

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	6:53 PM	from Amy Bowell-McClearn to everyone: Amy Bowell-McClearn USACE, Planning Branch
October 14, 2021	6:53 PM	from Charley Able to everyone: Charley Able, Lakewood City Council
October 14, 2021	6:53 PM	from Michael Gunstanson to everyone: Michael Gunstanson, Lakewood Ward 5 City Council candidate
October 14, 2021	6:53 PM	from Debbie to everyone: Debbie Jones, Lakewood resident
October 14, 2021	6:53 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Erik Skeie, Colorado Water Conservation Board
October 14, 2021	6:53 PM	from Jamie Seemiller to everyone: Jamie Seemiller, Creekside at Fox Hollow Homeowner. Supporter of Bear Creek Trail Race and recreation in Park
October 14, 2021	6:54 PM	from Tom Stocker to everyone: Tom Stocker - mlong time user of Bear Creek Lake Park, primarily for bicycling
October 14, 2021	6:54 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: Jim Gill, Resident of Town of Morrison
October 14, 2021	6:54 PM	from Laurie Allin to everyone: Laurie & Jay Allin Morrison residents, annual pass holders
October 14, 2021	6:54 PM	from HMc to everyone: Helen McGrath, Lakewood resident & user of Bear Creek Park for recreational purposes.
October 14, 2021	6:55 PM	from Ryan Vasas to everyone: Ryan Vasas, Lakewood resident. Frequent user of hiking and biking trails
October 14, 2021	6:55 PM	from Samuel Sobczyk to everyone: Sam Sobczyk, USACE Dam Safety
October 14, 2021	6:56 PM	from Ashley to everyone: Ashley Scoggins, Jeffco resident.
October 14, 2021	6:58 PM	from adam way to everyone: Adam way, resident town of Morrison
October 14, 2021	7:00 PM	from M Gadd to everyone: Michelle Gadd, 2nd gen native, been here longer than the park!
October 14, 2021	7:02 PM	from Benjamin Letak to everyone: Ben Letak, USACE Dam Safety
October 14, 2021	7:03 PM	from Dr. Tom Keefe Ward 5 to everyone: Thank you everyone for being here. I would like to make public comment as well.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	7:04 PM	from Margaret Ash to everyone: Lakewood resident. Long time user of hiking and biking trails. Good to see so many people involved.
October 14, 2021	7:04 PM	from Katie Gill to everyone: I would like to comment as well.
October 14, 2021	7:06 PM	from Dan Sherman to everyone: I would like to comment. Is Tim Buckley here?
October 14, 2021	7:07 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Chris, you're muted
October 14, 2021	7:07 PM	from Tom Quinn to everyone: Good evening Tom Quinn, former Lakewood City Council member and Planning Commissioner. Also, avid nature photographer and I've extensively photographed wildlife and wildflowers in the project area.
October 14, 2021	7:08 PM	from Paul Sutton to everyone: Paul Sutton here. Town of Morrison Board of Trustees. I would like to make a public comment.
October 14, 2021	7:08 PM	from scott haerbig to everyone: you're cutting in and out
October 14, 2021	7:08 PM	from Jason Altekruise to everyone: Is there a way to turn off the near-constant beeps? (maybe an indicator when people join?)
October 14, 2021	7:08 PM	from Victoria to everyone: Could you please turn the entry and exit tone off to stop the beeping?
October 14, 2021	7:09 PM	from David Manthey to everyone: Hello everyone, David Manthey, race director for the Bear Chase Trail Race, run on the singletrack dirt trails, annually at the end of September.
October 14, 2021	7:11 PM	from Victoria to everyone: In the toolbar at the top of the screen, under the 'Participant' tab, unselect 'Entry and Exit Tone' that should turn the beeping off.
October 14, 2021	7:15 PM	from Michael Gunstanson to everyone: I would like to ask a couple of questions when public comments are allowed.
October 14, 2021	7:20 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Thank you Michael, I've put your name down.
October 14, 2021	7:21 PM	from Michael Gunstanson to everyone: Thank you Erik
October 14, 2021	7:23 PM	from Lynn to everyone: i would like to make a comment when public comments are allowed

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	7:23 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Thank you Lynn, I've put your name down.
October 14, 2021	7:23 PM	from Patrick Armentrout to everyone: I'll add myself to the queue for public comment please
October 14, 2021	7:30 PM	from Tom Stocker to everyone: The only sentence in the 11 page handout that is important appears on page 6 under Study Considerations: "maintaining park's overall character (e.g.. land-based vs. water based) may be difficult." In short, to get a few more acre-feet of water storage, the water engineers and developers will willy-nilly DESTROY the park that has been there and used by the public for 25-30 years or more. In my opinion, the public has an implied easement for the continued use of the park in its present configuration. The engineers from Omaha (who don't use the park) and the developers from who knows where could care less. But the park belongs to the people, not to the bureaucrats. Does the public have to institute an environmental lawsuit and seek an injunction to stop this madness? /s Tom Stocker, tom@thstocker.com..
October 14, 2021	7:32 PM	from Mike Smith to everyone: Amen Tom! 100% agreed.
October 14, 2021	7:32 PM	from sarah Mass to everyone: indeed
October 14, 2021	7:33 PM	from M Gadd to everyone: "A shift to water based recreation". Hmm, probably because the land based paths and habitats would be severely compromised?!
October 14, 2021	7:35 PM	from M Gadd to everyone: An inconvenience for us people, but devastating for terrestrial wildlife
October 14, 2021	7:36 PM	from Margaret Ash to everyone: Trails will be covered. All vegetation will be cut and destroyed.
October 14, 2021	7:36 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: I'm with you, Tom!
October 14, 2021	7:36 PM	from sarah Mass to everyone: Riparian habitat will be destroyed as well, it's not just an impact to terrestrial wildlife
October 14, 2021	7:36 PM	from Mike Smith to everyone: i've personally been using the park for land-based recreation for 25 years. I'm no expert on the subject, but seems the water "problem" faced by Colorado is due to unbridled development, permitted by weak politicians giving in to developers over many years...

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	7:37 PM	from Margaret Ash to everyone: Water based sounds like a lot of motor boats. Noise and air pollution plus a bunch of traffic?
October 14, 2021	7:37 PM	from MPisano to everyone: As the dam is under USACE jurisdiction will this be going through a NEPA and SHPO process? Also, if this were changed to "water based" recreation, would this be considering motorized water craft- if so can the surrounding infrastructure handle the traffic volumes and additional traffic of trailered vehicles? Additionally, beyond the recreation side of the multi-use path, the bike path is part of a regional commuter bicycle path system.
October 14, 2021	7:38 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Who is advocating this and why?
October 14, 2021	7:38 PM	from Mary Geder to everyone: Being a federal action I believe that yes, it has to comply w NEPA.
October 14, 2021	7:40 PM	from Susan to everyone: Confusion: Why are we reviewing a thoughtful, detailed plan for water storage (and its concerns) when I've not heard:
October 14, 2021	7:41 PM	from Susan to everyone: Who brought up this need, who will use it and when. When will the risk factors identified be measured /assessed before any real planning should occur?
October 14, 2021	7:41 PM	from Debbie to everyone: It is not a detail PLAN. The presentation is describing the different things they will be look at during this STUDY.
October 14, 2021	7:41 PM	from Bear Creek Watershed Online - PHAS Herzog to everyone: This also is in response to the needs for resiliency. Bear Creek is a unique water source that is experience floods that may be very helpful at times other watershed are experiences less flow. Harriman Ditch in Morrison already allocates Water Rights to Denver Water that are then sent to Marton Reservoir. Denver will continue to develop, and mountain communities on the wildlands urban interface are facing increasing development pressure with only I-285 and I-70 corridors, so infill in Denver to accomodate some of this natural growth as families have children as well as due to not unreasonable other sources https://www.macrotrends.net/cities/22972/denver/population is needed. I feel very privileged to have moved to Lakewood 20 years ago and I hope my children stay and others can enjoy our Lakes and Woods. Don't you think?
October 14, 2021	7:42 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Brighton? They should increase their own reservoir up there, if they want to. Leave us alone!

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	7:42 PM	from adam way to everyone: You mean all of those cool people with big boats can come to our peaceful lake instead of chatfield.
October 14, 2021	7:43 PM	from Charley Able to everyone: Charley Able: I agree with Sarah, the critters and vegetation will suffer. Will there be an inventory of existing plant and animal populations?
October 14, 2021	7:43 PM	from Paul Sutton to everyone: What arguments have legal weight to oppose this project? It appears that many of use are concerned about the loss of riparian habitat, loss of park recreational opportunities, and enabling more population growth in a state that routinely exceeds healthy ozone levels. What about the health impacts of the resevoir filling up (every blue moon or so), then, when water is used up we have a mud bowl that becomes a dust bowl with human health impacts. Is that a reason we can use to oppose this development?
October 14, 2021	7:44 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Good points, Paul!
October 14, 2021	7:47 PM	from Mike Smith to everyone: Many good points being raised here...but we are at a disadvantage if we, the homeowners, are not organized in our formal resistance to this process...meanwhile the politicians are freely spending our tax money to further their agenda...
October 14, 2021	7:47 PM	from Bear Creek Watershed Online - PHAS Herzog to everyone: Chatfield Reallocation was relatively successfully and professionally developed and there are benefits as well as risks that were often relatively well mitigated https://chatfieldreallocation.org/ so if you are unsure, speaking to community groups that were involved and why the Greenfield Foundation found it worthwhile to spearhead is worth looking into https://www.thegreenwayfoundation.org/chatfield-storage-reallocation-project.html
October 14, 2021	7:47 PM	from MPisano to everyone: between the current devolopement to the north in the rooney valley and with additional storage of this dam, the additional loss of riparian/wetland habitat which is a natual water quality system will be a huge loss. Additionally terrestrial based migration cooridors are already becoming non-existant along this west side of the metro. how will these losses be mitigated and where?
October 14, 2021	7:47 PM	from Margaret Ash to everyone: So do we protect Threatned RAre and Engangered species or not> Can USFW just ignore the data?

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	7:48 PM	from Ashley to everyone: Question: an earlier version of the slide deck shows that excavation options are "eliminated from further consideration". I thought that might be a good compromise. Why was the information changed on this version of the slides? 8. Excavate reservoir (remove accumulated sediment or deepen reservoir) to increase in-pool storage for water supply.
October 14, 2021	7:48 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: this will have a much larger impact than the project at chatfield because the increase in water elevation is much more
October 14, 2021	7:48 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Sorry, I came in a little late. Who is Christopher Fassero and who is requesting this study?
October 14, 2021	7:48 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: In my humble opinion the responsible study of creating a new source of water supply for the Front Range is a wise idea, and the USACE and local/state sponsors should continue down the path of performing environmental, economic, flood control, and water availability analyses to focus on the facts and determine what mitigation would be required if the reallocation is feasible..
October 14, 2021	7:48 PM	from sarah Mass to everyone: We need to start by making this proposal more widely known! I bike to this park all the time from my house, there are no signs anywhere saying hey, the army corps is considering drowning all these trails... I bet if more people knew this was potentially happening we'd have a lot more than 170 pissed off Lakewood residents attending these meetings!
October 14, 2021	7:49 PM	from Richard Creswell to everyone: The areas covered on that map will affect bird and beaver habitat. I have counted birds bring and fall and the heaviest concentrations of bird varieties would be eliminated by any increase in surface size of lake. Majorf effect.
October 14, 2021	7:49 PM	from shauna to everyone: don't be fooled - this water isn't going to families and children to drink.
October 14, 2021	7:49 PM	from Margaret Ash to everyone: Why is the City and County of Denver the potential impact area for a flood if the dam fails but not the subdivisions and apratments immediatly downstream and on the north side.
October 14, 2021	7:49 PM	from Jamie Seemiller to everyone: What about the impact of the people living in the Fox Hollow area....flood zone risk?

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	7:50 PM	from Sharolyn Anderson to everyone: Does the storage-yield analysis include climate change impacts? Various scenarios of climate change? They have had to stop water going to AZ and you imply there is enough water NOW to change the storage. However, once you flood the area the ecosystem is changed therefore if it is reduced (not enough water) it will not necessarily have the vibrant ecosystem it currently has.
October 14, 2021	7:50 PM	from Paul Sutton to everyone: How much of this water will evaporate?
October 14, 2021	7:50 PM	from Olaf Wyberneit to everyone: Who would be the contact at the City of Lakewood that has provided some socio impact (trail length, usage?) to the the study?
October 14, 2021	7:51 PM	from Bear Creek Watershed Online - PHAS Herzog to everyone: Bear Creek Reservoir is facing a TMDL for not meeting chlorophyll and phosphorus standards. https://www.ashland.or.us/Files/Attachments_Bear%20Creek%20TMDL.pdf One of the greatest benefit will be more flow through to reduce algae that impacts the fish in Bear Creek Lake, stagnant low pool leads to anoxic conditions and when cyanobacteria blooms are too extreme in August and September when the pool might be higher and flowing more continually instead, you could walk your dog without worrying he might drink the water and get sick or you might get splashed and develop a rash or your child might breath in airborne cyanobacteria toxins....
October 14, 2021	7:51 PM	from shauna to everyone: Contact all your City cou
October 14, 2021	7:52 PM	from Mary Janssen to everyone: Higher rates in insurance might change due to increased breech risk.
October 14, 2021	7:52 PM	from shauna to everyone: contact all of your city council members, mayor and city manager of Lakewood
October 14, 2021	7:52 PM	from Richard Creswell to everyone: Will other s storage areas besides Turkey Creek and Bear creek be dumped into the reservoir?
October 14, 2021	7:52 PM	from Greg Livin to everyone: Will all future meetings be open for public attendance/comment?
October 14, 2021	7:53 PM	from Mike Smith to everyone: Chris, for those of us who joined slightly late, can you please recap the big picture - who is engaging you to perform the study, make this presentation, etc.?

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	7:53 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: Will the CORP respond to each submitted question?
October 14, 2021	7:54 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Where is this water supposed to come from? Has anyone seen Lake Mead and Lake Powell lately?
October 14, 2021	7:55 PM	from Tom Quinn to everyone: I am a regular user of this area for hiking and wildlife photography. I have also worked on trail repair and maintenance projects on trails in the project area. In the project area on Bear Creek from the reservoir upstream I have documented many plant and wildlife species. Wildlife in the area includes bullfrogs, muskrats, mule deer, Cooper's hawks, Red Tail Hawks, Yellow Breasted Chats, Spotted Towhees, Yellow Warblers many ducks and others. In addition I have documented wildflowers including Wild Roses, Prickly Poppies, Prairie Spiderwort, Blue Flax, Goldenasters and others. This project should be scaled back substantially to avoid impacts on riparian habitat on Bear and Turkey Creeks.
October 14, 2021	7:55 PM	from Dan Sherman to everyone: The water would come from "free river" on Bear Creek.
October 14, 2021	7:55 PM	from M Gadd to everyone: Mitigation? How do they propose to offset the loss of a creek? Hundred year old trees? And WHERE?!
October 14, 2021	7:55 PM	from Bear Creek Watershed Online - PHAS Herzog to everyone: Sorry wrong TMDL link: https://cdphe.colorado.gov/total-maximum-daily-loads-tmdls
October 14, 2021	7:55 PM	from Ray to everyone: It appears that the hydrologic analysis done by CWCB was done looking at historic data and did not look at climate changed hydrology. I was also wondering if the SPSS was used or will be used in future analysis.
October 14, 2021	7:56 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: Great point Tom, about another reservoir near the saddle dam that would not impact the river corridors.
October 14, 2021	7:57 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: We need to know who the potential beneficiaries of the reallocation are, what entities would store water there, what are the dates of their water rights and how many of them have, what would evaporation amounts be at the different surface water levels, what kind of mitigation would occur, where would it occur (on- or off-site), how many acres would be affected at the different water levels.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	7:57 PM	from Dan Sherman to everyone: Streamflow of 10.9 cfs is below subscriber threshold of 11 at 2021-10-14 17:00:00 MDT 06710385 00060 BEAR CREEK ABOVE EVERGREEN, CO
October 14, 2021	7:57 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Exactly, Pauline!
October 14, 2021	7:57 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: Reallocation of existing water storage space for multiple uses, including water supply, is an efficient and proven method for providing water for cities, agriculture, and downstream flow uses. I really like the idea of studying this project.
October 14, 2021	7:57 PM	from Patricia Steinholtz to everyone: QUESTION: Is the Reallocation Study a NEPA document? Does this study kick-off the NEPA process? If so, is the document an environmental assessment or and environmental impact statement? If not, when will the NEPA process start?
October 14, 2021	7:58 PM	from Debbie to everyone: Her name is Joan Poston
October 14, 2021	7:58 PM	from Jim to everyone: Would like to comment on the Sept 2013 flood event
October 14, 2021	7:59 PM	from howardk to everyone: how long will these take? how much does it cost ? and who has the authority to make the final decision?
October 14, 2021	7:59 PM	from Tom Quinn to everyone: I would like to speak
October 14, 2021	7:59 PM	from sarah Mass to everyone: There
October 14, 2021	7:59 PM	from sarah Mass to everyone: yes we can hear you Katie
October 14, 2021	7:59 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: This project requires a full EIS under NEPA. What kinds of water level fluctuation are involved? That would make a big difference in impacts.
October 14, 2021	8:00 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: USACE would have the final say in the outcome since they own and operate the reservoir
October 14, 2021	8:00 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: Most of the beneficiaries are in the brighton, erie, dacono area, evergreen has indicated interest in small amount of this. Lakewood has not signed on and neither has morrison

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:01 PM	from Jarod Roberts to everyone: Thomas Browning: Thanks! Someone with a little common sense...and also another who "first seeks to understand".
October 14, 2021	8:01 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Yes Katie! Riparian ecosystems constitute about 2% of Colorado's land but support about 75% of our wildlife at some stage in their life cycles. The raising of water levels would reduce this valuable resource in a significant way.
October 14, 2021	8:02 PM	from Michael Gunstanson to everyone: Please remember to stay muted until your time to speak. That way we don't get feedback.
October 14, 2021	8:02 PM	from M Gadd to everyone: Outstanding points Katie!
October 14, 2021	8:02 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Thanks, Jim. It seems that these north metro cities would benefit but not suffer the consequences of losing this valuable community resource.
October 14, 2021	8:02 PM	from MPisano to everyone: definately need to see all the alternatives and benefits versus detriments to each
October 14, 2021	8:03 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: Let's not forget that Bear Creek reservoir and the riparian area around it were the result of human infrastructure and can likely be mitigated in a proper manner to meet NEPA and federal permitting requirements. I assume this is a much better approach than putting more pressure on bringing water from the west slope to the Denver metro area. Conservation alone will not solve all of our problems.
October 14, 2021	8:04 PM	from Julia to everyone: Can the meeting owner please pull up the attendee list in the chat area. You can easily toggle users to mute so we don't get feedback. Thanks.
October 14, 2021	8:04 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: Thank you Katie!
October 14, 2021	8:04 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: What would the safe yield be? Are use of gravel pits, increased levels of water conservation, aquifer storage and recovery being considered?
October 14, 2021	8:06 PM	from Margaret Ash to everyone: I am having a hard time seeing any way to mitigate the impacts to recreational users and wildlife habitat. We th e hikers and bikers I guess can go other places I guess but not the wldlife. Wildlife habitat will be destroyed - totally. The vegetation has to be removed from impountment area for water quality areas.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:07 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Also, this is one of the few nearby places for water recreation in a natural setting.
October 14, 2021	8:07 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: Brighton and northern cities should pursue reservoirs in their own neighborhood. The riparian impacts were much less at Chatfield since it only raised approx 15 feet., but this project could ruin 5800 lineal feet of the Bear Creek and Turkey Creek, that is not acceptable. Chopping down the trees in those stretches of creek is not good and just planting another set of trees somewhere else, I dont think is true mitigation.
October 14, 2021	8:07 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Tom Browning, increased water conservation should not be dismissed. There's still a lot to be done. Another question: if flood control capacity at Chatfield has already been reduced, and can't be increased at Cherry Creek, what would be the cumulative flood risk if flood control capacity at Bear Creek is reduced?
October 14, 2021	8:08 PM	from shauna to everyone: Yes Brighton and the Northern Cities that are growing need to have their own storage facilities.
October 14, 2021	8:09 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: The cumulative flood risk still needs to be studied, of course. That would be part of the overall USACE analysis and is an important point based on the design flood and other factors.
October 14, 2021	8:09 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Gravel Pits! Brighton withdrew from the Chatfield project perhaps because they had so many gravel pits available in their immediate area, at much lower cost.
October 14, 2021	8:09 PM	from HMc to everyone: What is the cost of doing this compared to say incentivising residents to conserve and reduce their water use?
October 14, 2021	8:10 PM	from Brewster & Margaretta Caesar to everyone: I do not want to see the footprint of the lake increase. My wife and I love the land-based character of the park and bike and hike through it almost daily. We love the wildlife and birdlife and monitor great horned owl nests in the beautiful, old cottonwoods along Bear Creek which would be destroyed by the expansion.
October 14, 2021	8:11 PM	from Margaret Ash to everyone: I agree with Mr. Sherman. We need to know why the exurban communities up north ca't find another source. Isn't it a requirement to have a 100 year water supply?

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:11 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: We only need more water if we have more people. Our semi-arid climate was never intended to support the current and projected future population and uses.
October 14, 2021	8:11 PM	from thomas stocker to everyone: 100% against expanding BC Reservoir.
October 14, 2021	8:11 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Agree, Thomas!
October 14, 2021	8:11 PM	from Paul Sutton to everyone: Ann Hunter - You are right on. If there is a big 'water gap' in colorado why are we issuing more building permits?
October 14, 2021	8:12 PM	from Susan to everyone: Thank you, Dan. Many points I agree with.
October 14, 2021	8:12 PM	from shauna to everyone: 1000% against expanding BC reservoir.
October 14, 2021	8:12 PM	from John L to everyone: Nicely said Dan! You're exactly right, it would be a big mud flat 90% of the year.
October 14, 2021	8:13 PM	from Maggie to everyone: Would this project increase flood insurance for nearby or downstream homes? Who would be using the increased water held by the dam? With all of the expected changes coming with climate change, are the benefits from this project still applicable in 10 years? 50 years?
October 14, 2021	8:13 PM	from jason coble to everyone: hey folks. i see you don
October 14, 2021	8:14 PM	from howardk to everyone: can he speak up
October 14, 2021	8:14 PM	from Jeff to everyone: As water demands are being identified, what equal water conservation studies are being done? That would be to reduce needs. What are the affected entities doing to reduce water usage per capita? Water usage has been increasing. But what about getting people to use less? This would be for the Colorado Water Control Board to analyze.
October 14, 2021	8:14 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: I want to praise USACE, the CWCB and the local sponsors for exploring good public policy. Reallocation makes more sense than building new on-channel reservoirs.
October 14, 2021	8:14 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Not just Lakewood! My husband and I live in unincorporated Jeffco and buy an annual pass to go paddleboarding on the lake.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:15 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: The Chatfield Reservoir project has already been reallocated to its maximum amount. The 20,600 is as much as could be approved by USACE.
October 14, 2021	8:15 PM	from John L to everyone: They spent all of that money on Chatfield and can't even keep it full. It's at the same water level it was 2 years ago.
October 14, 2021	8:15 PM	from Julia to everyone: Are the end users of this water truly for residents in Brighton, etc. or will those communities be trading their allotments to agricultural end users elsewhere?
October 14, 2021	8:15 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: The Corps estimated that the additional water to be stored in Chatfield would only be available 2 or 3 years out of 10 due to the junior water rights of the water districts storing water there. Given climate change, it might be even less often.
October 14, 2021	8:16 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: The climate studies for the front range are largely inconclusive in terms of wetter or drier conditions, at least thus far.
October 14, 2021	8:16 PM	from Richard Creswell to everyone: Mitigation rarely if ever works.
October 14, 2021	8:16 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Costs of mitigation at Chatfield were about \$140 million. Is the CWCB and the BC proponents prepared to pay that kind of money?
October 14, 2021	8:16 PM	from Jason Coble to everyone: hi all, maybe you don't agree because you don't want more people but guess what? people are moving here. we're gonna have to suck it up. BCLP will not be full 100% of the time. it's an expansion so what do we do when it's not all full capacity
October 14, 2021	8:16 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: The BC mitigation would likely not cost anywhere close to what the Chatfield project cost.
October 14, 2021	8:17 PM	from Tom Stocker to everyone: Tom Stocker
October 14, 2021	8:17 PM	from Katie Gill to everyone: I forgot to mention that many of us are very concerned about the extent and impact of fluctuating water levels. I've read this could be as much as 53 vertical feet. How many acres of the reservoir's periphery would be impacted by this fluctuation?

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:18 PM	from Rich Olver to everyone: Erik: does a hand up work, or do we need to put our names here in the chat?
October 14, 2021	8:18 PM	from Dan Sherman to everyone: Thomas Browning is a plant of advocacy. Be aware.
October 14, 2021	8:19 PM	from Dru Davis to everyone: One way we can try to fight this is to elect people that care what we want and will fight for us. I know Michael Gunstanson and Mary Jansen (Ward 5) both want to protect Bear Creek Lake. Make sure you vote so your voice is heard!
October 14, 2021	8:20 PM	from shauna to everyone: We are all custodians of this area and have a responsibility to protect the park and a the wildlife.
October 14, 2021	8:20 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Population increase is NOT inevitable. We WILL run out of water.
October 14, 2021	8:20 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: Thanks Lynn, for wildlife photos, this is our own Central Park, Chatfield not so much since its just a big motorboat lake anyway.
October 14, 2021	8:21 PM	from Jeff to everyone: Dru Davis - you politcal plug is unwarranted.
October 14, 2021	8:21 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: If water levels do in fact fluctuate 53 vertical feet, how many acres around the reservoir would be affected? If vegetation is destroyed, where and how would this be mitigated? How would the loss of recreational opportunities be mitigated???
October 14, 2021	8:22 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Thank you, Lynn. I agree.
October 14, 2021	8:23 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: Erik
October 14, 2021	8:24 PM	from Richard Creswell to everyone: Has the water board considered letting the grass go brown.
October 14, 2021	8:24 PM	from Mike Smith to everyone: thanks Paul, well summarized...
October 14, 2021	8:24 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Agreed.
October 14, 2021	8:24 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: I have my hand up but don't know if you are using that t call on . Would like to speak.
October 14, 2021	8:25 PM	from Julia to everyone: Most of the cottonwood ecosystems in Colorado are in decline due to the channeling of streams and flood control. The truth is many of the huge cottonwoods in Bear Creek

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
		will be gone in the coming years with no young and middle aged trees to replace them. I love this park but I want everyone to understand it is already a threatened cottonwood ecosystem. From CSU: https://csfs.colostate.edu/media/sites/22/2015/06/Cottonwood_Management_QuickGuide_26June2015.pdf
October 14, 2021	8:25 PM	from Greg Livin to everyone: Great points, Paul
October 14, 2021	8:25 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: James, I've just put you on the list.
October 14, 2021	8:25 PM	from Michael Gunstanson to everyone: Can you finish with that screen that has the email address to send comments to? That would be awesom.
October 14, 2021	8:25 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Will the chat comments be saved?
October 14, 2021	8:25 PM	from Robert Raker to everyone: I am interested to hear what the plans are for an EIS to be done and who will be doing it.
October 14, 2021	8:26 PM	from Patricia Steinholtz to everyone: Are you saying it's not yet known if the NEPA document will be an EA or EIS?
October 14, 2021	8:26 PM	from Richard Creswell to everyone: I can't buy another place to live in Lakewood, but I live on the floodplain.
October 14, 2021	8:26 PM	from Sharolyn Anderson to everyone: Can't we learn from Mono Lake and other water "storage" disasters? It seems this is another "build to build" project and although it appears well thought out there are huge missing parts of the study - decreased ecosystem services, increased light pollution, and increased noise pollution.
October 14, 2021	8:27 PM	from Ashley to everyone: What it comes down to for me is a cost/benefit analysis. I see a lot of cost (not just monetarily) and very little benefit.
October 14, 2021	8:27 PM	from Michael Gunstanson to everyone: If anyone would like to join my email list to keep up with developments, please email me at Gunstansonforlakewood@gmail.com.
October 14, 2021	8:27 PM	from Margaret Ash to everyone: Jason, It won't be full all the time but that just means there will be dry lake beds and mud flats. All the vegetation will be taken out of the park - you can't have rotting vegetation in the water. I think most poeple want to see what Daconoa and Brighton are going to do. Will they have water

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
		conservation plans or will it be golf courses, fountains and big green belts and yards with blue grass. We shouldn't have to lose a resource if others are not doing their part .
October 14, 2021	8:27 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: For the chat record, I am not opposed to increasing storage by the excavation option, as that would preserve the two creeks coming in. But increasing the reservoir elevation will spill out into the shallows of this area capacity relation, thereby, it will have much more of a lateral impact that we saw at Chatfield.
October 14, 2021	8:28 PM	from Michael Young to everyone: I also want to go on record as opposing the reallocation. The terrible effects on recreation, wildlife habitat, and externalizing the costs on the citizens of Lakewood are too much.
October 14, 2021	8:29 PM	from shauna to everyone: Anyone that lives in the Green Mountain water service knows developers tried to recall the board because things haven't gone their way. This is another bite at the apple by developers.
October 14, 2021	8:29 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: I also want to go on record in opposition to this proposal.
October 14, 2021	8:30 PM	from howardk to everyone: I want more info but overall i think this is a good project
October 14, 2021	8:31 PM	from Sharolyn Anderson to everyone: I am assuming that the chat will go on record as comments to the project. Please comment for all of us typing versus talking.
October 14, 2021	8:31 PM	from Julia to everyone: Is the City of Lakewood allowed to post informative signage throughout the park and hand it out at the entrance gate? Or are they prohibited from doing so?
October 14, 2021	8:31 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: That's a great idea, Julia!
October 14, 2021	8:31 PM	from howardk to everyone: howardk does not like this project at all. the prior statement was erroneous.
October 14, 2021	8:31 PM	from Thomas Browning to everyone: I would like to go on record in support of the BC reallocation study and the EIS and/or EA process that would help lead to alternatives, evaluations, and ultimately an informed decision down the road as to whether or not to approve the project

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:32 PM	from Brewster & Margareta Caesar to everyone: According to the Clausius-Clapeyron equation, for every 1 degree celsius of warming, the atmosphere can hold 7% more moisture, which makes for much wetter storms and exponentially increases the risk of flooding. Given the unknowns of climate change, it doesn't make sense to lessen our local flood control at a time when our flood risk is increasing., especially to support growth elsewhere in Colorado.
October 14, 2021	8:32 PM	from shauna to everyone: The city is for this because it's pro development. We as citizens will have to educate park users.
October 14, 2021	8:32 PM	from Julia to everyone: What is the responsibility to the Corps and to the City as park operator to inform the park users in a broad and consistent way?
October 14, 2021	8:32 PM	from Heather to everyone: I am opposed to this proposal due to living in the Fox Hollow area and the potential harm this could inflict on my wellbeing / health should the water in fact cause a dust bowl or spill over the dam.
October 14, 2021	8:34 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: What division of the ACE is working on this project? The Regulatory Branch or the Public Works branch? It makes a difference!
October 14, 2021	8:34 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: How is it that Chatfield and Cherry Creek are STATE Parks and Bear Creek is a City Park. Could this be a disadvantage for Bear Creek Park.
October 14, 2021	8:35 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Unknown, but it's definitely the most awesome city park anywhere!
October 14, 2021	8:35 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: I don't think the City of Lakewood has signed on as a potential user of this water and to be fair, they are not really promoting it.
October 14, 2021	8:36 PM	from Julia to everyone: If the goal of the dam remains only for flood mitigation (as it is today vs. water use), how many acre feet could be added?
October 14, 2021	8:37 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: If you're concerned about social well-being, you will leave the lake as is.
October 14, 2021	8:37 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Hi Olaf, if you want to learn more about the water right process in Colorado here is a link:

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:37 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: https://www.watereducationcolorado.org/publications-and-radio/citizen-guides/citizens-guide-to-colorado-water-law/
October 14, 2021	8:37 PM	from Olaf Wyberneit to everyone: thank yiou Eric
October 14, 2021	8:38 PM	from sarah Mass to everyone: On the record I am opposed to this project. I moved to Lakewood last year from elsewhere in Denver Metro area and the Bear Creek Lake Park is a huge reason why I picked this location. The trails in the park connect all the way from the South Platte trail and downtown Denver to Morrison and up to Green Mountain, it is part of a much larger network of trails. Taxpayers paid for those trails and now we are expected to pay to drown them? I'm also one of the unlucky people whose house would be flooded if that dam fails. CWCB should look at what California is doing w.r.t. Sustainable Groundwater Management Act--we should look more closely at aquifer storage and recovery as a potential alternative for water storage
October 14, 2021	8:39 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Good points, Sarah!
October 14, 2021	8:41 PM	from shauna to everyone: Park visitors will increase as solterra keeps being developed.
October 14, 2021	8:42 PM	from Katie Gill to everyone: The City of Lakewood operates and manages the park pursuant to a lease with the Corps. Their leverage is limited as the park is mostly federal property. "The City" does not uniformly support this proposal.
October 14, 2021	8:43 PM	from Olaf Wyberneit to everyone: For the record I am opposed to the project, I am sure there is a lot of data on strava that could be used to quantify trail usage in the park . But if it does not matter in the decision making process why do it. What is the threshhold of usage that would matter? 100 000 hrs of annual trail usage, 1 million? How will Jeffco mitigate the loss of these recreation activities? Cyclists are not safe on the roads there is a big draw for cyclists to use the park, and it will increase with the building of Solterra
October 14, 2021	8:45 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: Sure, as more development encroaches on this park, its value increases and we should try to maintain the wonderful nature that is on display along the riparian areas in this park.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:45 PM	from Brewster & Margareta Caesar to everyone: My husband and I live on Bear Creek and monitor the CFS (cubic feet per second) on a daily basis. Last year we were at approximately 1/2 the 100 year historical average for virtually the entire summer. In fact the CFS went to 0 at one point - a rocky dry creekbed!. We are all very well aware that climate change is causing severe fluctuations. What will those flooded gulleys and valleys look like for months at a time when the creek is low again? I will answer that question fo you: a mosquito breeding ground. The beauty and riparian habitat of this area has enormous value! Quality of life has value; it's not just about money.
October 14, 2021	8:48 PM	from Maggie to everyone: For the record, I am opposed to this project. This would be devastating for this community and the ecosystem here
October 14, 2021	8:49 PM	from howardk to everyone: what will be the downstream below the dam impact on the Bear Creek Valley on the trees and wetlands if this goes forward . The trees are slowly dying already.
October 14, 2021	8:49 PM	from Mary Geder to everyone: Don't know if people saw this but there was a bear sighted in BCLP within the past week I believe. I think maybe it was caught on camera so maybe not a personal sighting. Powerful evidence of wildlife value.
October 14, 2021	8:50 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Here is the remaining order:
October 14, 2021	8:50 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Tom Stocker
October 14, 2021	8:50 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Rich Oliver
October 14, 2021	8:50 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: James Kinney
October 14, 2021	8:50 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: MPisano
October 14, 2021	8:50 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Dr. Herzog
October 14, 2021	8:51 PM	from Katie Gill to everyone: The water itself would not be served to Brighton et. al. As I understand it, the storage being proposed would be part of a water rights exchange. That said, development that is enabled by this storage ought to be conservation-conscious.
October 14, 2021	8:51 PM	from Riley Sundberg to everyone: I am Riley Sundberg, I would like to comment as well.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:52 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Thank you Riley, I put you on the list
October 14, 2021	8:52 PM	from dillon thompdon to everyone: Hi, my name is Dillon Thompson and i would like to comment as well
October 14, 2021	8:52 PM	from Patricia Steinholtz to everyone: I would like to ask a question if there is time. Thanks.
October 14, 2021	8:52 PM	from Jean Ernstberger to everyone: Thank you Christopher and Erik for the presentation. I frequent the park to enjoy the peace and quiet, wildlife, and the safety of riding my bike on the many roads and trails. I consider the park to be a gem that I am lucky to live near. Significant changes to the park would be heartbreaking. For the record, I oppose alternatives that increase the surface area of the lake.
October 14, 2021	8:53 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: This is Polly Reetz and I would like to make a few comments.
October 14, 2021	8:53 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: I completely agree, Jean.
October 14, 2021	8:53 PM	from Tom Quinn to everyone: I will need to sign off soon. You are right to study this but anything close to 20,000 acre ft expansion is a massive overreach. This project will have severe negative impacts on wildlife habitat and recreational use. The project area provides many ecosystem services including climate regulation, pollinator habitat, recreational services and nature education. In my earlier message I listed the many species of wildlife and wildflowers that will be impacted.
October 14, 2021	8:53 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Thank you, Tom.
October 14, 2021	8:55 PM	from Katie Gill to everyone: Thanks, Tom Quinn I agree that this location can be PART of the solution, but I oppose the full 20,000 AF increase. Thanks also for pointing out that the park would likely have been housing developments were it not for the Corps building the dam and reservoir in the first place.
October 14, 2021	8:56 PM	from shauna to everyone: Kudos to the last gentleman that just spoke.
October 14, 2021	8:56 PM	from John L to everyone: Tom, it's excellent to know we have you on our side!
October 14, 2021	8:56 PM	from Lynn to everyone: Wonderful comments Thanks Tom Stocker

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	8:58 PM	from shauna to everyone: Lakewood city councilors need to have the courage to defend this park.
October 14, 2021	8:59 PM	from shauna to everyone: Candidates too!
October 14, 2021	9:00 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Does Corps of Engineers' mission involve water storage as opposed to flood control?
October 14, 2021	9:00 PM	from Jim to everyone: To Erik,
October 14, 2021	9:01 PM	from Dr. Tom Keefe Ward 5 to everyone: Proud to see so many Lakewood staff, candidates, and current Councillor Able together on this call. We are all neighbors first and foremost.
October 14, 2021	9:01 PM	from eric to everyone: this has been very informative. Thank you. Is the corp willing to prioritize outcomes that meet future water users as well as current/future users of the park for well being? Olaf brought this up in what kpil found the option to dredge the existing footprint to a deeper level an option that may satisfy both. what would need to be true for the corp to prioritize these outcomes?
October 14, 2021	9:02 PM	from eric to everyone: (mis type) Olaf brought this up in what kpi's will drive the final decisions. I found the option to dredge....
October 14, 2021	9:02 PM	from Jim to everyone: Adding additional water supply is only one answer. Water conservation measures should be tried first.
October 14, 2021	9:06 PM	from Michel to everyone: Agree Jim! Being totally xeriscaped our water bill is 30 dollars a month this summer. Water conservation in action. New builds need this too.
October 14, 2021	9:07 PM	from adam way to everyone: I am going to miss seeing all the wildlife , where are they supposed to go ? This has been their home , All for big development out east not to mention greedily motivated
October 14, 2021	9:09 PM	from Norma to everyone: Who is the "sponser" for this study?
October 14, 2021	9:09 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: The Colorado WATER Conservation Board is the local sponsor, as they were at Chatfield.
October 14, 2021	9:11 PM	from Norma to everyone: Thank you.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	9:11 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: But they didn't just wake up one morning and decide to do it. They were prompted by some person or organization.
October 14, 2021	9:11 PM	from Rich Olver to everyone: I can help with the seismic - I've only been working with it for 40 years.
October 14, 2021	9:12 PM	from Norma to everyone: Developers, my guess.
October 14, 2021	9:13 PM	from Katie VanGuilder to everyone: How many people are still in queue to give a comment? I will comment if there's time.
October 14, 2021	9:14 PM	from adam way to everyone: Send comment
October 14, 2021	9:14 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Pressure from developers, city officials, chambers of commerce - all the folks who want more growth - are undeniably behind the CWCB, as are out-dated ideas about water needs and uses. More people does not necessarily mean more water is needed. (see Denver's statistics).
October 14, 2021	9:14 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Looks like one more after this, Katie.
October 14, 2021	9:14 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Hi Katie VanGuilder, I added you to the list
October 14, 2021	9:15 PM	from Katie VanGuilder to everyone: Ok, thanks.
October 14, 2021	9:15 PM	from Norma to everyone: Good points M Pisano
October 14, 2021	9:16 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: Why did the WaterBoard sponsor the Realication Stud at Bear Creek ahead of one at the much larger Cherry Creek?
October 14, 2021	9:18 PM	from Bear Creek Watershed Online - PHAS Herzog to everyone: Links related to Dr. MT Herzog Comments she is sharing now - mherzog@phas1.com, 720-238-9201: 2014 A facilitated process and online toolset to analyze complex systems and coordinate active watershed development and transformation: https://www.proquest.com/docview/1651557499/C77E2482B45A4117PQ/1 Bear Creek WATERSHED ONLINE - http://bc.wateractionnetwork.org/ Please contact me to get your own login to report issues and review all the public data collected from Mt. Evans to the BCLP. I wish to offer this as a constructive collaboration tool

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
		Lakewood Sustainability https://www.lakewood.org/Government/Departments/Planning/Sustainability
		Lakewood Sustainability Cooperative (SCOOP) https://www.lakewood.org/Government/Departments/Planning/Sustainability/SCOOP
		Instream Flow Water Rights Database https://cwcb.colorado.gov/public-information/technical-tools/instream-flow-water-rights-database
October 14, 2021	9:20 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: Its my understanding from past documents is that the CWCB went out and solicited potential users of this water so that that the expansion would not be "speculative". I found it interesting that Morrison and Lakewood did not sign on during that effort.
October 14, 2021	9:21 PM	from Katie Gill to everyone: Good point about the number of park visitors who don't drive through the gate- a significant percentage of users.
October 14, 2021	9:21 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Interesting, Jim. They are a government agency - what do they get out of it?
October 14, 2021	9:22 PM	from Michel to everyone: our neighborhood walks and bicycles into the park every day - so we are not officially counted
October 14, 2021	9:22 PM	from Jim Gill to everyone: Not sure but it maybe it helped to justify going ahead with this study.
October 14, 2021	9:23 PM	from Katie Gill to everyone: Septic systems are a huge problem in this water shed. Morrison's WWTP cannot treat their discharge enough to compensate for the septic upstream.
October 14, 2021	9:24 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Thank you, Dr. Herzog!
October 14, 2021	9:26 PM	from MPisano to everyone: Great points Dr. Herzog
October 14, 2021	9:27 PM	from Norma to everyone: Lady & man talking please mute.
October 14, 2021	9:27 PM	from Lynn to everyone: if you are not speaking please mute your microphone
October 14, 2021	9:28 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: https://cwcb.colorado.gov/colorado-water-plan/technical-update-to-the-plan

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	9:29 PM	from Norma to everyone: Thank you Dillion.
October 14, 2021	9:30 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: We are going to run out of water way before 2050 if we don't limit population growth in arid climates.
October 14, 2021	9:31 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: Adam Way your phone is not muted
October 14, 2021	9:38 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Erik, please disclose what person or agency/organization prompted your agency to sponsor this study.
October 14, 2021	9:39 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: https://cwcb.colorado.gov/colorado-water-plan
October 14, 2021	9:40 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: That was helpful. Can you please direct me to what specific part of the plan addresses this proposal?
October 14, 2021	9:42 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Thank you, Erik!
October 14, 2021	9:42 PM	from Bear Creek Watershed Online - PHAS Herzog to everyone: See USACE Tri-lakes Bear Creek Lake and Dam details
October 14, 2021	9:42 PM	from Bear Creek Watershed Online - PHAS Herzog to everyone: https://www.nwo.usace.army.mil/Missions/Dam-and-Lake-Projects/Tri-Lakes-Projects/Bear-Creek-Dam/
October 14, 2021	9:44 PM	from MPisano to everyone: agree for more opportunities and different avenues to reach out to the public and stakeholders.
October 14, 2021	9:45 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: Thanks Erik for the information on the States Inclusion of the Comprehensive Plan in studies.
October 14, 2021	9:45 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Hi Ann, Chapter 10 outlines the measurable objectives. Note that the Plan is currently being updated, so numbers may change in the near future.
October 14, 2021	9:45 PM	from kimberly caesar to everyone: there is a sign about this meeting on the entrance shack and at the boat dock. that I saw as of Monday
October 14, 2021	9:45 PM	from Julia to everyone: Request to comment. Julia
October 14, 2021	9:45 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Thank you for that, Katie!
October 14, 2021	9:46 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: erik, I put in my name to comment: Pauline Reetz

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	9:47 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: Can you answer why the larger Cherry Creek was not chosen for possible reallocation before Bear Creek.
October 14, 2021	9:48 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: I'm sorry Pauline, I thought I had called you. You'll be up next
October 14, 2021	9:48 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Also Chatfield. That dam is so high, it seems that it could store a lot more water.
October 14, 2021	9:48 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: My previous question concerning Cherry Creek was intended for Erik. Thank you.
October 14, 2021	9:49 PM	from kimberly caesar to everyone: to james, I wonder if it because we are closer to the source of watershed than cherry creek...?
October 14, 2021	9:51 PM	from kimberly caesar to everyone: that's true
October 14, 2021	9:51 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: How about Chatfield, Erik?
October 14, 2021	9:51 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Sorry, webex doesn't recognize my mic or my camera. I will write in comments.
October 14, 2021	9:51 PM	from kimberly caesar to everyone: chatfield already reworked their water line
October 14, 2021	9:53 PM	from kimberly caesar to everyone: hear hear
October 14, 2021	9:54 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Where can I find more information on that development, Katie?
October 14, 2021	9:56 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Putting more water in chatfield would eliminate valuable wetlands and riparian habitat - we fought very hard to keep what's there now.
October 14, 2021	9:57 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: I wasn't aware of that, Pauline. Thank you.
October 14, 2021	9:59 PM	from Dr. Tom Keefe Ward 5 to everyone: Please help me understand, how do private wells draw from top water supplies?
October 14, 2021	10:02 PM	from James Kinney to everyone: Climate change is not only impacting flow amounts, but when the flow occurs as snow is melting sooner in the year, and more rapidly after it begins melting.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	10:06 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Thank you, Pauline. That was very helpful!
October 14, 2021	10:06 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Would anyone else like to make a comment this evening?
October 14, 2021	10:06 PM	from Erik Skeie, CWCB to everyone: Pauline was the last on my list
October 14, 2021	10:08 PM	from Ann Hunter to everyone: Thank you, everyone, for your interest and input!
October 14, 2021	10:08 PM	from Christopher Arlen to everyone: Ditto
October 14, 2021	10:08 PM	from Christopher Arlen to everyone: excellent facilitation
October 14, 2021	10:08 PM	from M Gadd to everyone: Thank you, really appreciate your patience and consideration of our local points of view!
October 14, 2021	10:09 PM	from Lesley Dahlkemper to everyone: Thanks for an excellent presentation and commnuity dialogue!
October 14, 2021	10:09 PM	from Norma to everyone: Ditto! Thank you,.
October 14, 2021	10:10 PM	from Pauline Reetz to everyone: Agreed. Excellent facilitation and persistence in staying an hour late.
October 14, 2021	10:10 PM	from Brewster & Margaretta Caesar to everyone: It is clear from the comments that the public is opposed to any expansion of Bear Creek Lake. I hope elected officials, as well as those seeking office, take note and are aware that we vote.
October 14, 2021	10:10 PM	from Wendi Strom to everyone: Thank you for allowing us the venue to listen, learn and provide feedback.
October 14, 2021	10:10 PM	from MPisano to everyone: thank you- well facilitated and look forward to seeing more.
October 14, 2021	10:10 PM	from Patricia Steinholtz to everyone: Thank you for the presentation and your time!
October 14, 2021	10:11 PM	from Mary Janssen to everyone: Thank you
October 14, 2021	10:11 PM	from Patricia Steinholtz to everyone: Please let us know how to stay involved. Thanks again.

Date	Time (CDT)	Chat Comment
October 14, 2021	10:11 PM	from Katie Gill to everyone: Thanks, everyone. And thanks to Chris and Erik.
October 14, 2021	10:12 PM	from kimberly caesar to everyone: thank you