire piezometers at Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 2 (CWDA No. 2), Bowie Resources, LLC. This report is intended to cover the time period of April through June 2016. VWP and inclinometer data was obtained on 6-25-16 and 6-26-16, respectively. Per DRMS and your instructions, vibrating wire piezometer readings for all active piezometers are taken quarterly along with inclinometers.

#### Vibrating Wire Piezometers

VWP ID #	Initial Pore Pressure (psi)	3/21/16 Pore Pressure (psi)	6/25/16 Pore Pressure (psi)	Difference Since Installation (psi)	Difference Since Last Reading (psi)
VWP-05	6.8	1.7	1.8	-5.0	+0.1
VWP-06	11.3	16.1	14.3	+3.0	-1.8
VWP-08	8.2	10.0	10.0	+1.8	0
VWP-09	2.8	2.8	2.9	+0.1	+0.1
VWP-10	-1.9	-1.8	-1.8	+0.1	0

#### Table 1. Summary of VWP Pore Pressure Readings

The physical locations of the piezometers are shown on the attached Instrumentation Site Plan, Drawing 1. The graph of historical data through 5-21-14 is presented for reference as Figure 1. A graph of active piezometers only is presented as Figure 2.

#### **Inclinometers**

Three inclinometers, designated BG05-04, BG05-05, and BG05-07, were installed at CWDA No. 2 in August 2005. The inclinometers were installed through the coal refuse and approximately 20 feet into the native foundation soils. The physical locations of the inclinometers are shown on the attached Instrumentation Site Plan, Drawing 1. Baseline readings were taken on 8/10/05 and subsequent readings have generally been taken quarterly since that time. Displacement curves for the prior three readings are presented as attachments to this letter for each inclinometer. Axis "A" reflects deformation with depth in the direction of anticipated movement (downslope), while Axis "B" is orthogonal to Axis A. Based on our most recent site visit, there appears to be slight movement within inclinometer BG05-05 which could be within

the accuracy tolerance of the equipment or which may require some scrutiny in the future. As described in previous reports, we are of the opinion that historic displacements indicated on the plots for the approximate upper ten feet of the inclinometers are likely due to placement of cover soil on the face of the waste bank during normal operations.

If you have any questions regarding this letter or the instrumentation monitoring at CWDA No. 2, please don't hesitate to contact me at (970) 497-8827 or <u>wpandorf@dowl.com</u>.

Respectfully Submitted, **DOWL** 

Wayne Pandorf, P.E. Sr. Geotechnical Engineer

Enclosures: Instrumentation Location Plan, Drawing 1 Figure 1 – Active and Damaged Piezometer Data Graph through 5-21-14 Figure 2 – Active Vibrating Wire Piezometer Data Graph Inclinometer Graphs BG05-04, BG05-05, and BG05-07 INSTRUMENTATION SITE PLAN







#### FIGURE 2









640 White Avenue, Unit B Grand Junction, Colorado 81501 Phone: 970-255-8005 Fax: 970-255-6818 <u>Info@huddlestonberry.com</u>

> July 12, 2016 Project#01349-0001

Bowie Resources, LLC 43659 Bowie Road Paonia, Colorado 81428

Attention: Mr. Bill Bear

- Subject: Summary of Instrumentation Monitoring 2<sup>nd</sup> Quarter 2016 Bowie Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 3 Paonia, Colorado
- Reference: Summary of Instrumentation Monitoring, 1<sup>st</sup> Quarter 2016, Bowie Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 3, Paonia, Colorado by Huddleston-Berry Engineering & Testing, LLC for Bowie Resources, LLC, April 13, 2016.

Stability Evaluation, Gob Pile #3, Bowie No. 2 Mine by Huddleston-Berry Engineering & Testing, LLC for Bowie Resources, LLC, July 15, 2014.

Dear Mr. Bear,

At the request of the Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (DRMS), Huddleston-Berry Engineering & Testing, LLC (HBET) prepared this letter regarding quarterly monitoring of vibrating wire piezometers at Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 3 (CWDA No. 3) at the Bowie mine near Paonia, Colorado. The intent of the monitoring was to detect significant changes in the pore water pressures within the coal waste which may impact the stability of the waste pile.

#### **Vibrating Wire Piezometers**

Five vibrating wire piezometers were installed in CWDA No. 3 in October 2014. The piezometers were installed at three locations within the footprint of the gob pile. Monitoring of the functioning piezometers was completed by DOWL on June 25<sup>th</sup>, 2016. The attached monitoring report prepared by DOWL includes the piezometer monitoring data and the data is summarized in the following table.

VWP ID	Initial Pore Pressure 10/31/14 (psi)	03/21/16 Pore Pressure (psi)	06/25/16 Pore Pressure (psi)	Difference Since Installation (psi)	Difference Since Last Reading (psi)
VWP-A Deep	10.4	4.4	4.8	-5.6	+0.4
VWP-A Shallow	4.7	4.5	4.4	-0.3	-0.1
VWP-B Deep	0.2	-0.1	1.6	+1.4	+1.7
VWP-B Shallow	13.9	13.7	13.0	-0.9	-0.7
VWP-D	7.1	6.3	6.1	-1.0	-0.2



#### **Discussion of Vibrating Wire Piezometers**

#### VWP-A Deep

VWP-A Deep was installed on October 6, 2014 near the northern edge of CWDA No. 3. VWP-A Deep was installed in the foundation soil approximately eight feet below the bottom of the gob. The pore pressures recorded at VWP-A Deep generally decreased since installation until September 2015. Between September and December 2015, the pore pressures increased slightly. However, the pore pressures dropped significantly between December 2015 and March 2016. A slight increase was recorded since March; however, HBET does not believe that the pore pressure changes in VWP-A Deep are cause for concern regarding stability of the gob pile.

#### VWP-A Shallow

VWP-A Shallow was installed on October 6, 2014 near the northern edge of CWDA No. 3. VWP-A Shallow was installed approximately ten feet above the base of the gob. After installation, the pore pressures dropped until November 2014. Between November 2014 and September 2015, increases in the pore pressures were recorded at VWP-A Shallow. However, between September 2015 and June 2016, the pore pressures decreased. The increases through September 2015 likely reflect the active gob placement at CWDA No. 3 which slowed down and then stopped during the winter months and has not substantially resumed in 2016. In general, HBET does not believe that the pore pressure changes in VWP-A Shallow are an indication of instability in CWDA No. 3.

#### VWP-B Deep

VWP-B Deep was installed on October 6, 2014 in the west-central portion of CWDA No. 3. VWP-B Deep was installed in the foundation soil approximately ten feet below the bottom of the gob. Since installation, the pore pressures recorded at VWP-B Deep were fairly constant through March 2016. However a slight increase was recorded between March and June 2016. It is unclear why the pore pressures increased during the last quarter; however, the increase was not significant and HBET does not believe that the pore pressure changes in VWP-B Deep are an indication of instability in CWDA No. 3.

#### VWP-B Shallow

VWP-B Shallow was installed on October 6, 2014 in the west-central portion of CWDA No. 3. VWP-B Shallow was installed approximately ten feet above the base of the gob. Since installation, the pore pressures recorded at VWP-B Shallow have fluctuated with periods of slight increase and slight decrease. Between March and September 2015, the pore pressures at VWP-B Shallow increased. However, since September 2015, the pore pressures have decreased. The increases through September 2015 likely reflect the active gob placement at CWDA No. 3 which slowed down and then stopped during the winter months and has not substantially resumed in 2016. In general, HBET does not believe that the pore pressure changes in VWP-B Shallow are an indication of instability in CWDA No. 3.

#### <u>VWP-D</u>



VWP-D was installed on October 7, 2014 in the east-central portion of CWDA No. 3. VWP-D was installed approximately ten feet above the base of the gob. Since installation, the pore pressures recorded at VWP-D have fluctuated. There was a slight increase in pore pressures between June and September 2015 with a decrease since. This likely reflects active gob placement at CWDA No. 3 during the summer and early fall 2015 and then cessation of placement during the winter months. In general, HBET does not believe that the pore pressure changes in VWP-D are an indication of instability in CWDA No. 3.

#### **Site Visit Observations**

HBET visited the site on July 1, 2016. At the time of our visit, coal mine waste was not being placed at CWDA No. 3. Several small piles of wet gob were present on top of the pile; however, no equipment was actively operating.

At the time of our site visit, a small quantity of water was emanating from the underdrain at CWDA No. 3. Due to the recent rains, HBET was unable to observe evidence of seepage along the south edge of the pile. In general, no evidence of instability of other adverse conditions were observed at CWDA No. 3

#### <u>General</u>

Based upon the results of the most recent VWP monitoring data and upon our observations at the site, HBET does not believe that there is any reduction in the stability of CWDA No. 3. Continued quarterly monitoring of piezometers and inclinometers is recommended.

We are pleased to be of service to your project. Please contact us if you have any questions or comments regarding the contents of this report.

Respectfully Submitted: Huddleston-Berry Engineering and Testing, LLC



Michael A. Berry, P.E. Vice President of Engineering

ATTACHMENTS

July 7, 2016

Huddleston-Berry Engineering and Testing, LLC 640 White Avenue Grand Junction, CO 81501 Attention: Mike Berry, PE

# SUBJECT: Summary Report, Second Quarter 2016, Vibrating Wire Piezometers through June 2016, Bowie Mine No. 2, Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 3

Dear Mr. Berry,

DOWL conducted quarterly monitoring of installed vibrating wire piezometers at Coal Waste Disposal Area No. 3 (CWDA No. 3), Bowie Resources, LLC. This report is intended to cover the time period of April through June 2016. VWP data was obtained on 6-25-16. A graphic plot of measured pore pressures over time is presented on the attached Figure 1, and numerically in Table 1 below. Table 1 summarizes the initial, prior and current readings and the difference in individual pore pressures since installation and prior readings.

Table 1. Summary of Pore Pressure Readings	Table 1.	Summary	of Pore	Pressure	Readings
--	----------	---------	---------	----------	----------

VWP ID #	Initial Pore Pressure 10/31/14 (psi)	3/21/16 Pore Pressure (psi)	6/25/16 Pore Pressure (psi)	Difference Since Installation (psi)	Difference Since Last Reading (psi)
VWP-A Deep	10.4	4.4	4.8	-5.6	+0.4
VWP-A Shallow	4.7	4.5	4.4	-0.3	-0.1
VWP-B Deep	0.2	-0.1	1.6	+1.4	+1.7
VWP-B Shallow	13.9	13.7	13.0	-0.9	-0.7
VWP-D	7.1	6.3	6.1	-1.0	-0.2

If you have any questions regarding this letter or the instrumentation monitoring at CWDA No. 3, please don't hesitate to contact me at (970) 497-8827 or <u>wpandorf@dowl.com</u>.

Respectfully Submitted, **DOWL** 

Wayne Pandorf, P.E.Sr. Geotechnical EngineerEnclosure:Figure 1 – Vibrating Wire Piezometer Data Graph

