

## North Lobe Creek Executive Summary

---



### CWCB STAFF INSTREAM FLOW RECOMMENDATION January 29-30, 2024

UPPER TERMINUS: headwaters in the vicinity of  
UTM North: 4305448.25 UTM East: 175840.84

LOWER TERMINUS: Highline Ditch headgate at  
UTM North: 4297658.51 UTM East: 171629.16

WATER DIVISION/DISTRICT: 4/63

COUNTY: Mesa

WATERSHED: Lower Dolores

CWCB ID: 24/4/A-004

RECOMMENDER: Bureau of Land Management (BLM)

LENGTH: 7.25 miles

FLOW RECOMMENDATION: 0.35 cfs (09/01 - 03/31)  
7 cfs (04/01 - 05/31)  
5 cfs (06/01 - 06/30)  
1 cfs (07/01 - 08/31)



**COLORADO**

**Colorado Water  
Conservation Board**

Department of Natural Resources

## **BACKGROUND**

Colorado's General Assembly created the Instream Flow and Natural Lake Level Program in 1973, recognizing "the need to correlate the activities of mankind with some reasonable preservation of the natural environment" (see 37-92-102 (3), C.R.S.). The statute vests the Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB or Board) with the exclusive authority to appropriate and acquire instream flow (ISF) and natural lake level water rights (NLL). Before initiating a water right filing, the Board must determine that: 1) there is a natural environment that can be preserved to a reasonable degree with the Board's water right if granted, 2) the natural environment will be preserved to a reasonable degree by the water available for the appropriation to be made, and 3) such environment can exist without material injury to water rights.

The information contained in this Executive Summary and the associated supporting data and analyses form the basis for staff's ISF recommendation to be considered by the Board. This Executive Summary provides sufficient information to support the CWCB findings required by ISF Rule 5i on natural environment, water availability, and material injury. Additional supporting information is located at: <https://cwcb.colorado.gov/2024-isf-recommendations>.

## **RECOMMENDED ISF REACH**

BLM recommended that the CWCB appropriate an ISF water right on a reach of North Lobe Creek at the January 2023 ISF Workshop. North Lobe Creek is located within Mesa County (See Vicinity Map) and is approximately 15 miles northeast from the town of Gateway Colorado. The stream originates on the Pinon Mesa and flows south until it reaches the confluence with West Creek which is a tributary to the Dolores River.

The proposed ISF reach extends from the headwaters downstream to the Highline Ditch headgate for a total of 7.25 miles. Approximately 21% of the land on the proposed reach is managed by BLM, 8% is managed by the United States Forest Service, and 71% is under private ownership (See Land Ownership Map). BLM is interested in protecting this stream to preserve the natural environment. BLM's management goals include maintaining and enhancing habitat that supports fish species and functional riparian and wetland systems. Establishing an ISF water right will assist in meeting these BLM objectives.

## **OUTREACH**

Stakeholder input is a valued part of the CWCB staff's analysis of ISF recommendations. Currently, more than 1,100 people subscribe to the ISF mailing list. Notice of the potential appropriation of an ISF water right on North Lobe Creek was sent to the mailing list in March 2023 and November 2023. Staff sent letters to identified landowners adjacent to North Lobe Creek based on information from the county assessor's website. A public notice about this recommendation was also published in the Grand Junction Daily Sentinel, Mesa County on December 8, 2023.

Staff presented information about the ISF program and this recommendation to the Mesa County Board of County Commissioners on November 8, 2023. In addition, staff spoke with Tom Brigham, District 63 Water Commissioner on July 27, 2023 regarding water availability on North Lobe Creek.

## NATURAL ENVIRONMENT

CWCB staff relies on the recommending entity to provide information about the natural environment. In addition, staff reviews information and conducts site visits for each recommended ISF appropriation. This information provides the Board with a basis for determining that a natural environment exists.

North Lobe Creek is a cold-water, high-gradient stream. It begins in a broad, open valley on Pinon Mesa, descends through a narrow, steep, and forested canyon on the north side of Unaweep Canyon, then merges with West Creek on the floor of Unaweep Canyon. Channel size varies substantially in the lower portion of the creek as it traverses the alluvium on the north side of Unaweep Canyon. Substrate size is generally smaller in diameter in the upper portions of the stream and larger in the portion of the stream within Unaweep Canyon, where substrate size ranges from 4-inch cobbles to 3-foot boulders. Bank stability appears to be excellent.

The lower portion of the creek is generally a step pool environment, with numerous small pools and extensive vegetative cover. Limited riffle habitat and low flows are the primary limiting factors likely affecting the resident fish populations. Water quality is excellent for supporting cold-water species.

Fish surveys have documented self-sustaining populations of rainbow trout and brown trout (Table 1). Spot surveys have revealed large populations of macroinvertebrates including stonefly, caddisfly, and mayfly. The creek supports a healthy riparian community comprised of narrow leaf cottonwood, alder, willow, dogwood, and hawthorn.

**Table 1. List of species identified in North Lobe Creek.**

| Species Name  | Scientific Name            | Status |
|---------------|----------------------------|--------|
| brown trout   | <i>Salmo trutta</i>        | None   |
| rainbow trout | <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> | None   |

## ISF QUANTIFICATION

CWCB staff relies on the biological expertise of the recommending entity to quantify the amount of water required to preserve the natural environment to a reasonable degree. CWCB staff performs a thorough review of the quantification analyses completed by the recommending entity to ensure consistency with accepted standards.

### Quantification Methodology

BLM staff used the R2Cross method to develop the initial ISF recommendation. The R2Cross method is based on a hydraulic model and uses field data collected in a stream riffle (Espegren, 1996; CWCB, 2022). Riffles are the stream habitat type that are most vulnerable to dry if streamflow ceases. The data collected consists of a streamflow measurement, a survey of channel geometry and features at a cross-section, and a survey of the longitudinal slope of the water surface.

The R2Cross model uses Ferguson's Variable-Power Equation (VPE) to estimate roughness and hydraulic conditions at different water stages at the measured cross-section (Ferguson, 2007; Ferguson, 2021). This approach is based on calibrating the model as described in Ferguson (2021). The model is used to evaluate three hydraulic criteria: average depth, average velocity,

and percent wetted perimeter. Maintaining these hydraulic parameters at adequate levels across riffle habitat types also will maintain aquatic habitat in pools and runs for most life stages of fish and aquatic macroinvertebrates (Nehring, 1979). BLM staff use the model results to develop an initial recommendation for summer and winter flows. The summer flow recommendation is based on the flow that meets all three hydraulic criteria. The winter flow recommendation is based on the flow that meets two of the three hydraulic criteria.

The R2Cross method estimates the biological amount of water needed for summer and winter periods. The recommending entity uses the R2Cross results and its biological expertise to develop an initial ISF recommendation. CWCB staff then evaluates water availability for the reach typically based on median hydrology (see the Water Availability section below for more details). The water availability analysis may indicate less water is available than the initial recommendation. In that case, the recommending entity either modifies the magnitude and/or duration of the recommended ISF rates if the available flows will preserve the natural environment to a reasonable degree or withdraws the recommendation.

#### **Data Collection and Analysis**

BLM collected R2Cross data at two transects for this proposed ISF reach (Table 2). Results obtained at more than one transect are averaged to determine the R2Cross flow rate for the stream reach. The R2Cross model results in a winter flow of 0.36 cfs and a summer flow of 6.99 cfs. R2Cross field data and model results can be found in the appendix to this report.

**Table 2. Summary of R2Cross transect measurements and results for North Lobe Creek.**

| <b>Date, XS #</b> | <b>Top Width<br/>(feet)</b> | <b>Streamflow<br/>(cfs)</b> | <b>Winter Rate<br/>(cfs)</b> | <b>Summer Rate<br/>(cfs)</b> |
|-------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| 05/25/2022, 1     | 15.97                       | 4.57                        | 0.43                         | 6.34                         |
| 05/25/2022, 2     | 9.87                        | 4.08                        | 0.28                         | 7.64                         |
|                   |                             |                             | 0.35                         | 6.99                         |

#### **ISF Recommendation**

The BLM recommends the following flows based on R2Cross modeling analyses, biological expertise, and staff's water availability analysis.

7.0 cfs is recommended from April 1 through May 31, during the peak snowmelt runoff period. This recommendation is driven by the average velocity criteria. This flow rate will ensure that the pool and riffle habitat can be fully utilized during this period when the fish population is starting to become highly active. It will also ensure that there is some slower velocity habitat available in pools during peak snowmelt runoff and its accompanying high velocity conditions.

5.0 cfs is recommended from June 1 through June 30, during the receding limb of the snowmelt hydrograph. This recommendation will ensure that a high percentage of riffle and pool habitat is available during this high growth period.

1.0 cfs is recommended from July 1 through August 31, during early to mid-summer. This recommendation is limited by water availability. This flow rate should maintain full and

sufficiently cool pools during the summer when stream temperatures can be high, and it will provide sufficient water for passage between pools.

0.35 cfs is recommended from September 1 through March 31, during late summer through winter. This recommendation meets two of three instream flow criteria. This flow rate should prevent pools from completely icing during winter, allowing the fish population to successfully overwinter.

## **WATER AVAILABILITY**

CWCB staff conducts hydrologic analyses for each recommended ISF appropriation to provide the Board with a basis for determining that water is available.

### **Water Availability Methodology**

Each recommended ISF reach has a unique flow regime that depends on variables such as the timing, magnitude, and location of water inputs (such as rain, snow, and snowmelt) and water losses (such as diversions, reservoirs, evaporation and transpiration, groundwater recharge, etc.). This approach focuses on streamflow and the influence of flow alterations, such as diversions, to understand how much water is physically available in the recommended reach.

Staff's hydrologic analysis is data-driven, meaning that staff gathers and evaluates the best available data and uses the best available analysis method for that data. Whenever possible, long-term stream gage data (period of record 20 or more years) are used to evaluate streamflow. Other streamflow information such as short-term gages, temporary gages, spot streamflow measurements, diversion records, and regression-based models are used when long-term gage data is not available. CSUFlow18 is a multiple regression model developed by Colorado State University researchers using streamflow gage data collected between 2001 and 2018 (Eurich et al., 2021). This model estimates mean-monthly streamflow based on drainage basin area, basin terrain variables, and average basin precipitation and snow persistence. Diversion records are used to evaluate the effect of surface water diversions when necessary. Interviews with water commissioners, landowners, and ditch or reservoir operators can provide additional information. A range of analytical techniques may be employed to extend gage records, estimate streamflow in ungaged locations, and estimate the effects of diversions. The goal is to obtain the most detailed and reliable estimate of hydrology using the most efficient analysis technique.

The final product of the hydrologic analysis used to determine water availability is a hydrograph, which shows streamflow and the proposed ISF rate over the course of one year. The hydrograph will show median daily values when daily data is available from gage records; otherwise, it will present mean-monthly streamflow values. Staff will calculate 95% confidence intervals for the median streamflow if there is sufficient data. Statistically, there is 95% confidence that the true value of the median streamflow is located within the confidence interval.

### **Basin Characteristics**

The drainage basin of the proposed ISF on North Lobe Creek is 12.3 square miles, with an average elevation of 9,081 feet and average annual precipitation of 23.44 inches (See the Hydrologic Features Map). The proposed reach of North Lobe Creek is relatively undeveloped and the hydrology is snowmelt driven. There are four small springs that total less than 0.2 cfs



in absolute water rights. Due to the small number of water uses, hydrology in this drainage basin represents essentially natural flow conditions.

### **Data Collection and Analysis**

#### *Gage Data and CWCB Measurements*

There is not a current or historic streamflow gage on North Lobe Creek. There are very few streamflow gages in the area, and none appeared to be representative of North Lobe Creek due to differences in drainage basin characteristics. CWCB staff made one streamflow measurement on the proposed reach of North Lobe Creek as summarized in Table 3.

**Table 3. Summary of streamflow measurements for North Lobe Creek.**

| Visit Date | Flow (cfs) | Collector |
|------------|------------|-----------|
| 11/08/2023 | 0.81       | CWCB      |

#### *Multiple Regression Model*

The CSUFlow18 method provides the best available estimate of streamflow for North Lobe Creek. The mean-monthly streamflow estimated using CSUFlow18 was not adjusted to account for the existing water rights which are for negligible amounts.

#### *Diversion Records*

In some cases, diversion records can be used to provide an indication of water availability in a stream reach. Downstream from the proposed reach, there are several diversion structures on North Lobe Creek; Highline Ditch (WDID 6300530), Loba Ditches 1 through 5 (WDIDs 6300534, 6300535, 6300536, 6300537, 6300538), and Harms Ditch (WDID 6300528). The diversions records for these structures were summed from 11/1/1994 to 10/31/2021 to get a rough estimate of the timing and amount of water being diverted on North Lobe Creek. This analysis indicates that water is primarily used between April and the end of June. The final ISF rates were modified to better align with the timing of water availability based on the diversion records from North Lobe Creek.

### **Water Availability Summary**

The hydrograph shows CSUFlow18 results for mean-monthly streamflow and includes the proposed ISF rate (See Complete Hydrograph). The proposed ISF flow rate is below the mean-monthly streamflow. Staff has concluded that water is available for appropriation North Lobe Creek.

### **MATERIAL INJURY**

Because the proposed ISF on North Lobe Creek is a new junior water right, the ISF can exist without material injury to other water rights. Under the provisions of section 37-92-102(3)(b), C.R.S., the CWCB will recognize any uses or exchanges of water in existence on the date this ISF water right is appropriated.

### **ADDITIONAL INFORMATION**

#### **Citations**

Colorado Water Conservation Board, 2022, R2Cross model- user's manual and technical guide. Retrieve from URL: <https://r2cross.erams.com/>

Eurich, A., Kampf, S.K., Hammond, J.C., Ross, M., Willi, K., Vorster, A.G. and Pulver, B., 2021, Predicting mean annual and mean monthly streamflow in Colorado ungauged basins, River Research and Applications, 37(4), 569-578.

Espegren, G.D., 1996, Development of instream flow recommendations in Colorado using R2CROSS, Colorado Water Conservation Board.

Ferguson, R.I., 2007. Flow resistance equations for gravel- and boulder-bed streams. Water Resources Research 43. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005422>

Ferguson, R.I., 2021. Roughness calibration to improve flow predictions in coarse-bed streams. Water Res 57. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021WR029979>


Nehring, B.R., 1979, Evaluation of instream flow methods and determination of water quantity needs for streams in the state of Colorado, Colorado Division of Wildlife.

#### **Metadata Descriptions**

The UTM locations for the upstream and downstream termini were derived from CWCB GIS using the National Hydrography Dataset (NHD).


Projected Coordinate System: NAD 1983 UTM Zone 13N.

## VICINITY MAP






## LAND OWNERSHIP MAP



## HYDROLOGIC FEATURES MAP



## COMPLETE HYDROGRAPH

