

1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, CO 80203

February 29, 2016

Mr. Joseph L. Dorris Glacier Peak Mining 2920 Cedar Heights Drive. Colorado Springs, CO 80904

Re: Consolidation of Permits: Permit No. M-1993-030, Crystaljack Mine; Permit No. M-2003-030, Glacier Peak Mining; Permit No. M-2006-034, T. D. Minerals; NOI No. P-2003-014, Glacier Peak Claims

Dear Mr. Dorris:

The Division appreciates your May 15, 2015 letter notifying us of your intent to consolidate your permits. As you are aware, the increased interest in mining and prospecting in the Lake George/Crystal Creek area has presented some challenges to the Division in regulating mine reclamation in the area. We have been adjusting Division's procedure for reviewing new and revised permit applications for this area with the intent to reduce confusion and ensure all potentially affected parties are notified and have the option to comment on the aforementioned reclamation permits in accordance with Rule 1.6.2(1)(f) of the Mineral Rules and Regulations of The Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board for Hard Rock, Metal, and Designated Mining Operations. We are still working out the details of this procedure, but as the field season approaches, I thought it prudent to let you know that the Division will require notifications to adjacent/nearby permit holders for new and amended mine reclamation permits. In addition, we will no longer allow new or amended permits to overlap. Based on your letter, it appears you also wish to eliminate some existing overlapping of permits.

Your May 15, 2015 letter also discussed access roads and bonding with the Forest Service. As you are most likely aware, pursuant to C.R.S. 34-32-103(1.5), the Division does not require reclamation of existing roads unless they are "substantially upgraded to support the mining operation". Furthermore, these regulations regarding existing roads may require a different affected area delineation that that of the Forest Service, and that the required reclamation bond may differ as well. If there is no substantial improvement to the access roads you discuss, the Division concurs that the Forest Service is responsible for assessing and holding the bond for access roads that are not substantially upgraded.



Mr. Joseph L. Dorris February 29, 2016 Page 2

Finally, the Division asks that future correspondence list all permits (as done on the subject line of this letter) related to the submitted document(s) to ensure the letter is included in each related permit file.

If you have any questions, you may contact me at (303)866-8567 x8169.

Sincerely,

0

Timothy A. Cazier, P.E. Environmental Protection Specialist

ec: Wally Erickson, DRMS DRMS file Amy Titterington, USFS (via email)