

DEPARTMENT OF THE ARMY ALBUQUERQUE DISTRICT, CORPS OF ENGINEERS 200 SOUTH SANTA FE AVENUE, SUITE 301 PUEBLO, COLORADO 81003-4270

REPLY TO ATTENTION OF

October 23, 2013

Regulatory Division

SUBJECT: No Permit Required – Action No. SPA-2013-00473-SCO, WSG-Hribar Mining Pit Expansion, Walsenburg Sand and Gravel Company, Huerfano County, Colorado

Mr. Tyler O'Donnell State of Colorado Division of Reclamation, Mining & Safety Department of Natural Resources 1313 Sherman Street, Room 215 Denver, CO 80203



Dear Mr. O'Donnell:

I am writing this letter in response to your request for a determination of Department of the Army permit requirements for the proposed WSG-Hribar Mining Pit Expansion (Permit No. M-2009-027), Walsenburg Sand and Gravel Company, Huerfano County, Colorado located at approximately latitude 37.7444324905317, longitude -104.862901357519, in Huerfano County, Colorado. The proposed materials permit conversion would expand the existing surface mine to include an additional 16.74 acres of mining area. We have assigned Action No. SPA-2013-00473-SCO to this project. Please reference this number in all future correspondence concerning the project.

Based on the information provided, we have determined that a Department of the Army permit is not required. However, please be advised that there are potential jurisdictional waters of the U.S. located in the vicinity of the project site and it is incumbent upon the applicant to remain informed of any changes in the Corps Regulatory Program regulations and policy as they relate to the project. If plans change such that waters of the U.S. could be impacted by the proposed project, please contact our office for a reevaluation of permit requirements.

This decision is based on an approved jurisdictional determination (JD) (attached) that there are no waters of the United States on the project site. The basis for this JD is that the project site contains entirely uplands. A copy of this JD is also available at <u>http://www.spa.usace.army.mil/reg/JD</u>. This approved JD is valid for five years unless new information warrants revision of the determination before the expiration date.



syk det er er fra e browner dit gegenere en een en de soor in die Bertenere aan de soor een de soor een een ee Die oordere de soorderekke metwoor of Course er een een een die State Doorde een een een een een een een een een

m line of a set of a

The applicant may accept or appeal this approved JD or provide new information in accordance with the attached Notification of Administration Appeal Options and Process and Request for Appeal (NAAOP-RFA). If the applicant elects to appeal this approved JD, they must complete Section II of the form and return it to the Army Engineer Division, South Pacific, CESPD-PDS-O, Attn: Tom Cavanaugh, Administrative Appeal Review Officer, 1455 Market Street, Room 1760, San Francisco, CA 94103-1399 within 60 days of the date of this notice. Failure to notify the Corps within 60 days of the date of this notice means that the applicant accepts the approved JD in its entirety and waives all rights to appeal the approved JD.

If you have any questions concerning our regulatory program, please contact me at 719-543-8102 or by e-mail at Christopher.M.Grosso@usace.army.mil. At your convenience, please complete a Customer Service Survey on-line available at http://per2.nwp.usace.army.mil/survey.html.

Sincerely,

Christopher Grosso Regulatory Project Manager

Enclosure(s)

APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION FORM U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

This form should be completed by following the instructions provided in Section IV of the JD Form Instructional Guidebook.

SECTION I: BACKGROUND INFORMATION

A. REPORT COMPLETION DATE FOR APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION (JD): October 23, 2013

B. DISTRICT OFFICE, FILE NAME, AND NUMBER: Albuquerque District, WSG-Hribar Mining Pit Expansion, Walsenburg Sand and Gravel Company, Huerfano County, Colorado, SPA-2013-00473-SCO

C. PROJECT LOCATION AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION:

 State:
 Colorado
 County/parish/borough:
 Huerfano
 City: nearest is Walsenburg

 Center coordinates of site (lat/long in degree decimal format):
 Lat. 37.7444324905317°, Long. -104.862901357519°
 Universal Transverse Mercator:
 13 512078.55 4177468.74

Name of nearest waterbody: Huerfano River

Name of nearest Traditional Navigable Water (TNW) into which the aquatic resource flows: Arkansas River

Name of watershed or Hydrologic Unit Code (HUC): Huerfano. Colorado., I 1020006

Check if map/diagram of review area and/or potential jurisdictional areas is/are available upon request.

Check if other sites (e.g., offsite mitigation sites, disposal sites, etc...) are associated with this action and are recorded on a different JD form:

D. REVIEW PERFORMED FOR SITE EVALUATION (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

 Ø Office (Desk) Determination. Date: October 23, 2013
 Field Determination. Date(s):

SECTION II: SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

A. RHA SECTION 10 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "navigable waters of the U.S." within Rivers and Harbors Act (RHA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 329) in the review area. [Required]

Waters subject to the ebb and flow of the tide.

Waters are presently used, or have been used in the past, or may be susceptible for use to transport interstate or foreign commerce. Explain:

B. CWA SECTION 404 DETERMINATION OF JURISDICTION.

There Are no "waters of the U.S." within Clean Water Act (CWA) jurisdiction (as defined by 33 CFR part 328) in the review area. [Required]

- 1. Waters of the U.S.
 - a. Indicate presence of waters of U.S. in review area (check all that apply): ¹
 - TNWs, including territorial seas
 - U Wetlands adjacent to TNWs
 - Relatively permanent waters² (RPWs) that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs
 - Impoundments of jurisdictional waters

Isolated (interstate or intrastate) waters, including isolated wetlands

- b. Identify (estimate) size of waters of the U.S. in the review area: Non-wetland waters: linear feet, wide, and/or acres. Wetlands: acres.
- c. Limits (boundaries) of jurisdiction based on: Pick List Elevation of established OHWM (if known):

2. Non-regulated waters/wetlands (check if applicable):³

Potentially jurisdictional waters and/or wetlands were assessed within the review area and determined to be not jurisdictional. Explain:

SECTION III: CWA ANALYSIS

² For purposes of this form, an RPW is defined as a tributary that is not a TNW and that typically flows year-round or has continuous flow at least "seasonally" (e.g., typically 3 months).

¹ Boxes checked below shall be supported by completing the appropriate sections in Section III below.

³ Supporting documentation is presented in Section III.F.

A. TNWs AND WETLANDS ADJACENT TO TNWs

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over TNWs and wetlands adjacent to TNWs. If the aquatic resource is a TNW, complete Section III.A.1 and Section III.D.1. only; if the aquatic resource is a wetland adjacent to a TNW, complete Sections III.A.1 and 2 and Section III.D.1.; otherwise, see Section III.B below.

1. TNW

Identify TNW:

Summarize rationale supporting determination:

2. Wetland adjacent to TNW

Summarize rationale supporting conclusion that wetland is "adjacent":

B. CHARACTERISTICS OF TRIBUTARY (THAT IS NOT A TNW) AND ITS ADJACENT WETLANDS (IF ANY):

This section summarizes information regarding characteristics of the tributary and its adjacent wetlands, if any, and it helps determine whether or not the standards for jurisdiction established under *Rapanos* have been met.

The agencies will assert jurisdiction over non-navigable tributaries of TNWs where the tributaries are "relatively permanent waters" (RPWs), i.e. tributaries that typically flow year-round or have continuous flow at least seasonally (e.g., typically 3 months). A wetland that directly abuts an RPW is also jurisdictional. If the aquatic resource is not a TNW, but has year-round (perennial) flow, skip to Section III.D.2. If the aquatic resource is a wetland directly abutting a tributary with perennial flow, skip to Section III.D.4.

A wetland that is adjacent to but that does not directly abut an RPW requires a significant nexus evaluation. Corps districts and EPA regions will include in the record any available information that documents the existence of a significant nexus between a relatively permanent tributary that is not perennial (and its adjacent wetlands if any) and a traditional navigable water, even though a significant nexus finding is not required as a matter of law.

If the waterbody⁴ is not an RPW, or a wetland directly abutting an RPW, a JD will require additional data to determine if the waterbody has a significant nexus with a TNW. If the tributary has adjacent wetlands, the significant nexus evaluation must consider the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands. This significant nexus evaluation that combines, for analytical purposes, the tributary and all of its adjacent wetlands is used whether the review area identified in the JD request is the tributary, or its adjacent wetlands, or both. If the JD covers a tributary with adjacent wetlands, complete Section III.B.1 for the tributary, Section III.B.2 for any onsite wetlands, and Section III.B.3 for all wetlands adjacent to that tributary, both onsite and offsite. The determination whether a significant nexus exists is determined in Section III.C below.

1. Characteristics of non-TNWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNW

- (i) General Area Conditions: Watershed size: Pick List Drainage area: Pick List Average annual rainfall: inches Average annual snowfall: inches
- (ii) Physical Characteristics:
 - (a) <u>Relationship with TNW:</u>

Tributary flows directly into TNW.
 Tributary flows through **Pick List** tributaries before entering TNW.

Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** river miles from RPW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Project waters are **Pick List** aerial (straight) miles from RPW. Project waters cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:

Identify flow route to TNW⁵: Tributary stream order, if known:

(b) General Tributary Characteristics (check all that apply):

⁴ Note that the Instructional Guidebook contains additional information regarding swales, ditches, washes, and erosional features generally and in the arid West.

⁵ Flow route can be described by identifying, e.g., tributary a, which flows through the review area, to flow into tributary b, which then flows into TNW.

	- 3 -					
	Tributary is: Artificial (man-made). Explain: Manipulated (man-altered). Explain:					
	Tributary properties with respect to top of bank (estimate): Average width: feet Average depth: feet Average side slopes: Picture .					
	Primary tributary substrate composition (check all that apply):					
	Tributary condition/stability [e.g., highly eroding, sloughing banks]. Explain: Presence of run/riffle/pool complexes. Explain: Tributary geometry: Pickulis Tributary gradient (approximate average slope): %					
	 (c) <u>Flow:</u> Tributary provides for: Pick List Estimate average number of flow events in review area/year: Pick List Describe flow regime: Other information on duration and volume: 					
	Surface flow is: Pick List. Characteristics:					
	Subsurface flow: Pick List . Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:					
	Tributary has (check all that apply): Bed and banks OHWM ⁶ (check all indicators that apply): clear, natural line impressed on the bank changes in the character of soil shelving vegetation matted down, bent, or absent leaf litter disturbed or washed away sediment deposition water staining other (list): Discontinuous OHWM. ⁷ Explain:					
	If factors other than the OHWM were used to determine lateral extent of CWA jurisdiction (check all that apply): High Tide Line indicated by: Mean High Water Mark indicated by: oil or scum line along shore objects survey to available datum; fine shell or debris deposits (foreshore) physical markings; physical markings/characteristics vegetation lines/changes in vegetation types. tidal gauges other (list):					
(iii)	Chemical Characteristics: Characterize tributary (e.g., water color is clear, discolored, oily film: water quality; general watershed characteristics, etc.) Explain: Identify specific pollutants, if known:					
(iv)	Biological Characteristics. Channel supports (check all that apply): Riparian corridor. Characteristics (type, average width): Wetland fringe. Characteristics: Habitat for: Federally Listed species. Explain findings:					

٦

⁶A natural or man-made discontinuity in the OHWM does not necessarily sever jurisdiction (e.g., where the stream temporarily flows underground, or where the OHWM has been removed by development or agricultural practices). Where there is a break in the OHWM that is unrelated to the waterbody's flow regime (e.g., flow over a rock outcrop or through a culvert), the agencies will look for indicators of flow above and below the break. ⁷Ibid.

Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:

Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings: Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

Characteristics of wetlands adjacent to non-TNW that flow directly or indirectly into TNW 2.

- (i) **Physical Characteristics:**
 - (a) General Wetland Characteristics: Properties: Wetland size: acres Wetland type. Explain: Wetland quality. Explain: Project wetlands cross or serve as state boundaries. Explain:
 - (b) General Flow Relationship with Non-TNW: Flow is: Pick List. Explain:

Surface flow is: Pick List Characteristics:

Subsurface flow: Pick List. Explain findings: Dye (or other) test performed:

(c) Wetland Adjacency Determination with Non-TNW:

Directly abutting
 Not directly abutting

Discrete wetland hydrologic connection. Explain: Ecological connection. Explain: Separated by berm/barrier. Explain:

(d) <u>Proximity (Relationship) to TNW</u> Project wetlands are Pick List river miles from TNW. Project waters are Pick List aerial (straight) miles from TNW. Flow is from: Pick List. Estimate approximate location of wetland as within the Pick List floodplain.

(ii) Chemical Characteristics:

Characterize wetland system (e.g., water color is clear, brown, oil film on surface; water quality; general watershed characteristics; etc.). Explain:

Identify specific pollutants, if known:

- (iii) Biological Characteristics. Wetland supports (check all that apply):
 - Riparian buffer. Characteristics (type, average width):
 - Vegetation type/percent cover. Explain:
 - Habitat for:
 - Federally Listed species. Explain findings:
 - Fish/spawn areas. Explain findings:
 - Other environmentally-sensitive species. Explain findings:
 - Aquatic/wildlife diversity. Explain findings:

3. Characteristics of all wetlands adjacent to the tributary (if any)

All wetland(s) being considered in the cumulative analysis: Rick List

acres in total are being considered in the cumulative analysis. Approximately

For each wetland, specify the following:

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Directly abuts? (Y/N)

Size (in acres)

Summarize overall biological, chemical and physical functions being performed:

C. SIGNIFICANT NEXUS DETERMINATION

A significant nexus analysis will assess the flow characteristics and functions of the tributary itself and the functions performed by any wetlands adjacent to the tributary to determine if they significantly affect the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of a TNW. For each of the following situations, a significant nexus exists if the tributary, in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, has more than a speculative or insubstantial effect on the chemical, physical and/or biological integrity of a TNW. Considerations when evaluating significant nexus include, but are not limited to the volume, duration, and frequency of the flow of water in the tributary and its proximity to a TNW, and the functions performed by the tributary and all its adjacent wetlands. It is not appropriate to determine significant nexus based solely on any specific threshold of distance (e.g. between a tributary and its adjacent wetland or between a tributary and the TNW). Similarly, the fact an adjacent wetland lies within or outside of a floodplain is not solely determinative of significant nexus.

Draw connections between the features documented and the effects on the TNW, as identified in the Rapanos Guidance and discussed in the Instructional Guidebook. Factors to consider include, for example:

- · Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to carry pollutants or flood waters to
- TNWs, or to reduce the amount of pollutants or flood waters reaching a TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), provide habitat and lifecycle support functions for fish and other species. such as feeding, nesting, spawning, or rearing young for species that are present in the TNW?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have the capacity to transfer nutrients and organic carbon that support downstream foodwebs?
- Does the tributary, in combination with its adjacent wetlands (if any), have other relationships to the physical, chemical, or biological integrity of the TNW?

Note: the above list of considerations is not inclusive and other functions observed or known to occur should be documented below:

- 1. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW that has no adjacent wetlands and flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary itself, then go to Section 111.D:
- 2. Significant nexus findings for non-RPW and its adjacent wetlands, where the non-RPW flows directly or indirectly into TNWs. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section III.D:
- 3. Significant nexus findings for wetlands adjacent to an RPW but that do not directly abut the RPW. Explain findings of presence or absence of significant nexus below, based on the tributary in combination with all of its adjacent wetlands, then go to Section 111.D:

D. DETERMINATIONS OF JURISDICTIONAL FINDINGS. THE SUBJECT WATERS/WETLANDS ARE (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

- TNWs and Adjacent Wetlands. Check all that apply and provide size estimates in review area:

 TNWs:
 linear feet,
 wide, Or
 acres.

 Wetlands adjacent to TNWs:
 acres.
- 2. **RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.**
 - Tributaries of TNWs where tributaries typically flow year-round are jurisdictional. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial:
 - Tributaries of TNW where tributaries have continuous flow "seasonally" (e.g., typically three months each year) are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.B. Provide rationale indicating that tributary flows seasonally:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet wide.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:
- 3. Non-RPWs⁸ that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

□ Waterbody that is not a TNW or an RPW, but flows directly or indirectly into a TNW, and it has a significant nexus with a TNW is jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section 111.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters within the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet, wide.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres.
 - Identify type(s) of waters:
- 4. Wetlands directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.

⁸See Footnote # 3.

- 6 -

Wetlands directly abut RPW and thus are jurisdictional as adjacent wetlands.

- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow year-round. Provide data and rationale indicating that tributary is perennial in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:
- Wetlands directly abutting an RPW where tributaries typically flow "seasonally." Provide data indicating that tributary is seasonal in Section III.B and rationale in Section III.D.2, above. Provide rationale indicating that wetland is directly abutting an RPW:

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres

- 5. Wetlands adjacent to but not directly abutting an RPW that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs.
 - U Wetlands that do not directly abut an RPW, but when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisidictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide acreage estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

Wetlands adjacent to non-RPWs that flow directly or indirectly into TNWs. 6.

Wetlands adjacent to such waters, and have when considered in combination with the tributary to which they are adjacent and with similarly situated adjacent wetlands, have a significant nexus with a TNW are jurisdictional. Data supporting this conclusion is provided at Section III.C.

Provide estimates for jurisdictional wetlands in the review area: acres.

- 7. Impoundments of jurisdictional waters.⁹
 - As a general rule, the impoundment of a jurisdictional tributary remains jurisdictional.
 - Demonstrate that impoundment was created from "waters of the U.S.," or
 - Demonstrate that water meets the criteria for one of the categories presented above (1-6), or
 - Demonstrate that water is isolated with a nexus to commerce (see E below).
- E. ISOLATED [INTERSTATE OR INTRA-STATE] WATERS, INCLUDING ISOLATED WETLANDS, THE USE, DEGRADATION OR DESTRUCTION OF WHICH COULD AFFECT INTERSTATE COMMERCE, INCLUDING ANY SUCH WATERS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):¹⁰

which are or could be used by interstate or foreign travelers for recreational or other purposes.

from which fish or shellfish are or could be taken and sold in interstate or foreign commerce.

which are or could be used for industrial purposes by industries in interstate commerce.

- Interstate isolated waters. Explain:
- Other factors. Explain:

Identify water body and summarize rationale supporting determination:

Provide estimates for jurisdictional waters in the review area (check all that apply):

- Tributary waters: linear feet, wide.
- Other non-wetland waters: acres
- Identify type(s) of waters:
- Wetlands: acres.

F. NON-JURISDICTIONAL WATERS, INCLUDING WETLANDS (CHECK ALL THAT APPLY):

If potential wetlands were assessed within the review area, these areas did not meet the criteria in the 1987 Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual and/or appropriate Regional Supplements.

Review area included isolated waters with no substantial nexus to interstate (or foreign) commerce.

Prior to the Jan 2001 Supreme Court decision in "SWANCC," the review area would have been regulated based solely on the "Migratory Bird Rule" (MBR).

Waters do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such a finding is required for jurisdiction. Explain: Other: (explain, if not covered above):

⁹ To complete the analysis refer to the key in Section III.D.6 of the Instructional Guidebook.

¹⁰ Prior to asserting or declining CWA jurisdiction based solely on this category, Corps Districts will elevate the action to Corps and EPA HQ for review consistent with the process described in the Corps/EPA Memorandum Regarding CWA Act Jurisdiction Following Rapanos.

	rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area, where the sole potential basis of jurisdiction is the MBR							
	actors (i.e., presence of migratory birds, presence of endangered species, use of water for irrigated agriculture) using best professional							
	adgment (check all that apply):							
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, wide.							
	Lakes/ponds: acres.							
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:							
	Wetlands: acres.							
	rovide acreage estimates for non-jurisdictional waters in the review area that do not meet the "Significant Nexus" standard, where such							
	a finding is required for jurisdiction (check all that apply):							
	Non-wetland waters (i.e., rivers, streams): linear feet, wide.							
	Lakes/ponds: acres.							
	Other non-wetland waters: acres. List type of aquatic resource:							
	Wetlands: acres.							
	weitanus. acres.							
SEC	ION IV: DATA SOUDCES							
SEC	ION IV: DATA SOURCES.							
A.								
А.	UPPORTING DATA. Data reviewed for JD (check all that apply - checked items shall be included in case file and, where checked							
	nd requested, appropriately reference sources below):							
	Maps, plans, plots or plat submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant: State of Colorado Division of Reclamation,							
	Mining and Safety provided on October 7, 2013.							
	Data sheets prepared/submitted by or on behalf of the applicant/consultant.							
	Office concurs with data sheets/delineation report.							
	Office does not concur with data sheets/delineation report.							
	Data sheets prepared by the Corps:							
	Corps navigable waters' study:							
	U.S. Geological Survey Hydrologic Atlas: HUC 12 - 110200060803							
	HUC 12 NAME - Huerfano Butte-Huerfano River							
	USGS NHD data.							
	USGS 8 and 12 digit HUC maps.							
	U.S. Geological Survey map(s). Cite scale & quad name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH							
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey, Citation:							
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey, Citation:							
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation:							
	USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH							
	 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH State/Local wetland inventory map(s): 							
	 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 							
	 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) 							
	 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro 2013, Bing Maps Hybrid 2013 							
	 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro 2013, Bing Maps Hybrid 2013 or Other (Name & Date): 							
	 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro 2013, Bing Maps Hybrid 2013 or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: 							
	 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro 2013, Bing Maps Hybrid 2013 or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: Applicable/supporting case law: 							
	 USDA Natural Resources Conservation Service Soil Survey. Citation: National wetlands inventory map(s). Cite name: 1:24K; CO-WALSENBURG NORTH State/Local wetland inventory map(s): FEMA/FIRM maps: 100-year Floodplain Elevation is: (National Geodectic Vertical Datum of 1929) Photographs: Aerial (Name & Date): Google Earth Pro 2013, Bing Maps Hybrid 2013 or Other (Name & Date): Previous determination(s). File no. and date of response letter: 							

B. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS TO SUPPORT JD:

Project site contains entircly uplands.

(vie...) and a subscription is according to the second of the second of the second of the second of the second Outer sites of the second of Sites of the second of the

plan along a second second

Denne and the second second

A Well III BW 5

(1) add - 1 (and the integration of a part of a start of a star

and the second sec

김 씨님의 전 사람은 전 것이 다닌 법

(4) The second s second secon second sec

> [11] Minasa Manageraka Mathematika and Katalan Andreas (1996) Andre Andreas (1996) Andreas (1

the second se

the second se

1 T 2 T

UTSECTION INCOMES INTO A COMPANY AND A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPA DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTION OF A DESCRIPTI

 In the second secon second sec

was more a group of the second s

The second se

K ______

h 1 Mais Juli VII - In 1 Simon (n. 1977) no 3 march (N

the second se

The second se

a gitte fina an airminean a suite

the standing of the state of th

descent a second s

NOTIFICATION OF ADMINISTRATIVE APPEAL OPTIONS AND PROCESS AND REQUEST FOR APPEAL

	REQUEST FU	JR APPEAL	
Appli	cant: Louis Vezzani, Walsenburg Sand and Gravel	File Number: 2013-00473	Date: 10/23/13
	hed is:		See Section below
	INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permi	t or Letter of Permission)	A
	PROFFERED PERMIT (Standard Permit or Letter	of Permission)	В
	PERMIT DENIAL		C
ζ	APPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINAT	ION	D
	PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMIN		E
ecisi egula	TON I - The following identifies your rights and optio on. Additional information may be found at http://us ations at 33 CFR Part 331. NTIAL PROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or	ace.army.mil/inet/functions/cw/c	ecwo/reg or Corps
pe DBJEC be mi pe pe de	the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you a rmit, including its terms and conditions, and approved jurisdiction CT: If you object to the permit (Standard or LOP) because of cer- modified accordingly. You must complete Section II of this for ust be received by the DISTRICT ENGINEER within 60 days of rmit in the future. Upon receipt of your letter, the DISTRICT E rmit to address all of your concerns, (b) modify the permit to ad termined that the permit should be issued as previously written. nd you a proffered permit for your reconsideration, as indicated	onal determinations associated with the rtain terms and conditions therein, you to m and return the form to the district eng f the date of this notice, or you will forf NGINEER will evaluate your objection dress some of your objections, or (c) no After evaluating your objections, the D	permit. may request that the perm gineer. Your objections eit your right to appeal the is and may: (a) modify the ot modify the permit havin
CCE au	ROFFERED PERMIT: You may accept or appeal the PT: If you received a Standard Permit, you may sign the permit thorization. If you received a Letter of Permission (LOP), you r the Standard Permit or acceptance of the LOP means that you a	document and return it to the DISTRIC nay accept the LOP and your work is a	uthorized. Your signature
pe APPEA oppeal ending	AL: If you choose to decline the proffered permit (Standard or L the declined permit under the Corps of Engineers Administrativ g the form to the DIVISION (not district) ENGINEER (address NEER within 60 days of the date of this notice.	Onal determinations associated with the LOP) because of certain terms and cond re Appeal Process by completing Sectio	permit. itions therein, you may n II of this form and
comple	ERMIT DENIAL: You may appeal the denial of a permit u eting Section II of this form and sending the form to the DIVISIO ION (not district) ENGINEER within 60 days of the date of this	ON (not district) ENGINEER. This for	
	PPROVED JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION nformation.	: You may accept or appeal the	approved JD or provid
	PT: You do not need to notify the Corps to accept an approved means that you accept the approved JD in its entirety, and waiv		0 days of the date of this
Pr	AL: If you disagree with the approved JD, you may appeal the a ocess by completing Section II of this form and sending the form is form must be received by the DIVISION ENGINEER within winformation must be submitted to the DISTRICT ENGINEER	n to the DIVISION (not district) ENGIN 60 days of the date of this notice. Exce	NEER (address on reverse ption: JD appeals based of

EXCEPTION: Appeals of Approved Jurisdictional Determinations based on new information must be submitted to the District engineer within 60 days of the date of this notice.

new information must be submitted to the DISTRICT ENGINEER within 60 days of the date of this notice.

E: PRELIMINARY JURISDICTIONAL DETERMINATION: You do not need to respond to the Corps regarding the preliminary JD. The Preliminary JD is not appealable. If you wish, you may request an approved JD (which may be appealed), by contacting the Corps district for further instruction. Also you may provide new information for further consideration by the Corps to reevaluate the JD.

SECTION II - REQUEST FOR APPEAL or OBJECTIONS TO AN INITIAL PROFFERED PERMIT

REASONS FOR APPEAL OR OBJECTIONS: (Describe your reasons for appealing the decision or your objections to an initial proffered permit in clear concise statements. You may attach additional information to this form to clarify where your reasons or objections are addressed in the administrative record.)

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION: The appeal is limited to a review of the administrative record, the Corps memorandum for the record of the appeal conference or meeting, and any supplemental information that the review officer has determined is needed to clarify the administrative record. Neither the appellant nor the Corps may add new information or analyses to the record. However, you may provide additional information to clarify the location of information that is already in the administrative record.

POINT OF CONTACT FOR QUESTIONS OR IN	FORMATION:
If you have questions regarding this decision and/or the	If you only have questions regarding the appeal process you may also
appeal process you may contact:	contact:
DISTRICT ENGINEER	DIVISION ENGINEER
Albuquerque District, Corps of Engineers	Army Engineer Division, South Pacific, CESPD-PDS-O, 2042B
Attn: CESPA-RD, Regulatory Division	Attn: Tom Cavanaugh, Administrative Appeal Review Officer
4101 Jefferson Plaza NE	1455 Market Street, Room 1760
Albuquerque, New Mexico 87109-3435	San Francisco, CA 94103-1399
505-342-3282	Phone: 415-503-6574, Fax: 415-503-6646
	Thomas.j.cavanaugh@usace.army.mil

RIGHT OF ENTRY: Your signature below grants the right of entry to Corps of Engineers personnel, and any government consultants, to conduct investigations of the project site during the course of the appeal process. You will be provided a 15 day notice of any site investigation, and will have the opportunity to participate in all site investigations.

je statul te see all festach in	Date:	Telephone number:
Signature of appellant or agent.		