

09/20/2012

1	PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM	
2	Governance Committee Meeting Minutes	
3	Executive Director's Office – Kearney, NE	
4	September 1	1-12, 2012
5		
6	Tuesday, September 11, 2012	
7	Maating At	tendese
8	Meeting At	ttendees
9	Governance Committee (GC) Table	Executive Director's Office (EDO) Staff
10 11	State of Wyoming	Jerry Kenny, Executive Director (ED)
12	Harry LaBonde – Member	Beorn Courtney
13	Mike Besson	Jason Farnsworth
14		Bruce Sackett
15	State of Colorado	Chad Smith
16	Don Ament – Member	
17	Suzanne Sellers – Alternate	
18		Audience Members
19	State of Nebraska	Tom Econopouly – Service
20	Jim Schneider – Member	Eliza Hines – Service
21		Mike George – Service
22	U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service)	Mike Drain – CNPPID
23	Michael Thabault – Member	Kevin Urie – Denver Water
24		Brock Merrill – BOR
25	Bureau of Reclamation (BOR)	John Kolanz – Greely Water & Sewer Dept.
26	Gary Campbell – Member	Duane Woodward – CPNRD
27		Cory Steinke – CNPPID
28	Environmental Entities	Pat Goltl – Nebraska DNR
29	Tom Dougherty – Member John Heaston – Member	Pat Engelbert – HDR
30	Bill Taddicken – Member	Jim Jenniges – NPPD
31 32	Marian Langan – Alternate	Lori Potter – Kearney Hub
32 33	Duane Hovorka – Alternate	
34	Duale Hovorka Alternate	
35	Upper Platte Water Users	
36	Dennis Strauch – Member	
37	Doug Chamberlain – Member	
38	6	
39	Colorado Water Users	
40	Alan Berryman – Member	
41		
42	Downstream Water Users	
43	Brian Barels – Member	
44	Don Kraus – Member	
45	Kent Miller – Member	
46	Mark Czaplewski – Proxy for Ron Bishop, Member	
47		

This document is a draft based on one person's notes of the meeting. The official meeting minutes may be different if corrections are made by the Governance Committee before approval.
PRRIP GC Meeting Minutes
Page 1 of 9



Welcome & Administrative 48

- Thabault called the meeting to order at 2:02 p.m. Central time. Kenny noted there would not be an action 49
- item related to the Program/CPNRD Water Leasing Agreement; that will now be a discussion item. The 50 51 group proceeded with introductions.
- 52
- Berryman moved to approve the June 2012 GC minutes; LaBonde seconded. Minutes approved. 53
- 54

Program Committee Updates 55

- Land Advisory Committee (LAC) 56
- Czaplewski provided an update on the latest LAC activities. The LAC met on July 25. The LAC 57 recommended Tract 1211 as complex land for acquisition and is on the GC agenda for tomorrow. The 58 August 28 meeting was postponed until October 2 or 3. 59
- 60
- Water Advisory Committee (WAC) 61

Steinke provided an update on the latest WAC activities. Courtney presented on the Water Action Plan 62 milestones during the last WAC meeting. Hovorka discussed the Net Controlled Conserved Water and 63 64 how expensive it is, as well as options to make it more affordable. Woodward presented on the proposed water leasing projects that will be discussed later during the GC meeting. The ED Office presented on 65 stream depletions for off-channel sand and water habitat. Steve Smith discussed the flood proofing 66 projects at the North Platte choke point. John Henz from Dewberry presented on hydroclimatic indices 67 and predicting water supply. The next WAC meeting is October 16 in Ogallala. 68

- 69
- Technical Advisory Committee (TAC) 70
- Besson provided an update on the latest TAC activities. The TAC met on August 21 in Kearney and took 71 action on several items: 72
- 1) Approved minutes from the July 2012 TAC meeting 73
- Recommended the GC approve Adrian Farmer and Brian Bledsoe for the ISAC committee. 74 2)
- 75 3) Supported providing the tern metapopulation modeling team Program data as well as the following revised set of questions: 76
- 77 • How would achieving productivity ratios of 0.60, 0.70, 0.80, 0.90, or 1.00 fledglings/pair affect persistence and growth of subpopulations within the Niobrara, Platte, & Upper Southern Missouri 78 population (4); or the entire ILT metapopulation? 79
- How would achieving and maintaining 150 breeding pair on in- and off-channel nesting habitat • 80 along the central Platte River (Lexington - Chapman, Nebraska) affect persistence and growth of 81 subpopulations within the Niobrara, Platte, & Upper Southern Missouri population (4); or the 82 entire ILT metapopulation? 83
- How would a complete loss of in- and off-channel nesting habitat along the central Platte River 84 (Lexington – Chapman, Nebraska) affect persistence and growth of subpopulations within the 85 Niobrara, Platte, & Upper Southern Missouri population (4); or the entire ILT metapopulation? 86
- 87 4) Supported accepting the winter and spring whooping crane monitoring reports as final after comments/suggestions (above and in the documents) are incorporated 88
- 5) Supported conducting targeted monitoring efforts to document whooping crane habitat use within the 89 Program area outside the timeframe of the current monitoring protocol. 90
- 6) Supported placing decoys in cornfields and lowland grassland/wet meadow areas to determine if 91 detectability differs 92
- Supported including additional information (standing water, etc) about whooping crane use areas in 7) 93 the notes section of the datasheets 94

- 8) Supported EDO staff reviewing landcover classifications and definitions to determine if some categories could/should be merged
- 9) Supported Trust, USGS, and EDO staff developing of a scope of work and proposal for evaluating
 whooping crane stopover sites
- 10) Supported accepting 2011 tern and plover report as final with the understanding the 2011 Executive
 Summary figures would include breeding pair metrics
- 11) Supported the Wet Meadow Working Group determining how Program grasslands should be
 surveyed and monitored
- 103 12) Scheduled upcoming AMWG meeting for September 18, 2012.
- 104

105 Finance Committee (FC)

- Campbell provided an update on the latest FC activities. The FC met three times since the last GC meeting and took action on several items:
- 108 1) Approved the August 2, 2012 FC minutes, as amended.
- 109 2) Approved Sediment Augmentation Contract 4th Amendment.
- 110 3) Approved North Platte Choke Point Flood Proofing Contract.
- 4) Approved bid packages for the Fox Tract wet meadow, Shoemaker Island Complex habitat
 enhancement, and Ft. Kearny Complex habitat enhancement.
- 113 5) Approved the contract for Shoemaker Island Complex FSM Proof of Concept work.
- 114 6) Approved the June 28, 2012 FC minutes.
- 115 7) Approved J-2 Regulating Reservoir Engineering Review Services contract.
- 116 8) Approved Lateral Erosion Research task order.
- 117 9) Approved CNPPID Water Service Agreement.
- 118 10) Approved the May 13, 2012 FC minutes.
- 119 11) Approved the Choke Point RFP.
- 120 12) Approved the three habitat enhancement bid packages.
- 13) BOR agreed to evaluate additional options related to the indexing issue for the July FC meeting.
- 122

123 **Program Outreach Update**

124 **PRESENTATIONS**

- Chad Smith presented on adaptive management and the Program on August 14, 2012 to the University of Nebraska-Lincoln Adaptive Management Short Course in Lincoln, Nebraska.
- Jerry Kenny presented a Program update to the Nebraska Farm Bureau Central Platte River Meeting
 in Grand Island, Nebraska on August 22, 2012.
- 129

130 UPCOMING PRESENTATIONS/EXHIBITS

- The Program is exhibiting at Husker Harvest Days in Grand Island on September 11, 12 and 13, 2012
 in the Natural Resources Districts building. Husker Harvest Days is recognized as the World's
 Largest Totally Irrigated Working Farm Show[™] and features the most extensive state-of-the-art
 information and technology available for today's agricultural producers.
- Bridget Barron will be representing the Program at the America's Great Watershed Initiative Summit in St. Louis, Missouri on September 26th and 27th. The focus on the summit is to examine collaborative ways to address geographic, institutional and issue-based barriers to maximizing the potential of the Mississippi watershed.
- The Program is a luncheon sponsor and exhibitor at the 23rd Annual South Platte Forum in Longmont,
 Colorado on October 24-25, 2012.

09/20/2012



- Jerry Kenny is part of a panel on November 13th in Lincoln, Nebraska for the University's 141 conference, Water: Science, Practice and Policy. The panel topic is Shifting to Groundwater Irrigation 142 in the Central Platte: The NRD Proposal and Potential Effects and also includes J. Michael Jess as the 143 Panel Moderator; Ronald Bishop, Central Platte Natural Resources District; Kent Miller, Twin Platte 144 Natural Resources District; Don Kraus, Central Nebraska Public Power and Irrigation District; and 145
- Brian Barels, Nebraska Public Power District. 146
- At the same conference on the same day, Christine Reed of the University of Nebraska-Omaha will 147 be presenting on Implementing the Platte River Recovery Implementation Program. 148
- Jerry Kenny will be presenting at the joint conference of the Nebraska State Irrigation Association 149 and the Nebraska Water Resources Association on November 23 & 24, 2012 in Kearney, Nebraska. 150
- 151

EXHIBITS/SPONSORSHIPS 152

- The Program was a refreshment sponsor for the Nebraska Grazing Conference in Kearney, Nebraska 153 on August 14 & 15, 2012. 154
- The Program participated in the new teacher welcome through the Kearney Chamber of Commerce. 155 The Chamber assembles welcome bags for all new teachers in the Kearney school system from grade 156 school to college. Fifty-five sets of PRRIP promotional pens, flag sets, card readers and hairbrushes 157 were donated for the welcome bags. 158

MEDIA/PRESS COVERAGE 160

- NEBRASKAL and magazine ran an article on the Platte River Recreation Access (PRRA) program in 161 the August-September 2012 issue. "The quality of the hunt and the quality of the experience" were 162 cited by one hunter as positives of the experience. 163
- 164

159

PRRIP Budget Items 165

Kenny discussed the status of the FY 2012 Program budget, expenditures, and action items from the year. 166 Barels asked if the final column in the budget summary spreadsheet should be labeled as 2012 instead of 167 2011. Kenny said yes and that will be changed. Kenny said the bulk of land acquisitions will be in hand 168 by the end of this year so the focus will then turn more to maintenance and disposal of excess properties 169 either in 2012 or early in 2013. On the water front, besides J2, we will be pushing forward on 170 groundwater recharge, scoring, and related issues. On adaptive management, a number of monitoring 171 efforts will continue much as in the past, as well as a strong emphasis on implementation and evaluation 172 of the FSM management strategy. We will also be moving more into data analysis and interpretation. 173 There will be a focus on target flows, as well as the important aspects of independent science review 174 (ISAC and peer review). 175

176

There is a Finance Committee meeting on October 4 where we will bring forward as much of a draft as 177 possible of the FY 2013 budget. EDO staff will continue to work with the Advisory Committees to build 178 individual pieces of the budget. Kenny said he will ask the GC to assemble via conference call at the end 179 of October/beginning of November to discuss the draft FY 2013 budget in preparation for final review 180 and approval at the December 2012 GC meeting. 181

- 182
- 183
- 184
- 185 186

This document is a draft based on one person's notes of the meeting. The official meeting minutes may be different if corrections are made by the Governance Committee before approval. PRRIP GC Meeting Minutes



187 Independent Scientific Advisory Committee (SAC)

- Chad Smith discussed the recommendation to appoint two new members to the ISAC, Adrian Farmer andBrian Bledsoe.
- 190
- LaBonde moved to approve appointments; Ament seconded. Farmer and Bledsoe appointed to the
 ISAC.
- 193

Smith discussed the issue of target flows and the upcoming ISAC meeting focusing on target flows and 194 environmental flows. Berryman said he was concerned about what seemed to be a new direction on the 195 target flows and what the implications might be for current agreements. Barels said there was some 196 concern about where this target flow discussion was headed and there needs to be more work done with 197 the GC to inform them about what is going on. There needs to be communication with the GC about 198 what discussions have been had at the technical level, and what this means for what has been negotiated 199 in the current Program. Berryman said there needs to be a clear link between target species needs and 200 related flow needs. Chamberlain said he is concerned about high species flow needs at a time of high 201 irrigation demand. Hovorka said it may be the right time to start looking at other ways of how we provide 202 flow-related benefits for the target species in something like a Second Increment, if there is a better or 203 different way to proceed. 204

205

Thabault requested that there be a significant piece of the GC agenda in December to talk about the discussions that have occurred so far on target flows and the results of the discussion in October with the ISAC about target flows and environmental flows. Barels agreed that was a good idea, but he and Kraus said there is currently certainty in target flows for the Program so any potential changes require careful thought and substantially more discussion with the GC.

211212 Groundwater Recharge Pilot Project

Courtney discussed the recharge project and Schlautman delivered a presentation summarizing the results 213 of the pilot project. Barels asked what caused the differences in the recharge rates between the canal and 214 the associated properties. Schlautman said it may have been due to the sites selected. The report includes 215 criteria for what makes a good recharge basin site. The relationship between the canal and the potential 216 basin site is important – if you do it by gravity, it is much easier to work with and cheaper than using a 217 pump. There was variability in the recharge rates over time so there were some fluctuations. Subsurface 218 conditions at the site may have had an impact on that. Barels asked if it mattered if tractor wheels had 219 gone over the land the past 20 years. Schlautman said potentially yes, but selecting sites that had not been 220 developed might result in things like horizontal movement of recharge water. Chamberlain asked what 221 222 was meant by wells on the bench near the canal. Schlautman said wells in the bottom were much more stable and changes due to the project were not as perceptible as wells on the bench near the canal. 223 Hovorka asked if our goal is to hit target flow windows at certain times did you collect enough data to be 224 able to see if this would work for that goal. Courtney said the EDO has been working with Special 225 Advisor Bill Hahn to see if the model provides data similar to that experienced on the ground. That 226 modeling effort will continue until there is confidence it can be used to help in the scoring process. 227 Berryman asked if there are any water availability issues. Courtney said it will vary in different years, 228 and the Program will continue to work with the Service to try to use EA water if it is available. 229

230

Taddicken said if our goal is to have recharge to the river and the wells closest to the river are showing the least impact, are we gaining anything here? Courtney said some of the wells are responding better than others to the rise, but the water is still all moving toward the river. Strauch asked about the lag time.



09/20/2012

Courtney said she is going to talk about that some tomorrow during her GC presentation. Chamberlain 234 asked if there is anything in the data that would indicate what is moving volumetrically between the well 235 and the data. Courtney said 28% of the flow is reaching the river in 500 days. Barels said if we don't 236 have the physical data, how can we build a model to get the answer? Kenny said we do have the physical 237 data. We have water going into the canal and the pit, water in the monitoring wells, and all the 238 parameters defining the aquifer the best we can. Like Tamarack I, it is a volumetric calculation. Thabault 239 said then how do we protect the water. Kenny said under Nebraska water law, that water probably cannot 240 be protected; the mechanism does not exist. Surface water returns can be protected. Courtney said there 241 are a lot of different applications based on these analyses and quantifications. 242

243

248

Kraus asked if there were any changes in the drains. Schlautman said one thing we learned was that the
connection was not as strong as thought, and the groundwater responded faster to rainfall than the drains.
There was an increase in flow rates in the drains over time. There is not a lot of grade to the drains so you
are trying to measure something relatively small.

249 J-2 Reservoir

250 Besson discussed the status of the latest discussions regarding the J2 project and the partnership between the Program, the Nebraska DNR, and CNPPID. The amount agreed to for discussions is roughly \$60 251 million and gets us the furthest way toward the Program First Increment water objective. Issues 252 surrounding water rights are key points of discussion. There is also an issue of operation and 253 maintenance expenses, as well as taxes associated with the property where the project would be 254 constructed. Besson created a subcommittee of engineers with experience in water rights, O&M, and 255 other related technical issues to see if they could get to agreement on some of these issues. Once they 256 come to agreement, we will take the items back to the full committee for review and approval. Kenny 257 said he hoped by December there will be a final agreement that can be brought before the GC for 258 approval. 259

260

261 Program/CPNRD Water Leasing Agreement

Kenny discussed the draft agreement between the Program and the Central Platte NRD for water leasing. 262 The agreement is currently in a simple form but based on FC feedback more details are begin added. 263 LaBonde asked if the leasing would be confined to the irrigation season. Kenny said yes. On the surface 264 water side of this project, an application has been submitted for converting irrigation use to a different 265 use. In terms of quantity, the Program will have access to $\frac{1}{2}$ of the natural flow available. The 266 groundwater recharge amount to be offered will be on a year to year basis. The Program will take 267 whatever is offered whether it comes at a time of shortage or not. The FC said in terms of what is in the 268 document itself there needs to be clarity on the surface water/groundwater details, and also the 269 calculations for water quantities. Taddicken asked where the water resides right now. Kenny said it is 270 water coming into the river now, but it is not in the Program's ledger column. That emphasizes the 271 concept of paying at the end of the year so you know what you received, even though the benefit to the 272 river will have already been derived. Taddicken asked if the surface water will go through the canal and 273 then back to the river. Kenny said it will be diverted and almost all of it will immediately come back to 274 the river. A portion of it will go to the canal to keep it whole. Berryman asked if the WAC has looked at 275 this. Kenny said the score thus far is an estimate and it still has to be officially scored. Hovorka asked if 276 277 the NRD is obtaining the rights from the canal companies that are being fully used and diverted at this point. Kenny said they are fully operational and functional. Taddicken asked about the response of 278 landowners. Woodward said the response has been good so far and landowners are signing up. Thabault 279 asked if we are on the hook to build something to get the water back to the river. Kenny said no, that is 280



covered in the purchase price through the ditch companies. Taddicken asked if water not being used is 281 being diverted now or not. Kraus said it is not being diverted. Drain said in some cases water not being 282 used may be being picked up by other irrigators. 283

284

U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Items 285

Thabault said the Service published a not warranted finding on the Platte River caddisfly in August. The 286 basis for that finding is that since discovery, we keep finding the species in a lot more places. Much of 287 the degradation in basin that may have caused an impact has already happened so future impacts did not 288 seem as self-evident. But, that does not mean the Service won't keep the species on the radar and the 289 species is still a Species of Concern for the Program. The Service is still interested in having the Program 290 keeping it in its vision. There have been no Notices of Intent to sue so far. Kolanz asked if Thabault 291 could elaborate on what it means to keep the species on the radar. Thabault said generally it means 292 looking at opportunities to consider benefitting the species as land management plans are developed or 293 actions are taken on the ground. Kolanz said if there is something to do to benefit a target species that 294 would be the priority consideration. Thabault said yes. LaBonde asked if we know enough about the 295 caddisfly as to whether tree removal would cause a negative impact on the species. George said they are 296 a habitat generalist and activities like that should be fine. Thabault said they seem to select shallow, 297 slow-moving sloughs with lots of grass. That might be an opportunity for restoration for the caddisfly 298 after tree removal. George said the Program was successful in helping keep this species from being listed 299 because of Program land acquisition and management. It is a success story in that respect. 300

301

304

308

310

311

Thabault introduced Eliza Hines, the new Service staff person in Grand Island working as the EA 302 Manager. She is from Florida and has a background in both the Platte River and Missouri River. 303

Other Business 305

Chamberlain said he wanted to say that the upper Platte water users are very happy with Harry LaBonde 306 taking over for Mike Purcell and they are looking forward to having him work with everyone on the GC. 307

Meeting adjourned at 4:46 p.m. Central time. 309

Wednesday, September 12, 2012

312

313 Welcome and Introduction

314

Thabault called the meeting to order and the group proceeded with a roll call. 315

Presentation from DOI Assistant Secretary for Water & Science 316

Anne Castle delivered a presentation on the Program and the America's Great Outdoors Program. Castle 317 discussed the Program in the context of Restoration, Reconnection, and Partnerships. Ament asked about 318 the budget picture. Castle said the picture is not great and sequestration is starting to look more likely. 319 Reclamation budget officers are well aware of the funding needs for the Program, and the Reclamation 320 Commissioner recently discussed budget needs for priority project and specifically mentioned the 321 Program. Dougherty said the Program has a "sunset" clause because it is only authorized through 2019. 322 As much as has been accomplished, one fear is that by 2019 the job won't be done and we don't know 323 what happens after the expiration of the First Increment. Castle said to the extent to which there is a 324 continuing threat of jeopardy to the species, there will be a strong effort to keep work going that addresses 325 that threat. There is also a strong effort in the country to work on prevention than to deal with a species 326 once it is listed. The experience here with the caddisfly is a demonstration of that prevention. Castle 327



09/20/2012

asked how the Program is viewed in local communities. Kenny said there is good and widespread
support for the Program. Over the past five years, we have made significant progress in becoming part of
the community, not external to it. A big part of that is the thought that went into how the Program is
structured – willing seller, willing buyer; the "Good Neighbor" policy; water rights and control/ownership
of water projects will remain with local organizations; and the Recreation Access Program has been wellreceived. Taddicken said early on there seemed to be a lot of worried questions, but now the questions
are more fact-based, understanding of the Program, and inquisitive of how to engage with the Program.

335

Castle asked how a dry year like this affects the Program. Kenny said we learned a lot from the past 336 couple years of high flows. No flows impact the aquatic community but we are opportunistic and we take 337 advantage of what nature gives us. Castle asked what else Interior can do to help, beyond the money 338 situation. Campbell said one item is to have Interior look into how to use grant funds for long-term 339 contracts related to water, which has not been a typical use of these grant funds. Kenny said one idea 340 might be to talk with the Corps to help with the process of securing permits for Program actions. Castle 341 said there might be a way to pull together a meeting of the right people to talk with to help develop a good 342 way forward. Taddicken said permits have become more and more difficult to come by. Castle said the 343 344 Corps has really been hit hard on budgets, so she is not sure if it is a staffing issue or a policy issue. Taddicken said it seems to be more of an issue of interpretation. Campbell said dealing with issues like 345 the Missouri River flood may have contributed to some limitation in staffing. Thabault said bringing the 346 other federal family into the fold to help move these things forward at an institutional level could help to 347 move things forward. Barels said he also sits on the stakeholder committee for the Missouri River and he 348 sees a lack of alignment between the Clean Water Act and the Endangered Species Act. There may be 349 conflicts between these two statutes (e.g. turbid water for pallid sturgeon), and this could be part of the 350 issue too. Thabault agreed and said some shifts in thinking are probably required. Having flexibility to 351 interpret things in a different way could be helpful. 352

353

356

354 **Public Comment**

Thabault asked for public comment; none offered.

357 Executive Session

Heaston moved to enter Executive Session to discuss land issues; Campbell seconded. GC entered
 Executive Session at 9:09 a.m. Central time.

360

Ament moved to end Executive Session; LaBonde seconded. GC ended Executive Session at 10:23 a.m. Central time.

363

364 **Program Land Tracts & Issues**

Dougherty asked about the debate going on relative to corn land versus wetland versus river bottom and what that means in terms of weighing habitat values within the Program. Kenny said in terms of the Program, acquired land is often crop land and we keep that going until land management plans are developed. In some cases, that has been converted to grassland. In the case of the palustrine wetland discussed today, some of the ground will be left in agriculture. It is generally a case-by-case basis.

- 370
- 371 *Heaston moved and LaBonde seconded:*
- To approve allowing the ED Office to enter into a contract and complete acquisition of Tract 1110 as complex habitat; and

- 09/20/2012
- To approve allowing the ED Office to enter into a contract and complete acquisition of Tract 1203 as non-complex habitat and to allow the lease-back of the agricultural ground to the east.
- Motion approved. 377
- 378

374

375 376

PRRIP Water Plan Update 379

Courtney provided an update on implementation of the Water Action Plan. Barels asked if this reach 380 (Phelps County canal) of the river is always a gaining reach. Drain said it is such a short reach that we 381 don't know that. We do know a lot of the river reaches gain or lose, but we don't track it below the J-2 382 return. We are likely at a gaining reach until Kearney. Farnsworth said the short reach on the south side 383 of Jeffrey Island always has at least about 200 cfs. Thabault asked if there is a function of time and 384 distance in terms of tracking the recharge, in particular because the canal takes a turn away from the river. 385 Courtney said that is one of things that is now being investigated and modeled. Chamberlain asked about 386 the Pathfinder municipal account. Courtney said her numbers reflect the loss model from Pathfinder to 387 McConaughy, and then the loss from McConaughy to Grand Island. Kenny said the Pathfinder lease is 388 until the end of the First Increment, but the amount of water we get over time will vary. Chamberlain 389 asked if the Program has paid a lump sum of money to Wyoming for Pathfinder. Kenny said yes. 390

391

PRRIP Adaptive Management Plan Update 392

Smith provided an update on implementation of the Adaptive Management Plan. 393

394

Future Meetings & Closing Business 395

Upcoming GC meetings: 396

- Special Session conference call on the FY 2013 budget on November 16th, 2012 from 10:00 a.m. to • 397 Noon Central time 398
- December 4-5, 2012 @ Denver, CO; likely at the Warwick Hotel 399
- 401 Meeting adjourned at 11:36 a.m. Central time.
- 402

400

Summary of Action Items/Decisions from September 2012 GC meeting 403

- 1) Approved June 2012 GC minutes. 404
- 2) Appointed Adrian Farmer and Brian Bledsoe to the ISAC. 405
- 3) Approved allowing the ED Office to enter into a contract and complete acquisition of Tract 1110 as 406 complex habitat; and 407
- 4) Approved allowing the ED Office to enter into a contract and complete acquisition of Tract 1203 as 408 non-complex habitat and to allow the lease-back of the agricultural ground to the east. 409