#### PRRIP - ED OFFICE FINAL

03/21/2011

### PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM

### Third Amendment to the

# Agreement between the Nebraska Community Foundation, Inc. and HDR Engineering, Inc., Private Consultant

This Third Amendment to the Agreement between the Nebraska Community Foundation, Inc. ("Foundation") of Lincoln, Nebraska, representing all signatories to the Platte River Recovery Implementation Program ("Program") and HDR Engineering, Inc. ("Consultant"), a private consultant of Omaha, Nebraska is made and entered into effective on the date of signing below.

The purpose of this Amendment is to:

- 1. Increase the contract payment amount by a total amount of \$50,840, effective as of the date of this Amendment. Cost breakdown of the total \$50,840 will be as follows:
  - o \$35,840 for North Platte Choke Point analysis and modeling
  - o \$15,000 for as-needed modeling support using the Program's existing 1D models
- 2. Expand the Scope of Work to include analysis and modeling of alternatives to increase the hydraulic capacity through the North Platte Choke Point; and to provide as-needed modeling support using the Program's existing 1D steady, unsteady, and/or sediment transport models. The detailed scope of work, schedule, and fee estimate are provided in Attachment A.

All other terms of the original agreement remain in effect as originally written in the Agreement dated March 19, 2010.

The following parties agree to the terms of this Amendment and the original Agreement.

For the Foundation:	
Diane M. Wilson (Nebraska Community Foundation) Chief Financial and Administrative Officer	Date
For the Consultant:	
Timothy Crockett, P.E. (HDR Engineering, Inc.) Sr. Vice President	Date



## **Attachment A**

1D Hydraulic Model Amendment 3 - Scope, Fee Estimate, and Schedule



1	Pla	tte River Recovery Implementation Program
2	1-	D Hydraulic and Sediment Transport Model
3		Draft Scope of Services
4		Contract Amendment 3
5		
6	INTRODUCTION	
7	The Platte River Recov	ery Implementation Program (Program) has requested a modification
8	(Amendment 3) to the	existing 1-D Hydraulic and Sediment Transport Model contract. The existing
9	•	ment and calibration of a 1-D steady state model of the Platte River from the Tri-
10		xington and Odessa to Chapman, an unsteady model from the Tri-County
11		and a sediment transport model from Odessa to Chapman. Ultimately,
12	•	unsteady flow models were developed for the reach from the Tri-County
13		and a sediment transport model was developed for the reach from Lexington to
14 15	·	It 1 was for development of a sediment transport model for the approximately 10- In Platte River upstream from the Tri-County Diversion, herein referred to as the
16		nendment 2 was for developing and calibrating a 1-D steady state and unsteady
17	•	one Diversion (RM 365) to five miles upstream of Highway 83 (RM 321).
18	model from the Reysto	ine precision (nim 303) to the times apost carri or riightia, 63 (nim 321).
19	Under Amendment 3,	the 1-D Hydraulic and Sediment Transport Model Final Scope of Services will be
20	supplemented as follow	·
21		
22	TASK SERIES 100 -	- NORTH PLATTE RIVER HYDRAULIC AND SEDIMENT
23	TRANSPORT EVAL	LUATION AND ALTERNATIVE ANAYLSIS
24	Objective:	Evaluate the current hydraulic, channel and carrying capacities for the 10-mile
25		reach of the North Platte River upstream from the Tri-County Diversion. In
26		addition, identify and evaluate potential alternatives to increase carrying
27		capacity of the choke point reach.
28	Activities:	Task 101 – Literature Review and Alternative Identification
29		<ul> <li>Review existing literature and data from current and previous studies.</li> </ul>
30		• Evaluate proposed Program alternatives to increase hydraulic, channel and
31		carrying capacity of the choke point reach based on the literature and data
32		review, including:
33		o Dredging;
34 25		o Reopen currently inactive channel(s) on north bank of North Platte River
35 36		at Highway 83;  o Increase flows in the main channel in order to increase effective
37		discharge and associated channel geometry;
38		<ul> <li>Increase hydraulic capacity near the UPRR and Highway 30 bridges (e.g.,</li> </ul>
39		reopen some of the designed flow area near and under bridges).
40		<ul> <li>Identify potential additional alternatives, including those indentified by</li> </ul>
41		Parsons in their 2003 study to increase hydraulic, channel and carrying
42		capacities of the choke point reach.
43		The alternatives will be ranked, and the three alternatives which have the
44		highest potential to increase hydraulic capacity will be modeled.









45		Task 102 – Alternative Evaluation
46		• Develop and incorporate suitable hydrologic record for assessing changes in
47		hydraulic, channel and carrying capacities.
48		Develop and execute a baseline simulation by incorporating the hydrologic
49		record identified above into the existing, calibrated sediment transport
50		(HEC-6T) model to establish baseline conditions.
51		<ul> <li>Modify existing HEC-6T model to incorporate up to three of the alternatives</li> </ul>
52		identified in Task 101.
53		• Execute alternative models and compare results to those from the baseline
54		model simulation.
55		Task 103 – Technical Memorandum
56		<ul> <li>Develop technical memorandum summarizing literature and data review,</li> </ul>
57		and the sediment transport modeling results.
58	Deliverables:	
59		<ul> <li>Technical memorandum summarizing the literature review and alternative</li> </ul>
60		evaluation.
61		<ul> <li>Model input files including flow, sediment, and geometry files.</li> </ul>
62	<b>Key Understandings:</b>	
63		<ul> <li>Existing literature and data review will consist of studies previously</li> </ul>
64		conducted by Parson's in 2003 for CNPPID, 2005 by J.F. Sato, Program aerial
65		photos, ASCS historical photos acquired by Parsons, USGS and/or NDNR
66		stream flow measurements at Highway 83, and SEH in 2008 and 2010.
67		Streamflow measurement summaries acquired and analyzed by Parsons will
68		be updated from 2003 to the present.
69		Three alternatives will be evaluated using the sediment transport model.
70		Geometry files to be provided in both RAS and HEC-6T formats.
71		Increase in hydraulic capacity will be evaluated by comparing computed
72		WSEL profiles between baseline (calibrated) and alternative conditions.
73		No site visit will be conducted.
74		The estimate for hydraulic modeling was developed based on evaluating
75 		three alternatives. The fee for any additional alternatives will be evaluated
76		proportionally.
77		Out of scope work will be document by HDR Team and authorized by ED
78		office before initiating.
79	Information/Service P	rovided by Program Staff:
80		<ul> <li>Aerial photographs and presentation from 03/09/2011 GC meeting.</li> </ul>
81	Meetings/Travel:	Heriai priotographo ana presentation from 65/65/2011 66 incestingi
82	Wiccings, Haven	Three conference calls with Program staff are anticipated. No additional
83		field inspections or on-site data collection are included.
84	TASK SERIES 200	- AS NEEDED MODELING SUPPORT
85		
86	Objective:	Provide as needed modeling support to Program staff using the existing 1D steady, unsteady, and/or sediment transport models.
87		steady, ansteady, and/or sediment transport models.
<b>U</b> /		





88	Activities:	Task 201 – Model Execution
89		<ul> <li>Execute existing models as directed by Program staff. Potential runs</li> </ul>
90		include:
91		<ul> <li>Steady-state modeling to assess target species habitat;</li> </ul>
92		<ul> <li>Unsteady modeling to assess 2011 SDHF release scenarios;</li> </ul>
93		<ul> <li>Sediment transport modeling to assess alternative condition sediment</li> </ul>
94		transport.
95	Deliverables:	
96		<ul> <li>Deliverables will vary depending on which model runs are requested by</li> </ul>
97		Program staff. Potential deliverables include:
98		<ul> <li>Steady-state modeling deliverables: water surface DEM, water surface</li> </ul>
99		shapefiles, and the flow depth DEM;
100		<ul> <li>Unsteady flows at various locations between Tri-County Diversion and</li> </ul>
101		Chapman, NE.
102	<b>Key Understandings:</b>	
103		<ul> <li>Individual task orders to be authorized by ED office before initiating work;</li> </ul>
104		• Per run estimate based on three profiles. There is an economy of scale with
105		an increase in the number of profiles;
106		<ul> <li>Steady state post processing level of effort based on a 10 mile reach for a</li> </ul>
107		single profile;
108		<ul> <li>Task orders will be developed to identify scope and fee of additional model</li> </ul>
109		runs, and pre- and post-processing;
110		Scope will be commensurate with effort required to keep fee under
111		\$15,000.
112	Information/Service P	rovided by Program Staff:
113		<ul> <li>Scope and schedule for support activity.</li> </ul>
114	Meetings/Travel:	
115		To be identified in task order document.
116		
117	References:	
118		es, Aug 31, 2005, "Technkcal Mamorandum – NE Channel Capacity Study,"
119	prepared for WEST, In	
120		
121	Parsons, Jan 14, 2003.	"Evaluation of Channel Capacity in the North Platte River at North Platte,
122		wis and Eric Roerish, for the Central Nebraska Public Power and Irrigation
123	District.	
124		
125	SEH, February 20, 2008	8, "Project Update Report, Platte River Restoration and Enhancement Project"
126	prepared for the Platte	e River Recovery Implementation Program.
127		
128	SEH, September 14, 20	009, "Current Conclusions and Recommendations from the April 2009 Short
129		ummary Report and Follow-up Discussions", prepared for the Platte River
130	Recovery Implementa	tion Program.



# Exhibit "B" Budget Platte River Recovery Implementation Program 1-D Hydraulic and Sediment Transport Modeling

										Amendr	ment 3		_													
				HDR Engi	neering, Inc.						Tetra	aTech				-	The Flatw	ater Gro	up							
	TASKS	Project Manager - Engelbert	Sr. - Technical - t Lewis	Project Engineer - McCoy	Project Engineer - Hunt	Project Engineer - McConville	Clerical		Sr. Technical- Mussetter		Project Engineer Trabant		Project Engineer - Brown	Draftsman/T echnician	Clerical	Sr. Technical- Riley	Project Engineer - Cermak	Envir. Scientist Coke	Technician - Seipel	Total Hours	Total Labor Cost	Printing	Travel	Misc.	Total Expenses [1]	Est. Total Cost
TASK SERIES	100 - NORTH PLATTE RIVER HYDRAULIC AND SEDIMENT TRANSPOR	RT EVALUA	TION AND AL	TERNATIVE AI	NALYSIS																				***************************************	
Task 101	Literature Review and Alternative Identification	4	4 16	5																20	\$4,860				\$0	\$4,860
Task 102	Alternative Evaluation	(	6 10	6					18		96	66	6	12						214	\$27,530			\$320	\$320	\$27,850
Task 103	Technical Memorandum	(	6 8	8																14	\$3,130				\$0	\$3,130
	Total Hours	10	6 40	) (	0 0	0 0	) (	0	18	0	96	66	5 0	12	. 0	(	0	(	0	248	\$35,520	\$0	\$0	\$320	\$320	\$35,840
TASK SERIES	200 - AS NEEDED MODELING SUPPORT (approximate per run)		_		•	<u>'</u>						•			•			•		,,						
Task 201	Model Execution - Steady State	1	I	I	T	T		T I						I		I		1	I	0	\$0				\$0	\$0
	Modify Geometry					4	1													4	\$440				\$0	\$440
	Optimize Flow Splits and Execute Model					4	t													4	\$440				\$0	\$440
	Post proces model results (per profile)					8	3													8	\$880				\$0	\$880
	Total Hours	(	0 (	0	0 (	16	(	0	0	0	0		0		0	(	0		0	16	\$1,760	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,760
	200 - AS NEEDED MODELING SUPPORT (approximate per run)																									
Task 201	Model Execution - Unsteady																			0	\$0			<u> </u>	\$0	\$0
	Modify Geometry or Hydrology					4	t .	1												4	\$440			'	\$0	\$440
	Trouble Shoot and Execute Unsteady Model					4	1	1												4	\$440			'	\$0	\$440
	Compile Results					8	3				ļ	<u>,                                     </u>								8	\$880				\$0	\$880
	Total Hours		0 0	9	0 0	7 16	' '	, 0	0	0	U	' '	0	<u>'</u>	0		' 0	'	0	16	\$1,760	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$1,760
	200 - AS NEEDED MODELING SUPPORT (approximate per run 10 mile	reach)		1		<u> </u>	1	1			T		<u> </u>	1	1	0			1	II	•				-	
Task 201	Model Execution - Sediment Transport							1				<u> </u>			1					0	\$0		<del></del>	<b></b> '	\$0 \$0	\$0
	Prepare Baseline Hydrology & Model Run			1	+	1	-	╂──┼			4	2	4	1		-		-	1	6	\$653		<del>                                     </del>	<b></b> '	\$0	\$653
	Adjust Model Geometry	-		<u> </u>		1		1 1	1		8	4	4	<del> </del>					1	13	\$1,528		<del> </del>	<del>                                     </del>	\$0 \$0	\$1,528
	Adjust Hydrologic Input			1		1	-	1 +			4	4	4	1	-		1	-	1	8	\$860		+	<del>                                     </del>	\$0	\$860
-	Execute Model and Extract Results  Compare Results to Baseline Model Run	-	+	+	+	<b>+</b>	1	╂──┼	1		4	4	1	<b> </b>	1		1	1	1	8	\$860 \$1.082		<del> </del>	\$200	\$200	\$860 \$1,282
	Compare Results to Baseline Model Run  Total Hours	L	0 /	21 /	0 /	2 0	1 /		2	0	2/	1 18	7 0	1	)		)	1 /	1 0	14	\$1,082 <b>\$4,982</b>	\$0	\$0	\$200		
	l otal nours	11 '	ין י	ή '	٠ ١	'	۱ ۲	′			24	1 10	, l	1	'	١	'	۱ ۲	1	44	94,302	φυ	φυ	\$200·	9200	ψ3, 102

Exhibit "B" Budget
Platte River Recovery Implementation Program
1-D Hydraulic and Sediment Transport Modeling
Schedule

	TASKS		Mar-11		Ap			May-11		Jun-11		Jul-11		Aug-11			Sep-11	Oct-11			Nov-11			Dec-11		
TASK SERIES 100 - NORTH PLATTE RIVER HYDRAULIC AND SEDIMENT TRANSPORT EVA						ALTER	NAT	IVE ANALYSI	S																	
Task 101	Literature Review and Alternative Identification																									
Task 102	Alternative Evaluation																									
Task 103	Technical Memorandum																									
TASK SERIES 200 - AS NEEDED MODELING SUPPORT																										
Task 201	Model Execution																									