

The Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety has conducted an inspection of the mining operation noted below. This report documents observations concerning compliance with the terms of the permit and applicable rules and regulations of the Mined Land Reclamation Board.

MINE NAME:	MINE/PROSPECTING ID#:	MINERAL:	COUNTY:
Korinek #1 Pit	M-1979-131	Sand and gravel	Crowley
INSPECTION TYPE:	INSPECTOR(S):	INSP. DATE:	INSP. TIME:
Surety-Related Inspection	Amy Eschberger	March 17, 2015	11:30
OPERATOR:	OPERATOR REPRESENTATIVE:	TYPE OF OPERATION:	
Korinek Sand & Gravel	Gerald Korinek	112c - Construction Regular Operation	

REASON FOR INSPECTION:	BOND CALCULATION TYPE:	BOND AMOUNT:		
Surety Related	Complete Bond	\$76,947.00		
DATE OF COMPLAINT:	POST INSP. CONTACTS:	JOINT INSP. AGENCY:		
NA	None	None		
WEATHER:	INSPECTOR'S SIGNATURE:	SIGNATURE DATE:		
Clear	anne Eschberger	April 1, 2015		

GENERAL INSPECTION TOPICS

This list identifies the environmental and permit parameters inspected and gives a categorical evaluation of each. No problems or possible violations were noted during the inspection. The mine operation was found to be in full compliance with Mineral Rules and Regulations of the Colorado Mined Land Reclamation Board for the Extraction of Construction Materials and/or for Hard Rock, Metal and Designated Mining Operations. Any person engaged in any mining operation shall notify the office of any failure or imminent failure, as soon as reasonably practicable after such person has knowledge of such condition or of any impoundment, embankment, or slope that poses a reasonable potential for danger to any persons or property or to the environment; or any environmental protection facility designed to contain or control chemicals or waste which are acid or toxic-forming, as identified in the permit.

(AR) RECORDS <u>Y</u>	(FN) FINANCIAL WARRANTY Y	(RD) ROADS <u>Y</u>
(HB) HYDROLOGIC BALANCE <u>Y</u>	(BG) BACKFILL & GRADING <u>Y</u>	(EX) EXPLOSIVES <u>NA</u>
(PW) PROCESSING WASTE/TAILING <u>Y</u>	(SF) PROCESSING FACILITIES NA	(TS) TOPSOIL <u>Y</u>
(MP) GENL MINE PLAN COMPLIANCE- <u>Y</u>	(FW) FISH & WILDLIFE <u>N</u>	(RV) REVEGETATION Y
(SM) SIGNS AND MARKERS <u>Y</u>	(SP) STORM WATER MGT PLAN Y	(SB) COMPLETE INSP Y
(ES) OVERBURDEN/DEV. WASTE <u>Y</u>	(SC) EROSION/SEDIMENTATION Y	(RS) RECL PLAN/COMP Y
(AT) ACID OR TOXIC MATERIALS <u>NA</u>	(OD) OFF-SITE DAMAGE <u>Y</u>	(ST) STIPULATIONS <u>NA</u>

Y = Inspected and found in compliance / N = Not inspected / NA = Not applicable to this operation / PB = Problem cited / PV = Possible violation cited

OBSERVATIONS

This was a surety-related inspection of the Korinek #1 Pit (Permit No. M-1979-131) conducted by Amy Eschberger of the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division) in response to a Surety Reduction request (SR-01) that was received by the Division on March 03, 2015. The Operator, Mr. Gerald Korinek of Korinek Sand & Gravel was present for the inspection. This site is located approximately 5.5 miles northeast of Manzanola, Colorado in Crowley County, on land owned by Fred Korinek. The site is situated just north of the Arkansas River on land that is predominantly flat on the northern half, with gentle slopes toward the river on the southern half. The site can be accessed from the west by County Lane 13, or from the north by County Lane 15. The approved post-mining land use is primarily rangeland, with industrial/commercial use for the storage yard in the southeast corner.

This is a 112c operation permitted for 45.20 acres to mine sand and gravel to maximum depths of 20-25 feet. This site was initially permitted as a 110c operation before a conversion was approved on January 08, 2010, adding 36.7 acres to the permit area. The permit area includes a pre-law gravel pit in its southeastern portion. There are several small stockpiles of different sizes associated with this pre-law pit scattered throughout this area and a small pre-law excavated pond (with approximately 0.18 acres of exposed groundwater). In the southwestern portion of the permit area is approximately 3.6 acres of reclaimed land that is fenced off from the rest of the site. A residence, several storage sheds, and various pieces of equipment and trucks owned by Mr. Frank Korinek are located just south of the site.

At the time of the inspection, the weather was cloudy and cool, and the ground was dry. The permit sign was posted at the entrance to the mine site off of County Lane 13 (Photo 1). The permit boundary was delineated with metal posts. The mine was operational during the inspection. Material is processed with portable crushing/screening equipment located at the center of the pit (Photo 2). Several stockpiles of processed material are stored inside the pit (Photo 3). The mined wall is approximately 15-20 feet in height and approximately 1,285 feet in length. This wall daylights primarily to the south/southwest, with slope gradients of 0.5H:1V to 1H:1V (Photos 4 and 5). Recent mining expanded the eastern portion of the active pit slightly northeastward and southeastward (Photo 6).

A portion of County Lane 15, which initially ran southwest through the middle of the site, separating the prelaw gravel pit to the east and the active mining area to the west, was relocated by the County some time between early 2013 and late 2014. This road only serves as access to the Korinek property. In the approved Mining Plan, the Operator stated that this road would need to be diverted around the mine, and would remain after reclamation. However, its final location is not shown on the approved maps. Approximately 2,000 feet of the road now runs along the northwestern and western edges of the permit boundary. It appears that the far northwestern corner of the permit boundary overlaps this road. The Operator provided a structure agreement with the County for this road in the approved permit application. <u>However, as discussed with Mr. Korinek during the inspection, the Operator will need to submit a Technical Revision (see enclosed form) to update the Mining Plan Map and Reclamation Plan Map to show the final configuration of this road, including any setbacks the mining operation will need to maintain. The approved maps are enclosed with this report for reference.</u>

There appears to be an adequate amount of topsoil stockpiled in berms along the top of the highwall. These stockpiles appeared to be stable. In addition, there is a stockpile of approximately 1,600 CY of topsoil present in the pre-law gravel pit area (Photo 7). According to Mr. Korinek, this stockpile was left here by the County during re-construction of County Lane 15, and it can be used for reclamation of this site. There are two areas located in the western portion of the site which are under reclamation. The area farthest west is 1.9 acres in size (Photos 8 and 9). This area has been graded to 3H:1V or flatter, and according to the Operator, was retopsoiled

and seeded within the last few years. Mr. Korinek indicated there had been some success with grass growth in this area until a dirt storm killed off the grasses, leaving mainly Russian thistle (tumbleweeds) behind. He has since then removed most of the thistle from this area and intends to reseed it this spring. The smaller area in reclamation is located just east of this larger graded area, and is 0.67 acres in size. This land is fenced off from the rest of the site (Photos 10 and 11). Revegetation efforts have proven difficult in this area as well. The Operator is attempting to irrigate this portion of land, and will reseed it in the spring, if necessary.

The Division estimates that the mining operation has currently disturbed approximately 8.25 acres, not including the 2.57 acres that are in reclamation (see enclosed Google Earth image of site). This is a phased mining operation that is currently in Phase 1, with smaller portions of Phases 2 and 3 also being mined. The maximum allowed disturbed acreage for this site is 10 acres. <u>Therefore, before disturbing more than 10 acres, the Operator will need to submit a Technical Revision to increase the maximum allowed disturbed acreage.</u>

After conducting the inspection, the Division completed a bond calculation to account for current disturbance and reclamation work that has been completed since the last inspection (see enclosed bond calculation). <u>The</u> Division estimates the required Financial Warranty for this site to be in the amount of **\$45,072.00**. This is a reduction of \$31,875.00 from the currently held bond of \$76,947.00. No written objections to the Surety Reduction request (SR-01) were received by the Division within the public comment period that ended on March 28, 2015. Therefore, the Division will approved the SR-01 (see enclosed Approval Letter).

PHOTOGRAPHS



Photo 1. View of permit sign posted at mine entrance off of County Lane 13.



Photo 2. View of small processing area located at center of active pit.



Photo 3. View looking into pit from top of mined wall near western edge of permit boundary.



Photo 4. View of mined wall along northeastern edge of pit. Note portion of topsoil stockpiles visible at top of mined wall.



Photo 5. View of mined wall along northwestern edge of pit. Note topsoil berm along top of mined wall.



Photo 6. View looking southwest across eastern portion of pit where most recent mining has taken place.



Photo 7. View of topsoil stockpile located in pre-law gravel pit in eastern portion of site.



Photo 8. View looking southwest from western permit boundary, showing 1.9 acre area under reclamation. Note area has been graded to 3H:1V or flatter and requires revegetation.



Photo 9. View looking east from western permit boundary, showing southern portion of 1.9 acre area under reclamation. Note area has been graded to 3H:1V or flatter and requires revegetation.



Photo 10. View looking west at southern portion of 0.67 acre area under reclamation. Note area has been graded to 3H:1V or flatter and requires revegetation.



Photo 11. View looking northwest at northern portion of 0.67 acre area under reclamation. Note area has been graded to 3H:1V or flatter and requires revegetation.

PERMIT #: M-1979-131 INSPECTOR'S INITIALS: AME INSPECTION DATE: March 17, 2015

Inspection Contact Address

Gerald Korinek Korinek Sand & Gravel 640 County Lane 15 Manzanola, CO 81058

Enclosure(s): Technical Revision form Approved Mining Plan Map and Reclamation Plan Map Google Earth image of site with Division markings Revised Bond Calculation SR-01 Approval Letter

CC: Tom Kaldenbach, DRMS



COLORADO DIVISION OF RECLAMATION, MINING AND SAFETY

1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, Colorado 80203 ph(303) 866-3567

REQUEST FOR TECHNICAL REVISION (TR) COVER SHEET

File No.: M	Site Name:	
County	TR#	(DRMS Use only)
Permittee:		
Operator (If Other than Permittee):		
Permittee Representative:		
Please provide a brief description of	the proposed revision:	

As defined by the Minerals Rules, a Technical Revision (TR) is: "a change in the permit or application which does not have more than a minor effect upon the approved or proposed Reclamation or Environmental Protection Plan." The Division is charged with determining if the revision as submitted meets this definition. If the Division determines that the proposed revision is beyond the scope of a TR, the Division may require the submittal of a permit amendment to make the required or desired changes to the permit.

The request for a TR is not considered "filed for review" until the appropriate fee is received by the Division (as listed below by permit type). Please submit the appropriate fee with your request to expedite the review process. After the TR is submitted with the appropriate fee, the Division will determine if it is approvable within 30 days. If the Division requires additional information to approve a TR, you will be notified of specific deficiencies that will need to be addressed. If at the end of the 30 day review period there are still outstanding deficiencies, the Division must deny the TR unless the permittee requests additional time, in writing, to provide the required information.

There is no pre-defined format for the submittal of a TR; however, it is up to the permittee to provide sufficient information to the Division to approve the TR request, including updated mining and reclamation plan maps that accurately depict the changes proposed in the requested TR.

Required Fees for Technical Revision by Permit Type - Please mark the correct fee and submit it with your request for a Technical Revision.

<u>Permit Type</u>	Required TR Fee	Submitted (mark only one)
110c, 111, 112 construction materials, and 112 quarries	\$216	
112 hard rock (not DMO)	\$175	
110d, 112d(1, 2 or 3)	\$1006	





otes:	Revisions	Ву	Date	Designed: Ben Langenfeld	Date
				Drawn: Ben Langenfeld	May 26, 2009
				Checked: Greg Lewicki	Scale 1" = 150'
				Approved: Greg Lewicki	-

M-1979-131 / Korinek #1 Pit / Korinek Sand & Gravel

M1979-131

Red Line = Approved Permit Area (45.20 acres) Light Blue Line = Current Mining Area (8.25 acres) Dark Blue = Current Highwall (1,285 feet long) Green Line = Areas in Reclamation (Total of 2.57 acres) White Line = Reclaimed Area (3.74 acres) Purple Line = Small Pond/Pre-Law Excavation (0.18 acres)

© 2015 Google

Co-Ln-13

N

COST SUMMARY WORK

e: Korinek	#1 Pit		Permit Action:	SR-01 2015	Permit/Jo	ob#: <u>M197913</u>
PROJECT	IDENTIFICA	<u>TION</u>				
Task #:	000	State:	Colorado	Abb	previation:	None
Date:	3/30/2015	County:	Crowley		Filename:	M131-000
User:	AME				_	

TASK LIST (DIRECT COSTS)

Task	Description	Form Used	Fleet Size	Task Hours	Cost
001	Grade mined slopes to 3H:1V (cut and fill)	DOZER	1	30.60	\$6,815.00
002	Rip 10 acres	RIPPER	1	14.72	\$3,280.00
003	Spread 6 inches topsoil on10 acres	DOZER	1	31.82	\$7,086.00
004	Revegetate 10 acres to rangeland	REVEGE	1	40.00	\$12,480.00
005	Mobilization/Demobilization	MOBILIZE	1	6.63	\$2,944.00
		123.77	\$32,605		

INDIRECT COSTS

OVERHEAD AND PROFIT:

Liability insurance:	2.02%	Total =	\$658.62
Performance bond:	1.05%	Total =	\$342.35
Job superintendent:	61.89 hrs	Total =	\$4,651.28
Profit:	10.00%	Total =	\$3,260.50
		TOTAL O & P =	\$8,912.75
		CONTRACT AMOUNT (direct + $O \& P$) =	\$41,517.75

LEGAL - ENGINEERING - PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

Financial warranty processing (legal/related costs):	500.00	Total =	500.00
Engineering work and/or contract/bid preparation:	0.00%	Total =	\$0.00
Reclamation management and/or administration:	5.00%		\$2,075.89
CONTINGENCY:	3.00	Total =	\$978.15
	TOTAL	INDIRECT COST =	\$12,466.79
TOTAL BO	OND AMOUNT	(direct + indirect) =	\$45,071.79

Page 1 of 2

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:	Grade mined slopes to 3	H:1V (cut and fill)		
: Korinek #1 Pit	Permit Acti	on: SR-01 2015	Permit/Job#:	M1979131
PROJECT IDENTIFI	CATION			
Task #: 001	State: Color	ado	Abbreviation:	None
Date: 3/30/2015	County: Crow	ley	Filename:	M131-001
User: AME				
Agency or organ	ization name: DRMS			
HOURLY EQUIPME	NT COST			
Basic Machine: Cat	D8T - 8SU			
Horsepower: 310				
21	i-Universal			
	ank ripper			
Shift Basis: <u>1 pe</u> Data Source: (CR	r day			
Data Source: (CK	()			
Cost Breakdown:				
	¢.co. 0 5	<u>Utilization %</u>		
Ownership Cost/Hour:	\$69.05 \$108.22	NA 100		
Operating Cost/Hour: Ripper op. Cost/Hour:	\$108.22	100		
Operator Cost/Hour:	\$38.01	NA		
Operator Cost/Hour.	\$30.01	INA		
Total unit Cost/Hour:	\$222.73			
Total Fleet Cost/Hour:	\$222.73			
Initial Volume: 9,260 Swell factor: 1.125 Loose volume: 10,41 Source of estimated volum	8 LCY	: 2,000 ft length x 20 ft height		
Source of estimated swell				
HOURLY PRODUCT	ION			
Average push distance:	150 feet			
Unadjusted hourly produc				
Materials consistency des		or embankment 0.9		
Average push gradient:	-5 %			
Average site altitude:	4,250 feet			
Material weight:	2,650 lbs/LCY		_	
Weight description:	Decomposed rock - 25% H	Rock, 75% Earth		
Job Condition Correction		Source		
Operator S		(AVG.)		
Material consiste		(CAT HB))		
Dozing met		(50% SL)		
Visibi	-	(AVG.)	<u> </u>	
Job efficie		(1 SHIFT/DAY)	
Spoil	pile: 0.900	(SSD-FC)		

Task # 001

Push gradient:	1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	0.868	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)
Net correction:	0.5368	

Adjusted unit production:	340.49 LCY/hr
Adjusted fleet production:	340.49 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.654/LCY

Total job time:	30.60 Hours
Total job cost:	\$6,815

BULLDOZER RIPPING WORK

	Task description	: Rip 1	l0 acres			
Site	: Korinek #1 P	it	Permit Action	n: SR-01 2015	Permit/Job#	: M1979131
	PROJECT ID	ENTIFICATI	<u>ON</u>			
	Task #: 00 Date: 3/3 User: AN	30/2015	State: Colorad County: Crowle		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M131-002
	Agency	or organization	name: DRMS			
	HOURLY EQ	UIPMENT CO)ST			
		Machine: Cat	D8T - 8SU hank Ripper			310 per day CRG)
	Cost Breakdown	<u>:</u>				
	Rip	Ownership Co Operating Co per Operating Co	ost/Hour: \$	\$69.05 108.22 \$7.46	Utilization % <u>NA</u> <u>100</u> <u>100</u>	
		Operator Co Total Unit Co		\$38.01 222.73	NA	
				222.73		
	MATEDIAL (Total Fleet Co				
	MATERIAL (2	Selected estimating r	method: Area	
Seismic:	Alternate Method	<u>us:</u>	Bank Volume	: NA	ВСҮ	NA
Area:	10.00	acres	Rip Depth (ft)		Volume: 24,200	BCY or CCY
		Source of estir	nated quantity:	ibit L		
	HOURLY PR	ODUCTION				
	Seismic:					
			Seismic Velocity:	NA	feet/second	
	Area:	Averag	e Ripping Depth:	2.56	mph	
		-	e Ripping Width:	7.08	degrees	
		Average	Ripping Length:	450.00	feet	
			age Dozer Speed:	88.00	feet	
			Maneuver Time:	0.25	feet	
		Product	ion per unit area:	0.818	acres/hour	
	Job Condition Co	orrection Factors				
	Ur	nadjusted Hourly	Unit Production:	0.818	Acres/hr	
			Site Altitude:	4,250	feet	
			Altitude Adj:	1.00	(CAT HB)	
			Job Efficiency:	0.83	(1 shift/day)	
			Net Correction:	0.83	multiplier	
		•	Hourly Unit Productic Hourly Fleet Productic		Acres/hr Acres/hr	
	JOB TIME AN	ND COST				
	Fleet size:	1	Grader(s)	Total job time	:14.73	Hours
	Unit cost:	\$327.983	Per acre	Total job cost	\$3,280	

Page 1 of 2

BULLDOZER WORK

Task description:		oread 6 inches tops				
Korinek #1 Pit		Permit A	Action:	SR-01 2015	Permit/Job#	M1979131
PROJECT IDEN	NTIFICAT	<u>FION</u>				
Task #: 003 Date: 3/30/	2015	_	olorado rowley		Abbreviation: Filename:	None M131-003
User: AME			io integ		T nonume.	
Agency of	r organizati	on name: DRMS				
HOURLY EQUI	PMENT	<u>COST</u>				
Basic Machine:	Cat D8T	- 8SU				
Horsepower:	310			_		
Blade Type:	Semi-Ur					
Attachment:	3-shank					
Shift Basis: Data Source:	$\frac{1 \text{ per day}}{(\text{CRG})}$	/				
Cost Breakdown:	_(end)					
	-	\$ <0.0 5		Utilization %		
Ownership Cost/H		\$69.05		NA		
Operating Cost/H		\$108.22		100		
Ripper op. Cost/H		\$7.46		100		
Operator Cost/H	lour:	\$38.01		NA		
Total unit Cost/Hou	ır: \$22	22.73				
Total Fleet Cost/Ho	our: <u>\$22</u>	22.73				
Total Fleet Cost/Ho	our: \$22	22.73				
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor:	bur: \$22 JANTITII 6,640 1.215 8,068 LC	22.73				
Total Fleet Cost/Ho <u>MATERIAL QU</u> Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume:	Dur: \$22 JANTITII 6,640 1.215 8,068 LC I volume:	22.73 ES Y Exhibit L	k			
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated	Sur: \$22 JANTITII 6,640 1.215 8,068 LC I volume: 1 swell factor	22.73 ES Y Exhibit L Cat Handbool	k			
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROI Average push dista	Dur: \$22 ANTITII 6,640 1.215 8,068 LC I volume: I swell factor DUCTION nce:	22.73 <u>ES</u> <u>Y</u> Dr: <u>Exhibit L</u> Cat Handbool <u>S</u> <u>350 feet</u>	k			
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROI	Dur: \$22 ANTITII 6,640 1.215 8,068 LC I volume: I swell factor DUCTION nce:	22.73 <u>ES</u> <u>Y</u> Dr: <u>Exhibit L</u> Cat Handbool <u>S</u> <u>350 feet</u>				
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROI Average push dista	bur: \$22 ANTITIE 6,640 1.215 8,068 LC 8,068 LC 1 I volume: 1 swell factor 0 DUCTION nce: production: 0	$\frac{22.73}{22.73}$ $\frac{22.73}{2}$ $\frac{Y}{2}$ $\frac{Exhibit L}{Cat Handbool}$ $\frac{350 \text{ feet}}{233.3 \text{ LCY/hr}}$		 stockpile 1.1		
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROI Average push dista Unadjusted hourly	Dur: \$22 DANTITII 6,640 1.215 8,068 LC 1 volume: 1 swell factor DUCTION nce: production: cy description: ent:5	$\frac{22.73}{25}$ $\frac{\text{Exhibit L}}{\text{Cat Handbool}}$ $\frac{350 \text{ feet}}{233.3 \text{ LCY/hr}}$ $\frac{350 \text{ rest}}{2300000000000000000000000000000000000$		 stockpile 1.1		
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROJ Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push gradi	bur: $$22$ $6,640$ 1.215 $8,068$ LC 1.215 $8,068$ LC 1 volume: $1 \text{ swell factor1 \text{ swell factor0UCTIONnce:production:cy descriptioncy descriptionent:-5 \text{ fm}4,20$	$\frac{22.73}{25}$ $\frac{Exhibit L}{Cat Handbool}$ $\frac{350 \text{ feet}}{233.3 \text{ LCY/hr}}$ $\frac{350 \text{ feet}}{233.3 \text{ LCY/hr}}$		 stockpile 1.1		
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROI Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push gradi Average site altitud	bur: $\$22$ $6,640$ 1.215 $8,068$ LC 1.215 $8,068$ LC 1 volume: $1 \text{ swell factor0UCTIONnce:production:cy description:cy description:ent:-5 \text{ urgs}4,21,6$	22.73 $ ES $ $ Y $ $ Exhibit L Cat Handbool $ $ S $ $ 350 feet $ $ 233.3 LCY/hr $ $ ton: Partly cons $ $ % $ $ 50 feet$				
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated HOURLY PROI Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push gradi Average site altitud Material weight: Weight description	bur: $$22$ JANTITII 6,6401.215 8,068 LCI volume:I volume:I swell factor DUCTION nce:production:cy description:cy description:ent: -5 $4,20$ $1,60$ $1,60$ $1,60$ $1,60$	$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{23.7} \\ \hline \textbf{2.73} \\ \hline \textbf{2.75} \\ \hline 2.75$	olidated	Source		
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated Mourney PROI Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push gradi Average site altitud Material weight: Weight description Job Condition Corr Ope	bur: $$22$ ANTITII 6,6401.215 8,068 LCI volume:I swell factorI swell factor DUCTION nce:production:cy description:cy description:ent: -5 $4,2$ $1,6$: $1,6$:Toection Factorcy description:	$ \begin{array}{c} 22.73 \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline $	olidated	Source (AVG.)		
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated Mourly PROJ Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push gradi Average site altitud Material weight: Weight description Job Condition Corr Ope Material c	bur: $$22$ ANTITII 6,6401.215 8,068 LCI volume:1 swell factorI swell factor DUCTION nce:production:cy description:ent: -50 le: $4,20$ $1,60$:crator Skill:onsistency:	$ \begin{array}{c} 22.73 \\ \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline \hline $	olidated	<u>Source</u> (AVG.) (CAT HB)		
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated Mourly PROJ Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push gradi Average site altitud Material weight: Weight description Job Condition Corr Ope Material c	bur: $$22$ ANTITII 6,6401.215 8,068 LCI volume:1 swell factorI swell factor DUCTION nce:production:cy descriptionent: -50 $4,20$ $1,60$:Toection Factorcrator Skill:onsistency:ng method:	$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{23.7} \\ \hline \textbf{Y} \\ \hline \textbf{Y} \\ \hline \textbf{Cat Handbool} \\ \hline \textbf{Y} \\ \hline \textbf{Sol feet} \\ \hline \textbf{Cat Handbool} \\ \hline \textbf{Y} \\ \hline \textbf{Sol feet} \\ \hline S$	olidated	Source (AVG.) (CAT HB) (50% SL)		
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated Mourly PROJ Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push gradi Average site altitud Material weight: Weight description Job Condition Corr Ope Material c Dozi	bur: $$22$ ANTITII 6,6401.215 8,068 LCI volume:I swell factorI swell factor DUCTIOP nce:production:cy descriptionent:	$ \begin{array}{c} \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{22.73} \\ \hline \textbf{23.7} \\ \hline \textbf{Y} \\ \hline \textbf{Y} \\ \hline \textbf{Y} \\ \hline \textbf{Y} \\ \hline \textbf{Cat Handbool} \\ \hline \textbf{M} \\ \hline \underline{350 \text{ feet}} \\ \hline \underline{233.3 \text{ LCY/hr}} \\ \hline \textbf{fon: Partly cons} \\ \hline \textbf{M} \\ \hline \textbf{50 feet} \\ \hline \textbf{00 lbs/LCY} \\ \hline \textbf{p Soil} \\ \hline \textbf{Or} \\ \hline \hline \textbf{0.750} \\ \hline \hline \textbf{1.100} \\ \hline \textbf{1.100} \\ \hline \textbf{1.000} \\ \hline \end{array} $	olidated	<u>Source</u> (AVG.) (CAT HB) (50% SL) (AVG.)		
Total Fleet Cost/Ho MATERIAL QU Initial Volume: Swell factor: Loose volume: Source of estimated Source of estimated Mourly PROJ Average push dista Unadjusted hourly Materials consisten Average push gradi Average site altitud Material weight: Weight description Job Condition Corr Ope Material c Dozi	bur: $$22$ ANTITII 6,6401.215 8,068 LCI volume:1 swell factorI swell factor DUCTION nce:production:cy descriptionent: -50 $4,20$ $1,60$:Toection Factorcrator Skill:onsistency:ng method:	22.73 ES Y Dr: Exhibit L Cat Handbool S S S S Cat Handbool S S S S S S S S S S S S S	olidated	Source (AVG.) (CAT HB) (50% SL)		

Task # 003

Push gradient:	1.115	(CAT HB)
Altitude:	1.000	(CAT HB)
Material Weight:	1.438	(CAT HB)
Blade type:	1.000	(PAT)

Net correction:	1.0869
5 1	53.57 LCY/hr 53.57 LCY/hr

JOB TIME AND COST

Fleet size:	1 Dozer(s)
Unit cost:	\$0.878/LCY

Total job time:	31.82 Hours
Total job cost:	\$7,086

REVEGETATION WORK

Task descrip	otion:	Revegetate 10 acres to rangeland				
ite: Korinek	#1 Pit	Peri	mit Action:	SR-01 2015	Permit/Job#:	M1979131
PROJECI Task #:	<u>r identifi</u> 004	CATION State:	Colorado		Abbreviation:	None
Date:	3/30/2015 AME	County:	Crowley		Filename:	M131-004

FERTILIZING

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
			\$	\$
			Total Fertilizer Materials	
			Cost/Acre	\$0.00

Application

Description	Cost /Acre
	\$
Total Fertilizer Application Cost/Acre	\$0.00

TILLING

Description	Cost /Acre
Disc harrowing, 6" deep (MEANS 32 91 13.23 6100)	\$98.01
Weed control spraying (MEANS 31 31 16.13 3100)	\$145.20
Total Tilling Cost/Acre	\$243.21

SEEDING

Seed Mix	Rate – PLS LBS / Acre	Seeds per SQ. FT	Cost /Acre
Blue Grama - Lovington	3.00	48.97	\$32.58
Sand Dropseed	0.50	59.69	\$3.49
Sideoats Grama - Vaughn	9.00	29.55	\$101.16
Galleta	4.00	14.60	\$100.80
Western Wheatgrass - Arriba	16.00	40.40	\$58.88
Totals Seed Mix	32.50	193.20	\$296.91

Application

	Cost /Acre
	\$88.20
Total Seed Application Cost/Acre	\$88.20
	Total Seed Application Cost/Acre

MULCHING and MISCELLANEOUS

Materials

Description	Units / Acre	Unit	Cost / Unit	Cost /Acre
Hay, delivered {MEANS 31 25 14.16 1200}	1.00	TON	\$265.00	\$265.00
Total Mulch Materials Cost/Acre				\$265.00

Application

Description		Cost /Acre
Crimping, with tractor {DMG survey data}		\$65.89
	Total Mulch Application Cost/Acre	\$65.89

NURSERY STOCK PLANTING

Common Name	No / Acre	Type and Size	Planting Cost	Fertilizer Pellet Cost	Cost /Acre
					\$
Totals Nursery Stock Cost / Acre				\$0.00	

JOB TIME AND COST

Estimate *Selected Replanti	No. of Acres: ed Failure Rate: ng Work Items:	75%	Cost /Acre: Cost /Acre*:	
Initial Job Cost: Reseeding Job Cost: Total Job Cost: Job Hours:	\$2,888.33 \$12,480			

EQUIPMENT MOBILIZATION/DEMOBILIZATION

Task description:	Mo	bilization/Demol	oilization					
e: Korinek #1 Pit		Permit	Action: SR-01	2015	Per	rmit/Job#:	M197	9131
PROJECT IDEN	NTIFICAT	ION						
Task #: 005		State: C	olorado		Abbre	eviation:	None	
Date: 3/30/	2015		rowley			lename:	M131-	005
User: AME	/	•	•			-		
Agency of	r organization	n name: DRMS	5					
EQUIPMENT T	RANSPOR	AT RIG COST						
					Shift ba		l nor day	
					Sinit ba Cost Data Sour		l per day CRG Data	
					Cost Data Soul	<u> </u>		a
Truck	Tractor Desc	ription: GEN	ERIC ON-HIGH	WAY TRU	JCK TRACTO	R, 6X4, D	DIESEL I	POWERED,
		•		400 HP	(2ND HALF,	2006)		
Truck	Trailer Desc	ription: GEN	ERIC FOLDING	GOOSEN	ECK. DROP D	ECK EOU	JIPMEN	T TRAILER
		L			50T, AND 10	~		
					,	/		
Cost Breakdown:								
Available Rig Cap	acities	0-25 Tons	26-50 Tons	51+	- Tons			
Ownership		\$16.63	\$18.37		22.33			
Operating	Cost/Hour:	\$44.38	\$46.13		50.07			
Operator	Cost/Hour:	\$27.66	\$27.66	\$2	27.66			
Helper	Cost/Hour:	\$0.00	\$25.39	\$2	25.39			
Total Unit	Cost/Hour:	\$88.67	\$117.55	\$1	25.45			
NON ROADAB	LE EQUIP	MENT:						
Machine	Weight/	Owner ship	Haul Rig	Fleet	Haul Trip	Return 7		DOT Permi
Description	Unit	Cost/hr/ unit	Cost/hr/unit	Size	Cost/hr/	Cost/hr/		Cost/ fleet
· F · ·	(TONS)				fleet			
Cat D8T - 8SU	53.08	\$69.05	\$125.45	1	\$194.50	\$125.45		\$250.00
Drill/Broadcast	25.00	\$39.59	\$88.67	1	\$128.26	\$88.67		\$250.00
Seeder with Tractor								

Subtotals: \$322.76 \$214.12 \$500.00

ROADABLE EQUIPMENT:

Machine Description	Total Cost/hr/ unit	Fleet Size	Haul Trip Cost/hr/ fleet	Return Trip Cost/hr/ fleet
		Subtotals:	\$0.00	\$0.00

EQUIPMENT HAUL DISTANCE and Time

Nearest Major City or Town within project area region: Total one-way travel distance: Average Travel Speed:	PUEBLO 50.00 55.00	miles mph
Total Non-Roadable Mob/Demob Cost *	\$2,944.43	
Total Roadable Mob/Demob Cost ** ** one round trip, no haul rig:	\$0.00	

Transportation Cycle Time:

Haul Time (Hours): Return Time (Hours): Loading Time (Hours):	Non-Roadable Equipment 0.91 0.91 1.00	Roadable Equipment 0.91 0.91 NA
Unloading Time (Hours):	0.50	NA
Subtotals:	3.32	1.82

JOB TIME AND COST

Total job time: 6.64 Hours

Total job cost: \$2,944



COLORADO Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety

Department of Natural Resources 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, Colorado 80203

April 01, 2015

Gerald Korinek Korinek Sand & Gravel 640 County Lane 15 Manzanola, CO 81058

Re: Korinek #1 Pit, Permit No. M-1979-131, Financial Warranty Reduction Approval, Revision No. SR-01

Dear Mr. Korinek:

On April 01, 2015, the Division of Reclamation, Mining and Safety (Division) approved your request for a Financial Warranty Reduction to \$45,072.00. This is a reduction of \$31,875.00 from the currently held bond. This decision reduces the amount of the Financial Warranty, and does not approve any release of reclamation responsibility for the tasks associated with this request.

Please provide a rider to the current Financial Warranty, or submit a new Financial Warranty reflecting this reduction. If you wish to submit a different type of Financial Warranty, or have any questions regarding the Financial Warranty, please call Barbara Coria at (303) 866-3567, extension 8148, or email her at <u>barbara.coria@state.co.us</u>.

If you have any additional questions, please contact me at 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215, Denver, CO 80203, call me at (303) 866-3567, extension 8129, or email me at <u>amy.eschberger@state.co.us</u>.

Sincerely,

any Eschberger

Amy Eschberger Environmental Protection Specialist

Cc: Tom Kaldenbach, DRMS Barbara Coria, DRMS