Animas River Invasive Plant Removal & Replacement (Phase II) POGG1,PDAA,20200003096

Final Report



Prepared for: Colorado Watershed Restoration Grant Program Attn: Chris Sturm

November 22, 2022



Grant Amount: \$65,802.50 Prepared by: Amanda Kuenzi Community Science Director

Contents

ntroduction	1
Background	1
Methods	3
Results	5
Conclusions and Discussion	9
Actual Expense Budget	9
References	.1

Introduction

Building on the success of MSI's previous efforts to remove and replace invasive phreatophytes in the Animas River Subbasin, this project endeavored to continue the removal efforts in Phase II, working with private landowners, businesses, Southwest Conservation Corps (SCC), City of Durango (COD), and Southern Ute Indian Tribe (SUIT) to reduce populations of Russian olive (*Elaeagnus angustifolia*) and tamarisk (*Tamarix* spp.) in the Animas River Watershed in support of state-mandated noxious weed management goals. This report covers the performance period for this Colorado Watershed Restoration Grant from June 1, 2020 to December 1, 2022. However, acreages and maps have only been updated through 2021, as the 2022 removal season finished up in mid-November of 2022, and there was not enough time to complete GIS analysis on the data collected before the end of the grant period.

Background

MSI began this effort with Phase I, under CWCB's Invasive Phreatophyte Control Program. During Phase I of this work, MSI built strong relationships with the community to engage landowners to remove invasive phreatophytes and encouraged replacement with native species. Phase I was implemented from 2016-2018. MSI began the Phase II effort in 2019 and has since continued to engage additional landowners and the business community. We also expanded our partnership with the SUIT and were able to address populations of Russian olive that crossed the "checkerboard" of private property and tribal property boundaries.

This project aligns with multiple stated goals in Colorado's Water Plan: Colorado Water Plan, Section 6.2, pg. 6-15:

"Colorado's Water Plan uses a grassroots approach to formulate projects and methods that avoid some of the undesirable outcomes of the supply-demand gaps. The plan addresses the gaps from multiple perspectives—such as water storage, reuse, recycling, integrated water management, restoration, and conservation." This project supports this goal by working with private landowners and business owners, as well as tribal and local government to restore the watershed by removing invasive phreatophytes.

Colorado Water Plan, Section 6.6, pg. 6-157:

The policy of the State of Colorado is to identify and implement environmental and recreational projects and methods to achieve the following statewide long-term goals:

• Promote restoration, recovery, sustainability, and resiliency of endangered, threatened, and imperiled aquatic- and riparian-dependent species and plant communities.

• Protect and enhance economic values to local and statewide economies that rely on environmental and recreational water uses, such as fishing, boating, waterfowl hunting, wildlife watching, camping, and hiking.

• Support the development of multipurpose projects and methods that benefit environmental and recreational water needs as well as water needs for communities or agriculture.

• Understand, protect, maintain, and improve conditions of streams, lakes, wetlands, and riparian areas to promote self-sustaining fisheries and functional riparian and wetland habitat to promote long-term sustainability and resiliency.

• Maintain watershed health by protecting or restoring watersheds that could affect critical infrastructure and/or environmental and recreational areas.

This project supports these goals by removing invasive phreatophytes from a reach of the Animas River that is highly prized for recreational value, including boating and fishing, as well as environmental values, such as wildlife habitat. In Phase I of this project, removal efforts were focused on the upper reaches of the watershed, in an attempt to treat seed sources high in the watershed. In Phase II, efforts were focused on the lower part of the Animas River in Colorado, near the New Mexico state line. In these lower elevation areas, MSI and SCC encountered populations of Russian olive much denser than in Phase I, at higher elevations. It became apparent that additional labor would be needed to complete Phase II, which continued into 2022 and will for several more years. We now focus on treating the denser populations, rather than the higher elevation seed source populations.

Additionally, this project aligns with the Southwest Basin Round Table, Basin Implementation Plan, 2015. This project addresses and contributes to the Measurable Outcomes of the following goals identified in the BIP.

• A5 Maintain watershed health by protecting and/or restoring watersheds that could affect critical infrastructure and/or environmental and recreational areas.

• D1 Maintain, protect and enhance recreational values and economic values to local and statewide economies derived from recreational water uses, such as fishing, boating, hunting, wildlife watching, camping, and hiking.

• E1 Encourage and support restoration, recovery, and sustainability of endangered, threatened, and imperiled aquatic and riparian dependent species and plant communities.

• E2 Protect, maintain, monitor and improve the condition and natural function of streams, lakes, wetlands, and riparian areas to promote self-sustaining fisheries, and to support native species and functional habitat in the long term, and adapt to changing conditions.

These goals are particularly important to MSI, as we view these efforts to control invasive phreatophytes as enhancing the overall resilience of our communities to adapt to future extended drought and a warming climate, which will promote rapid expansion of Russian olive populations in our watershed.

Methods

During Phase 2 of this project, MSI expanded on the work done during Phase 1. We concentrated our efforts in two areas: the Bodo Industrial Park in Durango, CO and the southernmost reach of the Animas River corridor in Colorado, which includes SUIT lands. MSI partnered with SCC, SUIT, and the COD to accomplish our goals. Together we accomplished the mechanical removal and herbicide treatment of Russian olive and tamarisk on 192 acres from 2019-2021 (Figure 1) (as previously mentioned, acreage treated in 2022 has not yet been calculated, as SCC crews just finished the work two weeks prior to this writing and the data is yet to be analyzed). Additionally, the SCC crews retreated approximately 36 acres that had been initially treated in 2019 - 2020. We expect that retreatment may continue to be needed over the next 5-10 years to fully accomplish eradication of these species due to their persistence through resprouting and the longevity of the seeds in the seedbank. Through partnership with the SUIT's Division of Wildlife, we treated approximately 40 acres of tribal land (Figure 2), which complements approximately 100 acres in the Animas and La Plata sub-watersheds, which the SUIT restored with matching funding sources to pay for SCC's labor. While invasive species eradication is a longterm goal, MSI and our partners continue to work towards a fully restored upper San Juan watershed to create a sustainable future for Colorado wildlife and communities.

In the Bodo Industrial park, MSI obtained permission from 22 individual businesses that had Russian olive growing on their grounds. Due to limited time, Russian olive was removed from the grounds of 8 businesses in 2020-2022. Due to the nature of where these Russian olive were growing, as part of landscaping, these trees were replaced with non-invasive species grown at local nurseries. This work was augmented by the City of Durango through purchase of additional trees, use of their chipper and truck, and the labor of their staff. One project site of particular note is the Juniper School, a charter elementary school in Bodo Industrial Park. The Juniper School grounds were populated with very large, mature Russian olive trees. MSI staff conducted a 5-week education program with the elementary students about why the trees were being removed and replaced. This work was supported through COD funds (not counted as match for this project). Eight replacement trees were planted from November 2020-April 2021. Students helped with the April 2021 plantings as part of the COD's Arbor Day celebrations. Replacement trees were also planted at several other businesses. MSI will continue to work with the remaining businesses with Russian olive on their property through additional funding from the Colorado Water Conservation Board. Additional plantings were planned in 2022, but due to staff capacity limitations, these were not completed by the COD staff.

The majority of the work in Phase 2 focused on the southernmost reach of the Animas River in Colorado. MSI was able to connect 15 landowners with adjacent or nearly adjacent private parcels, as well as SUIT lands to treat 0.93 linear mile of the river corridor.

An additional aspect of this project which provided a community benefit, while solving the problem of slash disposal was to work in cooperation with the Durango Daybreak Rotary club. The club operates an annual firewood assistance program to help low-income families and seniors to heat their homes. Rotarians volunteered their time and personal vehicles to pick up the firewood sized slash from project sites and hauled it to a staging area to dry. The Rotary club reported that they collected approximately 31 cords of woods from 2020-2022.

Invasive Phreatophyte Treatment Methods

Cut-Stump treatment method

The cut-stump technique involved cutting the trees and shrubs to ground level and spraying stumps with herbicide (Garlon 4 or Rodeo) and JLB oil. Chain saws, brush cutters, lopping shears and other hand tools were used. Stumps were sprayed using hand-held spray bottles, sprayers, or "painted on". The herbicide was applied to the stump immediately following cutting to maximize efficiency of the herbicide treatment.

Frill cut treatment method or "hack-and-squirt"

Using a hatchet, machete, or similar device a frill cut was made at a downward angle at intermittent spacing around the trunk (not completely girdling the tree). After striking, the hatchet was pulled backwards to produce a "cup" to hold the herbicide. Cuts were made to penetrate through the bark into living cambium tissue. Herbicide (Garlon 4 or Rodeo) mixed with JLB oil, according to the label specifications, was sprayed into the frill cuts using a sprayer. This method was used to control individual trees greater than five inches in diameter. This method was used in areas where it was difficult to fell trees and is beneficial for wildlife habitat, as standing dead trees become good habitat trees.

Basal bark treatment method

This method was used to address younger plants and re-sprouts with stems no larger than 6 inches in diameter. Herbicide was sprayed onto 12-15 inches of bark around entire stem near the base of the plant.

All treatment methods were applied after the phreatophytes had bloomed and prior to dormancy, between September and November for maximum effectiveness and to reduce re-sprouting, and in order to be outside of the migratory bird nesting season.

Once trees were removed, the wood material was either piled on site for later burning by the landowner, hauled away by the Durango Daybreak rotary club volunteers, or chipped and hauled away for incineration by the City of Durango (funded by in-kind match).

Results

Through this project, and additional matching sources, the mechanical removal and herbicide treatment of Russian olive and tamarisk was accomplished on 192 acres in Phase II, collectively from 2019 through 2021 (Figure 1), which included approximately 40 acres of tribal lands (Figure 3) and complements an additional approximately 100 acres in the Animas and La Plata sub-watersheds, which the SUIT restored with matching funding sources to pay for SCC's labor (this work is not included in this grant, SUIT funds are from the Bureau of Indian Affairs). MSI and SCC have worked diligently to retreat areas that were previously treated to be able to prevent resprouts from becoming established as mature trees (Figure 2). Approximately 36 acres have been retreated. Furthermore, MSI and our partners have now treated approximately 540 acres in total in the Animas River watershed, throughout Phase I and II combined (Table 1).

	Stem count (additive)	Area (acres)
Total in 2021	2,687	87.53
Total phase II (2019-2021)	5,764	192.33
Total all years (2016-2021), Phase I and II combined	12,698	539.51

Table 1. Summary data of area and stems treated in Phases I and II.



Figure 1. Acreage treated in Phase 1 (2016-2018) and Phase 2 (2019-2021).



Figure 2: In 2021, SCC crews treated new areas, as well as retreating areas that had been treated in 2019-2020.



Figure 3. Acreage treated on private and tribal lands.

Conclusions and Discussion

The methods for removal of invasive phreatophytes continue to prove effective overall. We detect some resprouting from year to year, which is to be expected for these species, particularly Russian olive. By retreating the resprouted individuals before they become established as mature trees with a large seed crop, we continue to diminish the population overall.

The collaborative effort that MSI has developed by partnering with SCC, private landowners, SUIT, and COD, has proven to be effective. By working across boundaries of land ownership, we have created a comprehensive approach to reducing the impact of invasive phreatophytes overall. We still encounter landowners that are unwilling to work with our program. However, as the public perception of the detrimental effects of invasive phreatophytes grows, and the "word of mouth" communication between landowners amplifies, we are seeing more cooperation in key areas for removal.

MSI intends to keep this program going for several years. Funding has been secured through SCC, in partnership with MSI, to be able to employ SCC crews for several additional years. MSI has been unable to complete project work on all potential project sites each year that we have been working on this project. In other words, we have more work available than we have capacity to complete in a season. We continue to work towards eradication of invasive phreatophytes year after year.

Actual Expense Budget

Table 2 shows the actual budget including all cash match and in-kind match funding and the total amount spent.

	COLORADO
	Colorado Water Conservation Board
	Department of Mature) Department

			Colora	ado	Water Co	onservatio	n I	Board					
		v	Vatershe	d Re	storation	Grant - Deta	ileo	l Budget					
					Final F	Report							
Date:	22-Nov-2	2											
Name of Applicant:	Mountain Studies Inst	itute											
Name of Water Project:	Animas River Remov	al and Repla	cement of	Invas	sive Phreato	ohytes, Phase	П						
Budget													
PERSONNEL													
		Hourly						N/ Matching	Landownor Cash	City of Durange	City of Durance	M	KI Matabi
Task	Item	Rate	# Hours		Total Cost	CWCB Funds		Cash	Match (Estimated)	City of Durango Cash	Inkind	IVI	Inkind
Task 1- Outreach/Coordination	1	Hute	in fround			erres runus							
	Project Coordinator	\$ 55.00	400	Ś	22.000.00	\$ 13,180.00	Ś	7.820.00				Ś	1.000.
	Conservation Intern	\$ 15.00	100	Ś	1.500.00	\$ 500.00	ŝ	440.00				ŝ	560
Task 2- Removal/Retreatment		+			-,	+	Ť					Ť.	
	Project Coordinator	\$ 55.00	100	\$	5,500.00	\$ 1,900.00	\$	3,600.00					
Task 3 - Replacement/Reveget	ation												
	Project Coordinator	\$ 55.00	100	Ş	5,500.00	\$ 1,900.00	Ş	3,600.00					
	COD Arborist	\$ 55.00	200	\$	11,000.00		_				\$ 5,561.25		
Task 4- Monitoring/Reporting	D 1 1 D 1 1	A	400		5 500 00	¢ 4 000 00		1 500 00					
D	Project Coordinator	\$ 55.00	100	Ş	5,500.00	\$ 1,000.00	Ş	4,500.00	*	*	* * * * * * *		4 500
Personnel Total				Ş	51,000.00	\$ 18,480.00	Ş	19,960.00	ş -	ş -	\$ 5,561.25	Ş	1,560.
DIRECT EXPENSES													
DIRECTERIERSES							1						
						-	CF	W Matching	Landowner Cash			SC	CC Matchin
Expense	Item	Unit Cost	Units		Total	CWCB Funds		Funds	Match (Estimated)				Inkind
Task 2- Removal/Retreatment													
Southwest Conservation Corps	s 8 Person, 40h Crew	\$ 7,800	6	Ş	41,200.00	\$ 23,400.00	Ş	15,000.00	ş -			Ş	2,800.
Southwest Conservation Corps	s 4 Person, 40h Crew	\$ 3,900	6	Ş	38,400.00	\$ 23,400.00	Ş	15,000.00					
SCC volunteer Inkind Contribu	All weeks	\$ 15,600	1	\$	15,600.00	ş -					÷	Ş	15,600.
City of Durango Equipment											\$ 3,843.75		
Task 3 - Replacement/Reveget	ation	é 43.500		4	10 000 54		ć	12 500 00	<i>.</i>	ć			
Revegetation- materials	Lump sum	\$ 12,500	1	Ş	16,986.54		Ş	12,500.00	Ş -	\$ 4,486.54			
TOTAL				ç	113 196 54	\$ 46 800.00	¢	42 500 00	¢	¢ A AQC EA	¢ 2 042 75	č	18 400
TOTAL				Ş	112,160.34	\$ 46,800.00	ş	42,500.00	ş -	\$ 4,460.34	\$ 5,645.75	ş	18,400.
Other Direct Costs						-							
linite:							C	W Matching	Landowner Cash				Matching
onita.	ltem	Unit Cost	Units		Total	CWCB Funds		Funds	Match (Estimated)				Inkind
Travel to project sites	Mileage	\$0,545	500	\$	772.50	\$ 272.50	Ś	500,00					
Training and vol appreciation	0	\$ 250.00	2	\$	500.00	\$ 250.00	\$	250.00					
TOTAL Other Costs				\$	1,272.50	\$ 522.50	\$	750.00					
					\$164 AED 04	SEE 903 E0		¢62 210 00	\$0.00	¢1 196 E4	\$0.40F.00	_	\$10.000
TOTAL COSTS				_	3104,433.04	303,802.50		303,210.00	JU.UC	24,400.54 h Match	59,405.00	bing	Jukind
									Total Cas	nimaten	i otari viato	anng	sinkina

Table 2. Proposed Budget vs Actual Expenses, with matching cash and in-kind, by task.

References

Basin Implementation Plan, Southwest Roundtable. 2015. Oliver, A. and C. Lile. Harris Water Engineering, Inc.

Colorado's Water Plan. 2015. Available at :

https://dnrweblink.state.co.us/CWCB/0/edoc/199531/FinalCombinedCWPJune2016.pdf