

Colorado Water Conservation Board

Water Plan Grant Application

Instructions

To receive funding for a Water Plan Grant, applicant must demonstrate how the project, activity, or process (collectively referred to as "project") funded by the CWCB will help meet the measurable objectives and critical actions in the Water Plan. Grant guidelines are available on the CWCB website.

If you have questions, please contact CWCB at (303) 866-3441 or email the following staff to assist you with applications in the following areas:

Water Storage & Supply Projects	Matthew.Stearns@state.co.us
Conservation, Land Use Planning	Kevin.Reidy@state.co.us
Engagement & Innovation Activities	Ben.Wade@state.co.us
Agricultural Projects	Alexander.Funk@state.co.us
Water Sharing & ATM Projects	Alexander.Funk@state.co.us
Environmental & Recreation Projects	Chris.Sturm@state.co.us

FINAL SUBMISSION: Submit all application materials in one email to *waterplan.grants@state.co.us*

in the original file formats [Application (word); Statement of Work (word); Budget/Schedule (excel)]. Please do not combine documents. In the subject line, please include the funding category and name of the project.

Water Project Summary

Name of Applicant	Cross Creek Metr	ropolitan District
Name of Water Project	Hale Reservoir R	estoration, Cross Creek Park
CWP Grant Request Amount		\$ 750,000
GOCO & El Paso County Urban Park Grant		\$500,000 & \$25,000
Other Funding Sources COP-FOUNTAIN		\$ 3,500,000
Other Funding SourcesCCMD CTF FUNDS		\$ 145,000
Applicant Funding Contribution		\$ 882,271
Total Project Cost		\$ 5,802,271



Last Updated: May 2021 **Applicant & Grantee Information** Name of Grantee(s) Cross Creek Metropolitan District Mailing Address P.O. Box 1834, Colorado Springs, CO 80901 FEIN 73-1694343 Organization Contact Elise Bergsten Position/Title District Manager Email elise.balancedmgmt@gmail.com Phone (719) 963-1809 Grant Management Contact Elise Bergsten Position/Title District Manager Email: elise.balancedmgmt@gmail.com Phone: (719) 963-1809 Name of Applicant (if different than grantee) **Mailing Address** Position/Title Email Phone **Description of Grantee/Applicant** Provide a brief description of the grantee's organization (100 words or less). Cross Creek Metropolitan District is a Title-32 Special District located in the Arkansas Basin, at 8115 Parkglen Avenue, Fountain CO 80817. District functions include Parks and Recreation (Education and Outreach) and Stormwater Management. The District's Cross Creek Park is a 60-

acre tract which provides multiple environmental and recreational amenities. These include Hale Reservoir (W-1418), with a pond, wetlands, and riparian habitat. Hale Reservoir will be reconstructed to mitigate storm water runoff and alleviate flooding. The project serves multiple purposes: recreation, water quality improvements, wetland restoration, species habitat enhancement, education and as a non-potable water source park turf irrigation.



	Type of Eligible Entity (check one)
	Public (Government): Municipalities, enterprises, counties, and State of Colorado agencies. Federal agencies are encouraged to work with local entities. Federal agencies are eligible, but only if they can make a compelling case for why a local partner cannot be the grant recipient.
Х	Public (Districts): Authorities, Title 32/special districts (conservancy, conservation, and irrigation districts), and water activity enterprises.
	Private Incorporated: Mutual ditch companies, homeowners associations, corporations.
	Private Individuals, Partnerships, and Sole Proprietors: Private parties may be eligible for funding.
	Non-governmental organizations (NGO): Organization that is not part of the government and is non-profit in nature.
	Covered Entity: As defined in Section 37-60-126 Colorado Revised Statutes.

Type of Water Project (check all that apply)		
	Study	
Х	Construction	
Х	Other: Water Education	

Category of Water Project (check the primary category that applies and include relevant tasks)

X Primary	 Water Storage & Supply - Projects that facilitate the development of additional storage, artificial aquifer recharge, and dredging existing reservoirs to restore the reservoirs' full decreed capacity, multi-beneficial projects, water sharing agreements, Alternative Transfer Methods, and those projects identified in basin implementation plans to address the water supply and demand gap. <i>Applicable Exhibit A Task(s):</i> 1. Construct a new dam to increase water storage capacity at Hale Reservoir while mitigating an historic and on-going flooding challenge, creating new species habitat (wetlands) and a regional recreational amenity with water outreach and education initiatives. 6. Eliminate flood risk from storm events while protecting water quality.
~	Conservation and Land Use Planning - Activities and projects that implement long-term strategies for conservation, land use, water efficiency, and drought planning. <i>Applicable Exhibit A Task(s):</i> 7. Transition from a potable to nonpotable irrigation mode, conserving 10-15 acre-feet
~	 Engagement & Innovation - Activities and projects that support water education, outreach, and innovation efforts. Applicable Exhibit A Task(s): 3. Construct recreational amenities for access to the restored reservoir including an ADA-Accessible fishing ramp and bird watching blinds. 5. Provide educational signage and develop educational programing related to the aquatic and wetland habitat and species.



Last U	pdated:	May 2021
	Agricultur Applicable	al - Projects that provide technical assistance and improve agricultural efficiency. <i>Exhibit A Task(s):</i>
~	Environmo recreation 2. Develo 4. Restor Applicable	ental & Recreation - Projects that promote watershed health, environmental health, and op 10-15 acres of new wetland areas. re 3-4 acres of nonjurisdictional wetlands following construction. <i>Exhibit A Task(s):</i>
~	Other	8. Provide subregional water quality structures upstream of the restored reservoir for improvement of nonpotable water supply and enhanced species habitat.

Location of Water Project			
Please provide the general county and coordinates of the proposed project below in decimal degrees . The Applicant shall also provide, in Exhibit C, a site map if applicable.			
County/Counties	El Paso County		
Latitude	38.711		
Longitude	104.685		

Water Project Overview

Please provide a summary of the proposed water project (200 words or less). Include a description of the project and what the CWP Grant funding will be used for specifically (e.g., studies, permitting process, construction). Provide a description of the water supply source to be utilized or the water body affected by the project, where applicable. Include details such as acres under irrigation, types of crops irrigated, number of residential and commercial taps, length of ditch improvements, length of pipe installed, and area of habitat improvements, where applicable. If this project addresses multiple purposes or spans multiple basins, please explain.

The Applicant shall also provide, in Exhibit A, a detailed Statement of Work, Budget, Other Funding Sources/Amounts and Schedule.



Cross Creek Metropolitan District (CCMD) was formed in El Paso County in November 2003. The purpose of CCMD is to construct and maintain a regional park in Southern El Paso County and the City of Fountain. The Hale Reservoir dam has breached twice in storm events (pre-2012) and been restored at the expense of the CCMD. This grant request's purpose is to rehabilitate Hale Reservoir dam, a historic farm pond decreed in Water Division 2, Case No. W-1814, with a Priority Date of July 19, 1945. W-1814 further states: *"this right shall be junior...to 1972."* The District has procured and conveyed to the City of Fountain ditch company water shares to cover the evaporative loss of the historic structure. The enhanced reservoir will be included in the City of Fountain's blanket well augmentation plan.

New capacity for 72-hour stormwater storage of 10+/- acre-feet, combined with water quality mitigation infrastructure, will support creation of 7.5 or more acres of new wetland habitat (10-15 acres with buffering features). The new pond will provide a source for nonpotable irrigation for the park's five acres of turfed recreation facilities. Combined with restoration of 3.5+/- acres of non-jurisdictional wetland and extensive recreational amenities, including an ADA-accessible fishing pier and boardwalk, this community asset will become a showcase for multi-purpose water resource projects. Educational signage will enhance the communities' enjoyment of the upgraded environmental assets. Collaborating with the City of Fountain, the project partnership is an exemplar of regional cooperation to address water quality, stormwater mitigation, public safety, environmental restoration and recreational amenities.

Measurable Results		
To catalog measurable results achieved with the CWP Grant funds, please provide any of the following values as applicable:		
10+/-	New St	orage Created (acre-feet)
10-15 AF Conserved	New An Consur	nnual Water Supplies Developed or Conserved (acre-feet), nptive or Nonconsumptive
55 AF	Existing Storage Preserved or Enhanced (acre-feet)	
	Length of Stream Restored or Protected (linear feet)	
\$22,000-\$43,000	Efficiency Savings (indicate acre-feet/year OR dollars/year)	
10-15 acres +/-	Area of Restored or Preserved Habitat (acres)	
	Quantity of Water Shared through Alternative Transfer Mechanisms or water sharing agreement	
	Numbe Land U	r of Coloradans Impacted by Incorporating Water-Saving Actions into se Planning
3 mi radius +/- 40,000	Numbe	r of Coloradans Impacted by Engagement Activity
Fort Carson Military Reservation (U.S. Army)	Other	Explain: Fountain is the host community for Fort Carson. Many of the troops stationed at Fort Carson use Cross Creek Park with their young families.



Water Project Justification

Provide a description of how this water project supports the goals of <u>Colorado's Water Plan</u>, the <u>Analysis</u> and <u>Technical Update to the Water Plan</u>, and the applicable Roundtable <u>Basin Implementation Plan</u> and <u>Education Action Plan</u>. The Applicant is required to reference specific needs, goals, themes, or Identified Projects and Processes (IPPs), including citations (e.g. document, chapters, sections, or page numbers).

The proposed water project shall be evaluated based upon how well the proposal conforms to Colorado's Water Plan Framework for State of Colorado Support for a Water Project (CWP, Section 9.4, pp. 9-43 to 9-44;)

This project conforms to the CWP Framework, Chapter 10, in the following ways:

1. The project proponent has demonstrated a commitment to collaboration in working with project partner City of Fountain to address multiple needs:

A. Conservation: This water activity will conserve an estimated 10 - 15 acre-feet of potable water each year. Non-potable water will replace potable water, and will be augmented with inexpensive effluent return flows, in partnership with the City of Fountain.

B. Multiple Participants: The CCMD Board of Directors are volunteers from the adjacent community. A second metropolitan district to the East of the park is contributing park fees to City of Fountain for construction of recreational amenities.

C. Consult and Provide Opportunity for Input: Hale Reservoir was cited in the Arkansas Basin Plan as a project with a defined Plan of Action. Most recently, the project proponent briefed the Environment and Recreation Committee of the Basin Roundtable and received constructive input and a declaration of support pending review of the application.

2. The project addresses an identified water gap when Hale Reservoir was cited in the Arkansas Basin Plan as a project with a defined Plan of Action. Since the 2015 Ark BIP, Hale Reservoir has emerged as a top bird-watching location and is poised to meet Nonconsumptive Needs identified in the Ark BIP update.

3. The project demonstrates sustainability by:

A. Enhancing storm runoff water quality to provide a sustainable nonpotable irrigation source;

B. Maximizes the use of water resources by restoring a water reservoir and using expanded 72-hour storage capacity to develop new wetland and riparian habitat; and

C. Assure the expanded water body is fully augmented as required by the Arkansas River Compact and subsequent *Kansas v. Colorado* lawsuit.

4. The project as redesigned meets the most current technical feasibility by capturing 72-hour storage to create and enhance the environmental attributes of a community park that has become a local favorite for bird watchers.

5. The project as proposed is a partnership with the City of Fountain, who is stepping up with Certificate of Participation (COP) funds in the amount of \$3,500,000. CCMD itself provides a significant cash match and a recurring revenue stream for maintenance of the new facilities and COP repayment.

6. The project is ready to proceed, with CCMD funded updated reservoir design and permitting at 90% completion, a wetland creation and enhancement plan in design and a commitment from Fountain for leased augmentation supplies in support of the nonpotable irrigation. Preliminary design of the nonpotable well structure is complete. The project will be shovel-ready by end of year 2021.

Here are specific references in Chapter 10 that the project addresses: Page 10-8 A. Supply-Demand Gap Meet Colorado's Water Gaps

Related Studies

Please provide a list of any related studies, including if the water project is complementary to or assists in the implementation of other CWCB programs.



Not a study, but a link to the Cross Creek Park e-bird hot spot: <u>https://ebird.org/hotspot/L5094073</u>. 173 species of birds have been spotted at the park since it became a hot spot a few years ago, a remarkable number for a park of its size. Healthy wetlands and upland prairie contribute to the variety of birds spotted.

In 2012, the CWCB approved a grant for final design and permitting of this project. That design was completed in 2013, but construction funding was not available following design and permit approvals. Currently, CCMD has been able to self-fund an update to dam design and permitting. New rules regarding stormwater, water quality and flooding are incorporated in the design edits. These edits are 90% complete, and updated permitting is also underway.

Previous CWCB Grants, Loans or Other Funding

List all previous or current CWCB grants (including WSRF) awarded to both the Applicant and Grantee. Include: 1) Applicant name; 2) Water activity name; 3) Approving RT(s); 4) CWCB board meeting date; 5) Contract number or purchase order; 6) Percentage of other CWCB funding for your overall project.

WSRF Grant 2013

Applicant: Cross Creek Metropolitan District Water Activity Name: Hale Reservoir Renovation – Final Design & Permitting Approving Roundtable: Arkansas Basin Roundtable CWCB Board Meeting Date: September 2012 Contract Date: December 31, 2012 Contract Number: C150504 Percentage of other CWCB funding for overall project: none

Taxpayer Bill of Rights

The Taxpayer Bill of Rights (TABOR) may limit the amount of grant money an entity can receive. Please describe any relevant TABOR issues that may affect your application.

CCMD will remain compliant with all TABOR requirements if the grant/loan package is approved, as will City of Fountain.



	Submittal Checklist
Х	I acknowledge the Grantee will be able to contract with CWCB using the <u>Standard Contract</u> .
	Statement of Work ⁽¹⁾
Х	Budget & Schedule ⁽¹⁾
Х	Engineer's statement of probable cost (projects over \$100,000)
	Letters of Matching and/or Pending 3 rd Party Commitments ⁽¹⁾
	Map (if applicable) ⁽¹⁾
	Photos/Drawings/Reports
	Letters of Support (Optional)
	Certificate of Insurance (General, Auto, & Workers' Comp.) ⁽²⁾
	Certificate of Good Standing with Colorado Secretary of State ⁽²⁾
	W-9 ⁽²⁾
	Independent Contractor Form ⁽²⁾ (If applicant is individual, not company/organization)
Water	Sharing Agreements and Alternative Transfer Methods ONLY
	Water Sharing Agreements and Alternative Transfer Methods <u>Supplemental Application</u> ⁽¹⁾

(1) Required with application.

(2) Required for contracting. While optional at the time of this application, submission can expedite contracting upon CWCB Board approval.



ENGAGEMENT & INNOVATION GRANT FUND SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION

Introduction & Purpose

Colorado's Water Plan calls for an outreach, education, public engagement, and innovation grant fund in Chapter 9.5.

The overall goal of the Engagement & Innovation Grant Fund is to enhance Colorado's water communication, outreach, education, and public engagement efforts; advance Colorado's water supply planning process; and support a statewide water innovation ecosystem.

The grant fund aims to engage the public to promote well-informed community discourse regarding balanced water solutions statewide. The grant fund aims to support water innovation in Colorado. The grant fund prioritizes measuring and evaluating the success of programs, projects, and initiatives. The grant fund prioritizes efforts designed using research, data, and best practices. The grant fund prioritizes a commitment to collaboration and community engagement. The grant fund will support local and statewide efforts.

The grant fund is divided into two tracks: engagement and innovation. The Engagement Track supports education, outreach, communication, and public participation efforts related to water. The Innovation Track supports efforts that advance the water innovation ecosystem in Colorado.

Application Questions

*The grant fund request is referred to as "project" in this application.

Overview (answer for both tracks)

In a few sentences, what is the overall goal of this project? How does it achieve the stated purpose of this grant fund (above)?

The Project brings an historic farm pond into the 21st Century with respect to water quality, recreational access for handicapped citizens, educational signage and enhanced species habitat.

Who is/are the target audience(s)? How will you reach them? How will you involve the community?

The Project achieves the goals and objectives of a Title 32 Metropolitan District governed by a board of citizen volunteers. The regional park serves a population of 40,000+ persons, including many military service members, with events, recreational amenities (5 acres of irrigated turf) and an annual clean up event.

Describe how the project is collaborative or engages a diverse group of stakeholders. Who are the partners in the project? Do you have other funding partners or sources?

The largest contribution to the Project budget is by the City of Fountain, the land use authority that entitled the Cross Creek Metropolitan District in 2003. The Project includes collaboration with the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District #2, an entity formed to the East, and abutting the park. Park fees paid to the City of Fountain will contribute to the overall development of the regional park. An anticipated grant application to El Paso County Parks will tap into funds reserved for park facilities in urban locations.



Describe how you plan to measure and evaluate the success and impact of the project?

The District will track the feedback from the Project on its website and social media to obtain anecdotal evidence of positive results. The District provides an online reservation system. Year over year comparison of community reservations will provide empirical data of the anticipated uses.

What research, evidence, and data support your project?

173 species of birds have been spotted at the park since it became a birding hot spot a few years ago, a remarkable number for a park of its size. Healthy wetlands and upland prairie contribute to the variety of birds spotted. (see link above)

Describe potential short- and long-term challenges with this project.

The short term challenge is assembling the funding to match the project costs, which keep moving upward in this period of inflation and limited supply. The long-term challenge will be managing the Project assets to maintain the quality of the facility. This Project may be challenged by too much love for this type of recreational facility.

Please fill out the applicable questions for either the Engagement Track or Innovation Track, unless your project contains elements in both tracks. If a question does not relate to your project, just leave it blank. Please answer each question that relates to your project. Please reference the relevant documents and use chapters and page numbers (Colorado's Water Plan, Basin Implementation Plan, PEPO Education Action Plan, etc.).

Engagement Track

Describe how the project achieves the education, outreach, and public engagement measurable objective set forth in Colorado's Water Plan to "significantly improve the level of public awareness and engagement regarding water issues statewide by 2020, as determined by water awareness surveys."

The primary method will be signage and constructed trails, along with the ADA fishing dock. Working with the City of Fountain Utilities, the Project expects to highlight the cost and water savings associated with the nonpotable irrigation system. Collaborating with El Paso County Parks, the Project expects to highlight the importance of wetlands and species habitat, particularly for primary and middle school age children.

Describe how the project achieves the other measurable objectives and critical goals and actions laid out in Colorado's Water Plan around the supply and demand gap; conservation; land use; agriculture; storage; watershed health, environment, and recreation; funding; and additional.

The importance of public safety with respect to stormwater runoff will be highlighted in the reconstruction of the Hale Reservoir dam. With water quality features, the updated and enlarged structure will demonstrate the opportunity to achieve water quantity, quality, recreation and environmental objectives in an integrated fashion.



Describe how the project achieves the education, outreach, and public engagement goals set forth in the applicable Basin Implementation Plan(s).

The City of Fountain Utilities plays a leading role in the Arkansas Basin Roundtable and implementation of the Arkansas Basin Implementation Plan. The Utilities' outreach programs will periodically feature the Project, combined with regular reports to the Fountain City Council.

Describe how the project achieves the basin roundtable's PEPO Education Action Plans.

The Project presents an opportunity to connect with young people to help them understand the relationship between water quantity, water quality and the natural environment.

Innovation Track
Describe how the project enhances water innovation efforts and supports a water innovation ecosystem in Colorado.
Describe how the project engages/leverages Colorado's innovation community to help solve our state's water challenges.
Describe how the project helps advance or develop a solution to a water need identified through TAP-IN and other water innovation challenges. What is the problem/need/challenge?
Describe how this project impacts current or emerging trends; technologies; clusters, sectors, or groups in water innovation.



Colorado Water Conservation Board

Water Plan Grant - Exhibit A

Statement Of Work		
Date:	November 10, 2021	
Name of Grantee:	Cross Creek Metropolitan District	
Name of Water Project:	Hale Reservoir Restoration Project	
Funding Source:	Multiple, including a CWCB project loan to City of Fountain	

Water Project Overview:

Cross Creek Metropolitan District (CCMD) was formed in El Paso County in November 2003. The purpose of the CCMD is to construct and maintain a regional park in Southern El Paso County and the City of Fountain. The Hale Reservoir dam has breached twice in storm events and been restored at the expense of the CCMD. This grant request's purpose is to rehabilitate Hale Reservoir dam, a historic farm pond decreed in Water Division 2, Case No. W-1814, with a Priority Date of July 19, 1945. W-1814 further states: *"this right shall be junior...to 1972."* New capacity for 72-hour stormwater storage of 10+/- acre-feet, combined with water quality mitigation infrastructure, will support creation of 7.5+/- acres of new wetland habitat. The new pond will provide nonpotable irrigation for the park. Combined with restoration of 3.5+/- acres of non-jurisdictional wetland and extensive recreational amenities, including an ADA-accessible fishing pier, this community asset will become a showcase for multi-purpose water resource projects. Collaborating with the City of Fountain, the project partnership is an exemplar of regional cooperation to address water quality, stormwater mitigation, public safety, environmental restoration and recreational amenities.

Project Objectives:

1. Construct a new dam to increase water storage capacity at Hale Reservoir while mitigating an historic and on-going flooding challenge, creating new species habitat (wetlands) and a regional recreational amenity with water outreach and education initiatives.

2. Develop 10-15 acres of new wetland areas.

3. Construct recreational amenities for access to the restored reservoir including an ADA-Accessible fishing ramp and bird watching blinds.

4. Restore 3-4 acres of nonjurisdictional wetlands following construction.

5. Provide educational signage and develop programing related to the aquatic and wetland habitat and species.

6. Eliminate flood risk from storm events while protecting water quality

7. Transition from a potable to nonpotable irrigation mode, conserving 10-15 acre-feet annually.

8. Provide subregional water quality structures upstream of the restored reservoir for improvement of nonpotable water supply and enhanced species habitat.







Restored Hale Reservoir with expanded (10+ AF) of 72-hour storage capacity and upstream stormwater water quality features.



Task 2 – Stormwater Infrastructure

Description of Task:

Existing stormwater features will be converted into 72-hour impoundments contained by the new dam structure.

Tasks

Method/Procedure:



Third Party Contract by bid process

Deliverable:

Inspected and approved structures

Tasks

Task 3 - Non-potable Irrigation System

Description of Task:

Design, permit and construct a non-potable well house and pipeline to irrigate the park's turf.





Method/Procedure:

Third Party Contract by bid process

Deliverable:

Inspected and approved structures

Task 4 – Wetland Reconstruction/Revegetation

Description of Task:

Construct and restore wetland features including Cattail Fringe, Marsh/Playa Mudflats and Meadows/Marsh Margins with adjacent shrub wetlands. Enhance riparian corridors.







Inspected and approved constructed items with period for replacement until well established.

Tasks

Task5 – Park & Recreation Features

Description of Task:

Construct boardwalk, floating dock, walking paths, and ADA-Accessible fishing pier. Place Rule & Regulation signage, wayfinding signage, pet waste stations, bike racks and bird watching features.

Method/Procedure:

Third Party Contract by bid process

Deliverable:



Inspected and approved structures

Budget and Schedule

This Statement of Work shall be accompanied by a combined Budget and Schedule that reflects the Tasks identified in the Statement of Work and shall be submitted to CWCB in excel format.

Reporting Requirements

Progress Reports: The applicant shall provide the CWCB a progress report every 6 months, beginning from the date of issuance of a purchase order, or the execution of a contract. The progress report shall describe the status of the tasks identified in the statement of work, including a description of any major issues that have occurred and any corrective action taken to address these issues.

Final Report: At completion of the project, the applicant shall provide the CWCB a Final Report on the applicant's letterhead that:

- Summarizes the project and how the project was completed.
- Describes any obstacles encountered, and how these obstacles were overcome.
- Confirms that all matching commitments have been fulfilled.
- Includes photographs, summaries of meetings and engineering reports/designs.

The CWCB will pay out the last 10% of the budget when the Final Report is completed to the satisfaction of CWCB staff. Once the Final Report has been accepted, and final payment has been issued, the purchase order or grant will be closed without any further payment.

Payment



Payment will be made based on actual expenditures and must include invoices for all work completed. The request for payment must include a description of the work accomplished by task, an estimate of the percent completion for individual tasks and the entire Project in relation to the percentage of budget spent, identification of any major issues, and proposed or implemented corrective actions.

Costs incurred prior to the effective date of this contract are not reimbursable. The last 10% of the entire grant will be paid out when the final deliverable has been received. All products, data and information developed as a result of this contract must be provided to as part of the project documentation.

Performance Measures

Performance measures for this contract shall include the following:

(a) Performance standards and evaluation: Grantee will produce detailed deliverables for each task as specified. Grantee shall maintain receipts for all project expenses and documentation of the minimum in-kind contributions (if applicable) per the budget in Exhibit C. Per Water Plan Grant Guidelines, the CWCB will pay out the last 10% of the budget when the Final Report is completed to the satisfaction of CWCB staff. Once the Final Report has been accepted, and final payment has been issued, the purchase order or grant will be closed without any further payment.

(b) Accountability: Per Water Plan Grant Guidelines full documentation of project progress must be submitted with each invoice for reimbursement. Grantee must confirm that all grant conditions have been complied with on each invoice. In addition, per Water Plan Grant Guidelines, Progress Reports must be submitted at least once every 6 months. A Final Report must be submitted and approved before final project payment.

(c) Monitoring Requirements: Grantee is responsible for ongoing monitoring of project progress per Exhibit A. Progress shall be detailed in each invoice and in each Progress Report, as detailed above. Additional inspections or field consultations will be arranged as may be necessary.

(d) Noncompliance Resolution: Payment will be withheld if grantee is not current on all grant conditions. Flagrant disregard for grant conditions will result in a stop work order and cancellation of the Grant Agreement.



ENGAGEMENT & INNOVATION GRANT FUND SUPPLEMENTAL APPLICATION

Introduction & Purpose

Colorado's Water Plan calls for an outreach, education, public engagement, and innovation grant fund in Chapter 9.5.

The overall goal of the Engagement & Innovation Grant Fund is to enhance Colorado's water communication, outreach, education, and public engagement efforts; advance Colorado's water supply planning process; and support a statewide water innovation ecosystem.

The grant fund aims to engage the public to promote well-informed community discourse regarding balanced water solutions statewide. The grant fund aims to support water innovation in Colorado. The grant fund prioritizes measuring and evaluating the success of programs, projects, and initiatives. The grant fund prioritizes efforts designed using research, data, and best practices. The grant fund prioritizes a commitment to collaboration and community engagement. The grant fund will support local and statewide efforts.

The grant fund is divided into two tracks: engagement and innovation. The Engagement Track supports education, outreach, communication, and public participation efforts related to water. The Innovation Track supports efforts that advance the water innovation ecosystem in Colorado.

Application Questions

*The grant fund request is referred to as "project" in this application.

Overview (answer for both tracks)

In a few sentences, what is the overall goal of this project? How does it achieve the stated purpose of this grant fund (above)?

The Project brings an historic farm pond into the 21st Century with respect to water quality, recreational access for handicapped citizens, educational signage and enhanced species habitat.

Who is/are the target audience(s)? How will you reach them? How will you involve the community?

The Project achieves the goals and objectives of a Title 32 Metropolitan District governed by a board of citizen volunteers. The regional park serves a population of 40,000+ persons, including many military service members, with events, recreational amenities (5 acres of irrigated turf) and an annual clean up event.

Describe how the project is collaborative or engages a diverse group of stakeholders. Who are the partners in the project? Do you have other funding partners or sources?

The largest contribution to the Project budget is by the City of Fountain, the land use authority that entitled the Cross Creek Metropolitan District in 2003. The Project includes collaboration with the Mesa Ridge Metropolitan District #2, an entity formed to the East, and abutting the park. Park fees paid to the City of Fountain will contribute to the overall development of the regional park. An anticipated grant application to El Paso County Parks will tap into funds reserved for park facilities in urban locations.



Describe how you plan to measure and evaluate the success and impact of the project?

The District will track the feedback from the Project on its website and social media to obtain anecdotal evidence of positive results. The District provides an online reservation system. Year over year comparison of community reservations will provide empirical data of the anticipated uses.

What research, evidence, and data support your project?

173 species of birds have been spotted at the park since it became a birding hot spot a few years ago, a remarkable number for a park of its size. Healthy wetlands and upland prairie contribute to the variety of birds spotted. (see link above)

Describe potential short- and long-term challenges with this project.

The short term challenge is assembling the funding to match the project costs, which keep moving upward in this period of inflation and limited supply. The long-term challenge will be managing the Project assets to maintain the quality of the facility. This Project may be challenged by too much love for this type of recreational facility.

Please fill out the applicable questions for either the Engagement Track or Innovation Track, unless your project contains elements in both tracks. If a question does not relate to your project, just leave it blank. Please answer each question that relates to your project. Please reference the relevant documents and use chapters and page numbers (Colorado's Water Plan, Basin Implementation Plan, PEPO Education Action Plan, etc.).

Engagement Track

Describe how the project achieves the education, outreach, and public engagement measurable objective set forth in Colorado's Water Plan to "significantly improve the level of public awareness and engagement regarding water issues statewide by 2020, as determined by water awareness surveys."

The primary method will be signage and constructed trails, along with the ADA fishing dock. Working with the City of Fountain Utilities, the Project expects to highlight the cost and water savings associated with the nonpotable irrigation system. Collaborating with El Paso County Parks, the Project expects to highlight the importance of wetlands and species habitat, particularly for primary and middle school age children.

Describe how the project achieves the other measurable objectives and critical goals and actions laid out in Colorado's Water Plan around the supply and demand gap; conservation; land use; agriculture; storage; watershed health, environment, and recreation; funding; and additional.

The importance of public safety with respect to stormwater runoff will be highlighted in the reconstruction of the Hale Reservoir dam. With water quality features, the updated and enlarged structure will demonstrate the opportunity to achieve water quantity, quality, recreation and environmental objectives in an integrated fashion.



Describe how the project achieves the education, outreach, and public engagement goals set forth in the applicable Basin Implementation Plan(s).

The City of Fountain Utilities plays a leading role in the Arkansas Basin Roundtable and implementation of the Arkansas Basin Implementation Plan. The Utilities' outreach programs will periodically feature the Project, combined with regular reports to the Fountain City Council.

Describe how the project achieves the basin roundtable's PEPO Education Action Plans.

The Project presents an opportunity to connect with young people to help them understand the relationship between water quantity, water quality and the natural environment.

Innovation Track
Describe how the project enhances water innovation efforts and supports a water innovation ecosystem in Colorado.
Describe how the project engages/leverages Colorado's innovation community to help solve our state's water challenges.
Describe how the project helps advance or develop a solution to a water need identified through TAP-IN and other water innovation challenges. What is the problem/need/challenge?
Describe how this project impacts current or emerging trends: technologies: clusters, sectors, or groups in
water innovation.



	Colorado Water Conservation Board
	Water Plan Grant - Detailed Budget Estimate
	Fair and Reasonable Estimate
Prepared Date:	11/30/2021
Name of Applicant:	Cross Creek Metropolitan District
Name of Water Project:	Hale Reservoir Re-Construction

Construction

Task 1 - Dam Construction		July - De	ece	mber 2022	2									
							۷	Vater Plan	С	CMD-CTF	A	pplicant &		
Sub-task	Unit	Quantity		Unit Cost		Fotal Cost		Grant		Funds		Matching	_	
Mobilization		1	\$	115,900	\$	115,900					\$	115,900		
Site Preparation		1	\$	199,543	\$	199,543					\$	199,543		
Earthwork		1	\$	3,417,617	\$	3,417,617	\$	388,350			\$	3,029,267		
Dam Structures & Outlet Works		1	\$	265,080	\$	265,080	\$	66,270			\$	198,810		
Site Reclamation		1	\$	7,500	\$	7,500	\$	1,500			\$	6,000		
Traffic Control		1	\$	2,500	\$	2,500	\$	500			\$	2,000		
Construction Contingency		1	\$	401,814	\$	401,814	\$	80,363			\$	321,451	ć.	4 400 054
Task 2 - Stormwater Infrastructure		July - Deceml	ber	2022									Ş	1,409,954
Forebay Construction (includes 20% contingency)		3	\$	20,400	\$	61,200	\$	15,300			\$	45,900		
													\$	61,200
Task 3 - Non-Potable Irrigation System		July - Decemi	ber	2022	ć	75.000	ć	10 750			ć	56 250		
		1	ې د	75,000	ې د	75,000	ې د	18,750			ې د	50,250 19,750		
Irrigation Lines		1	ې د	25,000	ې د	25,000	ې د	0,250			Ş	18,750		
Electrical connection		1	Ş	5,000	Ş	5,000	Ş	1,250			Ş	3,750	¢	105 000
Task 4 - Wetlands Reconstruction/Revegetation		December 20	22	- August 2	023	3							Ŷ	105,000
Stripping/Stockpiling wetland topsoil/replacement	CY	5	\$	9,600	\$	48,000	\$	12,000			\$	36,000		
6" Aluminum Gated Irrigation Pipe (flow spreader)	LF	300	\$	30	\$	9,000	\$	2,250			\$	6,750		
Invasive Plant Control	LS	1	\$	3,700	\$	3,700	\$	925			\$	2,775		
Harvesting and Planting	LS	1	\$	3,100	\$	3,100	\$	775			\$	2,325		
Riparian Corridor	Zone	1	\$	12,454	\$	12,454	\$	3,114			\$	9,341		
Shrub/Scrub Wetlands	Zone	1	\$	20,923.00	\$	20,923	\$	5,231			\$	15,692		
Meadow/Marsh Margins	Zone	1	\$	3,302.00	\$	3,302	\$	826			\$	2,477		
Marsh/Playa Mudflats	Zone	1	\$	1,337.00	\$	1,337	\$	334			\$	1,003		
Cattail Fringe	Zone	1	\$	4,556.00	\$	4,556	\$	1,139			\$	3,417		
Task 5 - Park & Recreation Features		December 20	22	- August 2	023	}					Ş	-	\$	106,372
Rough Grading	SF	577,251	\$	0.10	\$	57,725	\$	11,545			\$	46,180		
Landscape Grading	SF	400,000	\$	0.10	\$	40,000	\$	8,000			\$	32,000		
Seed Area w/amendments (M&L)	SF	332,152	\$	0.40	\$	132,861	\$	26,572			\$	106,289		
Plants - ornamental grasses (M&L)	EA	100	\$	25	\$	2,450	\$	490			\$	1,960		
Plants - shrubs	EA	75	\$	66	\$	4,950	\$	990			\$	3,960		
Trees - deciduous	EA	75	\$	708	\$	53,100	\$	10,620			\$	42,480		
Trees - evergreen	EA	25	\$	606	\$	15,150	\$	3,030			\$	12,120		
Boardwalk	SF	3,775	\$	55	\$	207,625	\$	51,906	\$	100,000	\$	55,719		
Floating Dock	EA	1	\$	20,000	\$	20,000	\$	5,000	\$	15,000	\$	-		
Crushed Limestone Trail Surfacing	SF	42,970	\$	2	\$	64,455	\$	16,114	\$	4,000	\$	44,341		
Benches (with back)	EA	4	\$	1,745	\$	6,980	\$	1,745	\$	5,235	\$	-		
Benches (no back)	EA	4	\$	1,745	\$	6,980	\$	1,745	\$	5,235	\$	-		
Pet Waste Stations	EA	4	\$	475	\$	1,900	\$	475	\$	1,425	\$	-		
Waste Receptacles	EA	6	\$	1,530	\$	9,180	\$	2,295	\$	6,885	\$	-		
Signage Rules & Regs	EA	2	\$	2,000	\$	4,000	\$	1,000	\$	2,252	\$	748		
Signage Wayfinding	EA	8	\$	1,500	\$	12,000	\$	3,000	\$	4,968	\$	4,032		
Bike Rack	EA	3	\$	465	\$	1,390	\$	348			\$	1,043		
													\$	640,746
Task 6 - Project/Grant Management		July 2022 - Ai	Igu	ist 2023										

Construction Observation-Engineer Testing Construction Management-Owners Rep Grant Management	HR	1 1 1	221,000 221,000 34,000 75	\$2 \$2 \$	221,000 221,000 34,000 3 000			\$ \$ \$ \$	221,000 221,000 34,000 3 000	
Statt Management	THX .	40	75		3,000			Ŷ	3,000	\$ 479,000
TOTAL				\$5,8	302,272	\$ 750,000	\$ 145,000	\$	4,907,271	

Cross Creek Park & Hale Reservoir Funding Sources

Last Updated:

11/1/2021

Colorado Water Plan Grant	
GOCO	
El Paso County Urban Park Grant	
City of Fountain-COP Funds	
CCMD-CTF Funds	
CCMD Matching Funds	_

\$ 750,000
\$ 500,000 Applying Spring 2022
\$ 25,000 Applying January 2022
\$ 3,500,000
\$ 145,000
\$ 882,271
\$ 5,802,271

~	Applegate Group, Inc.	Hale Reservoir Updated March 2014 Costs Using					
4.400		BOR Construction Cost Trends for Earth I	Dams		Job No.	:	12-130
1490 Dopy	W. 121st Ave. Suite	9 100			By	:	SAS
Phon	e: (303) 452-6611				Date	•	Cross Creek Metro
Fax:	(303) 452-2759				Client	::	District
Item		Item Description	Units	Quantity	Unit Cost		Total Cost
		Administration					
1a	Mobilization		LS	1	\$ 103,700	\$	103,700
1b	Bonds and Permits	Site Dreporation	LS	1	\$ 12,200	\$	12,200
2a	Dewatering and Co	ntrol	15	1	\$ 103,700	Ś	103.700
2b	Removal of Existing	g Dam and Appurtenances	CY	7,119	\$ 105,700	\$	49,833
2c	Clearing and Grubb	ing	AC	2	\$ 6,100	\$	12,200
2d	Erosion and Sedime	ent Control	LS	1	\$ 12,200	\$	12,200
2e	Construction Bypas	ss Channels	CY	2,000	\$6	\$	12,000
2f	Furnish and Place T	emporary 30" CMP Culvert	LF	310	\$ 31	\$	9,610
_		Earthwork	<i></i>	4 5 9 9		-	7 500
3a 26	Stripping and Stock		CY	1,500	\$ 5 ¢ 7	Ś	1 070 292
30	Dam Placement	issing		282,709	\$ 7 \$ 10	ç ¢	1,979,383
3d	Foundation Prepar	ation	CY	3,000	\$ 10 \$ 11	Ś	33,000
3e	Furnish and Place 6	b" D50 Riprap	TN	1,700	\$ 43	Ś	73.100
3f	Furnish and Place 9)" D50 Riprap	TN	4,120	\$ 43	\$	177,160
3g	Furnish and Place 1	2" D50 Riprap	TN	6,490	\$ 43	\$	279,070
3h	Furnish and Place 1	.8" D50 Riprap	TN	1,240	\$ 43	\$	53,329
3i	Furnish and Place C	Concrete Grout for Grouted Riprap	CY	41	\$ 250	\$	10,125
3j	Furnish and Place T	ype II Granular Bedding	TN	7,570	\$ 31	\$	234,670
	Dam	Structures and Outlet Works					
4a	Furnish and Place 2	4" C905 Encased Outlet Conduit Pipe	LF	123	\$ 760	\$	93,480
4b	Furnish and Place 2	4" C905 Encased Standpipe Overflow	LF	15	\$ 760 \$ 120	Ş	11,400
4c	Furnish and Place 2	4" C905 Outlet Conduit Pipe	LF	260	\$ 130 ¢ 900	Ş	33,800
40	Furnish and Place S			55 15	\$ 800 \$ 1,300	ç ç	28,000
40 4f	Furnish and Place E	Tittings for Standnine	FA	2	\$ 2,500	Ś	5,000
4g	Furnish and Place C	Dutlet Intake Fitting	LS	1	\$ 2,500	\$	2,500
4h	Furnish and Place C	Overflow Standpipe Trashrack	LS	1	\$ 1,900	\$	1,900
4i	Furnish and Place I	nlet Trashrack	LS	1	\$ 3,100	\$	3,100
4j	Furnish and Place E	Baffle Structure Grate	LS	1	\$ 1,900	\$	1,900
4k	Furnish and Place C	Dutlet Slide Gate, 30-inch Diameter	LS	1	\$ 9,200	\$	9,200
41	Furnish and Place S	itaff Gages	LS	1	\$ 6,100	\$	6,100
4m	Furnish and Place F	ilter Diaphragm		10	\$ 130 ¢ 50	Ş	1,300
411	Furnish and Place F	Precast Toe Drain Manhole		700	\$ 50 \$ 2500	ç ç	2 500
40 40	Furnish and Place 8	" C900 Toe Drain Outlet Pipe	LF	260	\$ 2,500 \$ 40	Ś	10.400
		Site Reclamation			7	Ŧ	
5a	Seeding		AC	3	\$ 1,300	\$	3,900
5b	Haul off old debris	3 mi to Fountain Landfill	LS	1	\$ 6,100	\$	6,100
5c	Place topsoil		CY	1500	\$5	\$	7,500
		Optional Bid Items				.	
1	Traffic Control		LS	1	\$ 2,500 Sub Total	Ş	2,500
		Contingency				Ş	4,018,140
1	Design and Contrac	tor Contingency	%		0%	Ś	-
2	Construction Conti	ngency	%		10%	\$	401,813.96
			Engineerin	g and Constru	uction Base Bio	d \$	4,419,954
	Stripping and Stock	piling Wetland Topsoil	CY	4,800	\$ 5	\$	24,000
	Wetlands Topsoil P	lacement	CY	4,800	\$5	\$	24,000
	6" Aluminum Gateo	d Irrigation Pipe (Wetland Flow Spreader)	LF	300	\$ 30	\$	9,000
ļ	Invasive Plant Cont	rol	LS	1	\$ 3,700	\$	3,700
	Harvesting and Pla	nting	LS	1	\$ 3,100	\$	3,100
	Construction Obser	vation	% %		5%	¢	221,000
	Survey		%		2%	د ۲	89 000
				1	Grand Tota	l \$	5.014.754

	E	NGINEER'S	S INSF	PECTION RE	PORT	INSPECTO	DR: JEH
OFFICE OF THE STATE E	ENGINEER - DIVISION OF WATER	RESOURCES - DAM SAFE	TY BRANCH	1313 SHER	MAN STREET, ROOM	M 818, DENVER, CO 80203, (303) 8	366-3581
DAM NAME: HALE - D\ DAM ID: 100136 CLASS: Low hazar DIV: 2 EAP: Not Requi CURRENT RESTR OWNER: E ADDRESS: P C	WIGHT G YRCompl: 1940 rd WD: 10 red ICTION: <u> NONE</u> LISE BERGSTEN, O BOX 1834 OLORADO SPRINGS Elise Bergsten	T: 150S R: 065 DAM HEIGHT(FT): DAM LENGTH(FT): CRESTWIDTH(FT): CRESTELEV(FT): CO 8090	50W S: 1 13.5 600.0 10.0 5600.0	29 COUNTY: EL PA SPILLWAY WIDTH(FT) SPILLWAY CAPACITY FREEBOARD (FT): DRAINAGE AREA (AC. OWNER REP.: CONTACT NAME: CONTACT PHONE: ber	SO :: 82.0 (CFS): 1062.0 4.0): 1050.0 ELISE BERGST ELISE BERGST	DATE OF INSPECTION: PREVIOUS INSPECTION: NORMAL STORAGE (AF): SURFACE AREA(AC): OUTLET INSPECTED:	<u>12/7/2017</u> 9/28/1987 6.0 2.0
REPRESENTING :	Cross Creek Metro Dist	rict			C	Colorado Dam Safety	
FIELD CONDITIONS OBSERVED	WATER LEVEL: BELOW DAM CREST SROUND MOISTURE CONDITION:	-5 DRY MARK AN X FOR COND	_FT. Be	ND AND UNDERLINE WOR	-1 FT. R OT	GAGE ROD READING	no gage
	BIREOHONO.						
		UP	STRE/	AM SLOPE			
PROBLEMS NOTE	D (0)NONE (1)RIPRA	AP - <u>MISSING,</u> SPARSE,	DISPLACED	, WEATHERED (2)	WAVE EROSION -	WITH SCARPS	
(3) CRACKS W	(4) SIN	KHOLE (5) APPE	EARS TOO S	TEEP (6) DEPRESS	IONS OR BULGES	(7) SLIDES	
(8) CONCRETE	FACING - HOLES, CRACKS, DISP	PLACED, UNDERMINED	(9)	Trees and th	nick vegetation		
 <u>intercenting intercenting intercention</u> <u>indicated they</u> <u>office is availab</u> <u>*The existing H</u> <u>address will be</u> <u>monitoring and</u> <u>UPSTREAM SL</u> <u>The upstream s</u> <u>majority of the</u> <u>ACTIONS</u> <u>Slope should b</u> <u>of cracking on</u> <u>slope as a who</u> 	tions for removal of this estimates the approval lasts for have potential funding so ble for consultation/quest late Dam is overall marging resolved with construction maintenance of the exist <u>OPE</u> slope is significantly over upstream slope is near vertice the crest, near the upstream slope slope slope slope slope slope slope is near vertice the crest, near the upstream slope	ally considered con on of the new dam. ing structure to kee on of the new dam. ing structure to kee grown with brush an ertical due to wave of continued erosion th am slope. While no ection for concerns	nd constru- d need to could allo nditionally This repo ap it opera nd numer erosion. hat could t an ideal	affect the stability of condition, no immed	slightly downst in to the SEO fo tart/happen price al issues that we not will focus on and new dam co roots that visibl the dam. In pa liate stability co pankment failure	ream. We discussed with r approval. CCMD repres or to June 7, 2019. Either identifying critical issues onstruction.* y extend for many tens o rticular, should observed incerns were identified or e).	<u>for signs</u>
PHOTOS 1 to 6				_			
	CONDITIONS OBSERV	/ED: Good		X Acceptable	X Po	or	
			CR	EST			
PROBLEMS NOTE	D (10) NONE (11 RUT	S OR PUDDLES	(12) EROSIO	N (13) CRACKS - M	/ITH DISPLACEMEN	T (14) SINKHOLES	
(15) NOT WIDE	ENOUGH (16) LOW AREA	(17) MISALIGNME		18) IMPROPER SURFACE D	DRAINAGE (19)	OTHER	
Crest is about left end of the o The area of the	10-ft wide and has a grave dam. previous failure should b	el surface. There is e closely observed	a low spo for contin	t where the dam had	l previously faile oughing on dov	ed and been repaired tow vnstream slope around pi	ards the
PHOTOS 7 to 9							
	CONDITIONS OBSERV	/ED: Good		X Acceptable	Po	or	

DOWNSTREAM SLOPE
PROBLEMS NOTED (20) NONE (21) LIVESTOCK DAMAGE (22) EROSION OR GULLIES (23) CRACKS - WITH DISPLACEMENT (24) SINKHOLE
(25) APPEARS TOO STEEP (26) DEPRESSIONS OR BULGES (27) SLIDE (28) SOFT AREAS (29) OTHER
The downstream slope is generally about 2.5H:1V with a covering of weeds. Overall, the slope is fairly uniform. The major point of concern would be the area of the previous failure (location shown in attached site location Map). This area was repaired with an about 12-inch diameter ADS plastic pipe that allows flows to enter from the reservoir (although the upstream intake could not be located). On the downstream side, the pipe enters into the downstream toe. The slopes are near veritcal around the pipe and there is concrete rubble used as backfill around the pipe. No cloudy seepage was observed.
removal of the ADS pipe, and proper repair/compaction of the previously filled zone. If any signs of increased seepage, cloudy seepage, or progressive slope failures are observed prior to the new dam construction, it will be required to lower the reservoir and properly repair this area.
 PHOTOS 10 to 11
CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable X Poor
SEEPAGE
PROBLEMS NOTED (30) NONE (31) SATURATED EMBANKMENT AREA (32) SEEPAGE EXITS ON EMBANKMENT
✓ (33) SEEPAGE EXITS AT POINT SOURCE (34) SEEPAGE AREA AT TOE (35) FLOW ADJACENT TO OUTLET (36) SEEPAGE INCREASED / MUDDY DRAIN OUTFALLS SEEN No Yes Show location of drains on sketch and indicate amount and quality of discharge. (37) FLOW INCREASED / MUDDY (38) DRAIN DRY / OBSTRUCTED (39) OTHER (39) OTHER
1. Seepage area 1 already described as pipe through at area of old embankment failure. This seepage disseminates into the downstream to a provide the accurate to the pipe and is estimated to be about 10 to 20 gpm. The entrance to the pipe should be located, if practical.
20-ft left of the concrete headwall that the old outlet discharges into at downstream toe. No adverse seepage conditions noted at this
PHOTOS 12 to 16
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good Image: Condition of the second s
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor OUTLET PROBLEMS NOTED (40) NONE ✓ (41) NO OUTLET FOUND (42) POOR OPERATING ACCESS (43) INOPERABLE
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor OUTLET PROBLEMS NOTED (40) NONE ✓ (41) NO OUTLET FOUND (42) POOR OPERATING ACCESS (43) INOPERABLE (44) UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM STRUCTURE DETERIORATED (45) OUTLET OPERATED DURING INSPECTION YES NO INTERIOR INSPECTED (120) NO (121)YES (46) CONDUIT DETERIORATED OR COLLAPSED (47) JOINTS DISPLACED (48) VALVE LEAKAGE
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor OUTLET PROBLEMS NOTED (40) NONE ✓ (41) NO OUTLET FOUND (42) POOR OPERATING ACCESS (43) INOPERABLE (44) UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM STRUCTURE DETERIORATED (45) OUTLET OPERATED DURING INSPECTION YES NO INTERIOR INSPECTED (120) NO (121)YES (46) CONDUIT DETERIORATED OR COLLAPSED (47) JOINTS DISPLACED (48) VALVE LEAKAGE (49) OTHER
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good Image: Condition of the condition of the condition of the condition of the condition. PROBLEMS NOTED (40) NONE Image: Condition of the condition of the condition. PROBLEMS NOTED (41) NO OUTLET FOUND Image: Condition of the condition. PROBLEMS NOTED (41) NO OUTLET FOUND Image: Condition of the condition. Image: Condition of the condition. Image: Condition of the condition. Image: Condition. Image: Condition of the condition. Condition. Image: Condition of the condition. Condition. Image: Condit. Conditi.
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor OUTLET PROBLEMS NOTED (40) NONE ✓ (41) NO OUTLET FOUND (42) POOR OPERATING ACCESS (43) INOPERABLE (44) UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM STRUCTURE DETERIORATED (45) OUTLET OPERATED DURING INSPECTION YES NO INTERIOR INSPECTED (120) NO (121)YES (46) CONDUIT DETERIORATED OR COLLAPSED (47) JOINTS DISPLACED (48) VALVE LEAKAGE (49) OTHER There is remnants of an old outlet near the right-central portion of the dam. An inoperable wheel/stem is on the upstream slope and the concrete headwall at the discharge downstream was located amongst thick cattails. There is no effective outlet for this structure. If a drawdown were planned/required, it would need to be pumped down. CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable X Poor
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor OUTLET PROBLEMS NOTED (40) NONE (41) NO OUTLET FOUND (42) POOR OPERATING ACCESS (43) INOPERABLE [44) UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM STRUCTURE DETERIORATED (45) OUTLET OPERATED DURING INSPECTION YES NO INTERIOR INSPECTED (120) NO (121)YES (46) CONDUIT DETERIORATED OR COLLAPSED (47) JOINTS DISPLACED (48) VALVE LEAKAGE [49) OTHER There is remnants of an old outlet near the right-central portion of the dam. An inoperable wheel/stem is on the upstream slope and the concrete headwall at the discharge downstream was located amongst thick cattails. There is no effective outlet for this structure. If a drawdown were planned/required, it would need to be pumped down. CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable X Poor SPILLWAY
Interview Image: Conditions of the co
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good ▲ Acceptable Poor OUTLET PROBLEMS NOTED (40) NONE (41) NO OUTLET FOUND (42) POOR OPERATING ACCESS (43) INOPERABLE (44) UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM STRUCTURE DETERIORATED (45) OUTLET OPERATED DURING INSPECTION YES NO INTERIOR INSPECTED (120) NO (121)YES (46) CONDUIT DETERIORATED (47) JOINTS DISPLACED (48) VALVE LEAKAGE (49) OTHER There is remnants of an old outlet near the right-central portion of the dam. An inoperable wheel/stem is on the upstream slope and the concrete headwall at the discharge downstream was located amongst thick cattalls. There is no effective outlet for this structure. If a drawdown were planned/required, it would need to be pumped down. CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor SPILLWAY Poor SPILLWAY Poor PROBLEMS NOTED (60) NONE (61) NO EMERGENCY SPILLWAY FOUND (52) EROSION WITH BACKCUTTING (63) CRACK - WITH DISPLACEMENT (54) APPEARS TO BE STRUCTURATED / UNDERMINED (55) APPEARS TOS SMALL (56) INADEQUATE FREEBOARD (57) FLOW OBSTRUCTED (59) CONCRETE DETERIORATED / UNDERMINED (55) OTHER There is an 13-inch CMP located near th
Iocation. PHOTOS 12 to 16 CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor OUTLET PROBLEMS NOTED (40) NONE (41) NO OUTLET FOUND (42) POOR OPERATING ACCESS (43) INOPERABLE (44) UPSTREAM OR DOWNSTREAM STRUCTURE DETERIORATED (46) OUTLET OPERATED DURING INSPECTION YES NO INTERIOR INSPECTED (120) NO (121)YES (46) CONDUIT DETERIORATED OR COLLAPSED (47) JOINTS DISPLACED (48) VALVE LEAKAGE (49) OTHER There is remnants of an old outlet near the right-central portion of the dam. An inoperable wheel/stem is on the upstream slope and the concrete headwall at the discharge downstream was located amongst thick cattalls. There is no effective outlet for this structure. If a drawdown were planned/required, it would need to be pumped down. CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable X Poor SPILLWAY PROBLEMS NOTED (51) NO EMERGENCY SPILLWAY FOUND (52) EROSION WITH BACKCUTING (53) CRACK - WITH DISPLACEMENT (54) APPEARS TO BE STRUCTURALLY INADEQUATE (56) APPEARS TO OS MALL (56) INADEQUATE FREEBOARD (57) FLOW OBSTRUCTED (58) CONCRETE DETERIORATED / UNDERMINED (59) OTHER There is an 18-inch CMP located near the left abutment that is slightly higher in elevation it appears

ENGINEER'S INSPECTION REPORT DAM NAME: HALE - DWIGHT G

MONITORING
EXISTING INSTRUMENTATION FOUND 🗹 (110) NONE (111) GAGE ROD (112) PIEZOMETERS (113) SEEPAGE WEIRS / FLUMES
(114) SURVEY MONUMENTS (115) OTHER
MONITORING OF INSTRUMENTATION 🗹 (116) NO (117) YES PERIODIC INSPECTIONS BY: (118) OWNER (119) ENGINEER
Key monitoring is located at the previous dam failure location. Any signs of increasing seepage, cloudy seepage, or active/progressive slope movement would initiate need to remove ADS black pipe and properly compact/repair this area interim to new construction. Therefore, it is considered important for the owner to visually inspect this area on a routine basis.
CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable Poor
MAINTENANCE AND REPAIRS
PROBLEMS NOTED (60 NONE (61) ACCESS ROAD NEEDS MAINTENANCE (62) LIVESTOCK DAMAGE
🖌 (63) BRUSH ON UPSTREAM SLOPE, CREST, DOWNSTREAM SLOPE, TOE 🖌 (64) TREES ON UPSTREAM SLOPE, CREST, DOWNSTREAM SLOPE, TOE
65) RODENT ACTIVITY ON UPSTREAM SLOPE, CREST, DOWNSTREAM SLOPE, TOE (66) DETERIORATED CONCRETE - FACING, OUTLET SPILLWAY
(67) GATE AND OPERATING MECHANISM NEED MAINTENANCE (68) OTHER
The primary maintenance required is to repair the emergency spillway channel headcut as described above.
CONDITIONS OBSERVED: Good X Acceptable X Poor

Go to next page for Overall Conditions and Items Requiring Actions

OVERALL CONDITIONS

Overall, it is recognized that this structure is slated for removal/replacement within the next year or two. With that in mind, the structure is considered conditionally satisfactoy, only marginally so. Of primary importance is to monitor the area of the previous failure for changing seepage conditions and slope stability as well as repairing the headcut erosion in the emergency spillway channel.

Owner should continue to keep this office notified of planned construction period for project C-2022. As noted, approval of current plan set is set to expire on June 7, 2019.

Based on this Safety Inspection and recent file review, the overall condition is determined to be:

(73) UNSATISFACTORY

ITEMS REQUIRING ACTION BY OWNER TO IMPROVE THE SAFETY OF THE DAM

	MAINTENANCE - MIN	OR REPAIR - MONITORING
	(80) PROVIDE AD	DDITIONAL RIPRAP:
	(81) LUBRICATE	AND OPERATE OUTLET GATES THROUGH FULL CYCLE
ator, e or dam	(82) CLEAR TREE	ES AND/OR BRUSH FROM:
not sole akag	(83) INITIATE RO	DENT CONTROL PROGRAM AND PROPERLY BACKFILL EXISTING HOLES:
does The by le Ure c	(84) GRADE CRE	ST TO A UNIFORM ELEVATION WITH DRAINAGE TO THE UPSTREAM SLOPE:
port, dam. rown used a fail	(85) PROVIDE SU	JRFACE DRAINAGE FOR:
on rel ervoli from	(86) MONITOR:	REFERENCE MONITORING SECTION
oecti e sut nag lting	(87) DEVELOP A	ND SUBMIT AN EMERGENCY ACTION PLAN:
u insi of th ith the nt da resu	✔ (88) OTHER	REFERENCE MAINTENANCE SECTION
safet lition sts wi oreve oods	(89) OTHER	
dam oto Torfi	ENGINEERING - EMPL	OY AN ENGINEER EXPERIENCED IN DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION OF DAMS TO: (Plans and Specifications must be approved by State Engineer prior to construction.)
) this isafe iis da essal ervoi	(90) PREPARE P	LANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR REHABILITATION OF THE DAM:
ngun ngun of th neo	(91) PREPARE A	S-BUILT DRAWINGS OF:
J prov for a listep m thir	(92) PERFORM A	GEOTECHNICAL INVESTIGATION TO EVALUATE THE STABILITY OF THE DAM:
er, bi ibility the s the s ever	(93) PERFORM A	HYDROLOGIC STUDY TO DETERMINE REQUIRED SPILLWAY SIZE:
ngine pons ig for take watei	(94) PREPARE P	LANS AND SPECIFICATIONS FOR AN ADEQUATE SPILLWAY:
ate E e resi sibilit ould w of	(95) SET UP A M	ONITORING SYSTEM INCLUDING WORK SHEETS, REDUCED DATA AND GRAPHED RESULTS:
re Stum spon ro sh reflo	(96) PERFORM A	N INTERNAL INSPECTION OF THE OUTLET:
≐%233	(97) OTHER:	
	(98) OTHER:	
	(99) OTHER:	

SAFE STORAGE LEVEL: RECOMMENDED AS A RESULT OF THIS INSPECTION

(101) FULL STORAGE

(102) CONDITIONAL FULL STORAGE

(103) RECOMMENDED RESTRICTION

(104) CONTINUE EXISTING RESTRICTION

- FT. BELOW DAM CREST FT. BELOW SPILLWAY CREST
- FT. GAGE HEIGHT
- NO STORAGE-MAINTAIN OUTLET FULLY OPEN

REASON FOR RESTRICTION

ACTIONS REQUIRED FOR CONDITIONAL FULL STORAGE OP CONTINUED STORAGE AT THE DESTRICTED LEVEL

Engineer's Signature

. #

INSPECTED BY

Owner's ——Signature

OWNER/OWNER'S REPRESENTATIVE

DATE:

GUIDELINES FOR DETERMINING CONDITIONS

CONDITIONS OBSERVED - APPLIES TO UPSTREAM SLOPE, CREST, DOWNSTREAM SLOPE, OUTLET, SPILLWAY

GOOD

GOOD

safety of the dam.

In general, this part of the structure has a near new appearance, and conditions observed in this area do not appear to threaten the safety of the dam.

No evidence of uncontrolled seepage. No unexplained increase in flows from designed drains. All seepage is

clear. Seepage conditions do not appear to threaten the

ACCEPTABLE

Although general cross-section is maintained, surfaces may be irregular, eroded, rutted, spalled, or otherwise not in new condition. Conditions in this area do not currently appear to threaten the safety of the dam.

CONDITIONS OBSERVED - APPLIES TO SEEPAGE

ACCEPTABLE

Some seepage exists at areas other than the drain outfalls, or other designed drains. No unexplained increase in seepage. All seepage is clear. Seepage conditions observed do not currently appear to threaten the safety of the dam.

POOR

POOR

safety of the dam.

Seepage conditions observed appear to threaten the safety of the dam. Examples: 1) Designed drain or seepage flows have increased withou increase in reservoir level.

2) Drain or seepage flows contain sediment, i.e., muddy water or particles in jar samples.

Conditions observed in this area appear to threaten the

3) Widespread seepage, concentrated seepage, or

ponding appears to threaten the safety of the dam.

GOOD

Monitoring includes movement surveys and leakage measurements for all dams, and piezometer readings for High hazard dams. Instrumentation is in reliable, working condition. A plan for monitoring the instrumentation and analyzing results by the owner's engineer is in effect. Periodic inspections by owner's engineer.

ACCEPTABLE

Monitoring includes movement surveys and leakage measurements for High and Significant hazard dams; leakage measurements for Low hazard dams. Instrumentation is in serviceable condition. A plan for monitoring instrumentation is in effect by owner. Periodic inspections by owner or representative. OR, NO MONITORING REQUIRED.

CONDITIONS OBSERVED - APPLIES TO MONITORING

POOR

All instrumentation and monitoring described under "ACCEPTABLE" here for each class of dam, are not provided, or required periodic readings are not being made or unexplained changes in readings are not reacted to by the owner.

CONDITIONS OBSERVED - APPLIES TO MAINTENANCE AND REPAIR

GOOD

SATISFACTORY

FULL STORAGE

attached

Dam appears to receive effective on-going maintenance and repair, and only a few minor items may need to be addressed

threaten the safety of the dam, and the dam is expected to

perform satisfactorily under all design loading conditions.

Most of the required monitoring is being performed.

Dam may be used to full capacity with no conditions

ACCEPTABLE

Dam appears to receive maintenance, but some maintenance items need to be addressed. No major repairs are required

OVERALL CONDITIONS

The safety inspection indicates symptoms of structural distress (seepage, evidence of minor displacements, etc.). which, if conditions worsen, could lead to the failure of the dam. Essential monitoring, inspection, and maintenance must be performed as a requirement for continued full storage in the reservoir.

SAFE STORAGE LEVEL

CONDITIONAL FULL STORAGE

Dam may be used to full storage if certain monitoring, maintenance, or operational conditions are met.

HAZARD CLASSIFICATION OF DAMS

High hazard

Loss of human life is expected in the event of failure of the dam, while the reservoir is at the high water line.

Significant hazard

Significant damage to improved property is expected in the event of failure of the dam while the reservoir is at the high water line, but no loss of human life is expected.

I ow hazard Loss of human life is not expected, and damage to improved property is expected to be small, in the event of failure of the dam while the reservoir is at high water

NPH hazard - No loss of life or damage to improved property, or loss of downstream resource is expected in the event of failure c the dam while the reservoir is at the high water line.

POOR

Dam does not appear to receive adequate maintenance. One or more items needing maintenance or repair has begun to threaten the safety of the dam.

UNSATISFACTORY

The safety inspection indicates definite signs of structural distress (excessive seepage, cracks, slides, sinkholes, severe deterioration, etc.), which could lead to the failure o the dam if the reservoir is used to full capacity. The dam is judged unsafe for full storage of water.

RESTRICTION

fine

Dam may not be used to full capacity, but must be operated at some reduced level in the interest of public safety

CONDITIONALLY SATISFACTORY The safety inspection indicates no conditions that appear to

Hale Dam DAMID 100136 Inspection Photos

JEH, 07 DEC 2017





01_Upstream Slope, from right abutment

02_Upstream Slope, trees/roots



03_Upstream Slope, roots



04_Upstream Slope, previous failure


05_Upstream Slope, previous failure location



06_Upstream Slope, previous failure location



07_Dam Crest

08_Dam Crest





10_Downstream Slope, looking right at central portion

09_Dam Crest



11_Downstream Slope, above old outlet



12_Seepage, previous failure



13_Seepage, previous failure



14_Seepage, previous failure



15_Outlet, old operator



15_Seepage, previous failure



17_Outlet, operator stem



18_Outlet, old discharge point concrete





20_Seepage area left of old outlet

19_Seepage area left of outlet



21_Pipe Spillway on left end of dam



22_Pipe spillway on left end of dam





24_Em Spwy headcutting

23_Em Spwy crest



25_Em Spwy Headcutting



September 23, 2021

Elise Bergsten Cross Creek Metropolitan District PO Box 1834 Colorado Springs, CO 80901 Via email: <u>elise.balancedmgmt@gmail.com</u>

RE: Scope of Work for Dam Safety Plan Modifications and Stormwater Design

Dear Elise:

Applegate Group, Inc. (Applegate) recently completed a Hydrologic Hazard Analysis for Hale Reservoir based on the 2013 rehabilitation design to confirm emergency spillway adequacy and the Inflow Design Flood (IDF). A formal submittal was transmitted to the Colorado Division of Water Resources Dam Safety Branch (Dam Safety) on August 27, 2021 and while we have not yet received comments, we are optimistic that the State will agree with our findings which indicate the emergency spillway is adequately sized. However, there are minor design modifications that need to be made to the 2013 construction drawings to re-permit the project; the required updates and associated costs are summarized in this proposal.

Additionally, the City of Fountain's updated stormwater regulations will require a new approach to meeting requirements for water quality treatment and flood storage associated with untreated drainage to Hale Reservoir. The 2013 design was permitted by demonstrating that the proposed service spillway standpipe would provide adequate full-spectrum storage volume as required at the time of the City's 2013 approval of the design. However, new regulations will require pretreatment of all inflows Hale Reservoir, consideration of operational scenarios when water levels are drawn down below the service spillway standpipe and conformance to current design standards. Some water quality treatment may need to occur outside of Hale due to the increased size of the contributing drainage. A scope of work and fee estimate for developing and implementing a new stormwater design approach is summarized below, which will meet Fountain's current stormwater regulations and CCMD's design objectives.

SCOPE OF SERVICES

Task 1 – Dam Safety Plan Modifications

Applegate will update the 2013 construction drawings for Hale Reservoir to account for the following design changes:

- 1. Raising the dam crest approximately 6 inches to provide 1-foot of residual freeboard in the emergency spillway during the IDF. It should be noted that raising the dam will allow us to keep the 2013 emergency spillway design and still meet the updated hydrologic hazard rule from the Dam Safety Branch.
- 2. Revised grading of the reservoir footprint associated with planned wetland and proposed stormwater treatment.

RE: Scope of Work for Dam Safety Plan Modifications and SWM Design September 23, 2021 Page 2 of 5

3. Revised construction details for the service spillway standpipe based on updated water quality detention calculations.

Note the above scope assumes that Dam Safety will agree with results of the Hydrologic Hazard Analysis and that the proposed emergency spillway will not need to be redesigned. It is also assumed that no other substantial changes to the 2013 design or construction drawings will be required by the Dam Safety engineer to re-permit the project. If necessary, the scope of work and fee estimate for Task 1 will be updated to incorporate additional changes to the 2013 plan set as required by Dam Safety.

Task 2 – Stormwater Design - Conceptual

Task 2 will involve development of a conceptual design plan meeting the City's current stormwater requirements and CCMD's desire to eliminate the existing stormwater facilities to the north and west of Hale Reservoir. Applegate anticipates that this task will involve the following work:

- 1. Identify and evaluate alternative options for providing adequate stormwater quality treatment for contributing drainage not already managed by existing detention basins with the objective of minimizing overall project footprint and incorporating proposed wetlands to the extent practicable.
- 2. Coordination with NES regarding proposed wetlands and stormwater treatment requirements.
- 3. Preliminary water quality and hydraulic calculations to evaluate the potential need for additional stormwater facilities as well as type and approximate size.
- 4. Develop conceptual mapping and stormwater design summary for discussion with City staff.
- 5. Coordination with the City regarding the proposed stormwater design approach, submittal requirements, permitting timeline and construction sequencing. It is assumed that all meetings with City staff will be virtual; a total of 12 hours' time has been budgeted for coordination.
- 6. Develop budgetary cost estimates for construction of proposed stormwater facilities as determined acceptable by the City.

Development of a concept plan for stormwater treatment was initiated to help formulate a scope of work and cost estimate for final design and permitting which is summarized in Tasks 3-5. It is our opinion that full spectrum detention requirements for the untreated contributing drainage area to Hale Reservoir could be achieved through a combination of passive flow-through and automation of the low-level outlet. Passive flow-through of storm inflows to Hale would be conveyed through the service spillway standpipe when the reservoir is full (water level at normal pool elevation 5622) and automation of the low-level outlet gate would control detention time(s) of storm inflows when the reservoir is drawn down below the service standpipe due to irrigation and augmentation releases. Concurrently, construction of an extended detention basin (EDB) south of Mesa Ridge Parkway could decrease required treatment and flood storage volumes in Hale, possibly increasing active supply storage in the reservoir. Figure 1, attached, shows contributing drainage areas to Hale Reservoir, the proposed 'satellite' EDB south of Mesa Ridge Parkway, reservoir forebays for pretreatment of Hale inflows and flow measurement/telemetry equipment intended to provide real-time mass-balance accounting for automation of the low-level outlet.

Automation of stormwater facilities has been successful in other areas of the country and the Mile High Flood District (MHFD) recently completed a pilot study of a rainwater harvesting system utilizing automated controls which proved to be an effective system for managing stormwater. However, if the City of Fountain is not amenable to the proposed design concept, additional 'satellite' facilities may be necessary to provide stormwater quality treatment outside of Hale Reservoir.

Task 3 – Stormwater Design - Final

Task 3 assumes construction plans will be developed for one stormwater facility south of Mesa Ridge Parkway (EDB assumed for cost estimating) and that additional construction details for pretreatment and

RE: Scope of Work for Dam Safety Plan Modifications and SWM Design September 23, 2021 Page 3 of 5

automation of the low-level outlet will be developed and incorporated in the design plans for rehabilitating Hale Reservoir. Work under this task will involve the following activities:

- 1. Topographic survey of the existing stormwater ponds west and north of Hale Reservoir as well as the drainageway between Cross Creek and Mesa Ridge Parkway (note 6 to 8 weeks' lead time from Notice to Proceed). Estimated survey costs for the corridor are estimated to be approximately \$10,000 based on initial bids and have been incorporated in the task budget.
- 2. Updated hydrology and water quality calculations based on the approved concept design (required facility type(s) and location(s)).
- 3. Hydraulic analysis/calculations for design of outlet structures, spillways and erosion/scour protection at facility inflows and outflows.
- 4. Coordination with NES regarding proposed wetlands and integration with stormwater facilities.
- 5. Development of full-size plan sets consisting of 4 to 5 sheets per facility; note plan sets will include all necessary information to the meet the City's requirements for GESQC plans.Development of additional construction details for pretreatment forebays at stormwater inflows to Hale Reservoir and flow measurement, recording and automation equipment necessary for automating the lowlevel outlet gate.
- 6.

Note the scope of work and cost estimate for final design of required stormwater facilities is subject to change based on coordination with the City of Fountain and will be updated following completion of Task 3. Our budget estimate for this task assumes one additional stormwater detention basin will be required, and the associated estimate can be scaled up depending on the final number of facilities required by City of Fountain.

Task 4 – Final Drainage Report Update

CCMD authorized Applegate Group to complete a Final Drainage Plan and Report for Hale Reservoir in our January 23, 2020 scope of work, but additional scope is provided under Task 4 as the January 2020 scope assumed it would be possible to provide all necessary treatment through Hale Reservoir (one facility). Subsequent topographic data and hydrologic modeling indicate that multiple detention facilities may be required to meet water quality detention requirements. Task 4 will involve updating the Final Drainage Report for Hale Reservoir based on the proposed stormwater concept design; this task is anticipated to include the following work:

- 1. Documentation of revised hydrologic and hydraulic calculations associated with the proposed stormwater facility south of Mesa Ridge Parkway (EDB assumed).
- 2. Updated local drainage maps for new facility.
- 3. Inspection and Maintenance Plans (IM Plans) for 1 additional facility type (EDB).
- 4. Cost estimates for financial assurance.
- 5. Updated report narrative and appendices.

Estimated costs for this task assume one stormwater detention basin will be required by the City, and the remainder of detention requirements will be met using an automated low-level outlet for Hale Reservoir. The associated budget estimate can be scaled up depending on the final number of detention facilities required by City of Fountain.

Task 5 – Stormwater Management Plan (SWMP) Development

Task 5 will involve the development of two separate SWMPs for the project; it is assumed that one SWMP will be developed for Hale Reservoir, and that a separate SWMP will need to be developed for the proposed EDB south of Mesa Ridge. The budget for this task includes minimal time for coordination with Colorado

RE: Scope of Work for Dam Safety Plan Modifications and SWM Design September 23, 2021 Page 4 of 5

Department of Public Health and Environment (CDPHE) and assumes the selected contractor(s) will be responsible for obtaining all necessary construction permits. Note the SWMP development was not included as part of the January 23, 2020 scope of work. Estimated costs for this task assume one stormwater detention basin will be required by the City, and the remainder of detention requirements will be met using an automated low-level outlet for Hale Reservoir. The associated budget estimate can be scaled up depending on the final number of detention facilities required by City of Fountain.

BUDGET

We proposed to bill Cross Creek Metropolitan District on a time and materials basis, with the following estimated not to exceed budget. Please note the estimated costs for Tasks 3 through 5 may vary depending on confirmation of the stormwater design approach. We will notify you if our level of effort approaches the Not-to-Exceed amount and seek your authorization for additional budget before completing any additional work.

	Task	Budget
1	Dam Safety Plan Modifications	\$13,700
2	Stormwater Design - Concept	\$11,000
3a*	Stormwater Design - Final (Construction Drawings and GESQC Plans for EDB)	\$23,900
3b*	Stormwater Design – Final (Edits to Hale Plans for Pretreatment and Automation)	\$7,500
4*	Final Drainage Report for Hale Reservoir and Additional Stormwater Facility**	\$ 9,000
5*	SWMP Development Hale Reservoir and Additional Stormwater Facility	\$9,100
	Total	\$74,200*

*Estimated costs may vary based on final design approach; the cost provided assumes one additional water quality detention basin **Estimated costs are in addition to fees included in January 23, 2020 scope of work; the cost provided assumes one additional water quality detention basin

SCHEDULE

Applegate anticipates starting on Tasks No. 1 and No. 2 within one week of Notice to Proceed. The duration for Task 1 will depend on comments received from Dam Safety on the Hydrologic Hazard Analysis (in terms of timing and substance) and also on the State's schedule for review of a final submittal. It is assumed that a 'final' submittal to Dam Safety will precede final stormwater design, and that minor modifications may need to be made retroactively to the Dam Safety design plans for record at a later date pending approval by the City of Fountain. Applegate estimates 3 weeks' time from NTP to develop a stormwater concept design submittal for the City; note completion of Task 2 will depend on the City's availability to review and discuss concept plans. The schedule for completing Tasks 3 through 5 will be dependent on the completion of Tasks 1 and 2; a tentative schedule has not yet been developed, but the estimated durations for each of these tasks is summarized below. Note that much of the work included under Tasks 3 - 5 could take place concurrently.

- Task 3: 10-12 weeks following receipt of survey which has an estimated lead time of 6 to 8 weeks'w
- Task 4: 8 to 10 weeks
- Task 5: 8 to 10 weeks

SUMMARY

We appreciate the opportunity to continue to assist CCMD in furthering the design and permitting for Hale Reservoir. If this proposal is acceptable to you, please sign below and the Work Order provided with this

RE: Scope of Work for Dam Safety Plan Modifications and SWM Design September 23, 2021 Page 5 of 5

proposal. We can complete the work under the Professional Services Agreement dated October 11, 2006. Please let me know if you have any questions regarding this work.

Sincerely,

Applegate Group, Inc.

Client Authorization

Steven Annal

Signature

Date

Steve Smith, PE Vice President/Senior Water Resource Engineer

SAS/tk

AG#12-130

Printed Name

Title

Hale Reservoir Renovation Cross Creek Regional Park



Project Description

- Cross Creek Metropolitan District (CCMD) was formed in El Paso County in November 2003. CCMD's mission is two-fold:
 - Parks and Recreation
 - Stormwater Management

• Its primary capital project is a 60-acre regional park that includes Hale Reservoir, an old farm pond. The park is located within the City of Fountain. The Hale Reservoir dam has breached twice in storm events and been restored at the expense of the CCMD. This grant request's purpose is to rehabilitate and expand Hale Reservoir dam.



Project Benefits



- When rehabilitated, the lake will serve multiple purposes:
 - Environmental Hale and its environs will include
 rehabilitated and increased
 wetlands and riparian habitat
 approximately 7 ½ new
 acres of wetland habitat will
 be added to an existing 3 1/5
 acres. Wildlife habitat will be
 enhanced and protected by
 the new design.
 - Recreational The reservoir,
 by design, will direct and limit
 human contact; through
 boardwalks, fishing piers,
 signage, and ADA accessible
 trails around the perimeter.

Project Benefits



• When rehabilitated, the lake will serve multiple purposes:

- Water Conservation Hale will serve as a source of non-potable water. In partnership with City of Fountain for augmentation, it is expected that an estimated 11 27 acre-feet per year of potable water from the City of Fountain will be replaced with inexpensive return flows. The augmentation agreement with Fountain will also cover evaporative loss from the expanded reservoir.
- Stormwater Management While serving as a park, Hale Reservoir has been re-designed to manage new capacity for 72-hour stormwater storage of 10+/- acre-feet, combined with water quality mitigation infrastructure.

Cross Creek Park & Hale Reservoir						
Funding Sources						
Last Updated:		11/1/2021				
Colorado Water Plan Grant	\$ 750,000					
GOCO	\$ 500,000	Applying Spring 2022				
El Paso County Urban Park Grant	\$ 25,000	Applying January 2022				
City of Fountain-COP Funds	\$3,500,000					
CCMD-CTF Funds	\$ 145,000					
CCMD Matching Funds	\$ 882,271					
	\$ 5,802,271					

Cross Creek Park & Hale Reservoir						
Budget						
Last Updated:		11/1/2021				
Task 1 - Dam Construction					4,409,954	
Task 2 - Stormwater Infrastructure					61,200	
Task 3 - Non-Potable Irrigation System					105,000	
Task 4 - Wetlands Reconstruction/Revegetation					106,371	
Task 5 - Park & Recreation Features					640,746	
Task 6 - Project/Grant Management					479,000	
TOTAL				\$	5,802,271	

Water Rights – covering our bases

- Hale Reservoir is a historic farm pond decreed in Water Division 2, Case No. W-1814, with a Priority Date of July 19, 1945. W-1814 further states: "*this right shall be junior…to 1972.*"
- Working with State Engineer's Office on new rainfall rules, which resulted in raising the dam by 6 inches to account for a 1000-year rain event. Dam permit application in process.
- Working with Division Engineer, Doug Hollister, to rectify un-decreed pond status. Installing gages and ready to monitor for stormwater releases.
- Partnered with Fountain Utilities, amending an Augmentation Agreement to account for irrigation losses and increased evaporative losses.
- Working with City of Fountain, using the El Paso County Drainage Criteria Manual, to redesign for water quality and stormwater management.
- This community asset will become a showcase for multi-purpose water resource projects. Collaborating with the City of Fountain and a neighboring metro district, the project partnership is an exemplar of regional cooperation to address water quality, stormwater mitigation, public safety, environmental restoration and recreational amenities.







NORTH SCALE: 1" = 90'

Hale Reservoir
 Dam
 Bench Seating
 1Mi Perimeter Loop Trail

5. Boardwalk
 6. ADA Fishing Access
 7. Playground Shade Sail
 8. Pavilion

9. Parking10. Multi-Use Fields11. BMX Track12.Cottonwood Gallery

13. Wetland Shrubs
 14. Fishing Beaches
 15. Restored Wetlands
 16. Existing Wetlands

17. Pickleball Courts
 18. Reservoir Spillway
 19. Ampitheater Lawn
 20. Neighborhood Access

21. ExcavationPlacement22. Irrigation Pump House23. Promenade Trees24. Existing Drainage

Date Saved: 9/15/2021 9:40:20 AM





Memorandum

Subject:	Hale Reservoir Rehabilitation – Stormwater Concept Design	
Cc:	Elise Bergsten – Cross Creek Metropolitan District; Gary Barber	
From:	Dave Breindel, Steve Smith – Applegate Group, Inc.	
To:	Ben Sheets, Brandy Williams – City of Fountain	
Date:	October 18, 2021	AG Job No.:

The following memorandum summarizes the proposed design concept for meeting the City of Fountain's current stormwater regulations including Full Spectrum Detention requirements at Hale Reservoir. The outline below is intended to provide an overview of design objectives, major infrastructure components and potential opportunities and constraints. Concept design utilized updated hydrology based on the 2013 Mesa Ridge MDDP with the following revisions:

- 1. Composite curve numbers (CNs) for the Mesa Ridge subbasins were revised to account for:
 - a. Updated soils data (NRCS Hydrologic Soils Group classifications)
 - b. Cover type based on review of satellite imagery
- 2. Subbasin drainage areas were confirmed based on review of Mesa Ridge MDDP mapping, USGS StreamStats data and review of satellite imagery and LiDAR data.
- 3. Elevation-storage-discharge relationships for existing detention basins were updated based on construction plans, where available, and data obtained from Kiowa Engineering.
- 4. Updated precipitation data based on NOAA Atlas 14.

A detailed summary of the changes summarized above is not included with this memorandum but will be documented in the Final Drainage Report. The design concept explained herein is based on preliminary hydrologic and hydraulic calculations, discussions with Mile High Flood District (MHFD) and City of Fountain staff and Applegate's experience on projects requiring detailed flow measurement and recording for water rights administration. The intent of this memo is to give the City the opportunity to comment on the proposed design concept before proceeding with formal design. Please let us know your thoughts after you have had a chance to review.

Stormwater Design Concept

- 1. Design Objectives
 - a. Eliminate existing stormwater facilities to the north and west of Hale Reservoir by providing additional water quality treatment and flood storage volume in the rehabilitation design.
 - b. Meet current stormwater regulations including FSD requirements.
 - c. Provide adequate water supply for anticipated reservoir operations including irrigation and augmentation.
 - d. Minimize project footprint within Cross Creek Park to free up space for other uses (e.g., recreation, conservation, etc.).
- 2. Design Concept See Map 1, attached, for Plan View of Design Components
 - a. Full Spectrum Detention to be provided through a combination of passive flow-through and automation of the low-level outlet in conjunction with flow measurement and reservoir level monitoring.
 - a. Factors affecting stormwater detention design:

12-130

- 1. Significant contributing drainage area and large surface area of reservoir complicate design of 'traditional' outlet structure (i.e., utilizing a water quality orifice plate and multiple openings).
- 2. In scenarios where the reservoir is drawn down below normal pool, releases will need to be made from the low-level outlet following storm events (within 72 hours) to comply with water rights administration.
- 3. Detailed mass-balance accounting is necessary for water rights compliance due to anticipated stormwater and groundwater inflows, reservoir evaporation, and proposed reservoir operations.
- b.Proposed Detentions Times
 - 1. Hale Reservoir will function as a Retention Pond (RP); however, the following detention times are proposed. The increased detention times are conservative and should result in increased water quality for storm flows passed through Hale.

Zone	Volume	Drain Time of Zone, hrs	Maximum Release Rate
1	WQCV	40*	Based on Drain Time
2	EURV minus WQCV	60 to 72**	Based on Drain Time
3	100-yr minus EURV	Per Release Rate	0.9 * (predevelopment Q ₁₀₀)

Notes: * 12 hours recommended for RP with FSD per MHFD guidance

** 12 to 60 hours recommended for RP with FSD per MHFD guidance

- c. Service Spillway Standpipe Design
 - 1. Open-top reinforced concrete riser
 - Preliminary calculations indicate a 4-foot diameter circular standpipe would come close to meeting water quality detention requirements when the reservoir is full, however, releases through the low-level outlet would regulate drain times when the reservoir is drawn down.
 - i. Subsequent design to evaluate need for water quality orifices/weir slots.
 - Riser will include trash rack with anti-vortex plate.
 - See Figures 1 through 4 below for examples of similar outlet configurations.
- d. Automated Low-Level Outlet, Flow Measurement and Reservoir Monitoring
 - 1. For mass-balance accounting of reservoir storage, the system will monitor the following:
 - Inflows at the Parkglen Drive and Cross Creek Park culverts.
 - i. Flow depth will be measured by level sensors installed in the culverts and used to estimate flowrate based on established rating tables.
 - Inflows at existing culverts to the existing stormwater pond west of Hale Reservoir will be measured by level sensors installed in the culverts and used to estimate flowrate based on established rating tables.
 - Reservoir storage
 - i. Water depth will be measured using a level sensor and volume estimated based on stage-storage data for the proposed reservoir.
 - Reservoir outflow at C&S Road culvert.
 - i. Flow depth will be measured by a level sensor installed in the culvert and used to estimated flowrate based on a culvert rating table.
 - 2. A programmable logic controller (PLC) will be used to determine net change in reservoir storage based on input from the level sensors and communicate with an actuator installed on the low-level outlet to regulate gate opening as required to meet proposed drain times.
- e. Discussion with MHFD:

- MHFD recently completed a successful pilot project focusing on rainwater harvesting utilizing cloud-based infrastructure: <u>https://mhfd.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/12/evaluation-of-rainwater-harvesting.pdf</u>.
- MHFD staff indicated that the Hale Reservoir project would be a good candidate for an automated or 'cloud-based' approach to stormwater management.
- Automated/cloud-based stormwater management solutions are being implemented in other parts of the country by companies such as Opti (<u>https://optirtc.com/</u>).
- Applegate has completed several recent projects on irrigation canals and pipelines utilizing similar flow measurement and recording equipment to automate river diversions and augmentation releases; our experience on these projects will be an advantage in implementing a similar approach for managing stormwater at Hale Reservoir.
- f. Pre-treatment forebays at reservoir inflows
 - 1. Pre-treatment forebays would be installed at the two piped inflows to the existing stormwater pond west of Hale and at the north tributary inflow to Hale; see Map 1 for approximate locations.
 - 2. Following survey, subsequent design will evaluate the possibility of consolidating the two proposed forebays west of Hale.
 - 3. Forebays will be designed for 3% of the WQCV associated with the contributing drainage areas consistent with MHFD design guidance.
- b. Applegate, CCMD and NES to evaluate feasibility of constructing a new SWM facility south of Mesa Ridge Parkway to reduce water quality treatment volume and flood storage in Hale Reservoir; to be further evaluated following survey. This potential facility is also shown on the attached figure.
- 3. Advantages of the proposed approach:
 - a. Simplifies overall stormwater management system for untreated drainage to Hale Reservoir.
 - 1. Stormwater management achieved through Hale Reservoir and possibly one additional new facility. Additional pre-treatment forebays would be included as needed.
 - 2. Providing passive water quality treatment outside of Hale would likely require 3-4 separate facilities with a greater combined project footprint.
 - b.Decreased capital construction costs as well as long-term O&M investment.
 - c. Strict compliance with water rights administration requires detailed measurement and monitoring of reservoir levels as well as all inflow/outflow.
 - d. Unique approach could be a highlight for project, Cross Creek Park and the community.
 - e. Potential additional opportunities for funding:
 - 1. USBR WaterSmart grant(s)
 - 2. Additional CWCB funding opportunities addressing potential storage gaps

Figures



Figure 1. Von Springs #1 – Low-Level Outlet with Grade Beam and Service Spillway Standpipe



Figure 2. Von Springs #1 – Low-Level Outlet with Grade Beam, Service Spillway Standpipe at Left



Figure 3. Von Springs #1 – Overall of Upstream Embankment



Figure 4. Harris Park #2 – Low-Level Outlet with Grade Beam and Steel Staff Gage



Legend

Culvert

Existing SWM Ponds

Hale Reservoir

Hale Reservoir - Requested Survey_29Sep2021

Stormwater Infrastructure

C&S Road Culvert


	Description	STADAL	DESCRIPTION	GENERAL NOTES	
	LIGHT FOTURES		POWER SYMBOLS	DENERAL THESE DRAWINGS RELIAIN THE SOLEPROPERTY OF SOL CHAVEZ AND ASSOCIATES AND MAY BE USED ONLY FOR THE PROJECT AS	
	RECESSED FLUCRESCENT LIGHT PIXTURE, WITH TYPE NOTED IN FLAM, SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS.	00	JUNCTION BOX, FLUSINSURFACE MITD	REICATED BY LANG AND LOCATION. ANY OTHER USE REQUIRES PRIOR. WRITEN PERANSION. THE CONTRACTOR WILL PROVIDE ALL MATERIALS, LABOR. EQUIPMENT, TOOLS, TRANSPORTATION, LICENSES, FEES, PERMITS, ETC, TO COMPLETE THE RETERICAL WORK DESCRIPTION THE DRAWNINS, THE CONTRACTOR WILL MARGANT FOURIEMENT, MATERIAL, AND	
0	SURFACE FLUORESCENTLIGHT FIXTURE WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	Ø	POWER POLE WITH COMM AND POWER	WORKINNISHIP FOR A MINIMUM PERIOD OF ONE YEAR FROM THE DATE OF ACCEPTANCE, WARRANTY SHALL INCLUDE REPLACEMENTS OR REPLARS WITH/UT COST TO THE OWNER DEWING THE WARRANTY FRIBIO. ELECTRICAL WORK SHALL BE FREGRADE IN A CORPARIACE WITH THE INTROMULE LECTRICAL CODE INEC 2011 AND ALL OTHER APPLICAL E	
	FLUORESCENT STRIPLIGHT FIXTURE WITH TYPE WOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	N	Mator	LOCAL CODES AND ORDINANCES. ALL EQUIPLENT SHALL BE INSTALLED IN ACCORDANCE WITH WHUPACTURERS' RECOMMENDATIONS.	
122	WALL BRACKET FLUORESCENT LIGHT FIXTURE WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	CP ¹	NON FUSIBLE DISCONNECT SWITCH BATING AS INDICATED	USE OF DRAWINGS OD NOT SOLLE FROM THE ELECTRICAL DRAWINGS, FOR EXACT LOCATIONS USE ARCHTECT'S DIMENSIONED DRAWINGS SHOP DRAWINGS AND FIELD MEASUREMENTS, VERIFY ALL LOCATIONS WITH THE ARCHTECT PRIOR TO ELECTRICAL ROUGHIN.	
0 0		51		WIRDIG METHODS: ALL WIRDIG FOR UCHTING AND POWER SYSTEMS WILL BE IN CONDUIT OR CABLE ASSEMBLE'S APPROVED BY THE GOVERNING AUTHORITIES, CONDUCTOR SIZES SHOWN ARE BASED ON AMPACITIES FOR COPPER CONDUCTORS, UNLESS OTHERNISE NOTED WHEN	
¥Т	WALL MOUNTED LIGHT FIXTURE WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	LSF	FUSIBLE DISCONNECT SWITCH, RATING AS INDICATED,	APPROVED BY ENGINEER, FEEDERS MAY BE ALUMINUM CONDUCTORS OF EQUIVALENT AMPACITIES. GROUNDING CONDUCTORS SHALL BE PROVIDED FOR ALL CIRCUITS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS, PROVIDE BLOOKING AND OTHER NECESSARY SUPPORTS IN WALLS AND CEILINGS FOR	
0	RECESSED CELLING MOUNTED DOWILLIGHT WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	-	PANELBOARDI, OAD CENTER	MATERIAL AD ECOMPLET TO BE PROVIDED, BRANCH CROUT NUMBERS SHOWN ON THE DRAWINGS MAY BE REARRANGED WITHIN A UVEN PARELBOARD TO SUIT THE REEDS OF THE INSTALLATION, ELECTRICAL BRANCH CIRCUITS SHALL BE BALANCED BETWEEN LINES AND PHASES WIT TWINE BRANCH CIRCUITS SHALL BALVE A REARLS TO SIMULTAINER/LINE REARRANCED MOTION OF ACOULTICS AT THE FORT	
٥	SURFACE MOUNTED CELLING DOWINLIGHT, WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS		CELING MOUNTED PAD FAN	WHERE BRANCH CIRCUITS ORIGINATE (HANDLE TIES ARE AN APPROVED MEANS). THE GROUNDED AND UNDERGROUNDED CONDUCTOR OF EACH MALTWIRE BRANCH CIRCUIT SHALL BE GROUPED BY WIRE TIES AT OWEL OCATION IN PANEL BOARD	
\oplus	PENDANT FIXTURE WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN, SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS		ONELLINE SYMBOLS	ALL PATIENT CARE AREAS SHALL COMPLY WITH HEG 517.13(A)(B) TO INCLUE LUMINARES.	
od	TRACK HEAD FIXTURE, WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN, SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	•••	GROUT BREAKER, FRAME AND TRIP AS INDICATED	APPLICATE, INSTALLATION OF SERVICE, PRIMARY OR SECONDARY FEEDERS AND INTERENTS SHALL BE PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH THE UTILITY REQUIREMENTS.	
P v	SPOTLICHT FIXTURE WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDIALE FOR DETAILS	****	POWER TRANSFORMER RATING AS INDICATED.	GRÓUNDING, "HONDE Á COMPLETE GROUNDING SYSTEM AS REGURED BY THE NEÓ MID LOCA, AUTHORITES HAVING JIRISDICTION, ALL BYNAND GRICHTS SHALL INGLIDE A GROUND CONDUCTOR, USE OF RACENAY FOR GROUNDING IS NOT PERMITTED, GALVANIZED GROUND	
÷	BOLLARD OR POST LIGHT WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAY. SEE PIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	÷	GROUND BLECTRODE	HOUS ARE NOT FEMALTED. PAINE, BOARDS PROVIDE MINIMUM INTEGRATED EQUIPMENT SHORT CROUT PATING AS INDICATED ON PAINEL SCHEDULES. PROVIDE BOLT-ON	
•□	PARKING LOT POLE LIGHT, WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FOTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	3	OURRENT TRANSFORMER (CT)	BREAKERS IN LESS OFFICEMENTED. FORONE CIRCUIT STEAVERS SHOWN ON THE PANELBOARD SCHEDILLES. ALL TERMINATIONS AND LUGS SMULL REARTED FOR TS-DECREE CONDUCTORS. PROVIDE TYPEWINTEN CIRCUIT SCHEDULLES TO DEMTIFY PANELBOARD AND EACH BRANCH BREAKER.	
	LIGHT FORTURE WITH EMERGENECY BALLAST WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	~	NON-FUSIBLE SWITCH, RATING AS INDICATED	ACCEPTABLE MANUFACTURERS ARE SQUARE D. SIEMENS AND EATON.	
4	EMERGEOVIDIGHT, WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETAILS	~	PUSIBLE SWITCH, RATING AND FUSE SIZE AS INDICATED	mentioponics provide systemication (and is and an America Smith America Smith America), is a provide a speciarity of the constraints of a smith and a smith and a subscription of a speciarity of a subscription of a smith and a smith and a subscription of a smith and a sm	
۲	EXIT SIGN, WITH TYPE NOTED IN PLAN, SEE PLAN FOR ARROWS AND FACE OF EXIT NEEDED. SEE FIXTURE SCHEDULE FOR DETALS	Y_	FEEDER SCHEDULE KEY TAG	DISCOMECT SWITCHES, HEAVYDUTY QUCKAWKE QUCK-BREAK TYPE, NON-RUSED UNLESS DTHERWISE NOTED, PROVIDE MEANS TO LOCK	EXISTING UTILITY TRANFORME
	SWITCHES	무	UTLITY TRANSFORMER	SMITCH IN OFF POSITION WITH PAGE COX. ENGLOSURES SHALL BE NEMA TYPE 1 OR NEMA TYPE SR FOR OUTDOOR INSTALLATION, PROVIDE PERMANENT LABLES FOR DISCONNECTS TO INDICATE EQUINENT SERVED.	
S	TOGGLE SWITCH, 20A, SWIGLE POLE, VOLTAGE AS REQUIRED, NOUNTED AT 45" ABOVE FINISHED RUDOR.	0	UTILITY ELECTRIC METER	LIGHTING FIXTURES SEE LIGHT FIXTURE SCHEDULE. PROVIDE ALL FIXTURES WITH LAMPS AS INDICATED, WHERE REQUIRED, FIXTURES SHALL BE WET OR DAMP LOCATION LABLED, VERTY MOUNTING HEIGHTS PRIOR TO BECTRICAL ROUGHAU, PROVIDE ALL REQUIRED MOUNTING LAPPERCEMBE SCHWEDT BED BEDRED MAI UND TO BEIDERDE VERLA VERSER STAINN LIGE WITH LATT TO BE TREATING.	
)® 2	OCCUPANCY SENSOR CELLING AND SENSOR SWITCH CAR DY DO TO COULD	60	MOTOR WITH DISCOMEGT	DARGHES, MO VAULTED CELING CANOPES, ETC. PROVIDE DISCONDEDTING MEMIS FOR LUMININGES THAT UTLIZE DOUBLE-ENDED LAMPS AND BALLASTS(S) IN ACCORDANCE WITH REC 410 130(5)(1).	
9		රූර	MOTOR WITH CONTROLLER AND DISCONNECT	ALLEMANES NOW ACCEPTABLE UNCESS NOVED AS THE EXOLE ON DUAN PARTICLE SUBEDUCE.	
	Sonarda a lou suitivites	x@	GENERATOR	STRENOTH, ALL EQUIPMENT, RECEPTACLES AND CABLING BY OTHERS PROVIDE BLANK COVERS OVER ALL UNUSED OUTLETS CABLES DEVICES AND CABLE TERMINATION IS BY OTHERS.	
3	THREE-WAY TOGGE SWITCH			FIRE ALARIA BY OTHERS. IF REQUIRED	
0	0-10 VOLT ELECTRONIC DIMMER SWITCH, 1000W, 120V LUTRON DIVA OR EQUAL UND.	M	TRANSFER SWITCH		
	MANUAL MOTOR STARTER WITH THERMAL OVERLOAD		WEATHERHEAD		
	RECEPTAGLES	[2]			
	and the second second second second second second second		PANELBOARD OR LOADCENTER, IDENTIFICATION, AMPERES AND VOLTAGE		
φ. 	DUPLEX RECEPTAGLE, 21A 120V, 3 WIRE GROUNDED, NEMA 5-25R UNO., MOUNT 18" AFF		ABBREVIATIONS		METER AND SERVICE DISCONNECT
	GUAD RECEPTACE, ZIA, 12/V 3 WIRE GROUNDED NEWA SZUR, UNO, MOUNT 15' APP.		33.000 6.6.6.6		MOUNTED ON EXISTING UTILITY POLE
Ŧ	SPECIAL RECEPTAGLE 220V TYPE AS INDICATED OR MATCH EQUIPMENT CAP, MOUNT AT HEIGHT AS REQUIRED PER EQUIPMENT.	UNO	UNLESS NOTED OTHERWISE.		
P	DUPLEX RECEPTAGLE 204, 120V, 3 WIRE GROUNDED, NEWA 5-20R, UNO,, WITH TIG-BAR REMOVED FOR SWITCHING, MOUNT 18" AFF	PWC	E ECTRIC WATER COOLER PROVINE CRIPPIESSON		
Ŷ	SPECIAL PURPOSE RECEPTACLE. NEWA TYPE AS INDICATED., MOUNT AT HEIGHT AS REQUIRED PER EQUIPMENT.	E	EXISTING DEVICE TO REMAIN.		
50	FLOOR BOX OUPLEX RECEPTAGLE, FLUGH MOUNTED, PROVIDE, COVER AS REQUIRED.	14	NEW DEVICE TO BE INSTALLED		
0.28	ILOOK BOX QUAD RECEPTACLE, FLUSH MOUNTED, PROVIDE COVER AS REQUIRED.	н	MOUNT DEVICE 5" AFF HORIZONTALLY		
	SUBSCRIPTS FOR RECEPTACLES	TP	TAMPER-PROOF		
		SWD	SWITCH RATED BREAKERS		
C	D.OCK RECEPTAGLE				
Œ	CEILING FLUSH MOUNTED				
IG	ISOLATED GROUND				
WR	WEATHER RESISTANT WITH GROUND FAULT INTERRUPTER IN WEATHER PROOF BOX				
0C	OVER COUNTER, MOUNT RECEPTACLE, MOUNTED 6" ABOVE BACKSPLASH.				
UC	UNDER COUNTER				2 ONE
	NOTE. NOT ALL SYMBOLS ARE USED. VERIEV ALL CONNECTIONS AND RECEPTAGLE TYPES FOR EQUIPMENT FROM APPROVED MECHAN	ICAL AND EQUIPMEN	SUBMITTALS PRIOR TO INSTALLATION		2 ONL
					E-1 /SCALE:
					\bigcirc

			f	PANEL			A						
VOLTAGE (L-N): 120						ENCLOS	JRE TYPE:		_				
VOLTAGE (L-L):	240				MOUNTIN	MOUNTING: SURFACE					-	
PHASES, W	ARES:	1 4. 3 W				AIC RATING:		10000	10000				
MINIMUM E	BUS CAPACITY (A):	200 A				NOTES:							
MAIN O.C.	DEVICE (A):	200 A			_	1						-	
CHT NO	DESCRIPTION	TRIP	POLE	PHASE		LOADS (VA)		POIE	TRIP	DESCRIPTION		CKT NO	
0.07 1.00	Second non	AMPS	1000	J	A		8						
1,3	WELL PUMP	175	2	14881	615	1		1	20	PIT LIGHTS & RECEPS		2	
1,3	WELL PUMP	175	2		-	1488	1 250	1	20	EXHAUST FAN	State Street Street Street	4	
5	COMPRESSOR	20	1	1127	2500	10000		2	30	ELECTRIC HEAT		6,8	
7	COMPRESSOR	20	1			1127	2500	2	30	ELECTRIC HEAT		6,8	
9	and and and and	20	1	0	0			1	20	(min)ain		10	
11		20	1	Ber .		0	0	L	20			12	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				CONNECTED LOAD 19123		PHASE TOTALS (VA) 18758		-					
Heating Ughting Wolars Wolars (Largest) Receptocles (0 – 10 KyA) TOTAL			CONNECTED DE LOAD (KVA) 7A 5.0 1 2.5 1 29.8 1 0.5 1 37.9 157.8		EMAND DEMAND LOAD ACTOR (KVA) 1.00 5.0 1.25 0.1 1.00 2.5		2		DEMAND LOAD 45.3 KVA SPARE CAPACITY 2.7 KVA SPARE CAPACITY 11.1 AMPS SPARE CAPACITY 5.%				
					1.25	37.2 0.5 45.3	1						
LOAD (AMPS):					188.9								



IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR WATER DIVISION NO. 2 STATE OF COLORADO

CASE NO. W-1814

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR WATER RIGHTS OF

> DONALD A. HIBBARD PHYLLIS F. HIBBARD IN EL PASO COUNTY

JUDGMENT AND DECREE

in the office of the Clerk, t Court Water Drvision State of Colorado

Filed in District (No 2, S JUL : 1 '73 AM

CLERIC

THE COURT FINDS:

That the Ruling of Referee was filed on June 25, 1973.
That the Water Referee designated in said ruling

June, 1975, for the filing of application for biennial finding of reasonable diligence; that pursuant to CRS 1963, 148-21-17, as amended June 7, 1973, the Court hereby designates <u>June, 1977</u>, for filing of application for guadrennial finding of reasonable diligence instead.

3. That no protest has been filed to the Ruling of the Water Referee within the time provided by law, and that said Ruling should be confirmed, approved and adopted with the change stated in Paragraph 2 above.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED That the Ruling of the Water Referee entered on June 25, 1973, be and is hereby amended to show that application for quadrennial finding of reasonable diligence shall be filed during the month of June, 1977, and that said Ruling, as amended herein, be and the same is incorporated herein by reference and is hereby confirmed, approved and adopted as the judgment of this Court.

Done this 31st day of July, A. D. 1973.

BY THE COURT:

cc: Donald A. and -Phyllis F. Hibbard, Applicants

Division Engineer

State Engineer

IN THE DISTRICT COURT IN AND FOR WATER DIVISION NO. 2 STATE OF COLORADO

CASE NO. W-1814

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION FOR WATER RIGHTS OF

RULING OF REFEREE

, the Clurk Division abrevity

t Water L 1 Water L 1 Cohorad

fulled in the ul Distart fourt No 2 Slate d JUN 25 73 AM

OLERS!

DONALD A. HIBBARD PHYLLIS F. HIBBARD

723

IN EL PASO COUNTY

Pursuant to Order of Referral filed and entered in the above case on June 21, 1972, the undersigned Water Referee, having investigated the matter of the application on file herein, hereby makes the following findings and ruling thereon:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. That the said application was filed on June 21, 1972.

2. That the Water Clerk caused publication of such filing as provided by statute; that the time for filing Statements of Opposition expired on the last day of August, 1972, and that none has been filed.

3. That the application concerns four wells and one storage right located in El Paso County, Colorado, and is used for irrigation purposes.

4. That applicants own 198 shares of the capital stock of the Fountain Mutual Irrigation Company also used for irrigation purposes.

5. That one of wells above named is not in production at this time and is therefore awarded a conditional right.

6. That applicants have furnished acceptable proof as to claims made.

IT IS, THEREFORE, ORDERED AS FOLLOWS: That applicants be, and are hereby, awarded certain absolute and conditional inderground and storage rights, to-wit: NAME AND ADDRESS:

.....

Donald A. Hibbard Phyllis F. Hibbard 1722 Culebra Place Colorado Springs, Colorado 80907

UNDERGROUND WATER RIGHT

NAME OF WELL: Well No. 1.

LOCATION OF WELL: NW% SW% Sec. 33, T. 15S., R. 65W. of the 6th P.M., in El Paso County, Colorado, being at a point from whence the West 1/4 corner of said Section 33 bears N 49 degs. 15'V a distance of 1370 feet.

DEPTH: 15 feet.

PRIORITY DATE: May 6, 1955.

AMOUNT OF WATER: 1.25 c.f.s., or 560 g.p.m.

USE OF WATER: Irrigation purposes.

STATE ENGINEER'S WELL NUMBER: Map filing No. 20201.

MEANS OF DIVERSION: Well and pump.

UNDERGROUND WATER RIGHT

NAME OF WELL: Well No. 2.

LOCATION OF WELL: NW4 SW4 Sec. 33, T. 15S., R. 65W. of the 6th P.M., in El Paso County, Colorado, teing at a point from whence the West 1/4 correr of said Section 33, bears N 59 degs. 20' W a distance of 1140 feet.

DEPTH: 40 feet.

PRIORITY DATE: May 6, 1955.

AMOUNT OF WATER: 2.00 c.f.s., or 900 g.p.m.

USE OF WATER: Irrigation purposes.

STATE ENGINEER'S WELL NUMBER: Map filing No. 2021.

MEANS OF DIVERSION: Well and pump.

CONDITIONAL UNDERGROUND WATER RIGHT

NAME OF WELL: Well No. 3.

LOCATION OF WELL: NW% SW% Sec. 33, T. 155., R. 65W. of the 6th P.M., in El Paso County, Colorado, being at a point from whence the West 1/4 corner of said Section 33 bears N 61 degs. 30' W a distance of 750 feet. DEPTH: 38 feet.

PRIORITY DATE: May 6, 1955 (Conditional).

AMOUNT OF WATER: 1.25 c.f.s., or 560 g.p.m. (Conditional)

USE OF WATER: Irrigation purposes.

STATE ENGINEER'S WELL NUMBER: Map filing Number 2021.

MEANS OF DIVERSION: Well and pump.

UNDERGROUND WATER RIGHT

NAME OF WELL: Well No. 4.

LOCATION OF WELL: NE% SE% Sec. 32, T. 158., R. 65W. of the 6th P.M., in El Paso County, Colorado, teing at a point from whence the East 1/4 correr of said Section 32 bears N 16 degs. 30' East, a distance of 1260 feet.

DEPTH: 37 feet.

PRIORITY DATE: May 6, 1955.

AMOUNT OF WATER: 1.0 c.f.s., or 450 g.p.m.

USE OF WATER: Irrigation purposes.

STATE ENGINEER'S WELL NUMBER: RF 1086.

MEANS OF DIVERSION: Well and pump.

WATER RIGHT

NAME OF DITCH, SPRING, OR OTHER STRUCTURE: Hale Reservoir.

LOCATION OF POINT OF DIVERSION: Located in the SE% Sec. 25, T. 15S., R. 65W. of the 6th P.M., in El Paso County, Colorado.

DESCRIPTION OF DITCH AND/OR PIPELINE (MEANS OF DIVERSION): Dam across a draw tributary to the Arkansas River System, with 12" gate valve and 80 feet of galvanized 12" pipe through the dam, discharged to a system of ditches.

SOURCE OF WATER: Flood water and spring water tributary to the Arkansas River System.

Page 3-Ruling of Referee W-1814

PRIORITY DATE: July 19, 1945, provided, however, that this right shall be junior to all priorities awarded in cases filed prior to 1972, and otherwise junior as provided in CRS 1963, 148-21-22, as amended.

AMOUNT OF WATER: 18 acre feet. USE OF WATER: Irrigation purposes. LAND IRRIGATED: 55 acres of land in Sec. 32, T. 15S., R. 65W. of the 6th P.M., in El Paso County,

65W. of the 6th P.M., in El Paso County, Colorado.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED THAT, as to any conditional right awarded hereunder, the owner thereof if he desires to maintain the same, shall file an application for biennial finding of reasonable diligence with the Water Clerk of this Court during the month of <u>June</u>, 1975, and every two years thereafter until the right is decreed final, such application to be filed pursuant to C.R.S. 1963, 148-21-18, as amended.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That, applicants shall install and maintain such water measurement devices, recording devices, content gauges and inlet and outlet measurement and recording devices, as the case may be, as deemed essential by the Office of the State Engineer, and the same shall be installed and operated in accordance with instructions from said Office.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED That copies of this ruling shall be mailed as provided by statute.

Dated and filed with the Water Clerk this 25th day of June , A. D. 1973.

BY THE REFEREE:

Water Referee, Water Division No. 2 State of Colorado

Page 4-Ruling of Referee W-1814



New Pond Surface 3-4 / deep = appx 10 acre-feet of new storage capacity



New Wetland Features, inundation area

