

02/02/16

1 2		VERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM y Committee Meeting Minutes
3	Conference Call and WebEx Meeting	
4	February 2, 2016	
5		
6	Meeting Attendees	
7		
8	Water Advisory Committee (WAC)	Executive Director's Office (ED Office)
9	State of Colorado	Jerry Kenny, ED
10	Suzanne Sellers – Member	Scott Griebling
11		Sira Sartori
12	State of Wyoming	Kevin Werbylo
13	Bryan Clerkin – Member	Seth Turner
14	Philip Stuckert - Alternate	
15		<u>Contractors</u>
16	State of Nebraska	Matt McConville – HDR
17	Jessie Winter – Alternate	
18		
19	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service	
20	Tom Econopouly – Member	
21	Jeff Runge – Alternate	
22		
23	U.S. Bureau of Reclamation	
24	Mahonri Williams – Member	
25		
26	Downstream Water Users	
27	Cory Steinke – Chair	
28	Duane Woodward – Member	
29	Jeff Shafer – Member	
30	Landon Shaw – Member	
31	Mike Drain – Alternate	
32	Nolan Little	
33	Colorado Woton Usons	
34	Colorado Water Users Jon Altenhofen – Member	
35		
36	Luke Shawcross	
37	Upper Diatta Water Ligang	
38 20	Upper Platte Water Users n/a	
39 40	ш/а	
40	Environmental Groups	
41 42	Jacob Fritton – Member	
42 42	Rich Walters	
43		



Welcome and Administrative: Cory Steinke, WAC Chair 44

Introductions were made. Kenny made an agenda modification to move the wet meadows peer review to 45 the first topic of the meeting. Sartori reported no changes to the October 2015 WAC meeting minutes. 46 Motion to approve meeting minutes was made by Woodward, seconded by Econopouly, unanimously 47 approved. Altenhofen nominated Steinke as 2016 WAC Chair. There were no other nominations. 48

- Woodward seconded. Unanimously approved and Steinke accepted the role. 49
- 50

Wet Meadows Peer Review & Monitoring: Scott Griebling, ED Office 51

Griebling provided a brief review of the peer review process and recent changes to the peer review packet 52 for the wet meadows hydrologic monitoring approach. There were no questions or comments on the 53 approach or peer review packet. Woodward motioned to recommend the peer review packet to the GC. 54 Clerkin seconded. There was no additional discussion; unanimous agreement. 55

56

WAP Projects and Other Brief Water Updates 57

J-2 Regulating Reservoirs: Cory Steinke, CNPPID 58

The CNPPID is working on amending the Three-Party Agreement with sponsors. RJH is drilling holes on 59

the county right-of-way and the CNPPID's property to determine if there is a continuous layer of low 60

seepage sediment. If so, a cut off wall down to this layer may be a cost-effective approach, in lieu of a clay 61 liner. Woodward asked if the same number of landowners would be affected by the change in size of the J-

62 2 Regulating Reservoirs. Steinke said the removal of a second reservoir, Area 2, reduced the number of 63

landowners. 64

65

Phelps Groundwater Recharge and Recapture: Sira Sartori, ED Office 66

Sartori gave a brief presentation on the Phelps Groundwater Recharge project and the Phelps Groundwater 67 Recapture project. Deliveries into the canal for recharge began 11/23/15 and will continue through likely 68 mid-February. Deliveries were also made on behalf of the Program into Elwood Reservoir in 69 70 November/December of 2015 for recharge purposes.

71

The ED Office checks water level elevations in monitoring wells near the canal, MW-1 and MW-2, 72 throughout the recharge season. Both wells have 'operational' water levels that when reached, require 73

74 discussion on whether continued operations are recommended. The operational levels are based on the Feasibility Study, and in place to avoid impacting other landowners. Water levels have remained within 75 the 'operational' level during the 2015-2016 recharge season. 76

77

Sartori discussed the groundwater recapture project on the Cook tract: 2 monitoring wells were drilled, 1 78 79 production well was drilled, Tri-Basin NRD approved the permit for operations, well testing is delayed due to weather, the project is anticipated to be operational in 2016. 80

81

General Water Leasing: Sira Sartori, ED Office 82

Sartori talked briefly about the water leasing white paper provided to the WAC. It is meant to be an 83 overview document of the water leases available to the Program and outline of potential discussion items 84 that may arise with the various types of leases. The biggest questions are related to surface water leasing 85

and the potential increased groundwater pumping that may occur on those lands. Sartori requested the group 86

87 provide thoughts or comments on the white paper. There were no questions or comments at the time.



CPNRD Water Leasing: Duane Woodward, CPNRD 88

Woodward said the CPNRD is preparing agreements for transferred surface water rights again for those 89

- with 1- or 2-year signups. Recharge operations using excesses to target flows are anticipated in March. 90
- 91
- **NPPD Water Leasing:** Jeff Shafer, NPPD 92

The NPPD is still waiting for the surface water transfer application to be approved by the NDNR. Shafer 93 said the NPPD met with the NDNR but no resolution has been reached. The NDNR has not issued an order 94 yet. 95

96

CNPPID Water Leasing: Jerry Kenny, ED 97

The leasing arrangement with irrigators under the CNPPID was discussed. The Program put a cap of 2,000 98 acres to lease. The total signups were a total of 1,037 acres. This is successful for the first go at this project, 99 but not as much as was hoped for by the Program. It is thought that the dry-up requirement may be a dis-100 incentive for some people. Irrigators may also be conservative and not want to be the first ones to try the 101 program. Kenny said that Dave Ford at the CNPPID thought some irrigators may also be hesitant to sign 102 up because they don't want to suggest there is more water available than needed. 103

104

Altenhofen asked about the asking price of the water. Kenny said he thought the price offered by the 105 Program was reasonable, and probably generous at \$220/acre. The water associated with the land is about 106 9 inches/acre, then there are routing losses to Grand Island. The water is available in Lake McConaughy. 107

108

The Program may be in the position of a similar arrangement next year if there is full allocation. The 109 CNPPID's board is reluctant to let the Program or others into the water leasing arena if there is not a full 110 allocation year; if less than full allocation, farmer-to-farmer leases are allowed. Kenny is hopeful more 111 irrigators will sign up in the future after seeing the success this year. Altenhofen asked how many irrigators 112 signed up this year. Kenny thought in the ballpark of 20-30 landowners. A lot of the lands are pivot corners 113 or hard to irrigate areas, but geographically, it seemed like a broad distribution. The water will be transferred 114 to the EA on October 1, 2016. 115

116

Runge commented that leasing in the future may require more discussion about the CNPPID's non-117 irrigation releases under their license, specifically in years when the allocation is short and a waiver of non-118 irrigation releases is expected. 119

- 120
- Funk Lagoon: Jerry Kenny, ED 121

There were no items to discuss as this project is not moving forward as a WAP project. 122

123 124

COHYST Update: Scott Griebling, ED Office 125

The graphical user interface (GUI) is operational but continues to be developed further. A workshop is 126 scheduled later in February to teach the GUI to users. Documentation on the model is moving slowly. Land 127 use from 2006-2010 is being integrated in the model. 128

129

Broad-Scale Recharge Update: Kevin Werbylo, ED Office 130

Werbylo gave a presentation on the broad-scale recharge project anticipated at the Cottonwood Ranch 131

- complex. He discussed the conceptual design, the current work plan and path forward. The next phase is a 132
- feasibility study for the site, including an infiltration pit, field data collection and validation of other 133



- assumptions used in the conceptual design, preliminary cost and draft score analyses. The focus in 2016 is 134 recharge on the Morse tract. 135
- 136

Altenhofen asked about the depth to groundwater at the site and at the estimated acreage of recharge ponds. 137

Werbylo said he thought between 3-8 feet, depending on the time of year and if water is applied to the land. 138

The acreage at build-out would be about 725 acres, but will depend on the infiltration rate for design 139

- parameters. The infiltration pit will be similar to the study conducted during the Feasibility Study for the 140 Phelps County Canal recharge project. 141
- 142

Altenhofen requested the map of conceptual design include the bermed wet meadows areas and the river. 143 There was also some discussion among the WAC members on the location of the berms, the source of water 144 for wet meadows at the site and the soil type and layers on the property. Cores taken on the property show 145 mainly sandy soils in the area. Runge asked about whether the project would require U.S. Army Corps of 146 Engineers permitting given the installation of the berms. Kenny said he didn't think any Corps permits 147 would be required and also thought the berms were low enough to avoid permitting related to dam safety. 148 The permitting process still needs to be investigated. 149

150

Altenhofen stated the feasibility study is going to be important due to the high groundwater in the area. 151 Kenny agreed and said there is still a lot of work to be done. The amount of recharge discussed could bring 152 the water level to the surface or create a mound that does not allow for additional infiltration. He suggested 153 detailed groundwater modeling by Bill Hahn, ED Office Special Advisor. Griebling said the Peterson Ditch 154 also goes through the property. Altenhofen commented that this may also create an issue if there is less 155 lagged effect. He expressed his concern with how close the property is to the river and stressed the need for 156 more investigative work of recharge operations on the site. Little mentioned some of the TBNRD 157 monitoring wells in the area show rain events that brought groundwater to the surface. 158

159

Altenhofen suggested working with landowners between the canal and the river to recharge in pivot corners 160 or on lands used for water leasing. These lands would be further from the river for a more lagged effect. 161 Kenny said no discussions have been initiated. Steinke did not think landowners would be very interested 162 due to crop prices. In his opinion, he thinks recharge will work at the site, but maybe not at the scale 163 proposed at full build-out. The big question may be how much recharge can occur at the site. 164

165

166 **Trans-Basin Diversions Statutes:** Jessie Winter, NDNR

Winter provided a presentation on the 2 main sections regarding interbasin transfers: § 46-288 and § 46-167 289 in the Nebraska Revised Statutes. She defined the terms in the statutes as well as the application and 168 order process. The factors considered include the benefits of proposed transfers, adverse impacts and 169 alternatives. Projects are deemed in the public interest if benefits are greater than adverse impacts, in which 170 case, the NDNR would then grant the order. The ED Office then explained there is a proposed transbasin 171 diversion to take excess from the Platte River and deliver it to the Republican Basin. 172

173

Kenny asked if a public hearing is required in the application process and the answer is no. Kenny asked if 174 it is allowable and Winters said yes, if the NDNR thinks it is necessary. There is public notice. The WAC 175 discussed the need for excesses in Program projects, especially as the basin is over-appropriated. 176 Altenhofen asked if the permit could be granted as conditional, to allow for Program projects to divert 177 excess flows for use in the basin first. Winters said yes and that there would be a condition that the proposed 178 transfer would be junior to current and future uses in the Platte Basin. WAC members expressed concerns 179 about the project. Little said the Tri-Basin NRD, who would be a user of the interbasin transfer, is in the 180



02/02/16

process of working with landowners in the Republican Basin now, but have not estimated potential yields
 from the project. He described how the water would be moved through the CNPPID system to Turkey
 Creek and piped to the Republican headwaters. The use would be to meet compact compliance with Kansas.

- 184 The WAC asked to stay current on the proposed application.
- 185

186 **Draft Annual Flow Report Summary:** Scott Griebling, ED Office

The draft 2015 annual flow summary was presented to the WAC. Graphs of streamflow at various gages, Lake McConaughy EA content and USFWS target flows for the year were depicted in various graphs and discussed by Griebling. The 2015 annual data was compared to data from 2007 through 2014 during the Program's First Increment, as well as historical data beginning in the 1940s. The 2015 annual hydrologic condition is considered wet, based on the hydrologic condition thresholds. A few WAC members commented the report summary was very useful and well-done.

193

Runge asked if the annual flow summary tracks Tamarack water to Grand Island, since Pathfinder EA and 194 Lake McConaughy EA waters are tracked through the system. Altenhofen said he reports the monthly 195 volume of Tamarack water at the state line to the WAC annually. He said if there is a Tamarack III as a 196 Water Action Plan project, the yield would likely be tracked to Grand Island for a scoring purposes. Runge 197 clarified earlier statement in that the suggested evaluation would not represent an effort to score projects. 198 Rather, the suggested evaluation would be used to assess combined Program effect to Platte River 199 hydrology. Runge thought that tracking Tamarack water to the state line is reasonable, and Tamarack III 200 could provide an opportunity to evaluate contributions to associated habitat area. 201

202

203 <u>Excess Flow Analysis:</u> Scott Griebling, ED Office

The purpose of the analysis was to evaluate trends in annual excesses and monthly excess distribution. A 204 previous analysis by the ED Office was completed on this topic already; however, this is an extension of 205 that analysis. Griebling went over various graphs of annual excesses over time, including historical trends 206 in excesses. Historical data from the Grand Island gage from 1947 through 2015 was used. Box plots of 207 monthly excesses were shown to illustrate the spread of the data. Large flow events in short periods of time 208 tend to skew the annual averages upwards. There are also months in the analysis when there are no excesses. 209 This makes it important to capitalize on large flow events and evaluate excess availability using medians 210 and distributions in addition to averages. 211

212

Altenhofen requested the graphs in the presentation include clear titles. He noted there appear to be less winter excesses, which would limit potential diversions for projects such as Tamarack. Even though there may be an upward trend in excesses over time, it is deceiving as it may not be during times when projects divert. Altenhofen suggested showing the 2007 - 2015 period to see how this relates to Program operations. Griebling said he could make additional box plots for the first 9 years of the Program. Econopouly agreed this would be a good idea. After some discussion, the ED Office said the excess flow analyses will be organized into a comprehensive white paper, which will be provided to the WAC for the next meeting.

220

221 Hydroclimatic Indices Update: Jerry Kenny, ED

The Water Year (WY) 2016 forecast for the North Platte is the high average category for the volume of

streamflow at Lewellen from May through July. This means predicted flows of average to above average

- inflows into Lake McConaughy. The South Platte forecast for WY 2016 is average for the Snow Water
- Equivalent (SWE) and in the high average category for the volume of streamflow from May through July
- at Julesburg. The ED Office will distribute the reports soon. Dewberry, the contractor for the hydroclimatic
- indices work, has also been asked to attend the May WAC meeting to provide information to the group.



- Additional Business: Cory Steinke, WAC Chair 228
- Steinke presented the upcoming meeting schedule. Next WAC meeting is May 3, 2016. 229
- 230
- 231 Econopouly informed the WAC there may be an EA release mid-February to mid-March, if the ice in the
- river does not pose an issue. 232
- 233

Action Items 234

- 235 General WAC 236
- n/a 237
- 238

240

ED Office 239

Compile the excess flow analyses into a comprehensive white paper. •

This document is a draft based on one person's notes of the meeting. The official meeting minutes may be different if corrections are made by the Water Advisory Committee before approval. **PRRIP WAC Meeting Minutes** Page 6 of 6