WWW.WWWHEELER.COM



November 3, 2016

Derek Johnson Colorado Water Conservation Board 1313 Sherman St. Room 718 Denver, CO 80203

RE: Big Evans Reservoir Bypass Flume Project CWCB CMS: 83652/CORE: CT2016-2004

Dear Mr. Johnson:

On behalf of my client, Parkville Water District, I wish to confirm that the Big Evans Reservoir Bypass Flume Project has been completed and the stream is now running through the new flume. I understand you met with Greg Teter at the site on October 25, 2016 and were satisfied with the final result. In accordance with the Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) contract requirements, Enclosed is a copy of the Record Drawings and the Close-out Report.

The Project was constructed in general conformance to the Project Drawings, Specifications and Change Orders (two total). The Contractor (Mountain Structures Inc.) did a good job of constructing the Project and it was completed as of October 25, 2016. The final cost of the project from Mountain Structures was \$407,926.49 (\$399,871.74 Original Bid plus \$8054.75 in change orders). The Change Orders were required for the addition of studs to be used to connect the flange adapters and backing rings added to the pipeline to improve constructability, and an additional brace at the concrete outlet structure that was added after the Contract was issued. The Change Orders were approved by the Project Engineer and Project Owner. Parkville also paid additional expenses for the Project such as Engineering Construction Observation and meetings, concrete testing, pipe flanges and backing rings, etc. These costs were not part of the contract price with the Contractor and therefore were not paid for with CWCB funding.

3700 S. INCA STREET | ENGLEWOOD, CO 80110-3405 303-761-4130 | FAX 303-761-2802



Derek Johnson November 3, 2016 Page 2

The Parkville Water District greatly appreciates the funding provided by the Colorado Water Conservation Board: this made the project feasible for Parkville.

Please contact Wheeler with any questions you may have about the Project, or if you need additional information.

Sincerely, W. W. Wheeler & Associates, Inc.

23 marta

Doug MacLaren, P.E.

Enclosures

CC: Greg Teter

r:\0500\0568\568.08.00 evans res flume\documents\letters\2016 november 3 cwcb letter.docx

Big Evans Reservoir Bypass Flume Replacement Project Evans Gulch Dam Dam ID No. 110106, C-1796B Division 2, District 11 Project Closeout Report



Prepared for Parkville Water District 2015 N. Poplar Leadville, CO 80416



3700 S. INCA STREET | ENGLEWOOD, CO 80110-3405

November 7, 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Project Summary	. 2
Schedule	. 4
Project Team	. 5
Project Cost	. 6
Project Quality Testing	. 7
Issues/Recommendations	. 8

Appendices

Appendix A	Project Photos
Appendix B	Project Close Out Letter
Appendix C	Certification of Compliance
Appendix D	Project Cost Data
Appendix E	Testing Data
Appendix F	Record Drawings

R:\0500\0568\568.08.00 Evans Res Flume\Documents\Close Out Report\PROJECT CLOSEOUT REPORT -Draft.Docx



Project Summary

The Big Evans Reservoir Bypass Flume was originally built sometime between 1885 and 1905 (exact date unknown) as a means of bypassing contaminated water from Evans Gulch around the Big Evans Reservoir, which is used as the primary drinking water source for the City of Leadville. Previous years had seen repeated outbreaks of cholera and similar water-borne diseases in Leadville. The flume collected contaminated gulch water from above the freshwater reservoir and bypassed it around the reservoir. The reservoir has a separate inlet upstream of most of the sources of contamination (which have since been mostly eliminated). The reservoir operation still needs the bypass flume to prevent muddy spring run-off water from entering the reservoir. The reservoir is located in what was the original stream location, requiring the stream to be diverted around it. The original flume was constructed using approximately 2"x10" wooden planks to form a rectangular structure for the stream water to flow in. The wood structure required constant maintenance and was of barely sufficient capacity. Recent large snow melt events caused the flume to operate at maximum capacity and resulted in occasional damage to the nearby groin of Big Evans Reservoir Dam. Emergency call-outs were sometimes required to repair damage before it became a serious threat to the dam's earth structure.

Parkville Water District contracted with W. W. Wheeler and Assoc. (Wheeler) to analyze the existing flume for capacity and to research designs for a replacement structure. Wheeler considered several alternatives to the existing flume and concluded that the most practical and economic replacement would be to use High Density Polyethylene (HDPE) pipes buried in the ground, with associated concrete inlet and outlet structures. There was limited space to install the replacement flume and this reduced the options available.

The final design selected for the replacement flume consisted of two 48" diameter HDPE pipes laid side by side along the (approximate) existing path of the previous wood flume, with a concrete inlet structure and a modified (for two pipes) reinforced concrete baffle outlet based on a US Bureau of Reclamation design.

Because of the location of the flume near the existing dam, it was necessary to obtain permission from the State Engineering Office (SEO) to construct the project, and great care was taken to insure that the existing dam structure was not impacted by the project.

Also, because of the project location next to wetlands, the U.S. Corp. of Engineers (USACE) was contacted. It was determined that the construction could be considered a "maintenance" activity and so a Section 404 Nationwide Permit #3 was required. Care was taken to insure that the work ws done in accordance with that permit.

One additional complication to the project was that the old flume was designated as a Historical Landmark, and the Colorado Office of Archeological and Historic Preservation (OAHP) required the existing flume be researched, documented, and a representative section of the existing flume be preserved and displayed on the nearby Mineral Belt Bike Trail. These requirements were fulfilled. The sign to be located near the display section has not yet been installed, but is

on order by Parkville Water District and will be installed when it is received (expected to be completed in the next couple of weeks).

The stream flow has been re-routed through the new bypass flume structure and everything appears to be operating as designed. An actual test of the flume operation under high-flow condition will not be possible until the spring of 2017, when the snow in the Evans Gulch basin will again melt and creates a large flow in the stream. Streamflow in the fall is minimal and does not allow for a test of the new flume at high flow rates.

<u>Schedule</u>

The initial design of the replacement flume was initiated in winter 2015/spring 2016. Actual project construction began in July, 2016 and achieved substantial completion by mid-October, 2016. Final completion was achieved a few days later, and the stream has been routed through the new flume. The original wooden flume was documented by photography before being removed, and a section was preserved and placed near the Mineral Bike Trail, per the requirements of the State Office of Archeological and Historic Preservation. The trench created by the removal of the original flume was enlarged for the new 48" HDPE pipes, and the HDPE pipes were fused together from 50' sections and fittings. The fused pipe sections were moved into position and backfilled and compacted. The concrete inlet and outlet structures were formed around the pipe after it was installed in the enlarged trench. The ground slope near the pipeline route was laid back to form a more gradual slope, and the entire area disturbed by the Project was mulched, fertilized and seeded with a high-altitude seed mix. The stream has been diverted back into its original channel and is now running through the new bypass flume.

Project Team

Doug Maclaren, P.E., Engineer with Wheeler, was the Project Engineer for the Big Evans Reservoir Bypass Flume Project. Teams that assisted with the Project included the following:

Parkville Water District is the Owner of the project and provided funding not obtained from CWCB to complete the project. Greg Teter, Parkville Water District General Manager was the Project Manager for the Project. Parkville employees helped with a few minor work tasks, such as installing the ductile iron pipe from the Elk Horn Pump Station.

W. W. Wheeler and Associates, Inc. performed the analysis of the existing flume structure and determined its flow capacity, reviewed new flume replacement alternatives, made a design recommendation to Parkville Water District, and generated the Project Drawings for the new bypass flume. Wheeler personnel performed as the Project Engineer and also performed the construction observation during the construction process.

Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) provided funding assistance for the project.

ERO Resources Corp. was contracted to determine if the wood flume was a historic landmark and what level of preservation and documentation was required to meet the requirements of the State Office of Archaeology and Historic Preservation (OAHP). ERO documented the flume per the OAHP requirements. ERO also helped obtain the required US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) Nationwide permit required to replace the flume.

Mountain Structures Inc. was awarded the project based on the bid provided and performed the construction of the Bypass Flume Replacement Project.

Project Cost

The cost of the project billed by the Contractor was per the winning bid received: \$399,872. There were two relatively minor Change Orders that increased the cost over the bid price. These were required to improve the project constructability and were modest in cost (\$8054.75 total). There were some work items included in the bid form that were not required in the actual construction, but these were offset by some additional work that was not included in the bid. This mostly involved the transport of material from the upper reservoir to provide topsoil to cover the work area. The Contractor was able to recover enough topsoil from the work area that additional material did not have to be imported. This reduction in cost was offset by the additional work required to move the excavated trench material away from the project area, which was not planned for in the original Scope of Work.

In addition to the actual Contract cost, there were additional Owner costs associated with the project that totaled several thousand dollars over and above the bid cost. These costs include: design engineering (approximately \$40,000), concrete testing (estimated at \$4000), Engineering site visits and meetings, submittal review and approval, reports, emails, letters, etc. (approximately \$40,000), the addition of flange adapters and backing rings not included in the original Scope of Work (\$12,300 total), Mineral belt sign purchase and installation (\$2400), and other miscellaneous work required by the Owner that was not included in the Project bid cost. The Owner also directly purchased the 48" diameter HDPE pipe and fittings and provided them to the Contractor for installation. This was done, in part, because of the uncertain lead-time for the materials. The cost of the HDPE materials was approximately \$95,000.

Reference Appendix D for additional cost data.

Project Quality Testing

Quality control and assurance testing were performed for the Big Evans Reservoir Bypass Flume Project. This testing included the following:

The fusion joints in the installed HDPE discharge pipeline were visually inspected by the Wheeler Project Engineer and the fusion parameters were recorded using a data logger and reviewed by Wheeler. These tests confirmed that the pipeline was well-constructed and safe for use transferring water. These fusion processes were performed by certified employees provided by the fusion machine vendor.

One additional test of the quality of the HDPE fusion joints was a "bent-strap" test. Three test coupons were cut from a representative fused section. These coupons were placed into a test device and bent to a severe angle. Any defect in the fusion weld would have shown up as a crack or break at the fusion. All three test coupons were found to be well made and no cracks or breaks were found. This verifies that the fusion process used produced a strong weld.

Concrete strength testing was performed by HP Kumar for the concrete inlet and outlet structures. Not every batch of concrete was tested, but one batch from each of the structures was tested for quality. The test results indicated the concrete mix met the Project Specifications. Concrete test results are included in Appendix E of this report.

<u>Issues</u>

In general, this project went very well. The flume was replaced without any environmental or safely issues.

A few construction issues that did occur are noted:

- 1. It was difficult to move the 48" HDPE pipe into position. The pipe and the fittings were fused together at a location near the project and moved into position after fusing. It was difficult to move the large sections of pipe, which were approximately 175' long (there were two pipe sections for each pipe run of approximately 350'). In part to make the pipe sections easier to install, it was decided that the two pipe sections would be further split into two sections and the sections joined in the pipe trench by use of flange adapters and backing rings. This change made the pipe section, but this was still a somewhat difficult process due to the limited work area. The use of the flange adapters required the purchase of stud sets to connect the flanges, as well as a gasket at each connection to seal the flanges. The cost for these items, which were purchased by the Contractor, was the cause of one of the two change orders received from the Contractor.
- 2. Another issue that occurred involved the thermal movement of the HDPE pipe. Due to the material properties, HDPE has a very high thermal expansion coefficient. This made it difficult to install the pipe and have it stay in position.
- 3. One other difficulty with the HDPE pipe was accurately determining the pipe length required. Although the pipes were installed parallel to each other, the lay length was slightly different between the two pipes. This meant the ends did not come out exactly as planned. This was compensated for by increasing the wall thickness at the outlet structure so that the pipe anchor rings were captured properly. This was not a significant problem, but did add some difficulty to the construction.
- 4. The ground slope on the uphill side of the pipeline was not laid back as much as originally planned. This was due in large part to the limited work area for the project. The Owner wanted to minimize tree clearing and avoid any impact on the neighboring

property, so the finished slope was somewhat steeper than originally planned. The steeper slope also made it somewhat more difficult to re-seed. The Owner accepts the final slope created for the project.

In general, the project went well, with only minor changes from the original design. The actual work area was very limited due to the close proximity of the reservoir on one side of the pipeline and a steep hillside on the other side. This limited the movement of equipment around the project area and required that excavated material be handled more than would be preferred. Despite these difficulties, the Contractor performed the work in compliance with the project plans and schedule.

APPENDIX A

PHOTOS



Original Flume



Original Flume Interior



Straw Waddles Placed at Project Boundaries



Trench Material Being Removed



Inlet Structure Under Construction



Concrete Truck Placing Concrete at Inlet



Concrete Being Placed at Inlet



View of Rough Pipe Trench



HDPE Pipe and Fittings



48" HDPE Pipe Being Fused



Bent Strap Test Coupon Showing Good Weld



48" HDPE Being Staged Before Installation



Pipe Trench Bedding Being Placed



48" HDPE Pipes Being Placed In Trench





48" HDPE Pipes Placed in Trench

48" HDPE at Discharge



HDPE Flanges Being Made



Final Bolting Of Flanges



Lean Concrete Fill Area at Dam Abutment



48" HDPE Pipes Being Positioned In Trench



Manway Access Hatches in Pipeline



Close-up of Manway Hatches



Outlet Structure Rebar Being Placed



Forms Around Outlet Structure



Initial Backfill Over Pipeline



Final Finishing of Concrete at Outlet



48" HDPE Elbows Upstream of Outlet



Top Soil Staged for Placement at Trench



Hatches Over Access Manways



View Inside of Hatches



Fill Being Placed Over Pipes



Slope Being Re-contoured



Reservoir Intake Slide Gate



Straw Placed At Work Area for Reclamation



Completed Outlet Structure



End View of Outlet Structure



Trashrack at Inlet Structure



Completed Inlet Structure



Water in Stream Entering Inlet Structure



Display Section of Old Flume



Steam Water Exiting Pipes at Outlet Structure



Water Flowing Out of Outlet Structure



Water Being Diverted From Stream Into Reservoir



Trashrack at Inlet With Water Flow

APPENDIX B

PROJECT CLOSE OUT LETTER



PROJECT CLOSE OUT LETTER

Project: Big Evans Reservoir Bypass Flume

Contractor: Mountain Structures Inc.

The Big Evans Reservoir Bypass Flume Project has been completed as of October 25, 2016, is in general conformance with the Project Drawings, Specifications and Change Orders, and is operating as designed. This statement is based, in part, on information provided by others.

MMA Signed: 😒

Steve Maly, P.E., Colorado PE No. 29123

Date: November 4, 2016



APPENDIX C

CERTIFICATION OF COMPLIANCE

Certification of Compliance with Department of the Army Nationwide Permit

SPA-2014-00476-SCO, Big Evans Bypass Aqueduct Replacement Action Number:

Name of Permittee: Greg Teter, Parkville Water District

Nationwide Permit: No. 3 for Maintenance

Upon completion of the activity authorized by this permit and any mitigation required by the permit, sign this certification and return it to the following address:

Christopher Grosso Albuquerque District, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers 200 South Santa Fe Avenue, Suite 301 Pueblo, Colorado 81003-4270

Please note that your permitted activity is subject to a compliance inspection by an U.S. Army Corps of Engineers representative. If you fail to comply with this permit, you are subject to permit suspension, modification, or revocation.

Please enclose photographs showing the completed project (if available).

I hereby certify that the work authorized by the above referenced permit has been completed in accordance with the terms and conditions of the said permit, and required mitigation was completed in accordance with the permit conditions.

 Date Work Started
 7/20/2016

 Date Work Completed
 10/25/2016

ture of Permittee

2016 10/25

APPENDIX D

PROJECT COST DATA

										Date: 10-2	0-16	
Item No.	Description of Work	Billing 1 July 15th	Billing 2 August 15th	Billing 3 September 15th	Billing 4 October 20th	Percent Complete To Date	QTY	Unit	Contract Unit Price	Contra Total Co	ct /	Amount maining
1.0: G	eneral Work	Serves article		COLUCION	24 11 11 12	COLUMN TOTAL	<u>1</u> (6/1)	1000	Model Reports	1.00	20	A TRACT
=	Mobilization		\$ 3,491.64	\$0.00		100%	1	LS	\$40,562.04	\$ 40,562	2.04 \$	0.00
	Project Bonds and Environmental/Umbrella Insurance	27354										
	Mobize Equipment and Job Trailer	8444.4										
	Project Permitting, BMP Plans,	1272									-	
	Set up dewatering	0										
1.2	Demobilize	0	0	\$0.00	\$25,437.12	100%	-	TS	\$25,437.12	\$ 25,43	7.12 \$	1
							•	General	Work Subtotal	S 65,999	.16	
2.0: Si	ite Work	Sine Providence	2 08 U.S.	2 V ~ R14	1.1.1.1.1	Service Services	A LOSS A	0.11				
2.1	Clear and Grub Project Area (0.3 acre)	0	\$ 6,947.52	\$0.00		100%	1	TS	\$6,947.52	\$ 6,947	7.52 \$	Si.
2.2	Remove and Stockpile Soil (0.3 acre)	0	\$ 4.253.76	\$0.00		100%	1	TS	\$4,253.76	\$ 4,25.	3.76 \$	•
2.3	Furnish and Install Environmental Controls	796.72	\$ 2,522.96	\$0.00		100%	1	LS	\$3,319.68	\$ 3,319	9.68 \$	¥2
2.4	Provide Dust Control Measures.	0	\$ 8,288.89	\$8,288.89	\$4,144.45	100%	1	LS	\$20,722.23	\$ 20,72:	2.23 \$	(00.0)
2.5	Furnish and Install Diversion and Dewatering Facilities.	0	\$ 12,345.48	\$0.00		100%	1	LS	\$12,345.48	\$ 12,34:	5.48 \$	14
								Site	work Subtotal	S 47,588	67	
3.0: D	emolition Work	Werk -		AST - 330	Stow of the	100-18					1000	
3.2	Demolish and Dispose Existing Flume		\$ 19,432.80	\$0.00		100%	-	TS	\$19,432.80	\$ 19,43	2.80 \$	ж
3.3	Remove and Stockpile Existing Riprap			\$1,932.48		100%	-	LS	\$1,932.48	\$ 1,93:	2.48 \$	×
							Der	molition	Work Subtotal	\$ 21,365	5.28	
4.0 Ea	urthwork	N	Contraction of the		The second second				AND THE P			
14	Re-Grade Stream Approach	0		\$0	\$1,428.48	100%	1	LS	\$1,428.48	\$ 1,42	8.48 \$	œ
42	Re-Grade Plunge Pool Area	0		<u>\$0</u>	\$5.845.80	100%	-	LS	\$5,845.80	\$ 5,84	5.80 \$	39
43	Haul and Compact Fill to Raise Existing Berm (100 CY)	0		\$0	\$4,120.92	100%	1	TS	\$4,120.92	\$ 4,12	0.92 \$	х
4	Haul and Spread Topsoil Over Disturbed Area (200CY)	0		\$0	\$2,790.96	100%	-	LS	\$2,790.96	\$ 2,79	\$ 96.0	×
45	Haul and Place Topsoil From Diamond Lake (250 CY)	0		\$0	\$4,636.20	100%	1	LS	\$4,636.20	\$ 4,63	6.20 \$	•
4.5	Re-Vegetate Disturbed Area (0.6 Acre)	0		\$0	\$3,512.88	100%	1	LS	\$3,512.88	\$ 3.51	2.88 \$	(4)
14							N and	Earth	work Subtotal	\$ 22,33!	5.24	
5.0 B	vpass Flume	1	2.58123 2-	1 m 19235	4 15 38 20	10 de 572	1.000		Sal Take		200	
5.1	Install New Bypass Flume Pipeline and Fittings	0	\$ 39,045.86	\$78,091.71	\$13,015.29	100%	1	LS	\$130,152.85	\$ 130,15	2.85 \$	(00.0)
5.2	Furnish and Install Concrete Inlet Structure	0	\$ 15,521.93	\$15,521.93		100%	1	LS	\$31,043.86	\$ 31,04	3.86 \$	(00.0)
5.3	Furnish and Install the Trashrack	0		\$1,693.34	\$6,773.38	100%	1	LS	\$8,466.72	\$ 8,46	6.72 \$	(00.0)
5.4	Furnish and Install Concrete Outlet Structure	0		\$4,786.17	\$43,075.51	100%	1	TS	\$47,861.68	\$ 47.86	1.68 \$	0.00
5.5	Furnish and Install Access Manholes	0		\$5,217.36	\$5,217.36	100%	1	LS	\$10,434.72	\$ 10,43	4.72 \$	
5.6	Furnish and Install Lean Concrete Pipe Encasement	0		\$12,788.88		100%	-	TS	\$12,788.88	\$ 12,78	8.88 \$	
5.7	Furnish and Install the Elk Horn Pump Station Outlet Pipe	0		\$0.00	\$1,834.68	100%		LS	\$1,834.68	\$ 1,83	4.68 \$	٠
	Total for Month:	37867.12	#######################################	\$128,320.76	\$121,833.02	100%	1			S 242,58	3.39	
NUM-			が行う人			1249 - 378 P	191724	Total (Contract Value:	S 399,87	1.74 \$	37
Note	S:	BILLED TO	DATE:	****								

 REMAINING TO BILL:
 \$ 0.00

 Amount due October:
 \$121,833.02

 Change Number One Total:
 \$8,054.75

 Total Due Including Change Order:
 \$129,887.77

\$ 399,871.74 \$ 399,871.74 reconcile reconcile

APPENDIX E

TEST DATA

H-P KU Geotechnical Engineering Engineering Engineering Environmen	MAR gineering Geology tal	נפפופר ע אבצסכ. ב ד ו ע ב D - מאבר א ב D -	BE איש פור שיי חוי חוי	H- P. 24 Si Pt Fa hp	P Kumar O. Drawer 1887 O Annie Road Iverthorne, Color hone: (970) 468- Ix: (970) 468-589 ksummit@kuma	ado 80498 1989)1 rusa.com
	Report o	f Concret	e Cylin	der Test D)ata	
Client: W.W. Wheeler Attn: Doug MacLaren 3700 South Inca Englewood, CO	& Associates, Inc. Street 80110		Job No.: Sheet No.: Lab #:	16-9-166 1 of 495	Date: 08/1 1	5/2016
Project: Big Evans Res	ervoir, Bypass Flui	me Project, Cou	nty Road 3,	Leadville, Colora	do.	
Placement Location: Intak	e Flume Structure, F	loor Slab				
Test Location: Southwes	Corner of the Place	ment Area				
Truck: 10-07	Time B	atch: 9:51 am	1	Supplier: A	CA Products	
Ticket: 68165	Time A	rrived: 10:50 a	m	Yards: 6	of	18
Mix: 794	Time P	laced: 11:17 a	m	Method of Plac	cement: Co	nveyor
Physical Properties:	Water/C	Cement: 0.40		Specifications:		
Sample Point: From Cor	veyor Water a	.dded: -0-	Gal.	Slump:	3 +/- 1	Inches
Time Sampled: 11:08 am	Slump:	3.0	Inches	Air Content:	6 +/- 1	%
Air Temp.: 68	°F Air Cor	itent: 5.1	%	Water / Cemer	nt: 0.45	Max
Concrete Temp.: 70	°F Wet Un	it Wt.: 144.9	pcf	Design Strengt	ih: 4,500	psi @ 28 days
) Time Cyl. Cast: 11:23 am	Initial C	Cure: Field		Field Storage:	Cure Box	
Diameter: 4	Inches Area:	12.57	Inches ²	Max / Min:	NA °F	to NA °F
	C	ompressive St	rength Da	ta		
Age (Days)	Dat	te	I (.oad lbs.)	Str.	pressive rength (psi)
7	08/22/	2016	51	1,044	4	,060
28	09/12/	2016	62	2,354	4	,960
28	09/12/	2016	63	3,411	5	,040
Hold						
		*		-		
Preliminary Observations and /	or Testing Results V	/erbally Reported	To: Wheeler	& Associates, Mo	untain Structur	es, ACA Products
Progress Report:	2					
	2					i
Copies:						
-		A	row Month	liome	Jarod Cabor	
		F	ield Observ	ver I	Reviewed By	
	10				•	

OP MC

H-P & KUNAR Geotechnical Engineering Engineering Geology Materials Testing Environmental H-P Kumar P.O. Drawer 1887 240 Annie Road Silverthorne, Colorado 80498 Phone: (970) 468-1989 Fax: (970) 468-5891 hpksummit@kumarusa.com				do 80498 989 I usa.com		
	Report of	Concret	e Cylind	der Test D	ata	
Client: W.W. Wheeler & Attn: Doug MacLaren 3700 South Inca S Englewood, CO 8	z Associates, Inc. Street 30110		Job No.: Sheet No.: Lab #:	16-9-166 1 of 545	Date: 10/0' 1	7/2016
Project: Big Evans Reser	rvoir, Bypass Flume	Project, Coun	ty Road 3, L	eadville, Colora.	do.	
Placement Location: Outlet	Structure, Foundation	u Walls			,	al.
Test Location: Southwest	Corner of the Placem	ent Area, Up 2 F	eet From Bol	tom	,	
Truck: 10-10	Time Bate	h: 9:12 am		Supplier: A	CA Products	
Ticket: 68948	Time Arri	ved: 10:15 ar	n	Yards: 1	5 of 2	9.5
Mix: 4,500 20% Fly Ash	Class D Time Plac	ed: 10:41 ar	n	Method of Plac	cement: Co	nveyor
Physical Properties:	Water/Cer	nent: 0.41		Specifications:		
Sample Point: From Chut	e Water add	led: -0-	Gal.	Slump:	3 +/- 1	Inches
Time Sampled: 10:26 am	Slump:	6 1/2	Inches	Air Content:	6 +/- 1	%
Air Temp.: 37	°F Air Conte	nt: 5.3	%	Water / Cemen	t: 0.45	Max
Concrete Temp.: 72	°F Wet Unit	Wt.: 142.3	pcf	Design Strengt	h: 4,500	psi @ 28 days
Time Cyl. Cast: 10:38 am	Initial Cu	e: Field		Field Storage:	Cure Box	
Diameter: 4	Inches Area:	12.57	Inches ²	Max / Min:	NA °F	to NA °F
	Co	mpressive St	rength Dat	and the second second second		
					Com	pressive
(Days)	Date			os.)	Str ()	e ngth osi)
7	10/14/20	16	49	,158	3,	910
28	11/04/20	16	58	,383	4,	640
28	11/04/20	16	57	,176	4,	550
Hold				-		a\
Preliminary Observations and / o	or Testing Results Ve	rbally Reported	To: Doug Ma	cLaren with Whee	ler & Associate	95
Progress Report.				x.		* >
2.						
D1	÷.					
Copies:		(
					Louis Civit	
	ч.в., ^{с.}	And	eld Ohser	ams . Tr E	area Cohen	
	Δ.	101	AND A ROAT A	. 1		-

ŗ

1

and the case of the second second

Barry - Barry - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1 - 1

12121203222814295

APPENDIX F

RECORD DRAWINGS

(under separate cover)

BIG EVANS RESERVOIR BYPASS FLUME REPLACEMENT

WATER DIVISION 2, DISTRICT 11

LAKE COUNTY, CO

PREPARED FOR PARKVILLE WATER DISTRICT BY

W. W. WHEELER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.



DRAWING INDEX
DRAWING TITLE
PROJECT COVER SHEET
GENERAL ARRANGEMENT
OVERALL SITE PLAN - GENERAL ARRANGEMENT
CIVIL
SRPE PIPE FLUME - PLAN AND PROFILE - 0+00 TO END
SRPE PIPE FLUME - DETAILS AND SECTIONS
INSTALLATION DETAILS
CONCRETE
INLET STRUCTURE - GENERAL ARRANGEMENT AND DETAILS
OUTLET STRUCTURE - GENERAL ARRANGEMENT AND DETAILS
WATER
STORM WATER MANAGEMENT/BMP's - PLAN VIEW
STRUCTURAL
TRASHRACK AND STOPLOG BRACKETS

NO.	DATE	MADE BY	CKD. BY	REMARKS	"This drawing together with any and all	O DRAWING NO. REFERENCE			Parkville	Nater District
	6/10/16	DCM	SMM	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	additions, corrections, changes and alterations	5		BIG EVANS RESERVOIR		
S A	10/28/16	DCM	SMM	RECORD DRAWING	thereof is the property of Parkville Water		FARMULLE WATER DISTRICT		Lee	Julie, CO
					District and is furnished on the express condition that it shall not be reproduced.	Ш		BYPASS FLUME REPLACEMENT	DCM 1/21	15 As Noted
ĭ ∟∆					copied, lent, or disposed of directly or indirectly,				CHECKED BY	DRAWING NO.
					nor used for any other purpose than for which it is specifically furnished without the prior		W. W. WHEELER ENGLEWOOD, CO 80110-3405	COVER SHEET	SMM 1/21	15
					written consent of said Parkville Water District."		& ASSOCIATES, INC 303-761-4130		ACCEPTED BY	SHEET 1
						α	Water Resources Engineers FAX 303 701 2002			<u>_1</u>



SEO C-1796B



BIG EVANS RESERVOIR		Leadville, C	0
BYPASS FLUME REPLACEMENT	DCM	1/21/15	As Noted
SITE MAP	CHECKED BY SMM	1/21/15	DRAWING NO.
	ACCEPTED BY		SHEET 2







BIG EVANS RESERVOIR		Leadville, C	0
48" HDPE PIPE FLUME	DCM	1/21/15	As Noted
SECTIONS	CHECKED BY SMM	1/21/15	DRAWING NO.
	ACCEPTED BY		SHEET 5

		Ecadvinc, c	
ONCRETE - INLET STRUCTURE	DCM	1/21/15	As Noted
ERAL ARRANGEMENT AND DETAILS	CHECKED BY SMM	1/21/15	
	ACCEPTED BY		SHEET 6

	IS THE CO ENVIRONM SHOWN OI
w	STOI
V	<u>510</u>
TED 7	=
/	=
10	

BIG EVANS RESERVOIR	Parkville Water District		
BYPASS FLUME REPLACEMENT	DCM	1/21/15	As Noted
ORMWATER MANAGEMENT/ BMP's	CHECKED BY SMM	1/21/15	DRAWING NO.
PLAN VIEW AND DETAILS	ACCEPTED BY		SHEET 8

 BIG EVANS RESERVOIR
 Parkville Water District

 STEEL - TRASHRACK AND STOPLOG BRACKET
 DCM
 1/21/15
 SOLE

 GENERAL ARRANGEMENT AND DETAILS
 SMM
 1/21/15
 DRAWING NO.

 SHEET 9
 ...
 ...

BIG EVANS RESERVOIR BYPASS FLUME REPLACEMENT

WATER DIVISION 2, DISTRICT 11

LAKE COUNTY, CO

PREPARED FOR PARKVILLE WATER DISTRICT BY

W. W. WHEELER AND ASSOCIATES, INC.

DRAWING INDEX				
DRAWING TITLE				
PROJECT COVER SHEET				
GENERAL ARRANGEMENT				
OVERALL SITE PLAN - GENERAL ARRANGEMENT				
CIVIL				
SRPE PIPE FLUME - PLAN AND PROFILE - 0+00 TO END				
SRPE PIPE FLUME - DETAILS AND SECTIONS				
INSTALLATION DETAILS				
CONCRETE				
INLET STRUCTURE - GENERAL ARRANGEMENT AND DETAILS				
OUTLET STRUCTURE - GENERAL ARRANGEMENT AND DETAILS				
WATER				
STORM WATER MANAGEMENT/BMP's - PLAN VIEW				
STRUCTURAL				
TRASHRACK AND STOPLOG BRACKETS				

NO.	DATE	MADE BY	CKD. BY	REMARKS	"This drawing together with any and all	O DRAWING NO. REFERENCE			Parkville	Water District
	6/10/16	DCM	SMM	ISSUED FOR CONSTRUCTION	additions, corrections, changes and alterations	5		BIG EVANS RESERVOIR		
S A	10/28/16	DCM	SMM	RECORD DRAWING	thereof is the property of Parkville Water		FARMULLE WATER DISTRICT		Le	
					District and is furnished on the express condition that it shall not be reproduced.	Ü		BYPASS FLUME REPLACEMENT	DCM 1/21	/15 As Noted
ĭ ∟∆					copied, lent, or disposed of directly or indirectly,				CHECKED BY	DRAWING NO.
					nor used for any other purpose than for which it is specifically furnished without the prior		W. W. WHEELER ENGLEWOOD, CO 80110-3405	COVER SHEET	SMM 1/21	/15
					written consent of said Parkville Water District."		& ASSOCIATES, INC 303-761-4130		ACCEPTED BY	SHEEL1
						α l	Water Resources Engineers FAX 303 701 2002			<u>/1</u>

SEO C-1796B

BIG EVANS RESERVOIR		Leadville, C	0
BYPASS FLUME REPLACEMENT	DCM	1/21/15	As Noted
SITE MAP	CHECKED BY SMM	1/21/15	DRAWING NO.
	ACCEPTED BY		SHEET 2

BIG EVANS RESERVOIR	Leadville, CO		
48" HDPE PIPE FLUME	DCM	1/21/15	As Noted
SECTIONS	CHECKED BY SMM	1/21/15	DRAWING NO.
	ACCEPTED BY		SHEET 5

ONCRETE - INLET STRUCTURE	DCM	1/21/15	As Noted	
ERAL ARRANGEMENT AND DETAILS	CHECKED BY SMM	1/21/15		
	ACCEPTED BY		SHEET 6	

	IS TH ENVI SHO	IE CO RONN WN O
w		
v	<u>S</u>	TOI
TED		=
/		=
10		

BIG EVANS RESERVOIR	Parkville Water District		
BYPASS FLUME REPLACEMENT	DCM	1/21/15	As Noted
ORMWATER MANAGEMENT/ BMP's	CHECKED BY SMM	1/21/15	DRAWING NO.
PLAN VIEW AND DETAILS	ACCEPTED BY		SHEET 8

 BIG EVANS RESERVOIR
 Parkville Water District

 STEEL - TRASHRACK AND STOPLOG BRACKET
 DCM
 1/21/15
 SOLE

 GENERAL ARRANGEMENT AND DETAILS
 SMM
 1/21/15
 DRAWING NO.

 SHEET 9
 ...
 ...