



COLORADO

Parks and Wildlife

Department of Natural Resources

Water Resources Section - Aquatic,
Terrestrial, and Natural Resources
Branch

January 11, 2023

Mr. Rob Viehl, Section Chief
Colorado Water Conservation Board
Stream and Lake Protection Section
1313 Sherman Street, 7th Floor
Denver, CO 80203

Subject: Instream Flow Recommendations for Curecanti Creek in Water Division 4, Gunnison County to be presented at the January 2023 CWCB Meeting

Dear Mr. Viehl:

The information contained in and referred to in this letter forms the scientific and biological basis for an instream flow (ISF) increase on two segments of Curecanti Creek in Water Division 4. In 1984, the Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) appropriated a water right on Curecanti Creek of 3 cfs and 5 cfs year-round above and below Commissary Gulch, respectively. Beginning in 2020, Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW) and National Park Service (NPS) staff began investigating if a seasonal increase was warranted. Data collection efforts in 2020 through 2022 demonstrated there is a need for a seasonal increase in both segments of Curecanti Creek during the extended summer period. Curecanti Creek was presented to interested parties at the ISF Workshop in January 2020. Outreach was also conducted to the Gunnison County Commissioners in September 2022. It is the CPW staff's opinion that the information contained in this letter is sufficient for the CWCB's staff to recommend an ISF appropriation to the Board on Curecanti Creek and to specifically address the findings required in Rule 5(i) of the Instream Flow Program Rules.

CPW participates in the ISF Program and develops instream flow recommendations for the Board's consideration in an effort to address CPW's legislative declarations "... that the wildlife and their environment are to be protected, preserved, enhanced, and managed for the use, benefit, and enjoyment of the people of this state and its visitors ... and that, to carry out such program and policy, there shall be a continuous operation of planning, acquisition, and development of wildlife habitats and facilities for wildlife-related opportunities" (See §33-1-101 (1) C.R.S.), and "... that the natural, scenic, scientific, and outdoor recreation areas ... be protected, preserved, enhanced and managed for the use, benefit, and enjoyment of the people of this state and (its) visitors ... and that, to carry out such program and policy, there shall be a continuous operation of acquisition, development, and management of ... lands, waters, and facilities." (See §33-10-101 (1) C.R.S.).

In addition to these broad statutory guidelines, CPW's current strategic planning document (CPW Strategic Plan, 2015) explains current agency goals to, "[c]onserve wildlife and habitat to ensure healthy sustainable populations and ecosystems." In order to, "protect and enhance water resources for fish and wildlife populations," by pursuing, "partnerships and agreements to enhance instream flows, protect reservoir levels, and influence water management activities," and to, "[a]dvocate for



water quality and quantities to conserve aquatic resources.” In addition to the CPW Strategic Plan, the agency’s fish and wildlife conservation activities are also directed by the State Wildlife Action Plan (2002, Revised 2015). The goals and priorities from these documents direct CPW to advocate for the preservation of the state’s fish and wildlife resources and natural environment, and therefore link CPW’s mission to the goals and priorities of CWCB’s ISF and Natural Lake Level (NLL) Program.

Recommended Segments

Upper Reach

CPW is proposing an increase on upper Curecanti Creek from its headwaters (located at UTM 13S 293747.09 4286947.81) to its confluence with Commissary Gulch (UTM 13S 294045.93 4272414.37). The reach is approximately 9.9 miles in length. All of the proposed reach is on public lands managed as Gunnison National Forest.

Lower Reach

CPW is also proposing an increase on lower Curecanti Creek from its confluence with Commissary Gulch (UTM 13S 294045.93 4272414.37) to its confluence with Morrow Point Reservoir (UTM 13S 289312.65 4258638.97). The reach is approximately 10.1 miles in length. A majority of the proposed reach is on public lands managed as Gunnison National Forest. The downstream 4 miles of Curecanti Creek above its mouth are a mixture of private lands and lands managed by the National Park Service as the Curecanti National Recreation Area (NRA).

Natural Environment and Biological Summary

Curecanti Creek is a tributary of the Gunnison River at Morrow Point Reservoir. The stream’s hydrology is mainly snowmelt-driven. The mean elevation of the basin is 9,500 feet with approximately 22 inches of precipitation a year. The contributing basin is approximately 39 square miles and is a confined, forested valley.

Curecanti Creek is a first to second order stream. Over the proposed ISF reaches, there is significant variability in the channel as the creek transitions from a medium to high-gradient, heavily forested headwaters stream to a lower-gradient gaining stream with a contributing basin comprised primarily of sage brush and some conifer and noticeable grazing impacts. Below State Highway 92, the creek starts to sharply descend towards the Black Canyon of the Gunnison, exhibiting extremely high-gradient, boulder cascade features and steep canyon walls. At the mouth of Curecanti Creek, a towering spire, the Curecanti Needle, can be seen directly across the reservoir.

Overall, Curecanti Creek has a mixture of coarse substrate riffles, as well as traditional riffles in the both sections of the creek. Throughout the creek, deep runs and pools support fish habitat as flows drop. Flow-through beaver ponds are common throughout Curecanti Creek and signs of active beaver have been observed. Large cobble and small boulder substrate supports plentiful pocket pool habitat. With significant woody debris in the channel and abundant overhead cover in the upper reach, Curecanti Creek provides complex fish habitat with temperature buffering and shading in the upper portions of the creek. Side channels and braiding are also common throughout both reaches. Riparian willows and alder are dense throughout both segments contributing to an overall healthy and robust riparian community.

In the upper segment, the fishery is primarily brook trout. In the lower segment, the fishery is comprised of mainly brook trout with some rainbow trout and Colorado River cutthroat trout present. Marmot, snakes, frogs, young-of-the-year fish, and numerous adult trout have been observed in the field. Multiple age classes of fish surveyed by CPW in 2012 and 2005 (see attached) indicate self-

sustaining, wild trout fisheries in both reaches. Macroinvertebrates noted in the field include caddisfly, mayfly, and two types of stonefly.

R2Cross Background

Initial biological instream flow recommendations were developed using the R2Cross methodology (Espgren, 1996¹). R2Cross uses field data that has been collected in a riffle habitat type. Riffles are often the limiting habitat type in streams during low flow events, so maintaining specific conditions across riffle habitat types will also maintain aquatic habitat in pools and runs for most life stages of fish and macroinvertebrates (Nehring, 1979²). The R2Cross model uses field data, including a survey of cross-sectional channel geometry, a longitudinal slope of the water surface, and a flow measurement, as input to a single transect hydraulic model. R2Cross uses Ferguson's Variable-Power Equation (Ferguson, 2007³) to model a stage-discharge relationship and compute corresponding hydraulic parameters of average depth, average velocity, and percent wetted perimeter over modeled stages. Maintaining these three hydraulic parameters at specified levels should ensure conditions that allow movement longitudinally across riffles and adequate depths, velocities, and oxygenation for production of macroinvertebrates and development of trout eggs.

Baseflow recommendations are typically developed based on the flows that meet two of three hydraulic criteria and summer flow recommendations are based on hydraulic criteria that meet three of three hydraulic criteria (as described in Nehring 1979 and Espgren 1996).

Upper Segment Flow Recommendations

In 2022, CPW collected two cross-sectional data sets on upper Curecanti Creek. The results of the R2Cross analysis are summarized below.

R2Cross Summary: Data Collected above Commissary Gulch					
	Bankfull Top Width	Date Measured	Flow Measured	Flow Meeting Two Criteria	Flow Meeting Three Criteria
1	22.6 ft	8/12/2022	3.277 cfs	1.43 cfs	8.61 cfs
2	37.4 ft	8/12/2022	3.277 cfs	11.34 cfs	14.41 cfs
Recommended Flow Rates:				N/A	11.5 cfs

CPW is not recommending an increase to the 1984 decreed ISF right during the winter period because it is CPW's opinion that 3 cfs is protective of the fishery during that timeframe. CPW is recommending an increase in the summer to 11.5 cfs.

¹Espgren, G.D., 1996, Development of Instream Flow Recommendations in Colorado Using R2CROSS, Colorado Water Conservation Board.

²Nehring, B.R., 1979, Evaluation of Instream Flow Methods and Determination of Water Quantity Needs for Streams in the State of Colorado, Colorado Division of Wildlife.

³Ferguson, R.I., 2007. Flow resistance equations for gravel- and boulder-bed streams. Water Resources Research 43. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2006WR005422>

Upper Curecanti Creek Water Availability-Refined Flow Recommendation

In order to make a preliminary determination whether water is available for the R2Cross-based flow recommendations and to determine the appropriate seasonal transition dates, CPW examined basic hydrologic data and water rights information for upper Curecanti Creek. Streamflow records from the USGS gage "Curecanti Creek near Sapinero" (091250000) were analyzed by CWCB to help inform physical water availability to meet the biological flow recommendations. CPW is aware of the following active water rights within the upper reach: Head & Ferrier Ditch.

CPW's analysis indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the natural environment to a reasonable degree. Based on water availability investigations, there are slight limitations during the late summer period. Therefore, CPW's flow recommendation has been refined to the following:

- Early Spring Flow Recommendation (March 1 through March 31): **4.5 cfs, 1.5 cfs increase**
 - Maintains adequate depth and wetted perimeter across most riffles, which will support fish they begin to move, transitioning from overwintering habitat to more metabolic activity as temperatures rise before the beginning of spring runoff.
- Summer Flow Recommendation (April 1 through July 15): **11.5 cfs, 8.5 cfs increase**
 - Maintains adequate depth, velocity, and wetted perimeter during the spring and early summer periods. This flow rate supports fish passage and ideal conditions macroinvertebrate production, fish feeding, and spawning.
- Late July Flow Recommendation (July 16 through July 31): **5.5 cfs, 2.5 cfs increase**
 - Maintains habitat with suitable depth and wetted perimeter and allows fish movement as flows recede and temperatures may be high in late July. This recommendation has been reduced due to water availability constraints.
- Baseflow Recommendation (August 1 through February 28): **3.0 cfs, No increase**
 - This flow rate is protective by maintaining adequate available habitat in most riffles, glides, and pools to support fish during the late summer and overwintering periods.

Lower Segment Flow Recommendations

In 2020 and 2021, CPW collected three cross-section data sets on lower Curecanti Creek. The results of the R2Cross analysis are summarized below.

R2Cross Summary: Data Collected below Commissary Gulch					
	Bankfull Top Width	Date Measured	Flow Measured	Flow Meeting Two Criteria	Flow Meeting Three Criteria
1	35.8 ft	10/6/2020	2.772 cfs	5.04 cfs	19.95 cfs
2	36.4 ft	8/10/2021	2.26 cfs	6.39 cfs	13.46 cfs
3	38.8 ft	8/10/2021	2.26 cfs	5.44 cfs	16.84 cfs
Recommended Flow Rates:				5.6 cfs	16.8 cfs

CPW is recommending an increase to the 1984 decreed ISF right during both the winter period and summer periods. CPW is recommending an increase to 5.6 cfs in the winter and 16.8 cfs in the summer.

Lower Curecanti Creek Water Availability-Refined Flow Recommendation

In order to make a preliminary determination whether water is available for the R2Cross-based flow recommendations and to determine the appropriate seasonal transition dates, CPW examined basic

hydrologic data and water rights information for lower Curecanti Creek. Streamflow records from the USGS gage "Curecanti Creek near Sapinero" (091250000) were analyzed by CWCB to help inform physical water availability to meet the biological flow recommendations. CPW is not aware of the active water rights within the lower reach maintained with diversion records.

CPW's analysis indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the natural environment to a reasonable degree. Based on water availability investigations, there are water availability limitations during the late summer period. Therefore, CPW's flow recommendation has been refined to the following:

- Early Spring Flow Recommendation (March 1 through March 31): **8.0 cfs, 3.0 cfs increase**
 - Maintains adequate depth and wetted perimeter across riffles, which will support fish they begin to move, transitioning from overwintering habitat to more metabolic activity as temperatures rise before the beginning of spring runoff.
- Summer Flow Recommendation (April 1 through July 15): **16.8 cfs, 11.8 cfs increase**
 - Maintains adequate average depth of 0.4 feet, velocity, and wetted perimeter during the spring and early summer periods. This flow rate supports fish passage and ideal conditions macroinvertebrate production, fish feeding, and spawning.
- Late July Flow Recommendation (July 16 through July 31): **9.8 cfs, 4.8 cfs increase**
 - Maintains fish habitat with adequate depth and wetted perimeter and allows fish movement as flows recede and temperatures may be high in late July. This recommendation has been reduced due to water availability constraints.
- Late Summer Flow Recommendation (August 1 through September 30): **5.4 cfs, 0.4 cfs increase**
 - This flow rate has been reduced slightly due to water availability constraints but will still provide suitable habitat availability by maintaining depth and wetted perimeter in most riffles.
- Fall Flow Recommendation (October 1 through November 30): **6.4 cfs, 1.4 cfs increase**
 - Maintains available habitat and allows fish movement during the fall transition to overwintering conditions.
- Baseflow Recommendation (December 1 through February 28): **5.6 cfs, 0.6 cfs increase**
 - This flow rate is protective by maintaining adequate habitat to support fish during the overwintering period by maintaining adequate depth and wetted perimeter in riffles, as well as habitat availability in glides and pools.

Summary


The purpose of this letter is to formally transmit this ISF recommendation to CWCB for the Board's consideration. CPW believes there is a flow-dependent natural environment in Curecanti Creek that can be preserved to a reasonable degree with two ISF water rights in the specified rates. Please refer to attachments which include; R2Cross field forms, R2Cross output, fish survey information, and photographs at each cross section location.

CPW personnel will be available at the January 2023 CWCB meeting to answer any questions that the Board might have regarding these flow recommendations. We appreciate your consideration.

Sincerely,

Katie Birch

Katie Birch
CPW Instream Flow Program Coordinator
Attachments (as stated)



United States Department of the Interior

NATIONAL PARK SERVICE

Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park

Curecanti National Recreation Area

102 Elk Creek

Gunnison, CO 81230



IN REPLY REFER TO:

January 13, 2023

Mr. Rob Viehl, Section Chief
Colorado Water Conservation Board
Stream and Lake Protection Section
1313 Sherman Street, 7th Floor
Denver, CO 80203

Subject: Letter of Support for Instream Flow Recommendations for Curecanti Creek in Water Division 4, Gunnison County to be presented at the January 2023 CWCB Meeting

Dear Mr. Viehl:

On behalf of the Curecanti National Recreation Area and the National Park Service (NPS), I would like to express our support for the preservation of the Curecanti Creek watershed by protecting streamflow as outlined by the Colorado Parks and Wildlife (CPW) recommendation. The overall objective to protect instream flows aligns closely with the NPS mission to preserve natural and cultural resources for the enjoyment, education, and inspiration of this and future generations.

The NPS manages land along the lower one-and-a-half-mile section of Curecanti Creek to where it meets Morrow Point Reservoir. One of the most popular trails in the park is the Curecanti Creek trail, which provides a remote inner canyon experience easily accessible from State Highway 92. Many visitors are drawn to this area for the excellent fishing opportunities that can be found right along the trail. We rely heavily on our upstream neighbors (both private and public landowners) to preserve and protect the waters flowing into our park and maintain this scenic, recreational opportunity. This is a high gradient system as the creek drops into the canyon on NPS lands, so maintaining minimum flows, as recommended by CPW, are necessary to provide connectivity among pools and runs for aquatic life habitat.

The NPS has been monitoring flows and water quality on Curecanti Creek going back to 2001. Comparing the flows recommended by CPW to our historic data shows that the recommended flows are both attainable and necessary. We have also been monitoring aquatic macroinvertebrate populations on Curecanti Creek since 2013, which has shown that the existing conditions support aquatic life. Applying the multi-metric index created by the Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment to our aquatic macroinvertebrate surveys, Curecanti Creek has always exceeded the attainment threshold for the Mountain Biotpe. This indicates that historic conditions support healthy aquatic habitats.

CPW has our full support for their instream flow recommendations on Curecanti Creek. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Derek Carter

Derek Carter
Acting Superintendent
Curecanti National Recreation Area and
Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park

Nicole Gibney

Nicole Gibney
Aquatic Ecologist
Curecanti National Recreation Area and
Black Canyon of the Gunnison National Park



COLORADO WATER
CONSERVATION BOARD

FIELD DATA FOR INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS



LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: <u>Curecanti creek</u>						CROSS-SECTION NO.: <u>1</u>	
CROSS-SECTION LOCATION: <u>on USFS lands</u>							
DATE: <u>10/6/20</u>		OBSERVERS: <u>Birch Gibney</u>					
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	1/4 SECTION:	SECTION:	TOWNSHIP:	RANGE:	E/W PM:		
COUNTY:	WATERSHED:		WATER DIVISION:		DOW WATER CODE:		
MAP(S):	USGS: <u>13 S 290115 4264452</u>						
USFS:							

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION: YES ☒ NO ☐		METER TYPE: <u>50ft downstream for flow</u>		TEMP: <u>54.1° F</u>	
METER NUMBER:	DATE RATED:	FLOW: <u>2.77 cfs (15% uncertainty)</u>			
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE: <u>large cobble / small boulders</u>		CALIB/SPIN: _____ sec	TAPE WEIGHT: _____ lbs/foot	TAPE TENSION: _____ lbs	
PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN: ☒ YES ☐ NO			NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPHS: <u>taken by KB</u>		

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

STATION	DISTANCE FROM TAPE (ft)	ROD READING (ft)
⊗ Tape @ Stake LB	0.0	<u>4.9</u>
⊗ Tape @ Stake RB	0.0 <u>40.7</u>	<u>5.9</u>
① WS @ Tape LB/RB	0.0	<u>6.96 / 6.96</u>
② WS Upstream	<u>0.0</u>	<u>6.80</u>
③ WS Downstream	<u>43.0</u>	<u>7.89</u>
SLOPE	<u>1.09 / 43 = 2.5%</u>	

SKETCH

LEGEND:

Stake ⊗

Station ①

Photo ◇

Direction of Flow →

AQUATIC SAMPLING SUMMARY

STREAM ELECTROFISHED: YES/NO	DISTANCE ELECTROFISHED: _____ ft	FISH CAUGHT: YES/NO	WATER CHEMISTRY SAMPLED: YES/NO															
LENGTH - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY ONE-INCH SIZE GROUPS (1.0-1.9, 2.0-2.9, ETC.)																		
SPECIES (FILL IN)	1~	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL	
<u>fish - sp?</u>																		
<u>lots of fish - a variety of sizes</u>																		
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION BY COMMON OR SCIENTIFIC ORDER NAME:																		

COMMENTS

<u>sparse willows, upland sage/conifer</u>
<u>heavy grazing</u>
<u>deep pools, riffles very bare observed exposed dorsal fin of larger fish</u>
<u>migrating on XS 1</u>

DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME:				CROSS-SECTION NO.:				DATE:		SHEET ____ OF ____		
BEGINNING OF MEASUREMENT			EDGE OF WATER LOOKING DOWNSTREAM: (0.0 AT STAKE)			LEFT / RIGHT		Gage Reading: ____ ft		TIME:		
Features	Stake Grassline (G) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Depth of Observation (ft)	Revolutions	Time (sec)	Velocity (ft/sec)		Area (ft ²)	Discharge (cfs)
									At Point	Mean in Vertical		
LB-S		0	4.9									
		1.7	5.4									
* BF-L		4.5	5.9									
		5.7	6.1									
		6.4	6.35									
		7.0	6.7									
WS		7.2	6.96		0							
		8.5	7.2		0.25							
		10.0	7.25		0.3							
		11.5	7.2		0.3							
		13.0	7.25		0.35							
		14.5	7.2		0.25							
		16.0	7.25		0.3							
		17.5	7.15		0.3							
		19.0	7.3		0.35							
		20.5	7.15		0.3							
		22.0	7.1		0.3							
		23.5	7.1		0.2							
		25.0	7.0		0.15							
		26.5	7.0		0.15							
		28.0	7.0		0.15							
		29.5	7.0		0.2							
		31.0	7.25		0.35							
		32.5	7.2		0.25							
		34.0	7.4		0.45							
		35.5	7.65		0.7							
		37.0	7.35		0.4							
WS		38.3	6.96		0							
		38.8	6.4									
		39.5	6.2									
BF-R		40.3	5.9									
RB-S		40.7	5.9									
TOTALS:												
End of Measurement		Time:		Gage Reading: ____ ft		CALCULATIONS PERFORMED BY:				CALCULATIONS CHECKED BY:		

4.79



4.69
4.77

FIELD DATA FOR INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS



COLORADO WATER
CONSERVATION BOARD

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: <u>Curecanti Creek</u>		CROSS-SECTION NO.: <u>2</u>
CROSS-SECTION LOCATION: <u>New USFS Boundary</u>		
DATE: <u>8/10/21</u>	OBSERVERS: <u>Gibney, Powhida, McDowell, Birch</u>	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	% SECTION:	SECTION:
TOWNSHIP: <u>N/S</u>	RANGE:	E/W
COUNTY:	WATERSHED:	WATER DIVISION:
DOW WATER CODE:		
MAP(S):	USGS: <u>VTM 13S 290186 4264580</u>	
USFS:		

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION: YES ☐ NO ☒	METER TYPE: <u>Hatch measured in v/s glide</u>
METER NUMBER:	DATE RATED:
CALIB/SPIN: _____ sec	TAPE WEIGHT: _____ lbs/foot
TAPE TENSION: _____ lbs	
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE: <u>Small cobbles - large boulder</u>	PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN: YES/NO
NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPHS:	

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

STATION	DISTANCE FROM TAPE (ft)	ROD READING (ft)
⊗ Tape @ Stake LB	0.0	<u>m</u>
⊗ Tape @ Stake RB	0.0	
① WS @ Tape LB/RB	0.0	<u>4.77</u> / <u>4.75</u>
② WS Upstream	<u>47.6</u>	<u>4.04</u>
③ WS Downstream	<u>38.6</u>	<u>5.31</u>
SLOPE	<u>862</u>	

SKETCH

LEGEND:

Stake ⊗

Station ①

Photo ◇

Direction of Flow →

AQUATIC SAMPLING SUMMARY

STREAM ELECTROFISHED: YES/NO	DISTANCE ELECTROFISHED: _____ ft	FISH CAUGHT: YES/NO	WATER CHEMISTRY SAMPLED: YES/NO														
LENGTH - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY ONE-INCH SIZE GROUPS (1.0-1.9, 2.0-2.9, ETC.)																	
SPECIES (FILL IN)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL
<u>mayfly fish (small ~ 10Y)</u>																	
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION BY COMMON OR SCIENTIFIC ORDER NAME:																	

COMMENTS

<u>Q=2.24</u>
<u>Large cobble feature dividing channel.</u>
<u>with alder</u>
<u>upland pine & sage brush</u>

STREAM NAME: Curcanti Creek						CROSS-SECTION NO.: 2	DATE: 8/10/21	SHEET ___ OF ___			
BEGINNING OF MEASUREMENT	EDGE OF WATER LOOKING DOWNSTREAM: (0.0 AT STAKE)		LEFT / RIGHT	Gage Reading:	TIME:						
Features	Stake Grassline (S) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Depth of Observation (ft)	Revolutions	Time (sec)	Velocity (ft/sec) At Point Mean in Vertical	Area (ft ²)	Discharge (cfs)
	S	0		2.15							
	BF	2.0		3.15							
		5.2		4.91							
RWS		5.4		4.75	Ø						
		5.5		4.89	0.16						
R		7.0		4.68	0						
		8.5		4.94	0.18						
		10.0		5.08	0.30						
		11.5		5.03	0.30						
		13.0		5.15	0.42						
		14.5		4.99	0.27						
		16		5.12	0.38						
		17.5		4.88	0.15						
R		19.0		4.75	0.0						
		20.5		4.95	0.20						
		22		4.80	0.05						
LWS		22.5		4.75	Ø						
		26.5		4.50							
		29.0		4.37							
		33.5		4.36							
		35.3		4.77							
		36.6		4.97							
		38		4.36							
BF		38.9		3.44							
S		39.9		2.54							
TOTALS:											

End of Measurement Time: Gage Reading: CALCULATIONS PERFORMED BY: CALCULATIONS CHECKED BY:



FIELD DATA FOR INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS



COLORADO WATER
CONSERVATION BOARD

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: Curecanti CROSS-SECTION NO.: 3

CROSS-SECTION LOCATION: Near USFS Boundary

DATE: 8/10/21 OBSERVERS: Brian McDowell fibney Pmhadri

LEGAL DESCRIPTION: _____ 1/4 SECTION: _____ SECTION: _____ TOWNSHIP: _____ N/S RANGE: _____ E/W PM: _____

COUNTY: _____ WATERSHED: _____ WATER DIVISION: _____ DOW WATER CODE: _____

MAP(S): _____ USGS: UTM 13S 290117 4264455

USFS: _____

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION: YES/NO YES METER TYPE: Measured upstream of XS 1

METER NUMBER: _____ DATE RATED: Hach CALIB/SPIN: _____ sec TAPE WEIGHT: _____ lbs/foot TAPE TENSION: _____ lbs

CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE: Small cobble - 1/2 boulder PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN: YES/NO YES NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPHS: 3

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

STATION	DISTANCE FROM TAPE (ft)	ROD READING (ft)
⊗ Tape @ Stake LB	0.0	X
⊗ Tape @ Stake RB	0.0	X
① WS @ Tape LB/RB	0.0	
② WS Upstream		6.414
③ WS Downstream		7.36
SLOPE	1.7% = 0.017	

SKETCH

LEGEND:

- Stake ⊗
- Station ①
- Photo ① →
- Direction of Flow ←

AQUATIC SAMPLING SUMMARY

STREAM ELECTROFISHED: YES/NO _____ DISTANCE ELECTROFISHED: _____ ft FISH CAUGHT: YES/NO _____ WATER CHEMISTRY SAMPLED: YES/NO _____

LENGTH - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY ONE-INCH SIZE GROUPS (1.0-1.9, 2.0-2.9, ETC.)

SPECIES (FILL IN)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL
water Snakes																	
frog																	
yox fish																	

AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION BY COMMON OR SCIENTIFIC ORDER NAME: _____

COMMENTS

close to 2020 XS 1

wider XS than XS 2 - slightly HGR

A 29

WS 32.3 6.72
 600 6.62
 7.9 6.70

DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME: Curicanti Creek		CROSS-SECTION NO: 2		DATE: 8/10/21		SHEET ___ OF ___						
BEGINNING OF MEASUREMENT		EDGE OF WATER LOOKING DOWNSTREAM: (0.0 AT STAKE)		LEFT / RIGHT		Gage Reading: ___ ft		TIME: _____				
Features	Stake (S) Grassline (G) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Depth of Observation (ft)	Revolutions	Time (sec)	Velocity (ft/sec)		Area (ft ²)	Discharge (cfs)
									At Point	Mean in Vertical		
		0		4.65								
		2.7		5.35								
		5.3		5.81								
		6.1		6.56								
WS		7.9		6.70								
		8.0		7.24	.65							
		9.2		7.10								
		10.4		7.10	.54							
		11.6		6.98	.42							
		12.8		7.02	.47							
		14.0		6.88	.31							
		15.2		6.98	.38							
		16.4		6.84	.30							
		17.6		6.96	.34							
		18.8		6.48	.40							
		20.0		7.03	.40							
R		21.2		7.01	.08							
		22.4		6.86	.20							
		23.6		6.93	.30							
		24.8		6.95	.37							
		26.0		6.82	.18							
		27.2		6.80	.18							
		28.4		6.72	.01							
		29.6		6.89	.20							
		30.8		6.84	.17							
		32.0		6.74	.07							
WS		31.9		6.69	.8							
		32.7		6.42	.8							
		34.8		5.85								
		36.4		5.42								
		38.0		4.94								
BF		38.9		4.67								
S		40.3		4.53								
TOTALS:												
End of Measurement		Time:		Gage Reading: ___ ft		CALCULATIONS PERFORMED BY:				CALCULATIONS CHECKED BY:		

R2Cross RESULTS

Stream Name: Curecanti Creek

Stream Locations: On USFS Lands

Fieldwork Date: 10/06/2020

Cross-section: 1

Observers: Birch Gibney

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13

X (easting): 290115

Y (northing): 4264452

Date Processed: 12/01/2022

Slope: 0.0253

Discharge: Entered Value: 2.77 (cfs)

Computation method: Ferguson VPE


a1: 6.5

a2: 2.5

R2Cross data filename: 1-Curecanti-10-6-2020-Q=2.772.xlsx

R2Cross version: 2.0.2

LOCATION



ANALYSIS RESULTS

Habitat Criteria Results

Bankfull top width (ft) = 35.8

	Habitat Criteria	Discharge (cfs) Meeting Criteria
Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	5.04
Percent Wetted Perimeter (%)	50.0	0.16
Mean Velocity (ft/s)	1.0	19.95

STAGING TABLE

Feature	Distance to Water (ft)	Top Width (ft)	Mean Depth (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)	Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Percent Wetted Perimeter	Hydraulic Radius (ft)	Manning's n	Mean Velocity (ft/s)	Discharge (cfs)
Bankfull	5.9	35.8	1.17	1.75	41.8	36.61	100.0	1.14	0.11	2.41	100.9
	5.91	35.74	1.16	1.74	41.55	36.54	99.83	1.14	0.11	2.4	99.68
	5.96	35.31	1.13	1.69	39.77	36.1	98.61	1.1	0.11	2.3	91.36
	6.01	34.87	1.09	1.64	38.02	35.65	97.39	1.07	0.11	2.2	83.46
	6.06	34.44	1.05	1.59	36.28	35.2	96.17	1.03	0.12	2.09	75.97
	6.11	34.03	1.02	1.54	34.57	34.78	95.01	0.99	0.12	1.99	68.81
	6.16	33.75	0.97	1.49	32.88	34.49	94.21	0.95	0.12	1.88	61.7
	6.21	33.48	0.93	1.44	31.2	34.19	93.4	0.91	0.13	1.76	55.04
	6.26	33.16	0.89	1.39	29.53	33.86	92.5	0.87	0.13	1.65	48.87
	6.31	32.85	0.85	1.34	27.88	33.53	91.6	0.83	0.14	1.55	43.11
	6.36	32.54	0.81	1.29	26.25	33.21	90.71	0.79	0.14	1.44	37.75
	6.41	32.3	0.76	1.24	24.63	32.94	89.99	0.75	0.15	1.33	32.7
	6.46	32.17	0.72	1.19	23.01	32.78	89.53	0.7	0.15	1.21	27.93
	6.51	32.04	0.67	1.14	21.41	32.61	89.08	0.66	0.16	1.1	23.58
	6.56	31.9	0.62	1.09	19.81	32.44	88.63	0.61	0.17	0.99	19.64
	6.61	31.77	0.57	1.04	18.22	32.28	88.17	0.56	0.18	0.88	16.11
	6.66	31.64	0.53	0.99	16.63	32.11	87.72	0.52	0.2	0.78	12.97
	6.71	31.52	0.48	0.94	15.06	31.95	87.28	0.47	0.21	0.68	10.22
	6.76	31.44	0.43	0.89	13.48	31.82	86.92	0.42	0.23	0.58	7.82
	6.81	31.35	0.38	0.84	11.91	31.69	86.57	0.38	0.25	0.49	5.79
	6.86	31.27	0.33	0.79	10.35	31.56	86.21	0.33	0.28	0.4	4.11
Waterline	6.91	31.19	0.28	0.74	8.78	31.43	85.86	0.28	0.32	0.31	2.75
	6.96	31.1	0.23	0.69	7.23	31.3	85.5	0.23	0.38	0.24	1.7
	7.01	26.04	0.22	0.64	5.71	26.22	71.62	0.22	0.4	0.22	1.24
	7.06	24.55	0.18	0.59	4.45	24.71	67.51	0.18	0.46	0.16	0.72

7.11	21.46	0.15	0.54	3.27	21.6	59.01	0.15	0.54	0.13	0.41
7.16	19.18	0.12	0.49	2.25	19.31	52.75	0.12	0.66	0.09	0.19
7.21	15.4	0.09	0.44	1.36	15.5	42.36	0.09	0.84	0.06	0.08
7.26	5.24	0.17	0.39	0.88	5.32	14.52	0.16	0.5	0.14	0.12
7.31	3.84	0.17	0.34	0.65	3.9	10.66	0.17	0.49	0.15	0.09
7.36	3.29	0.14	0.29	0.47	3.34	9.12	0.14	0.57	0.11	0.05
7.41	2.67	0.12	0.24	0.32	2.72	7.42	0.12	0.65	0.09	0.03
7.46	2.12	0.1	0.19	0.2	2.16	5.89	0.09	0.79	0.06	0.01
7.51	1.57	0.07	0.14	0.11	1.6	4.36	0.07	1.01	0.04	0.0
7.56	1.02	0.05	0.09	0.05	1.04	2.84	0.05	1.45	0.02	0.0
7.61	0.47	0.02	0.04	0.01	0.48	1.31	0.02	2.76	0.01	0.0
7.63	0.16	0.01	0.01	0.0	0.17	0.46	0.01	6.62	0.0	0.0

This Manning's roughness coefficient was calculated based on velocity estimates from the Ferguson VPE method

MODEL SUMMARY

Measured Flow (Qm) =	2.77	(cfs)
Calculated Flow (Qc) =	2.76	(cfs)
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	0.31%	
Measured Waterline (WLm) =	6.96	(ft)
Calculated Waterline (WLc) =	6.91	(ft)
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	0.76%	
Max Measured Depth (Dm) =	0.7	(ft)
Max Calculated Depth (Dc) =	0.74	(ft)
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100 =	-6.13%	
Mean Velocity =	0.31	(ft/s)
Manning's n =	0.321	
a1	6.5	
a2	2.5	
0.4 * Qm =	1.11	(cfs)
2.5 * Qm =	6.93	(cfs)

FIELD DATA

Feature	Station (ft)	Rod Height (ft)	Water depth (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)
	0	4.9		
	1.7	5.4		
Bankfull	4.5	5.9		
	5.7	6.1		
	6.4	6.35		
	7	6.7		
Waterline	7.2	6.96	0	
	8.5	7.2	0.25	
	10	7.25	0.3	
	11.5	7.2	0.3	
	13	7.25	0.35	
	14.5	7.2	0.25	
	16	7.25	0.3	
	17.5	7.15	0.3	
	19	7.3	0.35	
	20.5	7.15	0.3	
	22	7.1	0.3	
	23.5	7.1	0.2	
	25	7	0.15	
	26.5	7	0.15	
	28	7	0.15	
	29.5	7	0.1	
	31	7.25	0.35	
	32.5	7.2	0.25	
	34	7.4	0.45	
	35.5	7.65	0.7	
	37	7.35	0.4	
Waterline	38.3	6.96	0	
	38.8	6.4		
	39.5	6.2		

Bankfull	40.3	5.9
	40.7	5.9

COMPUTED FROM MEASURED FIELD DATA

Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Discharge (cfs)	Percent Discharge
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
1.32	0.25	0.35	0.11	3.98
1.5	0.3	0.45	0.14	5.12
1.5	0.3	0.45	0.14	5.12
1.5	0.35	0.53	0.17	5.98
1.5	0.25	0.38	0.12	4.27
1.5	0.3	0.45	0.14	5.12
1.5	0.3	0.45	0.14	5.12
1.51	0.35	0.53	0.17	5.98
1.51	0.3	0.45	0.14	5.12
1.5	0.3	0.45	0.14	5.12
1.5	0.2	0.3	0.09	3.42
1.5	0.15	0.23	0.07	2.56
1.5	0.15	0.23	0.07	2.56
1.5	0.15	0.23	0.07	2.56
1.5	0.1	0.15	0.05	1.71
1.52	0.35	0.53	0.17	5.98
1.5	0.25	0.38	0.12	4.27
1.51	0.45	0.68	0.21	7.68
1.52	0.7	1.05	0.33	11.95
1.53	0.4	0.56	0.18	6.38
1.36	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

DISCLAIMER

"The Colorado Water Conservation Board makes no representations about the use of the software contained in the R2Cross platform for any purpose besides that for which it was designed. To the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, all information, modeling results, and software are provided "as is" without warranty or condition of any kind, including all implied warranties or conditions of merchantability, or fitness for a particular purpose. The user assumes all responsibility for the accuracy and suitability of this program for a specific application. In no event shall the Colorado Water Conservation Board or any state agency, official or employee be liable for any direct, indirect, punitive, incidental, special, consequential damages or any damages whatsoever including, without limitation, damages for loss of use, data, profits, or savings arising from the implementation, reliance on, or use of or inability to use the R2Cross platform.

R2Cross RESULTS

Stream Name: Curecanti

Stream Locations: Near USFS Boundary

Fieldwork Date: 08/10/2021

Cross-section: 2

Observers: Birch McDowell Gibney Powhida

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13

X (easting): 290186

Y (northing): 4264580

Date Processed: 11/23/2022

Slope: 0.0147

Discharge: Entered Value: 2.26 (cfs)

Computation method: Ferguson VPE


a1: 6.5

a2: 2.5

R2Cross data filename: 2-Curecanti_8_10_21-Q=2.26.xlsx

R2Cross version: 2.0.2

LOCATION



ANALYSIS RESULTS

Habitat Criteria Results

Bankfull top width (ft) = 36.37

	Habitat Criteria	Discharge (cfs) Meeting Criteria
Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	13.46
Percent Wetted Perimeter (%)	50.0	2.11
Mean Velocity (ft/s)	1.0	6.39

STAGING TABLE

Feature	Distance to Water (ft)	Top Width (ft)	Mean Depth (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)	Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Percent Wetted Perimeter	Hydraulic Radius (ft)	Manning's n	Mean Velocity (ft/s)	Discharge (cfs)
Bankfull	3.44	36.37	1.25	1.71	45.31	37.61	100.0	1.2	0.04	5.48	248.1
	3.45	36.33	1.23	1.7	44.79	37.56	99.87	1.19	0.04	5.43	243.01
	3.5	36.19	1.19	1.65	42.98	37.38	99.41	1.15	0.04	5.25	225.47
	3.55	36.05	1.14	1.6	41.17	37.21	98.94	1.11	0.04	5.06	208.45
	3.6	35.91	1.1	1.55	39.38	37.04	98.48	1.06	0.04	4.88	191.99
	3.65	35.77	1.05	1.5	37.58	36.86	98.02	1.02	0.04	4.69	176.09
	3.7	35.63	1.0	1.45	35.8	36.69	97.56	0.98	0.04	4.49	160.75
	3.75	35.49	0.96	1.4	34.02	36.51	97.1	0.93	0.04	4.29	146.0
	3.8	35.35	0.91	1.35	32.25	36.34	96.64	0.89	0.04	4.09	131.84
	3.85	35.21	0.87	1.3	30.48	36.17	96.17	0.84	0.04	3.88	118.3
	3.9	35.07	0.82	1.25	28.73	35.99	95.71	0.8	0.04	3.67	105.38
	3.95	34.93	0.77	1.2	26.98	35.82	95.25	0.75	0.04	3.45	93.11
	4.0	34.79	0.73	1.15	25.23	35.65	94.79	0.71	0.04	3.23	81.5
	4.05	34.66	0.68	1.1	23.5	35.47	94.33	0.66	0.05	3.0	70.58
	4.1	34.52	0.63	1.05	21.77	35.3	93.86	0.62	0.05	2.77	60.36
	4.15	34.38	0.58	1.0	20.05	35.12	93.4	0.57	0.05	2.54	50.88
	4.2	34.24	0.54	0.95	18.33	34.95	92.94	0.52	0.05	2.3	42.14
	4.25	34.1	0.49	0.9	16.62	34.78	92.48	0.48	0.05	2.06	34.19
	4.3	33.96	0.44	0.85	14.92	34.6	92.02	0.43	0.06	1.81	27.04
	4.35	33.82	0.39	0.8	13.23	34.43	91.55	0.38	0.06	1.57	20.72
	4.4	28.27	0.42	0.75	11.73	28.85	76.71	0.41	0.06	1.68	19.76
	4.45	26.88	0.39	0.7	10.35	27.43	72.95	0.38	0.06	1.53	15.86
	4.5	25.51	0.35	0.65	9.04	26.03	69.22	0.35	0.06	1.38	12.45
	4.55	24.28	0.32	0.6	7.8	24.77	65.88	0.31	0.07	1.21	9.43
	4.6	23.06	0.29	0.55	6.62	23.52	62.54	0.28	0.07	1.04	6.88

	4.65	21.83	0.25	0.5	5.49	22.26	59.2	0.25	0.08	0.87	4.78
	4.7	20.29	0.22	0.45	4.44	20.69	55.02	0.21	0.09	0.72	3.17
Waterline	4.75	18.32	0.19	0.4	3.47	18.66	49.62	0.19	0.1	0.59	2.03
	4.8	15.64	0.17	0.35	2.62	15.89	42.25	0.16	0.11	0.49	1.29
	4.85	12.93	0.15	0.3	1.91	13.08	34.79	0.15	0.12	0.41	0.79
	4.9	10.45	0.13	0.25	1.32	10.53	27.99	0.13	0.14	0.33	0.44
	4.95	8.52	0.1	0.2	0.85	8.57	22.78	0.1	0.16	0.24	0.2
	5.0	7.24	0.06	0.15	0.45	7.27	19.33	0.06	0.24	0.12	0.05
	5.05	4.31	0.04	0.1	0.15	4.33	11.52	0.04	0.38	0.05	0.01
	5.1	1.28	0.02	0.05	0.03	1.29	3.43	0.02	0.63	0.02	0.0
	5.13	0.33	0.01	0.01	0.0	0.33	0.88	0.01	1.39	0.0	0.0

This Manning's roughness coefficient was calculated based on velocity estimates from the Ferguson VPE method

MODEL SUMMARY

Measured Flow (Qm) =	2.26	(cfs)
Calculated Flow (Qc) =	2.15	(cfs)
$(Qm - Qc) / Qm * 100 =$	4.93%	
Measured Waterline (WLm) =	4.74	(ft)
Calculated Waterline (WLC) =	4.75	(ft)
$(WLm - WLC) / WLm * 100 =$	-0.30%	
Max Measured Depth (Dm) =	0.42	(ft)
Max Calculated Depth (Dc) =	0.4	(ft)
$(Dm - Dc) / Dm * 100 =$	5.77%	
Mean Velocity =	0.62	(ft/s)
Manning's n =	0.095	
a1	6.5	
a2	2.5	
$0.4 * Qm =$	0.9	(cfs)
$2.5 * Qm =$	5.65	(cfs)

FIELD DATA

Feature	Station (ft)	Rod Height (ft)	Water depth (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)
	0	2.15		
Bankfull	2	3.15		
	5.2	4.91		
Waterline	5.4	4.73	0	
	5.5	4.89	0.15	
	7	4.68	0	
	8.5	4.94	0.18	
	10	5.08	0.3	
	11.5	5.03	0.3	
	13	5.15	0.42	
	14.5	4.99	0.27	
	16	5.12	0.38	
	17.5	4.88	0.15	
	19	4.75	0	
	20.5	4.95	0.2	
	22	4.8	0.05	
Waterline	22.5	4.75	0	
	26.5	4.5		
	29	4.37		
	33.5	4.36		
	35.3	4.77		
	36.6	4.97		
	38	4.36		
Bankfull	38.9	3.44		
	39.9	2.54		

COMPUTED FROM MEASURED FIELD DATA

Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Discharge (cfs)	Percent Discharge
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0.19	0.15	0.12	0.08	3.46
1.51	0	0	0	0
1.52	0.18	0.27	0.18	7.78
1.51	0.3	0.45	0.29	12.97
1.5	0.3	0.45	0.29	12.97
1.5	0.42	0.63	0.41	18.16
1.51	0.27	0.41	0.26	11.67
1.51	0.38	0.57	0.37	16.43
1.52	0.15	0.23	0.15	6.48
1.51	0	0	0	0
1.51	0.2	0.3	0.2	8.65
1.51	0.05	0.05	0.03	1.44
0.5	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

DISCLAIMER

"The Colorado Water Conservation Board makes no representations about the use of the software contained in the R2Cross platform for any purpose besides that for which it was designed. To the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, all information, modeling results, and software are provided "as is" without warranty or condition of any kind, including all implied warranties or conditions of merchantability, or fitness for a particular purpose. The user assumes all responsibility for the accuracy and suitability of this program for a specific application. In no event shall the Colorado Water Conservation Board or any state agency, official or employee be liable for any direct, indirect, punitive, incidental, special, consequential damages or any damages whatsoever including, without limitation, damages for loss of use, data, profits, or savings arising from the implementation, reliance on, or use of or inability to use the R2Cross platform.

R2Cross RESULTS

Stream Name: Curecanti

Stream Locations: Near USFS Boundary

Fieldwork Date: 08/10/2021

Cross-section: 3

Observers: Birch McDowell Gibrey Powhida

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13

X (easting): 290117

Y (northing): 4264455

Date Processed: 11/23/2022

Slope: 0.012

Discharge: Entered Value: 2.26 (cfs)

Computation method: Ferguson VPE


a1: 6.5

a2: 2.5

R2Cross data filename: 3-Curecanti_8_10_21-Q=2.26.xlsx

R2Cross version: 2.0.2

LOCATION



ANALYSIS RESULTS

Habitat Criteria Results

Bankfull top width (ft) = 38.82

	Habitat Criteria	Discharge (cfs) Meeting Criteria
Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	5.44
Percent Wetted Perimeter (%)	50.0	0.33
Mean Velocity (ft/s)	1.0	16.84

STAGING TABLE

Feature	Distance to Water (ft)	Top Width (ft)	Mean Depth (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)	Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Percent Wetted Perimeter	Hydraulic Radius (ft)	Manning's n	Mean Velocity (ft/s)	Discharge (cfs)
Bankfull	4.67	38.82	1.77	2.57	68.69	40.09	100.0	1.71	0.06	3.98	273.09
	4.67	38.8	1.77	2.57	68.58	40.07	99.95	1.71	0.06	3.97	272.35
	4.72	38.44	1.73	2.52	66.65	39.7	99.02	1.68	0.06	3.88	258.85
	4.77	38.08	1.7	2.47	64.74	39.32	98.09	1.65	0.06	3.8	245.73
	4.82	37.72	1.67	2.42	62.84	38.95	97.16	1.61	0.06	3.71	232.96
	4.87	37.37	1.63	2.37	60.97	38.58	96.23	1.58	0.06	3.62	220.55
	4.92	37.01	1.6	2.32	59.11	38.2	95.29	1.55	0.06	3.53	208.51
	4.97	36.65	1.56	2.27	57.26	37.83	94.36	1.51	0.06	3.44	196.82
	5.02	36.29	1.53	2.22	55.44	37.46	93.43	1.48	0.06	3.35	185.49
	5.07	35.93	1.49	2.17	53.64	37.08	92.5	1.45	0.06	3.25	174.52
	5.12	35.57	1.46	2.12	51.85	36.71	91.57	1.41	0.06	3.16	163.91
	5.17	35.21	1.42	2.07	50.08	36.34	90.64	1.38	0.07	3.07	153.64
	5.22	34.85	1.39	2.02	48.33	35.96	89.71	1.34	0.07	2.97	143.73
	5.27	34.49	1.35	1.97	46.59	35.59	88.78	1.31	0.07	2.88	134.18
	5.32	34.13	1.31	1.92	44.88	35.22	87.85	1.27	0.07	2.78	124.97
	5.37	33.73	1.28	1.87	43.18	34.8	86.82	1.24	0.07	2.69	116.28
	5.42	33.28	1.25	1.82	41.51	34.34	85.66	1.21	0.07	2.61	108.14
	5.47	32.81	1.21	1.77	39.85	33.86	84.47	1.18	0.07	2.52	100.4
	5.52	32.34	1.18	1.72	38.23	33.38	83.27	1.15	0.07	2.43	92.98
	5.57	31.87	1.15	1.67	36.62	32.9	82.07	1.11	0.07	2.35	85.88
	5.62	31.4	1.12	1.62	35.04	32.42	80.88	1.08	0.08	2.26	79.11
	5.67	30.94	1.08	1.57	33.48	31.94	79.68	1.05	0.08	2.17	72.65
	5.72	30.47	1.05	1.52	31.94	31.46	78.48	1.02	0.08	2.08	66.49
	5.77	30.0	1.01	1.47	30.43	30.98	77.29	0.98	0.08	1.99	60.65
	5.82	29.59	0.98	1.42	28.94	30.56	76.23	0.95	0.08	1.9	54.98

	5.87	29.35	0.94	1.37	27.47	30.29	75.57	0.91	0.09	1.79	49.24
	5.92	29.11	0.89	1.32	26.01	30.03	74.91	0.87	0.09	1.69	43.84
	5.97	28.87	0.85	1.27	24.56	29.77	74.25	0.83	0.09	1.58	38.77
	6.02	28.64	0.81	1.22	23.12	29.5	73.59	0.78	0.09	1.47	34.03
	6.07	28.4	0.76	1.17	21.7	29.24	72.93	0.74	0.1	1.37	29.63
	6.12	28.16	0.72	1.12	20.28	28.97	72.27	0.7	0.1	1.26	25.55
	6.17	27.92	0.68	1.07	18.88	28.71	71.62	0.66	0.11	1.15	21.81
	6.22	27.69	0.63	1.02	17.49	28.45	70.96	0.61	0.11	1.05	18.38
	6.27	27.45	0.59	0.97	16.11	28.18	70.3	0.57	0.12	0.95	15.28
	6.32	27.21	0.54	0.92	14.74	27.92	69.64	0.53	0.13	0.85	12.5
	6.37	26.97	0.5	0.87	13.39	27.65	68.98	0.48	0.13	0.75	10.03
	6.42	26.74	0.45	0.82	12.05	27.39	68.33	0.44	0.14	0.65	7.85
	6.47	26.54	0.4	0.77	10.72	27.16	67.76	0.39	0.16	0.56	5.97
	6.52	26.34	0.36	0.72	9.39	26.93	67.18	0.35	0.17	0.47	4.37
	6.57	25.98	0.31	0.67	8.08	26.56	66.25	0.3	0.19	0.38	3.08
Waterline	6.62	25.19	0.27	0.62	6.8	25.76	64.25	0.26	0.22	0.31	2.11
	6.67	24.4	0.23	0.57	5.56	24.96	62.25	0.22	0.25	0.24	1.34
	6.72	23.22	0.19	0.52	4.37	23.75	59.23	0.18	0.29	0.18	0.79
	6.77	21.33	0.15	0.47	3.26	21.81	54.41	0.15	0.34	0.13	0.43
	6.82	17.89	0.13	0.42	2.27	18.32	45.69	0.12	0.4	0.1	0.23
	6.87	14.45	0.1	0.37	1.45	14.82	36.96	0.1	0.49	0.07	0.1
	6.92	10.54	0.08	0.32	0.83	10.84	27.04	0.08	0.6	0.05	0.04
	6.97	6.12	0.07	0.27	0.42	6.36	15.88	0.07	0.68	0.04	0.02
	7.02	2.22	0.1	0.22	0.21	2.42	6.03	0.09	0.54	0.06	0.01
	7.07	1.5	0.08	0.17	0.12	1.65	4.12	0.07	0.61	0.05	0.01
	7.12	1.03	0.06	0.12	0.06	1.13	2.82	0.05	0.81	0.03	0.0
	7.17	0.59	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.65	1.62	0.03	1.29	0.01	0.0
	7.22	0.15	0.01	0.02	0.0	0.17	0.42	0.01	3.99	0.0	0.0
	7.22	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.0	0.14	0.36	0.01	4.5	0.0	0.0

This Manning's roughness coefficient was calculated based on velocity estimates from the Ferguson VPE method

MODEL SUMMARY

Measured Flow (Qm) =	2.26	(cfs)
Calculated Flow (Qc) =	2.19	(cfs)
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	3.14%	
Measured Waterline (WLm) =	6.7	(ft)
Calculated Waterline (WLc) =	6.62	(ft)
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	1.08%	
Max Measured Depth (Dm) =	0.65	(ft)
Max Calculated Depth (Dc) =	0.62	(ft)
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100 =	5.03%	
Mean Velocity =	0.32	(ft/s)
Manning's n =	0.208	
a1	6.5	
a2	2.5	
0.4 * Qm =	0.9	(cfs)
2.5 * Qm =	5.65	(cfs)

FIELD DATA

Feature	Station (ft)	Rod Height (ft)	Water depth (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)
Bankfull	0	4.65		
	2.7	5.35		
	5.3	5.81		
	6.1	6.56		
Waterline	7.9	6.7		
	8	7.24	0.65	
	9.2	7.1	0.54	
	10.4	6.98	0.42	
	11.6	7.02	0.47	
	12.8	6.88	0.31	
	14	6.98	0.38	
	15.2	6.84	0.3	
	16.4	6.96	0.34	
	17.6	6.98	0.4	
	18.8	7.03	0.4	
	20	6.78	0.08	
	21.2	6.86	0.2	
	22.4	6.93	0.3	
	23.6	6.95	0.37	
	24.8	6.82	0.18	
	26	6.8	0.18	
	27.2	6.72	0.01	
	28.4	6.89	0.2	
	29.6	6.84	0.17	
	30.8	6.74	0.07	
Waterline	31.9	6.69	0	
	32.7	6.42		
	34.8	5.85		
	36.4	5.42		
	38	4.94		

Bankfull	38.9	4.67
	40.3	4.53

COMPUTED FROM MEASURED FIELD DATA

Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Discharge (cfs)	Percent Discharge
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0.55	0.65	0.42	0.14	6.21
1.21	0.54	0.65	0.22	9.53
1.21	0.42	0.5	0.17	7.41
1.2	0.47	0.56	0.19	8.29
1.21	0.31	0.37	0.12	5.47
1.2	0.38	0.46	0.15	6.7
1.21	0.3	0.36	0.12	5.29
1.21	0.34	0.41	0.14	6
1.2	0.4	0.48	0.16	7.06
1.2	0.4	0.48	0.16	7.06
1.23	0.08	0.1	0.03	1.41
1.2	0.2	0.24	0.08	3.53
1.2	0.3	0.36	0.12	5.29
1.2	0.37	0.44	0.15	6.53
1.21	0.18	0.22	0.07	3.17
1.2	0.18	0.22	0.07	3.17
1.2	0.01	0.01	0	0.18
1.21	0.2	0.24	0.08	3.53
1.2	0.17	0.2	0.07	3
1.2	0.07	0.08	0.03	1.18
1.1	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

DISCLAIMER

"The Colorado Water Conservation Board makes no representations about the use of the software contained in the R2Cross platform for any purpose besides that for which it was designed. To the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, all information, modeling results, and software are provided "as is" without warranty or condition of any kind, including all implied warranties or conditions of merchantability, or fitness for a particular purpose. The user assumes all responsibility for the accuracy and suitability of this program for a specific application. In no event shall the Colorado Water Conservation Board or any state agency, official or employee be liable for any direct, indirect, punitive, incidental, special, consequential damages or any damages whatsoever including, without limitation, damages for loss of use, data, profits, or savings arising from the implementation, reliance on, or use of or inability to use the R2Cross platform.

R2Cross RESULTS

Stream Name: Curecanti

Stream Locations: Near USFS Boundary

Fieldwork Date: 08/10/2021

Cross-section: 3

Observers: Birch McDowell Gibrey Powhida

Coordinate System: UTM Zone 13

X (easting): 290117

Y (northing): 4264455

Date Processed: 11/23/2022

Slope: 0.012

Discharge: Entered Value: 2.26 (cfs)

Computation method: Ferguson VPE


a1: 6.5

a2: 2.5

R2Cross data filename: 3-Curecanti_8_10_21-Q=2.26.xlsx

R2Cross version: 2.0.2

LOCATION



ANALYSIS RESULTS

Habitat Criteria Results

Bankfull top width (ft) = 38.82

	Habitat Criteria	Discharge (cfs) Meeting Criteria
Mean Depth (ft)	0.4	5.44
Percent Wetted Perimeter (%)	50.0	0.33
Mean Velocity (ft/s)	1.0	16.84

STAGING TABLE

Feature	Distance to Water (ft)	Top Width (ft)	Mean Depth (ft)	Maximum Depth (ft)	Area (sq ft)	Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Percent Wetted Perimeter	Hydraulic Radius (ft)	Manning's n	Mean Velocity (ft/s)	Discharge (cfs)
Bankfull	4.67	38.82	1.77	2.57	68.69	40.09	100.0	1.71	0.06	3.98	273.09
	4.67	38.8	1.77	2.57	68.58	40.07	99.95	1.71	0.06	3.97	272.35
	4.72	38.44	1.73	2.52	66.65	39.7	99.02	1.68	0.06	3.88	258.85
	4.77	38.08	1.7	2.47	64.74	39.32	98.09	1.65	0.06	3.8	245.73
	4.82	37.72	1.67	2.42	62.84	38.95	97.16	1.61	0.06	3.71	232.96
	4.87	37.37	1.63	2.37	60.97	38.58	96.23	1.58	0.06	3.62	220.55
	4.92	37.01	1.6	2.32	59.11	38.2	95.29	1.55	0.06	3.53	208.51
	4.97	36.65	1.56	2.27	57.26	37.83	94.36	1.51	0.06	3.44	196.82
	5.02	36.29	1.53	2.22	55.44	37.46	93.43	1.48	0.06	3.35	185.49
	5.07	35.93	1.49	2.17	53.64	37.08	92.5	1.45	0.06	3.25	174.52
	5.12	35.57	1.46	2.12	51.85	36.71	91.57	1.41	0.06	3.16	163.91
	5.17	35.21	1.42	2.07	50.08	36.34	90.64	1.38	0.07	3.07	153.64
	5.22	34.85	1.39	2.02	48.33	35.96	89.71	1.34	0.07	2.97	143.73
	5.27	34.49	1.35	1.97	46.59	35.59	88.78	1.31	0.07	2.88	134.18
	5.32	34.13	1.31	1.92	44.88	35.22	87.85	1.27	0.07	2.78	124.97
	5.37	33.73	1.28	1.87	43.18	34.8	86.82	1.24	0.07	2.69	116.28
	5.42	33.28	1.25	1.82	41.51	34.34	85.66	1.21	0.07	2.61	108.14
	5.47	32.81	1.21	1.77	39.85	33.86	84.47	1.18	0.07	2.52	100.4
	5.52	32.34	1.18	1.72	38.23	33.38	83.27	1.15	0.07	2.43	92.98
	5.57	31.87	1.15	1.67	36.62	32.9	82.07	1.11	0.07	2.35	85.88
	5.62	31.4	1.12	1.62	35.04	32.42	80.88	1.08	0.08	2.26	79.11
	5.67	30.94	1.08	1.57	33.48	31.94	79.68	1.05	0.08	2.17	72.65
	5.72	30.47	1.05	1.52	31.94	31.46	78.48	1.02	0.08	2.08	66.49
	5.77	30.0	1.01	1.47	30.43	30.98	77.29	0.98	0.08	1.99	60.65
	5.82	29.59	0.98	1.42	28.94	30.56	76.23	0.95	0.08	1.9	54.98

	5.87	29.35	0.94	1.37	27.47	30.29	75.57	0.91	0.09	1.79	49.24
	5.92	29.11	0.89	1.32	26.01	30.03	74.91	0.87	0.09	1.69	43.84
	5.97	28.87	0.85	1.27	24.56	29.77	74.25	0.83	0.09	1.58	38.77
	6.02	28.64	0.81	1.22	23.12	29.5	73.59	0.78	0.09	1.47	34.03
	6.07	28.4	0.76	1.17	21.7	29.24	72.93	0.74	0.1	1.37	29.63
	6.12	28.16	0.72	1.12	20.28	28.97	72.27	0.7	0.1	1.26	25.55
	6.17	27.92	0.68	1.07	18.88	28.71	71.62	0.66	0.11	1.15	21.81
	6.22	27.69	0.63	1.02	17.49	28.45	70.96	0.61	0.11	1.05	18.38
	6.27	27.45	0.59	0.97	16.11	28.18	70.3	0.57	0.12	0.95	15.28
	6.32	27.21	0.54	0.92	14.74	27.92	69.64	0.53	0.13	0.85	12.5
	6.37	26.97	0.5	0.87	13.39	27.65	68.98	0.48	0.13	0.75	10.03
	6.42	26.74	0.45	0.82	12.05	27.39	68.33	0.44	0.14	0.65	7.85
	6.47	26.54	0.4	0.77	10.72	27.16	67.76	0.39	0.16	0.56	5.97
	6.52	26.34	0.36	0.72	9.39	26.93	67.18	0.35	0.17	0.47	4.37
	6.57	25.98	0.31	0.67	8.08	26.56	66.25	0.3	0.19	0.38	3.08
Waterline	6.62	25.19	0.27	0.62	6.8	25.76	64.25	0.26	0.22	0.31	2.11
	6.67	24.4	0.23	0.57	5.56	24.96	62.25	0.22	0.25	0.24	1.34
	6.72	23.22	0.19	0.52	4.37	23.75	59.23	0.18	0.29	0.18	0.79
	6.77	21.33	0.15	0.47	3.26	21.81	54.41	0.15	0.34	0.13	0.43
	6.82	17.89	0.13	0.42	2.27	18.32	45.69	0.12	0.4	0.1	0.23
	6.87	14.45	0.1	0.37	1.45	14.82	36.96	0.1	0.49	0.07	0.1
	6.92	10.54	0.08	0.32	0.83	10.84	27.04	0.08	0.6	0.05	0.04
	6.97	6.12	0.07	0.27	0.42	6.36	15.88	0.07	0.68	0.04	0.02
	7.02	2.22	0.1	0.22	0.21	2.42	6.03	0.09	0.54	0.06	0.01
	7.07	1.5	0.08	0.17	0.12	1.65	4.12	0.07	0.61	0.05	0.01
	7.12	1.03	0.06	0.12	0.06	1.13	2.82	0.05	0.81	0.03	0.0
	7.17	0.59	0.03	0.07	0.02	0.65	1.62	0.03	1.29	0.01	0.0
	7.22	0.15	0.01	0.02	0.0	0.17	0.42	0.01	3.99	0.0	0.0
	7.22	0.13	0.01	0.01	0.0	0.14	0.36	0.01	4.5	0.0	0.0

This Manning's roughness coefficient was calculated based on velocity estimates from the Ferguson VPE method

MODEL SUMMARY

Measured Flow (Qm) =	2.26	(cfs)
Calculated Flow (Qc) =	2.19	(cfs)
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	3.14%	
Measured Waterline (WLm) =	6.7	(ft)
Calculated Waterline (WLC) =	6.62	(ft)
(WLm-WLC)/WLm * 100 =	1.08%	
Max Measured Depth (Dm) =	0.65	(ft)
Max Calculated Depth (Dc) =	0.62	(ft)
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100 =	5.03%	
Mean Velocity =	0.32	(ft/s)
Manning's n =	0.208	
a1	6.5	
a2	2.5	
0.4 * Qm =	0.9	(cfs)
2.5 * Qm =	5.65	(cfs)

FIELD DATA

Feature	Station (ft)	Rod Height (ft)	Water depth (ft)	Velocity (ft/s)
Bankfull	0	4.65		
	2.7	5.35		
	5.3	5.81		
	6.1	6.56		
Waterline	7.9	6.7		
	8	7.24	0.65	
	9.2	7.1	0.54	
	10.4	6.98	0.42	
	11.6	7.02	0.47	
	12.8	6.88	0.31	
	14	6.98	0.38	
	15.2	6.84	0.3	
	16.4	6.96	0.34	
	17.6	6.98	0.4	
	18.8	7.03	0.4	
	20	6.78	0.08	
	21.2	6.86	0.2	
	22.4	6.93	0.3	
	23.6	6.95	0.37	
	24.8	6.82	0.18	
	26	6.8	0.18	
	27.2	6.72	0.01	
	28.4	6.89	0.2	
	29.6	6.84	0.17	
	30.8	6.74	0.07	
Waterline	31.9	6.69	0	
	32.7	6.42		
	34.8	5.85		
	36.4	5.42		
	38	4.94		

Bankfull	38.9	4.67
	40.3	4.53

COMPUTED FROM MEASURED FIELD DATA

Wetted Perimeter (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Area (ft^2)	Discharge (cfs)	Percent Discharge
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0.55	0.65	0.42	0.14	6.21
1.21	0.54	0.65	0.22	9.53
1.21	0.42	0.5	0.17	7.41
1.2	0.47	0.56	0.19	8.29
1.21	0.31	0.37	0.12	5.47
1.2	0.38	0.46	0.15	6.7
1.21	0.3	0.36	0.12	5.29
1.21	0.34	0.41	0.14	6
1.2	0.4	0.48	0.16	7.06
1.2	0.4	0.48	0.16	7.06
1.23	0.08	0.1	0.03	1.41
1.2	0.2	0.24	0.08	3.53
1.2	0.3	0.36	0.12	5.29
1.2	0.37	0.44	0.15	6.53
1.21	0.18	0.22	0.07	3.17
1.2	0.18	0.22	0.07	3.17
1.2	0.01	0.01	0	0.18
1.21	0.2	0.24	0.08	3.53
1.2	0.17	0.2	0.07	3
1.2	0.07	0.08	0.03	1.18
1.1	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	0

DISCLAIMER

"The Colorado Water Conservation Board makes no representations about the use of the software contained in the R2Cross platform for any purpose besides that for which it was designed. To the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, all information, modeling results, and software are provided "as is" without warranty or condition of any kind, including all implied warranties or conditions of merchantability, or fitness for a particular purpose. The user assumes all responsibility for the accuracy and suitability of this program for a specific application. In no event shall the Colorado Water Conservation Board or any state agency, official or employee be liable for any direct, indirect, punitive, incidental, special, consequential damages or any damages whatsoever including, without limitation, damages for loss of use, data, profits, or savings arising from the implementation, reliance on, or use of or inability to use the R2Cross platform.



Curecanti Creek (Lower) Fish Survey Data

Water 39518

Curecanti Creek

Date 8/15/2005

Station GU1852

675 M ABV CO RD 720

Drainage **Gunnison River**

UtmX **291252**

UtmY **4266561**

Elevation **2498 m**

Length **152 m**

Width **4.88 m**

Area **0.07 Ha**

Surveyors **DAN BRAUCH, CHIP LAMAR, PAUL ERKER, CHRIS CATULLO**

Gear **NOT LISTED**

Effort

2.00

Metric **PASS**

Protocol **TWO-PASS REMOVAL**

Total catch **158**

Species	Count	Length (mm)	Weight (gm)	Status	Mark	Tag ID	Habitat
BRK	1	147	40	1			AL
BRK	1	132	25	1			AL
BRK	1	120	20	1			AL
BRK	1	190	70	1			AL
BRK	1	163	50	1			AL
BRK	1	177	60	2			AL
BRK	1	136	30	1			AL
BRK	1	153	40	1			AL
BRK	1	157	60	1			AL
RBT	1	175	50	1			AL
BRK	1	142	30	1			AL
BRK	1	90	10	1			AL
BRK	1	134	25	1			AL
BRK	1	139	60	1			AL
BRK	1	137	25	1			AL
BRK	1	175	50	1			AL
BRK	1	155	40	1			AL



Curecanti Creek (Lower) Fish Survey Data

Water 39518

Curecanti Creek

Date 8/15/2005

Station GU1852

675 M ABV CO RD 720

Species	Count	Length (mm)	Weight (gm)	Status	Mark	Tag ID	Habitat
BRK	1	122	20	1			AL
BRK	1	162	45	1			AL
BRK	1	158	50	1			AL
RBT	1	216	110	1			AL
BRK	1	157	40	1			AL
BRK	1	146	35	1			AL
BRK	1	161	45	1			AL
BRK	1	138	25	1			AL
BRK	1	113	30	1			AL
BRK	1	219	105	1			AL
BRK	1	125	20	1			AL
BRK	1	154	45	1			AL
BRK	1	140	25	1			AL
BRK	1	119	15	1			AL
BRK	1	161	45	1			AL
BRK	1	163	40	1			AL
BRK	1	164	40	1			AL
BRK	1	145	30	1			AL
BRK	1	132	30	1			AL
BRK	1	73	5	1			AL
BRK	1	211	115	1			AL
BRK	1	117	20	1			AL
BRK	1	158	45	1			AL



Curecanti Creek (Lower) Fish Survey Data

Water 39518

Curecanti Creek

Date 8/15/2005

Station GU1852

675 M ABV CO RD 720

Species	Count	Length (mm)	Weight (gm)	Status	Mark	Tag ID	Habitat
BRK	1	125	25	1			AL
BRK	1	119	15	1			AL
BRK	1	137	25	1			AL
BRK	1	133	35	1			AL
RBT	1	123	20	1			AL
BRK	1	125	30	1			AL
BRK	1	163	55	1			AL
BRK	1	187	70	1			AL
BRK	1	212	105	1			AL
RBT	1	182	80	1			AL
BRK	1	193	80	1			AL
RBT	1	139	60	1			AL
BRK	1	115	15	1			AL
BRK	1	218	120	2			AL
BRK	1	64	5	1			AL
BRK	1	135	30	1			AL
BRK	1	122	20	1			AL
BRK	1	181	70	1			AL
BRK	1	157	45	1			AL
RBT	1	265	200	1			AL
BRK	1	57	5	1			AL
BRK	1	63	5	1			AL
BRK	1	67	5	1			AL



Curecanti Creek (Lower) Fish Survey Data

Water 39518

Curecanti Creek

Date 8/15/2005

Station GU1852

675 M ABV CO RD 720

Species	Count	Length (mm)	Weight (gm)	Status	Mark	Tag ID	Habitat
BRK	1	60	5	2			AL
BRK	1	135	25	2			AL
BRK	1	142	35	2			AL
BRK	1	143	30	1			AL
BRK	1	149	35	2			AL
BRK	1	189	80	1			AL
RBT	1	232	130	2			AL
BRK	1	188	70	2			AL
BRK	1	144	40	1			AL
RBT	1	48	5	1			AL
RBT	1	47	5	1			AL
BRK	1	137	25	1			AL
BRK	1	136	30	1			AL
BRK	1	120	20	1			AL
BRK	1	136	25	1			AL
RBT	1	172	55	1			AL
BRK	1	125	20	1			AL
BRK	1	145	30	1			AL
BRK	1	172	55	1			AL
BRK	1	147	35	2			AL
BRK	1	178	55	1			AL
BRK	1	150	35	1			AL
BRK	1	142	30	1			AL



Curecanti Creek (Lower) Fish Survey Data

Water 39518

Curecanti Creek

Date 8/15/2005

Station GU1852

675 M ABV CO RD 720

Species	Count	Length (mm)	Weight (gm)	Status	Mark	Tag ID	Habitat
BRK	1	133	25	1			AL
BRK	1	147	35	1			AL
BRK	1	147	35	1			AL
BRK	1	138	30	1			AL
BRK	1	100	15	1			AL
RBT	1	167	55	2			AL
BRK	1	131	20	1			AL
BRK	1	205	90	1			AL
BRK	1	209	125	1			AL
BRK	1	177	55	1			AL
BRK	1	202	70	1			AL
BRK	1	211	95	1			AL
BRK	1	121	20	1			AL
BRK	1	146	35	1			AL
BRK	1	171	60	1			AL
RBT	1	207	95	1			AL
BRK	1	205	90	1			AL
BRK	1	143	30	2			AL
BRK	1	162	50	1			AL
BRK	1	164	50	1			AL
BRK	1	159	40	2			AL
BRK	1	159	45	1			AL
BRK	1	160	35	1			AL



Curecanti Creek (Lower) Fish Survey Data

Water 39518

Curecanti Creek

Date 8/15/2005

Station GU1852

675 M ABV CO RD 720

Species	Count	Length (mm)	Weight (gm)	Status	Mark	Tag ID	Habitat
BRK	1	146	35	1			AL
BRK	1	240	130	1			AL
BRK	1	168	50	1			AL
BRK	1	68	5	1			AL
BRK	1	191	85	1			AL
BRK	1	131	20	1			AL
BRK	1	212	115	1			AL
BRK	1	150	40	1			AL
BRK	1	138	35	1			AL
BRK	1	142	35	1			AL
BRK	1	137	25	1			AL
BRK	1	206	85	1			AL
BRK	1	66	5	1			AL
BRK	1	258	145	1			AL
BRK	1	154	45	1			AL
RBT	1	212	110	1			AL
BRK	1	75	5	1			AL
RBT	1	240	150	1			AL
RBT	1	211	100	1			AL
RBT	1	235	140	1			AL
BRK	1	65	5	1			AL
BRK	1	120	20	1			AL
BRK	1	189	70	1			AL



Curecanti Creek (Lower) Fish Survey Data

Water 39518

Curecanti Creek

Date 8/15/2005

Station GU1852

675 M ABV CO RD 720

Species	Count	Length (mm)	Weight (gm)	Status	Mark	Tag ID	Habitat
BRK	1	167	50	1			AL
BRK	1	110	10	1			AL
BRK	1	132	20	1			AL
BRK	1	65	5	1			AL
BRK	1	140	25	1			AL
BRK	1	71	5	1			AL
BRK	1	202	85	1			AL
BRK	1	148	40	1			AL
BRK	1	137	25	1			AL
BRK	1	121	20	1			AL
BRK	1	149	40	1			AL
BRK	1	127	20	2			AL
BRK	1	64	5	2			AL
BRK	1	71	5	2			AL
BRK	1	81	5	2			AL
BRK	1	136	25	2			AL
BRK	1	104	10	2			AL
BRK	1	130	25	2			AL
BRK	1	205	90	1			AL
BRK	1	182	55	1			AL
BRK	1	143	25	1			AL
BRK	1	158	50	1			AL
BRK	1	168	50	1			AL



Curecanti Creek (Lower) Fish Survey Data

Water 39518

Curecanti Creek

Date 8/15/2005

Station GU1852

675 M ABV CO RD 720

Species	Count	Length (mm)	Weight (gm)	Status	Mark	Tag ID	Habitat
BRK	1	125	20	1			AL
BRK	1	195	80	1			AL
CRN	1	261	160	1			AL

Notes: Sample Labels

BRK = Brook Trout

RBT = Rainbow Trout

CRN = Colorado River Cutthroat Trout







Discharge Measurment Field Visit Data Report (Filters: Name begins with Curecanti;)

Div	Name	CWCB Case Number	Segment ID	Meas. Date	UTM	Location	Flow Amount (cfs)	Meas #	Rating	Station ID
4	Curecanti Creek		21/4/A-014	06/24/2022	UTMx: 288642 UTMy: 4259537	Curecanti Creek at Curecanti Creek trail crossing	19.02	1	g	

Profile Name: CURI
 Operator Name: DM
 13:55:00 08.10.2021

Stage Reference: 0.00 ft

Model: FH950
 s/n: 210851004850
 Boot: v1.00
 Application: v1.06

Sensor Type: Velocity Only
 s/n: 210900339474
 Boot: v1.00
 Application: v1.02

Filter: FPA Parameter: 10 s
 Pre-filter: On Rank: 5
 EMI: 60Hz.

Station Entry: Non-fixed
 Flow Calculation: Mid-section
 Start Edge: -
 # of Stations: 20
 Stream Width: 13.00 ft
 Total Discharge: 2.26 ft³/s
 Total Area: 6.65 ft²
 Mean Depth: 0.51 ft

Measurement Results:

Time	Station	Location (ft)	Method	Depth (ft)	Edge Factor	Surface (ft/s)	0.2 (ft/s)	0.4 (ft/s)	0.6 (ft/s)	0.8 (ft/s)	Bed (ft/s)	Average Velocity (ft/s)	Area (ft ²)	Flow (ft ³ /s)
13:42:12	1	3	1 point	0.25	-	0	0	0	0.1	0	0	0.1	0	0
13:42:42	2	3.5	1 point	0.1	-	0	0	0	0.11	0	0	0.11	0.05	0
13:43:23	3	4	1 point	0.4	-	0	0	0	0.09	0	0	0.09	0.2	0.02
13:44:11	4	4.5	1 point	0.25	-	0	0	0	0.15	0	0	0.15	0.12	0.02
13:44:54	5	5	1 point	0.55	-	0	0	0	0.14	0	0	0.14	0.27	0.04
13:45:31	6	5.5	1 point	0.5	-	0	0	0	0.48	0	0	0.48	0.25	0.12
13:46:08	7	6	1 point	0.6	-	0	0	0	0.5	0	0	0.5	0.3	0.15
13:46:44	8	6.5	1 point	0.6	-	0	0	0	0.61	0	0	0.61	0.3	0.18
13:47:16	9	7	1 point	0.2	-	0	0	0	0.49	0	0	0.49	0.1	0.05
13:47:53	10	7.5	1 point	0.4	-	0	0	0	0.4	0	0	0.4	0.2	0.08
13:48:25	11	8	1 point	0.4	-	0	0	0	0.43	0	0	0.43	0.2	0.09
13:48:57	12	8.5	1 point	0.2	-	0	0	0	0.32	0	0	0.32	0.1	0.03
13:49:38	13	9	1 point	0.55	-	0	0	0	0.38	0	0	0.38	0.27	0.1
13:50:20	14	9.5	1 point	0.55	-	0	0	0	0.33	0	0	0.33	0.27	0.09
13:51:01	15	10	1 point	0.55	-	0	0	0	0.41	0	0	0.41	0.27	0.11
13:51:37	16	10.5	1 point	0.5	-	0	0	0	0.76	0	0	0.76	0.37	0.29
13:52:19	17	11.5	1 point	0.4	-	0	0	0	0.49	0	0	0.49	0.4	0.19
13:53:00	18	12.5	1 point	0.5	-	0	0	0	0.61	0	0	0.61	0.62	0.38
13:53:43	19	14	1 point	0.3	-	0	0	0	0.16	0	0	0.16	0.52	0.08
13:54:19	20	16	1 point	0.2	-	0	0	0	0.12	0	0	0.12	1.8	0.22

Discharge Measurement Summary

Date Generated: Thu Oct 6 2022

File Information

File Name CURECANT.01.WAD
Start Date and Time 2020/10/06 13:32:55

Site Details

Site Name
Operator(s) KS

System Information

Sensor Type FlowTracker
Serial # P5691
CPU Firmware Version 3.9
Software Ver 2.30
Mounting Correction 0.0%

Units (English Units)

Distance ft
Velocity ft/s
Area ft²
Discharge cfs

Discharge Uncertainty

Category	ISO	Stats
Accuracy	1.0%	1.0%
Depth	0.3%	7.7%
Velocity	1.7%	12.8%
Width	0.1%	0.1%
Method	1.9%	-
# Stations	2.0%	-
Overall	3.4%	15.0%

Summary

Averaging Int. 30 # Stations 26
Start Edge LEW Total Width 21.000
Mean SNR 32.3 dB Total Area 9.675
Mean Temp 54.07 °F Mean Depth 0.461
Disch. Equation Mid-Section Mean Velocity 0.2865
Total Discharge 2.7723

Measurement Results

St	Clock	Loc	Method	Depth	%Dep	MeasD	Vel	CorrFact	MeanV	Area	Flow	%Q
0	13:32	1.00	None	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	1.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0
1	13:32	2.00	0.6	0.600	0.6	0.240	0.1358	1.00	0.1358	0.600	0.0815	2.9
2	13:34	3.00	0.6	0.750	0.6	0.300	0.1969	1.00	0.1969	0.750	0.1476	5.3
3	13:35	4.00	0.6	1.350	0.6	0.540	0.0958	1.00	0.0958	1.350	0.1293	4.7
4	13:38	5.00	0.6	1.100	0.6	0.440	0.0866	1.00	0.0866	1.100	0.0953	3.4
5	13:39	6.00	0.6	0.800	0.6	0.320	0.2461	1.00	0.2461	0.600	0.1476	5.3
6	13:58	6.50	0.6	1.200	0.6	0.480	0.5597	1.00	0.5597	0.600	0.3359	12.1
7	13:40	7.00	0.6	0.700	0.6	0.280	0.5725	1.00	0.5725	0.350	0.2004	7.2
8	13:57	7.50	0.6	0.300	0.6	0.120	1.0466	1.00	1.0466	0.150	0.1569	5.7
9	13:41	8.00	0.6	0.400	0.6	0.160	0.6611	1.00	0.6611	0.200	0.1322	4.8
10	13:56	8.50	0.6	0.600	0.6	0.240	0.5610	1.00	0.5610	0.300	0.1683	6.1
11	13:42	9.00	0.6	0.600	0.6	0.240	0.1647	1.00	0.1647	0.450	0.0741	2.7
12	13:43	10.00	0.6	0.400	0.6	0.160	0.5866	1.00	0.5866	0.400	0.2346	8.5
13	13:43	11.00	0.6	0.400	0.6	0.160	0.7241	1.00	0.7241	0.300	0.2172	7.8
14	13:55	11.50	0.6	0.450	0.6	0.180	0.4879	1.00	0.4879	0.225	0.1098	4.0
15	13:44	12.00	0.6	0.400	0.6	0.160	0.3904	1.00	0.3904	0.300	0.1171	4.2
16	13:45	13.00	0.6	0.350	0.6	0.140	0.0781	1.00	0.0781	0.350	0.0273	1.0
17	13:46	14.00	0.6	0.300	0.6	0.120	0.2628	1.00	0.2628	0.300	0.0788	2.8
18	13:48	15.00	0.6	0.300	0.6	0.120	0.4570	1.00	0.4570	0.300	0.1370	4.9
19	13:49	16.00	0.6	0.150	0.6	0.060	0.4075	1.00	0.4075	0.150	0.0611	2.2
20	13:49	17.00	0.6	0.200	0.6	0.080	0.4337	1.00	0.4337	0.200	0.0868	3.1
21	13:50	18.00	0.6	0.150	0.6	0.060	0.1637	1.00	0.1637	0.150	0.0245	0.9
22	13:51	19.00	0.6	0.150	0.6	0.060	0.0072	1.00	0.0072	0.150	0.0011	0.0
23	13:52	20.00	0.6	0.200	0.6	0.080	0.0381	1.00	0.0381	0.200	0.0076	0.3
24	13:53	21.00	0.6	0.200	0.6	0.080	0.0003	1.00	0.0003	0.200	0.0001	0.0
25	13:53	22.00	None	0.000	0.0	0.0	0.0000	1.00	0.0000	0.000	0.0000	0.0

Rows in italics indicate a QC warning. See the Quality Control page of this report for more information.

Discharge Measurement Summary




Date Generated: Thu Oct 6 2022

File Information

File Name CURECANT.01.WAD
Start Date and Time 2020/10/06 13:32:55

Site Details

Site Name
Operator(s) KS



Discharge Measurement Summary

Date Generated: Thu Oct 6 2022

File Information

File Name CURECANT.01.WAD
Start Date and Time 2020/10/06 13:32:55

Site Details

Site Name
Operator(s) KS

Quality Control

St	Loc	%Dep	Message
1	2.00	0.6	High SNR variation during measurement: 5.2,3.9
5	6.00	0.6	High angle: 26
6	6.50	0.6	High standard error: 0.039
7	7.00	0.6	High standard error: 0.041
10	8.50	0.6	High standard error: 0.037
11	9.00	0.6	High angle: 50
12	10.00	0.6	High standard error: 0.060
13	11.00	0.6	High angle: 21
14	11.50	0.6	High angle: 21
16	13.00	0.6	High angle: 22
19	16.00	0.6	Boundary QC is Good; possible boundary interference
20	17.00	0.6	High angle: 21
		0.6	Boundary QC is Good; possible boundary interference
21	18.00	0.6	High angle: -54
		0.6	Boundary QC is Fair; possible boundary interference
22	19.00	0.6	SNR (50.9) is different from typical SNR (32.3)
		0.6	High SNR variation during measurement: 10.3,7.3
23	20.00	0.6	High angle: -32
24	21.00	0.6	High number of spikes: 5
		0.6	High differences in beam SNR: 34.4,14.1
		0.6	High SNR variation during measurement: 5.6,3.4