

COLORADO Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety Department of Natural Resources

MINERALS PROGRAM INSPECTION REPORT PHONE: (303) 866-3567

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Belvedere Stone Quarry	M-1991-147	Limestone (general)	Douglas
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Monitoring	Tyler V. O'Donnell	June 17, 2016	14:00
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERA	FION:
Belvedere Stone, LLC	William Johannsen	110c - Construction	Limited Impact

REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:
Normal I&E Program	Complete Bond	\$5,000.00
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:
NA	None	None
WEATHER:	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:
Clear	or o'Acomally	July 8, 2016
	Eyler Dommell	
	0	

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

The following list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each

(AR) RECORDS <u>N</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY PB	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>N</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>N</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES <u>Y</u>	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>Y</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION <u>PB</u>
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>PB</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN <u>N</u>	(CI) COMPLETE INSP Y
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>N</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP <u>N</u>
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>Y</u>	· · ·	· · ·

Y = Inspected and found in compliance / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

The following inspection topics were identified as having Problems or Possible Violations. OPERATORS SHOULD READ THE FOLLOWING PAGES CAREFULLY IN ORDER TO ASSURE COMPLIANCE WITH THE TERMS OF THE PERMIT AND APPLICABLE RULES AND REGULATIONS. If a Possible Violation is indicated, you will be notified under separate cover as to when the Mined Land Reclamation Board will consider possible enforcement action.

INSPECTION TOPIC: Financial Warranty

PROBLEM: The financial warranty is not adequate to reclaim the site in accordance with the approved reclamation plan. This is a failure to maintain the proper financial warranty amount to complete reclamation of the affected lands pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32.5-117(4)(b) of the Act. The new amount of required financial warranty will be \$41,800, which is \$36,800 more than the current required financial warranty of \$5,000. **CORRECTIVE ACTIONS:** The deficit in financial warranty amount on deposit with the State is cited as a problem and must be corrected by September 6, 2016, by submitting the additional bond amount of \$36,800.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 9/06/16

INSPECTION TOPIC: Revegetation

PROBLEM: Musk thistle and common mullein are present within or have volunteered into the permit area and are becoming established. This is a problem for failure to employ weed control methods for a state listed noxious weeds species within the permitted area, and to reduce the spread of weeds to nearby areas as required by Section 3.1.10 (6) of the Rule.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The Operator shall either implement a weed control plan, provide photographic evidence demonstrating that the noxious weeds have been eradicated, OR develop a weed control and management plan in accordance with Rule 3.1.10 (6). This plan should be developed in consultation with the county extension agency, or weed control district office and should include specific control measures to be applied, a schedule for when control measures will be applied and a post-treatment monitoring plan. This weed control plan shall be submitted to the Division as a Technical Revision to the approved plan with the appropriate Technical Revision fee of \$216.00 by the corrective action date.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 9/06/16

INSPECTION TOPIC: Signs & Markers

PROBLEM: The affected area boundary markers are missing or incorrectly placed or un-identifiable. This is a problem for failure to maintain boundary markers around the affected area as required by Section 3.1.12(2) of the rule.

CORRECTIVE ACTIONS: The approved affected land boundary must be marked in the field to adequately identify the boundary pursuant to Rule 3.1.12(2). Along with marking the approved affected land boundary in the field, the Operator shall submit a map showing the following; the approved affected land boundary, the disturbed lands, and the land owned by the Operator. The map must be prepared by a registered land surveyor. Upon receipt and review of the map the Division will follow up accordingly with the Operator properly to rectify any issues that may be raised by the mapping.

CORRECTIVE ACTION DUE DATE: 9/06/16

OBSERVATIONS

This normal routine monitoring inspection was conducted by Tyler O'Donnell of the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division). Belvedere Stone, LLC (Operator), was represented by William Johannsen during the inspection.

The Belvedere Stone Quarry is located in Douglas County approximately 11 miles due north of Woodland Park, Colorado. The permit was issued in July 1992. The permit was issued over top of a pre-law quarry. The Quarry was being illegally mined until the permit was issued in 1992. The affected land boundary as approved in the permit application is located on top of a patented mining claim located in the middle of the Pike National Forest (See enclosed mine plan map). The primary commodity mined at the quarry is decorative rock. The approved post-mining land use at the quarry is residential.

During the inspection, the sky was clear and the ground was dry. The site was not operational during the inspection. The Operator had a grizzly on site for processing material. It appears that the recent mining related disturbance had taken place in the southeastern portion of the site. There was a shallow pit excavated on top of the current mining bench, where large slabs of stone have been recently removed. Based on a conversation the Division had with the operator's representative it appears that the site maybe active a few times a year to quarry rock.

Backfilling and Grading:

There was a highwall around the entire northern perimeter of the affected land boundary. The highwall varied in height, there were sections of highwall 5 to 20 feet high. The average height of the highwall was approximately 10 feet high. The highwall was approximately 750 feet long. A lot of waste rock had been cast off the active working face into the drainage to the southwest (photos 4). The slope of the cast off rock was near 2H:1V. The waste rock cast off the bench was as high as approximately 40 feet in some areas. All slopes appeared to be structurally stable.

Financial Warranty:

The current amount of financial warranty the Operator has on deposit with the State is \$5,000. Based on the observations made during this inspection, the cost to reclaim the site is estimated to be \$41,830.83 (see attached reclamation cost estimate). The new required financial warranty will be set at \$41,800, resulting in a current deficit of financial warranty on deposit with the State in the amount of \$36,800. This deficit in bond amount is cited as a problem and must be corrected by September 6, 2016, by submitting the additional bond amount of \$36,800.

Hydrologic Balance:

There was no evidence of excessive erosion. There appeared to be no significant impacts to the prevailing hydrologic balance. No exposed groundwater was observed.

Revegetation:

There was some plant growth throughout the current affected areas. The plant growth in the current affected areas appeared to be volunteer vegetation, comprised of native vegetation and annual weeds. There was some plant growth on the stockpiles and a little vegetative growth elsewhere in the active mining area.

Musk thistle and common mullein are present within the affected lands. The musk thistle and mullein have begun to establish, given a few growing seasons, the site could become completely infested with noxious weeds. This is a problem for failure to implement weed control methods for a state listed noxious weed species within the permitted area, and to reduce the spread of weeds to nearby areas as required by Section 3.1.10 (6) of the rule.

Weed control is cited as a problem in the inspection report, and must be corrected by September 6, 2016.

Sediment Control:

There was no evidence of excessive erosion (rills, gullies, or sediment fans).

Signs and Markers:

A mine I.D. sign was located at the entrance to the mine site. The permit boundary was not marked during the inspection. This will be cited as a problem in this inspection report and will require a corrective action. Since the approved affected land boundary could not be field identified, the Division could not determine where the disturbed lands (all lands that have been affected by quarrying that have not been reclaimed) sit in relationship to the approved affected lands and the patented mining claim (private property). The Division has several concerns about the location of the affected lands in relationship to the; approved permit boundary, and private property/U.S. Forest service land. The approved affected land boundary must be marked in the field to adequately identify the boundary pursuant to Rule 3.1.12(2). Along with marking the approved affected land boundary in the field, the Operator shall submit a map showing the following; the approved affected land surveyor. Upon receipt and review of the map the Division will follow up accordingly with the Operator properly to rectify any issues that may be raised by the mapping.

Topsoil:

There were a few topsoil stockpiles located around portions of the site. There was a large topsoil stockpile to the south east, there were also a few topsoil stockpiles around the perimeter of the highwall. It appears that topsoil had been salvaged in advance of mining. The topsoil stockpiles were well vegetated, thus providing good protection from erosion. There appeared to be an adequate amount of topsoil around the site to reclaim the current mining disturbance.

Inspection Contact Address

William Johannsen Belvedere Stone, LLC 2635 Steel Dr. Colorado Springs, CO 80907

Enclosure:	Approved Mine Plan Map
	Approved Legal Description
	Financial Warranty calculation

cc: Wally Erickson, DRMS

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1: Stockpiling area. Portions of the highwall are visible along the lefthand side of the photo.



Photo 2: Recent mining area in the southeast corner. The operator is beginning to form a shallow pit on the 'age 5 of 7 mined bench.



Photo 3: Highwall along the northern portion of the disturbed lands.



Photo 4: Wasterock cast from the quarry to the drainage to the south.



Photo 5: Mush thistle and common mullien on top of the active mining bench.





A EXHIBIT, LEGAL DESCRIPTION AND LOCATION MAPS (pages 2,3)

QUINN QUARRY

COLORADO

Sixth Principal Meridian, T. 10S., R. 69 W., S. 34, $W_2^1W_2^1NE_4^1NE_4^1$ and $E_2^1E_2^1NW_4^1NW_4^1$, containing 20.00 acres

_

VICINITY MAP





ო

COST SUMMARY WORK

ption:	Reclaim the Bel	vedere Quar	ry		
re Stone Quar	ry Pe	rmit Action:	2016 inspection	Permit/Jol	o#: M1991147
		Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
6/23/2016	County:	Douglas		Filename:	M147-999
	<u>DENTIFIC</u> 999 6/23/2016	re Stone Quarry Pe re Stone Quarry Pe IDENTIFICATION 999	re Stone Quarry Permit Action: DENTIFICATION State: Colorado 999 State: Colorado 6/23/2016 County: Douglas	re Stone Quarry Permit Action: 2016 inspection CIDENTIFICATION 999 State: Colorado 6/23/2016 County: Douglas	re Stone Quarry Permit Action: 2016 inspection Permit/Job C IDENTIFICATION State: Colorado Abbreviation: 999 State: Colorado Filename: 6/23/2016 County: Douglas Filename:

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task	Description	Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost		
001	Create Bench	EXCAVATE	1	33.61	\$6,476.00		
002	D2 Backfill and Grade Highwall DOZER 1 69.91				\$8,972.00		
003	Spread 4 inches of topsoil over 4 acres	DOZER	1	56.19	\$7,211.00		
004	Revegetation of the quarry	REVEGE	1	36.00	\$6,299.00		
005	005 Mobilization and demobilization MOBILIZE 1				\$3,641.00		
	<u>SUBTOTALS:</u> 200.55 \$32,599						

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02	Total =	\$658.50
Performance bond:	1.05	Total =	\$342.29
Job superintendent:	40.00	Total =	\$2,979.20
Profit:	10.00	Total =	\$3,259.90
		TOTAL O & P =	\$7,239.89
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + O & P) = $($	\$39,838.89

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs): Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	0.00	Total = Total =	
Reclamation management and/or administration:	5.00		\$1,991.94
CONTINGENCY:	0.00	Total =	\$0.00
		TAL INDIRECT COST =	;
TOTAL BO	ND AMOU	JNT (direct + indirect) =	\$41,830.83

HYDRAULIC EXCAVATOR WORK

ask description:	Cre	eate Bench				
Belvedere Stone	e Quarry	Permit	Action:	2016 inspection	n Pe	rmit/Job#: <u>M1991147</u>
ROJECT IDEN	TIFICATI	<u>ON</u>				
Task #: 001 Date: 6/23/ User: TOD	2016		olorado ouglas		Abbrevi	ation: None name: M147-001
Agency or	organization	n name: DRMS				
IOURLY EQUI	PMENT C	<u>DST</u>				
Basic Machi		45DL 12'-10"		H	Horsepower:	380
Attachmen	t 1: ROPS	Cab			veight (MT):	49.37
					Shift Basis: Data Source:	1 per day (CRG)
Cost Breakdown:			1			
Ownership	Cost/Hour:	\$62.71		Utilization % NA		
Operating	Cost/Hour:	\$89.30		100	_	
Operator Total Unit	Cost/Hour:	\$40.67		NA	-	
		\$192.68				
Total Fleet	Cost/Hour:	\$192.68				
IATERIAL QU Initial volume	: 3,611	C	CCY	Swell factor	r: <u>1.215</u>	
Loose volume	4,387	L	.CY			
			Curent slo Cat Hand	ope 2H:1V 20ft be	ench at a 1H:1V	length 650
		swell lactor. <u>C</u>		UUUK		
IOURLY PROD Excavator Cycle Tir		rat awing loaded	dumn h	uskat awing amo	1.	
		-	-			
	Secor	Basic dary Job Conditio		ndition Description Basic Description		
				Cycle Time Valu		minutes
oad Bucket Capaci	<u>ty</u>					G 11
Rated Ca	nacity	2.09 L	.CY (hea		Bucket Size Clas	s: Small
Bucket Fill				ell blasted (60% -	- 75%) 0.675	
Adjusted Ca	pacity:	1.41 L	CY			
ob Condition Corre	ction Factors	<u>•</u>		Site	Altitude: <u>7950</u> fe	et
			Source			
Altitude A Job Efficien		· · · · ·	CAT HE shift/da	/		
Net Correcti			ultiplier	<u> </u>		
	Unadjusted	Hourly Unit Prod	luction:	176.71	LCY/Hour	
	Adjusted	Hourly Unit Prod	uction:	130.54	LCY/Hour	
	Adjusted	Hourly Fleet Prod	luction:	130.54	LCY/Hour	
OB TIME AND	<u>COST</u>					
Fleet size:	1	Excavator	То	tal job time:	33.61	Hours
Unit cost:	\$1.476	/LCY		Total job cost:	\$6,476	
C III 0050.	\$1.170	1201		- 5 mi job 0050.	Ψυ,τ/Ο	

Page 1 of 2

BULLDOZER WORK

	Perm Perm	it Action:	2016 inspection	Permit/Jo	b#: <u>M1991147</u>
ROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION				
Task #: 002	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: 6/23/2016	County:	Douglas		Filename:	M147-002
User: TOD		0			
Agency or organ	nization name: DRM	IS			
Agency of organ		15			
IOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST				
	t D6T XL				
Horsepower: 18:					
×1	mi-Universal				
Attachment: NA					
	er day				
Data Source: (Cl	RG)				
Cost Breakdown:		I	TT.'1' .' A'		
Ourmonshine Cont/II-		\$41.62	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$41.63 \$46.82	<u>NA</u>		
Operating Cost/Hour: Ripper own.			100		
Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$39.87	NA		
Total unit Cost/Hour:	\$128.32				
Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$128.32 \$128.32				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u>	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u>	\$128.32 ITIES				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u>	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 I1 LCY ume:Division of		n, Mining & Safety		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 3,61 Swell factor: 1.00 Loose volume: 3,61 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor:	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of 11 Cat Handbo		n, Mining & Safety		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>IATERIAL QUANT</u> Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u> Loose volume: <u>3,61</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: <u>IOURLY PRODUCT</u>	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of Cat Handbo Cat Handbo		n, Mining & Safety		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 3,61 Swell factor: 1.00 Loose volume: 3,61 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor:	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of 11 Cat Handbo	ook	 n, Mining & Safety 		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: MATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 3,61 Swell factor: 1.00 Loose volume: 3,61 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: HOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of 11 Cat Handbo TON 100 feet 303.3 LCY/hr	ook			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>IATERIAL QUANT</u> Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u> Loose volume: <u>3,61</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: <u>IOURLY PRODUCT</u> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production:	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of 11 Cat Handbo TON 100 feet 303.3 LCY/hr	ook -			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>IATERIAL QUANT</u> Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u> Loose volume: <u>3,61</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: <u>HOURLY PRODUCT</u> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de Average push gradient:	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of Cat Handbo 11 Cat Handbo 1200 11 Cat Handbo 11 100 feet 303.3 LCY/hi escription: Dry, non-	ook -			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u> Loose volume: <u>3,61</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: IOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency defined Average push	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of Cat Handbo 11 Cat Handbo 1200 11 Cat Handbo 11 100 feet 303.3 LCY/hi escription: Dry, non-	ook -			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>IATERIAL QUANT</u> Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u> Loose volume: <u>3,61</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: <u>HOURLY PRODUCT</u> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de Average push gradient:	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of 11 Cat Handbo 11 Cat Handbo 11 Cat Handbo 100 feet 303.3 LCY/hi escription: Dry, non- 20 % 0	ook -			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANT Initial Volume: 3,61 Swell factor: 1.00 Loose volume: 3,61 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: IOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of 11 CY ume: Division of 11 CAT Handbo 100 feet 303.3 LCY/hr escription: Dry, non- 20 % 7,950 feet	-cohesive 0.	8		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: <u>IATERIAL QUANT</u> Initial Volume: <u>3,61</u> Swell factor: <u>1.00</u> Loose volume: <u>3,61</u> Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swe factor: <u>IOURLY PRODUCT</u> Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency de Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight:	\$128.32 ITIES 1 00 11 LCY ume: Division of Cat Handbo 100 feet 303.3 LCY/hr escription: Dry, non- 20 % 7,950 feet 3,300 lbs/LCY Decomposed rock -	-cohesive 0.	8		

Material consistency:	0.800	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.000	(GEN.)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.900	(SSD-FC)
Push gradient:	0.545	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.697	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.1703

Adjusted unit production:	51.65 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	51.65 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$2.484/LCY

Total job time:	69.91 Hours
Total job cost:	\$8,972

BULLDOZER WORK

Belvedere Stone Quar	rry P	ermit Action:	2016 inspection	Permit/Jol	o#: <u>M199114</u>
ROJECT IDENTIFIC	CATION				
Task #: 003	State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date: $6/23/2016$	County:	-		Filename:	M147-003
User: TOD					
Agency or organ	ization name: I	ORMS			
OURLY EQUIPMEN	NT COST				
	: D6T XL				
Horsepower: 185			_		
	ni-Universal		_		
Attachment: NA			_		
Shift Basis: 1 pe	er day		_		
Data Source: (CF			_		
ost Breakdown:			_		
JSI DICARUOWII.		1	Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/Hour:		\$41.63	NA		
Operating Cost/Hour:		\$46.82	100		
Ripper own.					
Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	NA		
Ripper op. Cost/Hour:		\$0.00	0		
Operator Cost/Hour:		\$39.87	NA		
T 1 1 G 1 G					
Total unit Cost/Hour:	\$128.32	L			
Total unit Cost/Hour: Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$128.32 \$128.32				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$128.32				
	\$128.32				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$128.32				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI	\$128.32 [TIES 1				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33	\$128.32 [TIES 1				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86	\$128.32 ITIES 1 0 1 LCY				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: 4 inche	s over 4 acres			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: 4 inche				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: 4 inche				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: 4 inche 1 Cat Hau				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: IOURLY PRODUCT 1.33	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: 4 inche Il Cat Hau ION				
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.339 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: IOURLY PRODUCT: Average push distance:	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: 4 inche Cat Hau ION 250 feet	ndbook			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: IOURLY PRODUCT 1.33	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: 4 inche Il Cat Hau ION	ndbook			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: IOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY Ime: 4 inche Cat Hau <u>100</u> <u>250 feet</u> 119.6 LC	ndbook Y/hr	.8		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: 1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: <u>4 inche</u> 1 LCY ume: <u>4 inche</u> Cat Hau <u>10N</u> <u>250 feet</u> 119.6 LC scription: <u>Dry</u> ,	ndbook Y/hr	.8		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: IOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency des Average push	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY Ime: 4 inche Cat Hau <u>100</u> <u>250 feet</u> 119.6 LC	ndbook Y/hr	.8		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: 1.000000000000000000000000000000000000	\$128.32 (TIES 1 0 1 LCY ume: <u>4 inche</u> 1 LCY ume: <u>4 inche</u> Cat Hau <u>10N</u> <u>250 feet</u> 119.6 LC scription: <u>Dry</u> ,	ndbook Y/hr	.8		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: 2,86 IOURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly Distance: Materials consistency des Average push Average push gradient:	\$128.32 <u>TTIES</u> 1 0 1 LCY ume: <u>4 inche</u> 1 LCY ume: <u>4 inche</u> Cat Hau <u>10N</u> <u>250 feet</u> 119.6 LC scription: <u>Dry</u> , _10 %	ndbook Y/hr	.8		
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swelfactor: COURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency dest Average push gradient: Average site altitude:	\$128.32 TTIES 1 0 1 LCY me: <u>4 inche</u> 1 lCY me: <u>4 inche</u> Cat Hau <u>250 feet</u> 119.6 LC 	ndbook Y/hr non-cohesive 0			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour: IATERIAL QUANTI Initial Volume: 2,15 Swell factor: 1.33 Loose volume: 2,86 Source of estimated volu Source of estimated volu Source of estimated swel factor: OURLY PRODUCT Average push distance: Unadjusted hourly production: Materials consistency dea Average push gradient: Average site altitude: Material weight:	\$128.32 THES 1 0 1 LCY me: <u>4 inche</u> 1 Cat Hau <u>250 feet</u> <u>119.6 LC</u> scription: <u>Dry,</u> -10 % 7,950 feet <u>2,900 lbs/LCY</u> <u>Decomposed row</u>	ndbook Y/hr non-cohesive 0			

Material consistency:	0.800	(CAT HB)
Dozing method:	1.100	(50% SL)
Visibility:	1.000	(AVG.)
Job efficiency:	0.830	(1 SHIFT/DAY)
Spoil pile:	0.800	(FND-RF)
Push gradient:	1.225	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.793	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction: 0.4257

Adjusted unit production:	50.91 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	50.91 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$2.521/LCY

Total job time:	56.19 Hours
Total job cost:	\$7,211

REVEGETATION WORK

Task descri	ption:	Revegetation of the quarry			
Site: Belvede	re Stone Quari	Permit Action:	2016 inspection	Permit/Job	o#: <u>M1991147</u>
	<u>IDENTIFIC</u>				N
Task #: Date:	004 6/23/2016	State: Colorado County: Douglas		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M147-004
User:	TOD	County:		T Homaine.	

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	50.00
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$0.00

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Hard Fescue - Discovery	3.00	38.91	\$6.27
Intermediate Wheatgrass - Rush	6.00	12.81	\$13.44
Yellow Sweet Clover - Madrid	1.00	5.97	\$2.56
Pubescent Wheatgrass - Manska	6.00	12.40	\$14.10
Slender Wheatgrass - Native	8.00	29.20	\$18.00
Western Wheatgrass - Native	6.00	15.15	\$18.30
Timothy, Alpine - Native	1.50	44.77	\$40.68
Totals Seed Mix	31.50	159.21	\$113.35

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Hydro seeding (MEANS 32 92 19.14 0200)		\$936.54
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$936.54

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$0.00

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre			\$0.00		

JOB TIME AND COST

Estimate *Selected Replanti	No. of Acres: ed Failure Rate: ng Work Items:	50%	Cost /Acre: Cost /Acre*:	
Initial Job Cost:	\$4,199.56			
Reseeding Job Cost:	\$2,099.78		_	
Total Job Cost:	\$6,299		_	
Job Hours:	36.00		_	

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

	ask description:	Mo	bilization and de	mobilization				
e: _	Belvedere Ston	e Quarry	Permit	Action: 2016	inspection	F	ermit/Job#: <u>N</u>	M1991147
<u>PR</u>	ROJECT IDEN	TIFICATI	<u>ON</u>					
	Task #: 005		State: Co	lorado		Abbre	viation: Non	e
		/2016	County: Do	ouglas		Fil	lename: M14	7-005
User: TOD								
	Agency or	organization	name: DRMS					
EÇ	<u>)UIPMENT TI</u>	RANSPOR	<u>F RIG COST</u>					
						Shift bas	1	
					(Cost Data Sour	ce: CRG D	lata
	Truck	Tractor Desci	rintion: GENE	RIC ON-HIGH	WAY TRI	ICK TRACTO	R 6X4 DIESE	I POWERED
	Truck	Tractor Deser	iption. OLIVE			(2ND HALF, 1		LETOWERED,
	Truck	Trailer Desci	ription [.] G	ENERIC FOLD				IIPMENT
	Truck	Trailer Deser	iption. Of			(25T, 50T, AN)		
				1	IU IILLIU	(251, 501, 711)	D 1001)	<u> </u>
Cos	<u>st Breakdown:</u>							
A	vailable Rig Ca	pacities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51-	- Tons		
A	vailable Rig Ca Ownership		0-25 Tons \$16.63	26-50 Tons \$18.37		- Tons 22.33		
A	Ownership (Cost/Hour:	\$16.63		\$2	- Tons 22.33 50.07		
	Ownership (Operating (Cost/Hour:		\$18.37	\$2	22.33 50.07		
	Ownership Operating Operator	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	\$16.63 \$44.38	\$18.37 \$46.13	\$2 \$2 \$2	22.33		
	Ownership Operating Operator	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66	\$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	22.33 50.07 27.66		
	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39	\$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39		
	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour:	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00 \$88.67	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39	\$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$2	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39		
<u>NC</u>	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Total Unit (DN ROADABL	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPN	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00 \$88.67 IENT:	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39 \$117.55	\$2 \$3 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$1	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39 25.45	Return Trip	DOT Permit
 NC	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Total Unit (DN ROADABL //achine	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPN Weight/	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00 \$88.67 IENT: Owner ship	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39 \$117.55 Haul Rig	\$2 \$3 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39 25.45 Haul Trip	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	DOT Permit Cost/ fleet
<u>NC</u>	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Total Unit (DN ROADABL	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPN Weight/ Unit	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00 \$88.67 IENT:	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39 \$117.55 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni	\$2 \$3 \$2 \$2 \$2 \$1	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39 25.45 Haul Trip Cost/hr/		
NC NC	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Total Unit (DN ROADABL Machine Description	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPN Weight/ Unit (TONS)	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00 \$88.67 IENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39 \$117.55 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	Si S	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39 25.45 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
NC NC D C	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Total Unit (DN ROADABL Machine Description	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPN Weight/ Unit (TONS) 23.25	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00 \$88.67 IENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit \$41.63	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39 \$117.55 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t \$88.67	\$2 \$3 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39 25.45 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$130.30	Cost/hr/ fleet \$88.67	Cost/ fleet \$250.00
MC D C C	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Total Unit (DN ROADABL Machine Description	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPN Weight/ Unit (TONS)	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00 \$88.67 IENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39 \$117.55 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t	\$2 \$3 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet Size 1	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39 25.45 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/hr/ fleet	Cost/ fleet
NC C C 10 H	Ownership (Operating (Operator (Helper (Total Unit (DN ROADABL Machine Description	Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: Cost/Hour: LE EQUIPN Weight/ Unit (TONS) 23.25	\$16.63 \$44.38 \$27.66 \$0.00 \$88.67 IENT: Owner ship Cost/hr/ unit \$41.63	\$18.37 \$46.13 \$27.66 \$25.39 \$117.55 Haul Rig Cost/hr/uni t \$88.67	\$2 \$3 \$2 \$2 \$1 \$1 Fleet Size 1	22.33 50.07 27.66 25.39 25.45 Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet \$130.30	Cost/hr/ fleet \$88.67	Cost/ fleet \$250.00

Subtotals: \$487.66 \$331.67 \$750.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
		Subtotals:	\$0.00	\$0.00

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	COLORADO SPRINGS 32.00 45.00	miles mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$3,640.59	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$0.00	-

Transportation Cycle Time:

	Non- Roadable Equipment	Roadable Equipment
Haul Time (Hours):	0.71	0.71
Return Time (Hours):	0.71	0.71
Loading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Subtotals:	2.42	1.42

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: **4.84** Hours

Total job cost: **\$3,641**