PRRIP - ED OFFICE DRAFT



08/26/2011

1
Τ.
С
2

3

4

### PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM

**Finance Committee Conference Call Minutes** 

August 25, 2011

### 5 <u>Attendees</u>

- 6 Mike Purcell, Chair State of Wyoming
- 7 Jerry Kenny ED
- 8 Chad Smith ED Office
- 9 Jason Farnsworth ED Office
- 10 Justin Brei ED Office
- 11 Suzanne Sellers Colorado Water Conservation Board
- 12 Alan Berryman Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District
- 13 Jim Schneider State of Nebraska
- 14 Jennifer Schellpeper State of Nebraska
- 15 John Lawson Bureau of Reclamation
- 16 Kevin Urie Denver Water
- 17 Don Kraus CNPPID
- 18

### 19 Welcome and Administrative

Finance Committee Chair Purcell called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. Central time. No agenda
modifications offered. Schneider moved to approve the July 21, 2011 FC minutes as amended; Lawson

- seconded. July 21, 2011 minutes approved.
- 23

### 24 <u>Whooping Crane Monitoring</u>

25 Smith provided an update on the selection of a contractor to conduct whooping crane monitoring and the contract development process. Lawson asked why the estimated budget is so much higher than in past 26 27 years. Smith discussed the estimates for field work and data analysis and how estimates increased from 28 the 2008 AIM proposal. Lawson said contractor and technician salaries show increases of 20-30%. This 29 seems like high inflation and it is leading to dramatic increases. Purcell asked if historical hourly rates were used to calculate these estimates. Smith said yes. Lawson asked about the differences between the 30 spring and fall estimates. Purcell said if you use historical hours, you could show fall costs less than 31 32 spring and result in overall cost savings. Kraus asked if the data analysis piece of the budget was high and was carrying over 2011 analysis costs into each monitoring year. Smith said that 2011 analysis will 33 34 be done in 2011 and possibly some in 2012, but those costs are not built into any budgets beyond spring 35 2012. Kenny said we will modify the budget estimate numbers in the contract.

36

37 Purcell moved to approve, with the understanding that the total overall budget will be revised to 38 \$877,422; Kraus seconded. Kraus said by approving this, we will be authorizing only this year's activity 39 and not future budget or activity without going through the annual GC budget-approval process. Kenny said that is definitely the case. Lawson asked if re-negotiation is allowed each year through this process. 40 Kenny said that is the case, and Smith pointed to language in the draft agreement that says annual budgets 41 42 must be approved each year by the GC. Purcell asked if based on this discussion, should the contract still 43 show reduced estimates for each year (fall field work less than spring field work). Lawson said he prefers 44 the agreement still show those modified numbers. Kraus agreed. Purcell said you could also reduce the 45 fall data analysis and project management budget along with the fall field work budget to save even more each year. The new fall data analysis number would be \$21,470. Kenny said that would be cutting things 46

PRRIP - ED OFFICE DRAFT



- 47 pretty fine and we have protections built into the contract to ensure that we can lower annual budget 48 estimates and not pay for more than we are billed. Agreement approved, as amended.
- 49

#### 50 LiDAR/Aerial Photography RFP

51 Kenny and Brei discussed the LiDAR/aerial photography RFP. Urie asked if the EDO thinks LiDAR costs will continue to drop. Brei said that is not the expectation. Lawson asked about last year's costs. 52 53 Brei said aerial photography last year cost about \$21,000 and LiDAR cost \$72,000. Lawson asked if this 54 is just for aerial photography. Brei said the RFP asks for both aerial photography and LiDAR (G-1 and G-2 from the FY 2011 Program budget). Schneider asked about any discussion in the TAC about this. 55 Brei said this was discussed at the TAC meeting in August and there was not a full RFP presented at this 56 57 time. But, since the specs for the imagery had not changed, the TAC said they supported moving 58 forward.

59

60 Schneider said Nebraska DNR folks still had comments on the RFP and want a chance to provide 61 guidance on how to further improve the RFP. Kenny said the EDO did receive comments on the RFP from Doug Hallum and have developed a preliminary response to those comments. The primary idea 62 posed in those comments was to include an additional dataset not previously collected by the Program and 63 64 could lead to significant increases in the annual costs under this RFP. Some buy-up language has now been included in the RFP based on comments received and additional investigation on the ideas will 65 66 continue, but we need to move ahead to make sure we can acquire imagery this fall. Brei said he contacted a vendor vesterday to investigate the additional dataset. The vendor said accuracy would be in 67 the 5-10 feet range, which is much lower than the resolution than we get with LiDAR now. Resolution 68 69 can be improved, but only with additional flight lines and data processing which will lead to significant 70 jumps in cost. Schneider said his concern is that we will be locked in for several years. Farnsworth said we can consider keeping things flexible in the contract to allow additional techniques or ideas in the 71 72 future but only if costs are negotiated and ultimately approved by the GC. Purcell said we also have to 73 make sure we continue to acquire similar data sets so we can compare apples to apples over time. Kenny 74 agreed that was an important point.

75

76 Sellers moved to approve the RFP: Kraus seconded. Kraus asked if the Nebraska DNR was comfortable 77 with the RFP as presented, based on the discussion during the FC meeting. Purcell said the record should 78 reflect that concerns from Nebraska DNR were adequately discussed during the FC meeting and by the 79 EDO in preparing the RFP. Purcell said each RFP should say address the proposal as written, but also 80 provide an alternative scope or additional ideas that might result in cost savings. **RFP approved.** 

81

#### 82 **Other Business**

Purcell asked if Kraus had an update on the agreement in principle related to the J-2 reregulation 83 84 reservoir. Kraus said Kenny and Schellpeper were coming to the Central board meeting tomorrow. 85 Kraus said he had talked to a few board members about this and so far there were no major questions or concerns, but there would be an ongoing discussion about the topic. Purcell asked if Brian Barels had any 86 concerns. Kenny said the draft agreement had not been distributed beyond the GC-appointed working 87 88 group. Purcell said it should be distributed to Barels for comment; Kraus agreed to do that.

89

#### 90 **Prescribed Burning Services**

- 91 Farnsworth provided background on the Program need for prescribed fire for land management (up to
- 2,000 acres a year to burn) and attempts to find local partners to conduct this burning for the Program. 92 93 The recommended strategy for the next year is to offer a RFP this fall for 2012 prescribed burning

This document is a draft based on one person's notes of the meeting. The official meeting minutes may be different if corrections are made by the Finance Committee before approval. **PRRIP FC Minutes** 

PRRIP - ED OFFICE DRAFT



94 services. We would advertise for a contractor to bring a crew to the central Platte for three weeks and 95 stay at the Dyer Lodge to make sure the crew could take advantage of weather patterns. Some things to 96 consider:

- 97
- Want to put a RFP out this fall to be able to budget for 2012; cost expectations are highly speculative at this point.
- 2) Could be risky due to potential for weather conflicts (if it rains the whole three weeks, would have to pay the crew for now fire; if the burn happens in the first three days, do we pay them for the full three weeks and send them home or try to find additional work?)
- 103

Sellers said it seems common in contracting circles that if you bring a crew here and they complete their 104 work early, they will only be paid for their work and then they can be sent home. Purcell said on wells in 105 106 Wyoming they had a proposal price for a work day and an idle day so you have a price differential you 107 can review and negotiate. Lawson asked about NRDs or the State of Nebraska doing prescribed burning. 108 Farnsworth said the Central Platte NRD has a great crew but their interest in private land and they are too 109 busy to provide consistent help to the Program. Lawson asked if it would be a one-year RFP. Farnsworth said yes. The EDO has talked to the Platte River Trust about a cooperative fire crew but that has not been 110 111 pulled together at this point. Schneider asked if this has been discussed with the LAC. Farnsworth said it

112 will be a topic of discussion at the LAC meeting tomorrow.

## 113

### 114 Closing Business

115 Lawson asked about the status of the FY 2012 budget. Kenny said there would be a rough-cut discussion 116 at the September GC meeting and a special GC conference call about the budget in late October. Kenny

said he was thinking of a FC call about the draft budget in September. Purcell said it might be better to

discuss this with the FC in early November. The FC set November 9 to discuss the FY 2012 budget from 9:00 a m to 12:00 n m Central time

- 119 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Central time.
- 120

121 The FC also set a regular conference call on October 18 from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Central time.

122

# FC meeting adjourned at 11:17 a.m. Central time.

### 125 <u>Summary of Action Items/Decisions from August 25, 2011 FC meeting</u>

- 126 1) Approved July 21, 2011 FC minutes.
- 127 2) Approved whooping crane monitoring agreement, as amended.
- 128 3) Approved LiDAR/aerial photograph RFP.
- 4) Set November 9 from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Central time to discuss the FY 2012 budget.
- 130 5) Set the next regular FC meeting on October 18 from 10:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m. Central time.