

01/10/2011

1 2 3 4	PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM Governance Committee Meeting Minutes DIA Staybridge Suites – Denver, CO December 7-8, 2010
5 6	Tuesday, December 7, 2010
7	
8	Executive Director's Office (ED Office)
9	Jerry Kenny – Executive Director
10	Bridget Barron
11	Chad Smith
12	Beorn Courtney
13 14	Jason Farnsworth
14	Bruce Sackett Dave Baasch
15 16	Steve Smith
10	Sira Sartori
18	
19	Governance Committee (GC)
20	John Lawson – Bureau of Reclamation (Chair)
21	Don Ament – State of Colorado
22	Brian Barels – Nebraska Public Power District
23	Alan Berryman – Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District
24	Mark Czaplewski – Central Platte Natural Resources District
25	John Heaston – The Nature Conservancy
26	Ted Kowalski – State of Colorado
27	Harry LaBonde – State of Wyoming
28	John Kolanz – Greeley Water and Sewer Department
29	Don Kraus – Central Nebraska Public Power and Irrigation District
30	Kent Miller – Twin Platte Natural Resources District
31	Mike Purcell – State of Wyoming
32	Jennifer Schellpeper – Nebraska DNR
33	Jim Schneider – Nebraska DNR
34	Dennis Strauch – Pathfinder Irrigation District
35	Bill Taddicken – Audubon Rowe Sanctuary
36	Michael Thabault – U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
37	George Williams – Upper Platte River Water Users
38	
39	Participants
40	Mike George – U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
41	Tom Econopouly – U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
42 43	Jeff Bandy – AECOM
43 44	Jeff Runge – USFWS David Freeman – Colorado State University
45	Pat Goltl – Nebraska DNR
45 46	Suzanne Sellers – State of Colorado
40 47	Kevin Urie – Colorado Water Users
.,	
	This document is a draft based on one person's notes of the meeting. The official meeting minutes may be different if corrections are made by the Governance Committee before approval.

- 48 John Nestler - ISAC
- 49 Andrew Kuhlman - State of Wyoming
- 50 Mark Lorie - Consultant
- 51 Monte McDonald - Riverside Technology
- 52

### 53 Welcome & Administrative

- 54 Lawson called the meeting to order and the group proceeded with introductions. Lawson asked for
- 55 agenda modifications. Heaston moved to approve the September 2010 GC minutes, October 2010 GC
- 56 Special Session Minutes, and October 2010 GC PRRIP Budget Conference Call Minutes; Thabault
- 57 seconded. All minutes approved.
- 58

### 59 **Program Committee Updates**

- 60 Land Advisory Committee (LAC)
- 61 Czaplewski provided an update on the latest LAC activities. The LAC met twice since the September GC
- 62 meeting. Recommendations for several tracts were advanced to the GC and will be discussed tomorrow.
- 63 Work continues on the PRRIP Outdoor Recreation Policy and the goal is to have a recommendation for
- 64 the GC to discuss in March 2011. The LAC also discussed several tract Operations & Maintenance Plans.
- 65 Farnsworth said we had previous GC approval to split these plans from Complex Management Plans but
- 66 concerns were expressed about that process and this item will be discussed tomorrow during the GC land
- 67 discussion.
- 68
- 69 Water Advisory Committee (WAC)
- 70 Courtney provided an update on the latest WAC activities. The WAC last met on November 9 and
- 71 discussed channel improvements related to Phragmites removal. Rich Walters presented an update on the
- 72 Weed Management Area activities in the Platte River corridor. Greg Wingfield reviewed the preliminary
- 73 2011 short duration high flow planning. The ED Office provided updates on the Water Action Plan
- 74 projects and the FY 2011 Program budget. Doug Hallum gave an overview of the Nebraska Depletions
- 75 Plan. The next WAC meeting is scheduled for February 1, 2011 in Ogallala.
- 76
- 77 Technical Advisory Committee (TAC)
- 78 Chad Smith provided an update on the latest TAC activities. The TAC met on November 1 and again this
- 79 morning prior to the GC meeting. The TAC discussed the FY 2011 PRRIP budget, potential FSM
- 80 activities at the Elm Creek Complex, and tern and plover monitoring issues. The TAC also met on the
- 81 morning of December 7 in Denver to discuss the draft Mock Synthesis Report and the draft AMP
- 82 Implementation Plan. The next TAC meeting has not been set pending results of the ISAC meeting of
- 83 December 8-9, 2010.
- 84
- 85 Finance Committee (FC)
- 86 Purcell provided an update on the latest FC activities. The FC met on November 23 to discuss the FY 87 2011 budget as well as other items. The FC recommended to the GC approval of the non-competitive
- 88 selection for Science Review Services, approved the groundwater recharge contract, and approved an
- 89 amendment to the Database Management System contract. The FC also reviewed and recommended to
- 90 the GC for approval the FY 2011 PRRIP budget and work plan.
- 91
- 92
- 93
- 94

This document is a draft based on one person's notes of the meeting. The official meeting minutes may be different if corrections are made by the Governance Committee before approval.



### 95 **Program Outreach Update**

### 96 Presentations

- 97 Jerry Kenny and Don Krause presented on the Program as part of a panel on the Platte River at the 98 2010 Water Summit of the Platte Institute on September 23, 2010 in Gothenburg, Nebraska.
- 99 Chad Smith presented on adaptive management as applied in the Platte River Basin to the Trinity 100 River Recovery Program on September 23, 2010 in Weaverville, California.
- 101 Jerry Kenny & Kent Miller presented on responsibilities and the progress of the Program and the 102 State of Nebraska toward meeting water goals to the Nebraska Association of NRD's annual 103 conference on September 28, 2010 in Kearney, Nebraska. Beorn Courtney presented on the Program 104 as it relates to irrigated agriculture to the U.S. Committee on Irrigation and Drainage on September 105 28, 2010 in Ft. Collins, Colorado.
- 106 Chad Smith presented on Adaptive Management at the University of Nebraska-Lincoln's annual 107 Water Law Conference on October 6, 2010 in Lincoln, Nebraska.
- 108 Jerry Kenny presented on the Program's Water Plan Implementation Status at the University of 109 Nebraska Lincoln's Greater Platte Symposium on October 7, 2010 in Lincoln, Nebraska.
- 110 Beorn Courtney and Laura Belanger had a poster presentation at the South Platte Forum on October • 111 20-21, 2010 in Longmont, Colorado.

## 112

120

### 113 Upcoming Presentations/Exhibits

- 114 Jerry Kenny and Dennis Strauch are presenting on the Program at the 4 States Irrigation Council in 115 Fort Collins, Colorado on January 12 – 14, 2011.
- 116 The Program will have an Exhibit at Colorado Water Congress in Denver, Colorado on January 26 – • 117 28.2011.
- 118 The Program is a sponsor of the joint meeting of the North American Crane Working Group and The • 119 Waterbird Society to be held in Grand Island, Nebraska on March 12 - 16, 2011.

### 121 *Exhibits/Sponsorships*

- 122 The Program exhibited at the Nebraska Nature and Visitors Center Open House in Alda, Nebraska on 123 September 25, 2010. We made 122 contacts during that event.
- 124 The Program exhibited at the South Platte Forum in Longmont, Colorado on October 20 and 21, 125 2010. We made 134 contacts over the course of the two days.
- 126 The Program exhibited at the Gateway Farm Expo in Kearney, Nebraska on November 17 and 18, 127 2010. We made 956 direct contacts with the public over the course of those two days.

### 129 Media/Press Coverage

- 130 David Freeman's book, "Implementing the Endangered Species Act on the Platte Basin Water 131 Commons", has been published by University Press of Colorado.
- 132 All GC members and alternates were presented with a copy that can be signed by Freeman. Freeman 133 discussed his book and how it developed.

# 134

128

### 135 **PRRIP Budget and Work Plan**

- 136 Kenny discussed the latest status sheet for the Program budget in 2010. The Program will end the year
- 137 with expenditures just under \$10 million. Kenny discussed the proposed FY 2011 budget, work plan, and
- 138 ED contract Exhibit B. Kenny said one new line item is LP-7, Public Access Management. Barels asked
- 139 if that would be brought back to the GC for discussion. Kenny said there would be ample opportunity for
- 140 discussion at the advisory committee level on up through the GC. Purcell asked how many contacts the



## 01/10/2011

- 141 Program has had regarding hunting and other activities. Farnsworth said well over 100 so far. Kenny 142 said there is a great deal of interest, particularly in regard to hunting. Taddicken asked if there would be a 143 public information document that would go out on public access policies. He said he has been hearing 144 concerns that Program lands are the private hunting club for Headwaters Corporation. Kenny said that is
- 145 not true and once the sub-committee is finished the information will be distributed widely to the public. 146
- 147 Heaston said the biological opinion and Program document are being used as sideboards, as well as the 148 Nebraska recreation liability statute. The sub-committee is working on a tiered list of activities that will
- 149 be subjected to some kind of review process to ensure there is some level of accountability. The
- 150 Nebraska Game and Parks Commission offered to be the manager of recreation access. The sub-
- 151 committee asked Game and Parks to put an offer together on paper to detail what kind of services they
- 152 can provide. Barels said he wonders if there are revenue opportunities to offset any associated costs and
- 153 requested the sub-committee start to look at those options. Heaston said that is an alternative of last resort
- 154 because that will open the Program up to recreation-associated liability. Going with the pay route might
- 155 have financial gains but also might set the Program back in terms of public perception. Thabault
- 156 wondered if there would be a problem charging fees since the land is acquired largely with federal funds.
- 157 Lawson said that is something that needs to be looked at.
- 158
- 159 Kenny discussed the addition of PD-20. Wet Meadows Restoration at Tract 2009001. Farnsworth said
- 160 the plan would be done in 2011 but work would not likely start until the beginning of 2012. Kenny
- 161 discussed the addition of \$75,000 for G-1, LiDAR Implementation. Costs have dropped dramatically for
- 162 the acquisition of LiDAR and makes annual acquisition reasonable in terms of cost.
- 163

### 164 Kenny said what is being asked for is approval of the FY 2011 PRRIP budget, FY 2011 PRRIP

- 165 work plan, and FY 2011 ED contract Exhibit B. Purcell so moved; Kraus seconded. Purcell asked 166 what happens with Unliquidated Obligations (UO). Kenny said that is moved into the budget for FY 167 2011 as New Money plus UO.
- 168

169 Kowalski asked about Special Advisors under WP-8 and IMRP-3. He asked if the ED Office would

- 170 come back to the FC each time they want to engage the services of Special Advisors. Kenny said no,
- 171 there is a provision in the Procurement Policy that exempts Special Advisors and Legal Advisors from the requirement of coming back to the FC for specific approval. Kowalski said the main concern is where
- 172 Special Advisor categories are listed as "TBD", for example Systems Operations & Modeling under WP-173
- 174 8. Kenny said there is a question about scoring and the credit for Water Action Plan projects. There is a
- 175 question about whether the OPStudy is the way to proceed or if we use something more like was used this
- 176 year to score the potential re-regulation reservoir. The ED Office wants to retain the opportunity to bring
- 177 in expertise to discuss options and pros/cons to alternative scoring methods. Kowalski asked about the
- 178 Economics & Water Markets item in WP-8. Kenny said we are treading new turf in Nebraska with
- 179 possibly establishing water markets where none currently exist. This is a new approach and it would be
- 180 beneficial to have an economist advising on valuations and how to determine worth. Kolanz asked how it
- 181 related to WP-7, Water Acquisition. Kenny said the economics Special Advisor would provide guidance
- 182 on how best to spend money under WP-7.
- 183
- 184 Kowalski asked about ED-3 and the \$10,000 increase. Kenny said there are additional opportunities that 185 the Program wants to capitalize on that include exhibitor fees and costs associated with additional venues 186 for telling the Program story. There are also some educational programs that have requested funding such



- 187 as the SOAR program that works closely with Audubon. We don't want to get into the education end of 188 things but providing assistance in small amounts would help to provide basic Program education.
- 189

190 Kowalski asked about the fee escalation at the Nebraska Community Foundation. He cannot say anything

191 bad about the NCF and the good job they are doing but we need to be careful about negotiating the

192 extension within the next year and see if there is any room for give on their end. Kenny said it is a good

193 point that we are coming up on the re-negotiation of the agreement and we will take a look at the

- 194 reasonableness of the fees. The NCF gets money on the transactions, but any interest accrued goes back 195 to the owner of the funds. Kowalski said we should start that process sooner rather than later because of
- 196 the time involved in getting contracts approved and updated.
- 197

198 Barels asked if unspent funds are carried over to the next year. Kenny said no. Barels asked about 199 expenses related to farm management and if there is a revenue component to the budget that the GC has 200 not seen. Kenny said there is a revenue component to the PRRIF as the land interest holding entity that 201 cycles back into the Program coffers. Barels asked if that offset some Program costs. Kenny said that is 202 true. Barels said it would be good to see those numbers. Kenny said we are developing a report to do 203 exactly that. So far, it appears that costs associated with managing agricultural lands are largely being 204 covered by the revenue. Kraus asked if Kenny anticipates a summary report at the end of the year that 205 shows costs and revenues and where things end up. Kenny said yes and that would be complete by about 206 March of each year. Farnsworth said we are not tracking it like a typical farm manager. We will show 207 total costs and revenue, but there are things the Program has to do (like tracking down grazing lessees that 208 can work with our species constraints) that a normal farm manager does not have to deal with. Purcell 209 asked if excess revenues in the PRRIF have been applied back to costs. Sackett said those revenues are 210 weighed against costs at the end of the year and any excess is applied back to the NCF proportionate to 211 the three parties that contributed Program funds. Kenny said this will be the first year that we will have 212 farm income, hence the first year we will be reporting farm income.

213

214 Kolanz asked about the funding level for FY 2011 for WQ-1 is accurate. Farnsworth said there is an

215 additional amount of about \$27,000 that will go back to NPPD for Kearney Canal monitoring. Kowalski 216 said Colorado got a notice that there is an independent audit being done of Program spending through the 217 NCF. Kenny said to date there has not been an audit of Program expenditures other than the auditing the 218 Bureau of Reclamation and the NCF do themselves, as well as Larry Schulz does for the ED Office as an independent consultant.

- 219
- 220

### 221 Motion approved.

### 222 223 Independent Science Review Services & Stage Change Study Scope of Work

224 Smith discussed the proposal for non-competitive selection of PBS&J to provide science review services 225 for PRRIP peer review activities in 2011. Thabault said he was concerned that the GC would retain 226 approval authority for individual peer reviewers and suggested that language be removed from the scope 227 of work. Smith said that language is from the Program document. Barels asked if recommendations from 228 PBS&J would go first through the Peer Review Working Group. Smith said that would be the case.

229

### 230 Kowalski moved to approve the non-competitive selection of PBS&J to provide independent science 231 review services; Schneider seconded. Motion approved.

232



- 233 Smith discussed the Scope of Work for peer review of the Lower Platte River Stage Change Study.
- 234 Barels said the GC needs to see the package of materials that will be transmitted to the peer review
- 235 panelists. Thabault agreed. Barels did not agree that just giving the peer reviewers the RFP would be
- 236 enough guidance. He recommended the Scope of Work be drafted again as it would be transmitted to the 237 peer reviewers and it be brought back to the GC for approval. Purcell suggested that instead a work group
- 238 be appointed to clean up the Scope of Work and then send that to the peer reviewers instead of bringing it
- 239 back to the GC for approval. Kowalski volunteered Suzanne Sellers; Mike Thabault volunteered; Brian
- 240 Barels volunteered; Mike Besson was added; Chad Smith will provide staff support. Barels volunteered
- 241 to chair the work group.
- 242
- 243 Schneider said the Scope of Work should be changed to ensure that all communication is in written form 244 instead of including possible conference calls to help establish the record of comments.
- 245

#### 246 Purcell moved to approve the GC authorizing the committee established by the GC chair (Barels 247 (chair), Sellers, Thabault, Besson, and Chad Smith) to edit and approve the Lower Platte River

- 248 Stage Change Study Peer Review Scope of Work. Williams seconded. Motion approved.
- 249

### 250 Nebraska Depletions Plan

- 251 Schneider provided an update on the status of the Nebraska Depletions Plan. The deadline to report how
- 252 the state plans to offset uses begun from 1997-2205 was extended to the end of 2010 and the DNR 253 continues to work on a plan for those uses as they work with several NRDs in the state. That report is
- 254 expected by the end of the year. Lawson asked if the DNR reports will be submitted to the GC. Kenny
- 255 said they would be made available through the Program web site. Kowalski asked if the WAC would
- 256 review the reports at their next meeting. Schneider said that would work. Lawson said that has been the
- 257 protocol in past. Kenny said the next WAC meeting is February 1 so if the reports are received by the end
- 258 of the year that would be ample time for WAC review prior to that meeting. Purcell asked Courtney how
- 259 the other plans are addressed at the WAC level. Courtney said they are reviewed at the first WAC
- 260 meeting after the plans are received, which is usually April-May or over the summer. Lawson asked
- 261 Courtney if this was possible (February review of the Nebraska plan and then report back to the GC
- 262 during the March meeting). Courtney said that would work.
- 263

### 264 **Additional Business**

- 265 Purcell said a draft Pathfinder agreement has been submitted to Lawson and Kenny for review and the
- 266 agreement should be ready by the end of December. Lawson then has to do NEPA review and it should 267 be ready for GC review in March 2011.
- 268

### 269 Land Operations & Maintenance Plans

- 270 Farnsworth discussed the process the ED Office has been using to develop both Complex Restoration &
- 271 Management Plans and individual tract Operations & Maintenance Plans. One issue that arose at the last
- 272 LAC meeting is whether the Program "white book" allows tiered plans (Complex Plan to O&M Plan to
- 273 Annual Work Plan) or if there can only be one single comprehensive plan for each individual tract.
- 274 Purcell asked what the recommendation is. Farnsworth said the recommendation is to keep working
- 275 under the tiered plan approach. Lawson asked what the LAC felt about this from a technical side.
- 276 Heaston said one perspective is that the unit of analysis is the working complex in bridge segments so a
- 277 tract plan should be subordinate to its related complex plan. The LAC is asking to have the complex plan
- 278 in conjunction with the individual tract plans to count for the "plan" requirement. Czaplewski said part of
- 279 the problem is the land items tend to be out in front of other items like the Adaptive Management Plan so



there is a concern about getting land items locked in that might preclude science activities that are not yet
fully detailed. Berryman asked if there is one approach that works better to prevent that problem.
Czaplewski agreed with Farnsworth that the tiered approach probably works best.

283

Barels said the white book is written to tract plans. It seems like to go to a tiered approach, the LAC should develop a proposal for how the tiered approach is revising the white book and how those changes relate to carrying forward the current language in the white book. He does not want to drop something because we have artificially adopted a new process that contradicts the current language. Lawson said he is hearing we need the LAC to lay out the tiered approach and how it will meet the white book requirements. Purcell asked if we are asking for an amendment to the white book to allow the tiered approach, and if so that amendment needs to be written and brought back to the GC for approval.

291

292 Farnsworth said the second policy issue is how to address AMP actions like flow consolidation at

- 293 Cottonwood Ranch in land management plans that are required to be developed within one year. We
- could keep the one-year deadline with placeholders for items that will be filled in over time, or the GC
- could waive the one-year requirement. Purcell asked if the plans require GC approval. Farnsworth said
- 296 yes. Purcell asked if amendment would require GC approval. Farnsworth said yes. Purcell said then just 297 keep the one-year deadline but bring back substantial amendments with future deadlines for GC approval.
- Lawson said we need to keep track of timelines and schedules so the GC can be ready to check in when
- necessary to see where things stand. Purcell said he suggested Farnsworth, Heaston, and Czaplewski
   develop an amendment to the white book for GC discussion tomorrow. Barels said he would rather have
   that run through the LAC and brought back to the GC for approval in March 2011. Thabault said he
- thinks it can be taken care of with a simple amendment that could be addressed tomorrow. Farnsworth
- 303 said he will draft an amendment and bring it back to the GC for discussion tomorrow. Barels said 304 he will agree to discuss it tomorrow.
- 304 305

307 308

309

306 Meeting adjourned at 5:06 p.m. Mountain time.

# Wednesday, December 8, 2010

# 310 Welcome and Introduction

311 Lawson called the meeting to order and the group proceeded with a roll call.312

# 313 Land Management Plans

314 Farnsworth discussed a proposed amendment to the white book language on land management plan 315 requirements. Barels asked what the definition of a "tier plan" is. Farnsworth said that is addressed in the 316 revised language but a sentence could be added with a specific definition. Kraus said the first sentence of 317 the amendment seems to confuse the difference between a complex plan and a parcel-specific plan and 318 wondered how the tiered approach is more efficient. Farnsworth walked through the contents of the draft 319 conceptual complex plan for the Cottonwood Ranch Complex as an example of how the tiered approach 320 is more efficient. Thabault said a tract plan is comprised of a complex plan and a tiered parcel-specific 321 plan and should be completed within one year of acquisition – it seems as simple as that. Lawson said we 322 need to understand what we are doing and that we all agree with what we are doing. Thabault said we are 323 targeting a complex but within that we are targeting specific parcels and the plans for each parcel tier off 324 the larger complex plan. Lawson said we should end up with about five complex plans and about three 325 non-complex plans and each would contain parcel-specific plans tiered off those larger plans. Barels said 326 the land plans were intended to be written from a landowner's perspective and there should be one



document a landowner can go to figure out what is going on. Heaston said that is all still there and all of the information will be collected together and available. The LAC is assuming the complex plan is the minimal functional unit for a complex; a parcel-specific plan is the minimal functional unit for noncomplex parcels.

331

332 Kraus asked about Section B in the white book that is related to parcel-specific tracts that is proposed to 333 be changed to tiered plans. Farnsworth said some of the items in that section apply more to complexes. 334 Kraus said some of it seems to apply to complexes, some of it applies to parcels. Lawson said the concept 335 is well laid out but the language may not be where everyone wants it. He asked Barels and Kraus if there 336 is a problem with the concept or if the problem is with the language. Kraus said he is the least familiar 337 with these items but looking at the big picture there needs to be more definition for what the plans mean. 338 Schneider said conceptually this makes sense but it would be beneficial to have more time to make sure 339 the language is satisfactory to everyone and to have the LAC develop an example with one of the 340 complexes to show how this would work to discuss during the March 2011 GC meeting. Heaston said the 341 next LAC meeting is in February and this needs to be done before then. Lawson said if there is no 342 conceptual problem but the problem is more language, then we could try moving forward with the 343 concept and clean the language up by March. Barels said an example might be a modification of 344 Appendix E, the Land Plan Glossary; be sure to make necessary modifications in this appendix.

345

Lawson said the recommendation is to assign the LAC to clean up the language and modify the
 appendices as appropriate to give something in draft form to the GC in March 2011. The GC
 agreed.

349

# 350 Pallid Sturgeon Monitoring & Research

351 Tim Welker from the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers' Missouri River Recovery Program delivered a 352 presentation to the GC regarding pallid sturgeon monitoring and population assessment activities on the 353 Missouri River and tributaries, including the lower Platte River. Runge asked Welker if he was aware of 354 the shovelnose sturgeon study that the University of Nebraska at Lincoln is currently conducting in the 355 Lower Platte River because researchers for the shovelnose sturgeon study record conditions associated 356 with all incidental pallid sturgeon captures. Runge then asked if methodology used to capture shovelnose 357 sturgeon is different from methods used to capture pallid sturgeon. Welker said he is not totally sure 358 about the specific details of UNL's methodology but in general methods to capture shovelnose and pallid 359 sturgeon are similar. George Williams (PRRIP) asked what the water quality difference is between the 360 hatchery and the mainstem. Mike George said the hatcheries use Missouri River water but that water is 361 sterilized. Williams asked how close to the mouth of the Platte sturgeon have been released; Welker said 362 close. Williams said then it seems likely we are seeing released fish instead of an actual population using 363 the lower Platte. Welker said really the only tributary information they have is from the lower 364 Yellowstone River. Czaplewski asked what the average annual cost for monitoring and research is as 365 well as costs for all pallid activities. Welker said for population assessment alone it runs about \$3.25 366 million/year (including six staff). For research, it is about \$2-3 million/year. Propagation is about 367 \$400,000/year. Mike George said the Missouri River folks try to keep science/research close to about 368 15% of the total Missouri River budget per year out of a \$65 million program. Lawson asked about 369 mitigation. Welker said the Corps does have mitigation authority on both the mainstem and on the 370 Yellowstone related to the Intake Diversion Dam. The focus on the mainstem is on creating shallow 371 water habitat for pallids. Thabault said the BiOp has a RPA to provide a longer drift reach below Ft. Peck 372 Dam by increasing pallid sturgeon access to the lower Yellowstone River.

373



### 01/10/2011

374 John Nestler said he sees a theme in the literature about organic matter being redistributed in large rivers. 375 Fish seem to take advantage of this kind of redistribution of organic matter. He does not see these ideas 376 in the monitoring/research program on the Missouri River. Welker said they are measuring productivity 377 like zooplankton and macroinvertebrates but they are still deciding on related metrics to track. George 378 Williams from the Corps said one thing being looked at is how mini-pulses out of tributaries relate to 379 nutrient availability. Urie asked if the Corps spends any time looking at competition with non-native fish (like on the mainstem Colorado River). Welker said the Corps is looking at the impact of predation on 380 381 small pallids in the lab but that is about it at this point. Urie asked if the presentation can be made 382 available on the web site. Smith said he will post it on the PRRIP site for the GC to access. Kowalski 383 asked what the Corps is doing on any tributaries regarding their authority to look at tributaries. Welker 384 said the only thing really happening is on the lower Yellowstone River. Czaplewski asked to what degree 385 the Corps sets up monitoring itself or coordinates with other entities. Welker said monitoring and 386 research efforts are always developed in coordination with the Service and the states. Sellers asked if the 387 Corps is measuring for exotic chemicals in their water quality program. Welker said to some degree they 388 are looking at estrogen disruptors. 389

#### 390 **Environmental Account Update**

391 Runge provided an update on the status of development of the 2011 Environmental Account (EA) Annual 392 Operating Plan (AOP). Priorities for EA releases in 2011 include whooping crane spring releases, 393 summer releases, and another flow routing test. There will not be the ability to implement a full SDHF in 394 2011 because of system limitations. Williams said there was a presentation at a previous GC meeting 395 about the impact of flow releases on pallids in the lower Platte. Runge said that was related to Program 396 flow releases in general but not EA releases specifically (stage change study). Kraus asked when a flow 397 routing test would occur. Runge said if it occurs it would be in conjunction with higher South Platte 398 River flows in something like February or March. Lawson said the BOR has a probable operation plan. 399 He wondered if that kind of probable operation plan will be part of the AOP. Runge said yes. Purcell 400 asked if the AOP includes inputs from plans like from the BOR and Wyoming. Runge said yes. Barels 401 asked about the choke point and said at some point in time there needs to be a summary about what the 402 Program did, what was learned, and recommendations for next steps. Kenny said he plans to do that once 403 the new model is developed to help identify problems and options. Kenny said the original plan was to 404 excavate a significant channel above the bridge but instead the direction taken was a less-intrusive 405 approach of vegetation removal that allowed natural flows to clear the channels. Flooding issues on 406 properties upstream of the bridge have clearly been shown to have been related to tributary inflows and 407 not Platte River flows. A problem still exists downstream of the bridge. Vegetation is being cleared in 408 that area and so far has had nominal effect on channel capacity. There is also a concern that there may be 409 a constricting point related to City of North Platte structures, the railroad bridge, or a delta build-up 410 related to the diversion dam. The new model should be completed in a few weeks and it should give us a good read on the current problems. Lawson asked if model run results will be available for sharing at the 411 412 March meeting. Kenny said yes. Purcell asked if the relationship with the landowners in the choke point 413 area has improved. Kenny said landowners both upstream and downstream have largely been very 414 cooperative.

415

#### 416 **Public Comment**

- 417 Lawson asked for public comment. None offered.
- 418
- 419
- 420

This document is a draft based on one person's notes of the meeting. The official meeting minutes may be different if corrections are made by the Governance Committee before approval.



- 421 Executive Session
- 422 Purcell moved to enter Executive Session to discuss land issues; Schneider seconded. GC entered
- 423 Executive Session at 10:10 a.m. Mountain time.
- 424
- 425 Purcell moved to end Executive Session; Thabault seconded. GC ended Executive Session at 11:41
- 426 a.m. Mountain time.
- 427

# 428 Program Land Tracts & Issues

429 Purcell moved and Czaplewski seconded:

- 430 431 •
- Tract 1001 The GC authorizes the ED Office to negotiate acquisition of Tract 1001. Both parcels (west and east) of Tract 1001 will be credited toward the Program's First Increment land objective. The east parcel will be considered excess land and the ED Office is directed to pursue sale in whole or in part of that excess property within three years of the closing date of the original Program acquisition pending a habitat evaluation by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and the LAC.
- 436 Tract 1017 The GC authorizes the ED Office to finalize the exchange of property for services at 437 Tract 1017.
- Tract 1007 The GC authorizes the ED Office to seek appraisal of a lease for Tract 1007.
- Tracts 1008 and 1010 The GC authorizes the ED Office to seek appraisal of Tracts 1008 and 1010.
- **Tract 1014** The GC authorizes the ED Office to decline further pursuit of Tract 1014. 441

# 442 Motion approved.

443

# 444 Management Agreements

445 Kenny discussed management agreements with owners of non-Program land tracts to be able to proceed 446 with habitat rehabilitation efforts. He used management agreements at the Elm Creek Complex as an 447 example. If these agreements are to be credited toward the Program's First Increment land objective, they 448 will have to be brought back to the GC through the LAC process. Purcell asked if we are only talking 449 about 90 acres at this point. Kenny said yes but it could be in the 200-300 acre amount depending on how 450 much of the land is to be counted. Barels asked about the LAC process. Kenny said it is just a procedural 451 question to make sure these are treated in the same way as other land tracts. Kowalski said the 10,000 452 acres objective is a floor. It is also kind of a ceiling, but he does not expect that the Program will hit 453 10,000 acres exactly. Thabault said his not philosophically not opposed but wants the LAC to 454 consider three things: 1) putting management agreements on something that already has a 455 conservation overlay; 2) the length of the agreements in relation to Program properties (which are 456 held in perpetuity for the life of the Program); and 3) the overall habitat value and what the 457 landowners are able to do in the long term (what if the landowner violates the management 458 **agreement**). Lawson asked if it is the GC's wish to take this back to the LAC to discuss and make a 459 recommendation back to the GC, including considering Thabault's considerations. Purcell said he agrees 460 the 10,000 acres is a floor and that there should be an accounting for the properties in the management 461 agreements. Barels said when this comes back to the GC we should by default approve the agreements. 462 Kenny agreed. Barels said these agreements should come back to the GC for approval even if they are 463 ultimately deemed to not be credited toward the First Increment land objective. Purcell said we don't 464 want to hamstring progress but we need to know what "other interests" in land are and at a minimum all 465 agreements need to be reported to the GC. Lawson said the GC is asking the ED Office to bring back to 466 the GC in March an explanation of all the possible types of agreements that might be influenced by this

467 "other interests" language. Purcell said in the interim it is business as usual for items like management
468 agreements and ag leases. The GC agreed.

469

## 470 Monitoring Agreements for Water Related Projects

471 Sackett discussed negotiations with landowners on properties that are necessary for some of the water 472 projects. In Nebraska, to be able to do a water project, you have to enter into some type of agreement. 473 Sackett said we are considering two-year agreements for pilot projects to set monitoring wells. We are 474 not asking for credit or any special treatment except to be able to do the work; in Nebraska, that requires 475 an agreement or lease. Purcell said in Wyoming if a project is far enough along they provide a budget to 476 get to completion. As long as the project stays within a line item, they are free to do what is necessary. 477 Barels said this needs to be another item that comes back to the GC for review and discussion. This 478 might necessitate development of another process. Lawson asked if there is a time crunch. Kenny said 479 yes and there is already money approved in the budget to handle this work. Strauch asked if this was 480 already done related to the re-regulation reservoir project. Kraus said he agrees this needs to be addressed 481 but he does not want to delay the process so we might proceed like we did with the land management 482 agreements. Schneider asked if this is addressed in the Procurement Policy. Kenny said not specifically. 483 Kenny said we have legal counsel assisting us with these agreements. Lawson asked the GC if we could 484 proceed with business as usual but bring recommendations back to the GC in March. Kraus said to make 485 sure budget considerations are addressed. Kowalski said the Program document says items like this 486 generally allow day-to-day flexibility unless the agreements would result in budget implications or 487 impacts on Program ESA coverage. Purcell asked if the work plan for the groundwater recharge projects

- 488 shows this as a cost. Kenny said not explicitly, but it is included.
- 489

## 490 Future Meetings & Closing Business

491 Miller moved to have Jim Schneider begin serving as GC chair starting January 1, 2011; Purcell

- 492 seconded. **Motion approved.** The Vice-Chair for 2011 will be Mike Thabault.
- 493
- 494 Purcell said he is not getting the fully executed copies of budget amendments back from the BOR.
  495 Lawson said he will make sure those get to Wyoming and Colorado.
- 496

497 Upcoming GC meetings:

- 498 March 8-9, 2011 in Kearney, NE
- June 14-15, 2011 in Cheyenne, WY or Scottsbluff/Gering, NE
- September 13-14, 2011 in Kearney, NE
- 501 December 6-7, 2011 in Denver, CO
- 502

# 503 Meeting adjourned at 12:31 p.m. Mountain time.

504

# 505 <u>Summary of Action Items/Decisions from December 2010 GC meeting</u>

- 506 1) Approved September 2010 GC minutes.
- 507 2) Approved October 2010 GC Special Session minutes.
- 508 3) Approved October 2010 GC Budget Conference Call minutes.
- 509 4) Approved FY 2011 PRRIP budget.
- 510 5) Approved FY 2011 PRRIP work plan.
- 511 6) Approved FY 2011 ED contract Exhibit B.
- 512 7) Approved non-competitive selection of PBS&J to provided independent science review services.

527

528

529

530

531

532

536

537

540

541

- 8) Established committee (Barels, Sellers, Thabault, Besson, and Chad Smith) and authorized that
   committee to edit and approve the Scope of Work for the Lower Platte River Stage Change Study.
- 515 9) Assigned the LAC to clean up the proposed amendment language for the Land Plan related to Land
   516 Management Plan requirements and modify any related language and appendices as appropriate to
   517 give something in draft form to the GC in March 2011.
- 518 10) Asked Smith to post the presentation on pallid sturgeon from Tim Welker (U.S. Army Corps of
   519 Engineers) on the PRRIP web site.
- 520 11) Approved the following Land Tract items:
- Tract 1001 The GC authorizes the ED Office to negotiate acquisition of Tract 1001. Both
   parcels (west and east) of Tract 1001 will be credited toward the Program's First Increment land
   objective. The east parcel will be considered excess land and the ED Office is directed to pursue
   sale in whole or in part of that excess property within three years of the closing date of the
   original Program acquisition pending a habitat evaluation by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
   and the LAC.
  - **Tract 1017** The GC authorizes the ED Office to finalize the exchange of property for services at Tract 1017.
  - Tract 1007 The GC authorizes the ED Office to seek appraisal of a lease for Tract 1007.
  - **Tracts 1008 and 1010** The GC authorizes the ED Office to seek appraisal of Tracts 1008 and 1010.
    - Tract 1014 The GC authorizes the ED Office to decline further pursuit of Tract 1014.
- 533 12) Directed the ED Office to work with the LAC to bring back to the GC in March an explanation of all
  534 the possible types of agreements that might be influenced by the "other interests" language described
  535 in the Land Plan. This includes considering:
  - The impact of putting management agreements on something that already has a conservation overlay;
- The length of the agreements in relation to Program properties (which are held in perpetuity for the life of the Program); and
  - The overall habitat value and what the landowners are able to do in the long term (what if the landowner violates the management agreement?).
- 542 The GC agreed that until this is worked out with the LAC and brought back to the GC for 543 consideration in March, it is "business as usual".
- 544 13) Directed the ED Office to come back to the GC in March with direction on how to handle agreements
  545 related to landowners involved with potential Program water projects. The GC agreed that until this
  546 is brought back to the GC for consideration in March, it is "business as usual".
- 547 14) Appointed Jim Schneider with the Nebraska DNR as 2011 GC Chair and Mike Thabault with the U.S.
  548 Fish and Wildlife Service as 2011 GC Vice-Chair.
- 549 15) Set the 2011 GC meeting dates and locations:
- March 8-9, 2011 in Kearney, NE
- June 14-15, 2011 in Cheyenne, WY or Scottsbluff/Gering, NE
- September 13-14, 2011 in Kearney, NE
- December 6-7, 2011 in Denver, CO