PRRIP - ED OFFICE DRAFT



10/18/2010

1 2 3 4 5	PLATTE RIVER RECOVERY IMPLEMENTATION PROGRAM Governance Committee Draft FY 2011 Budget & Work Plan Conference Call Meeting Minutes October 18, 2010
6	Executive Director's Office (ED Office)
7	Jerry Kenny – Executive Director
8	Bridget Barron
9	Beorn Courtney
10	Jason Farnsworth
11	Bruce Sackett
12	Chad Smith
13	
14	Governance Committee (GC)
15	John Lawson – Bureau of Reclamation, Chair
16	Don Ament – State of Colorado
17	Brian Barels – Nebraska Public Power District
18	Allan Berryman – Northern Colorado Water Conservancy District
19	Mark Czaplewski – Central Platte Natural Resources District
20 21	John Heaston – The Nature Conservancy
21	Don Kraus – Central Nebraska Public Power & Irrigation District Kent Miller – Twin Platte Natural Resources District
22	Mike Purcell – State of Wyoming
23 24	Jim Schneider – Nebraska DNR
25	Suzanne Sellers – Colorado Water Conservation Board
26	Dennis Strauch – Pathfinder Irrigation District
27	Mike George – U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
28	
29	Participants
30	Brock Merrill – Bureau of Reclamation
31	Nancy Martin – Bureau of Reclamation
32	
33	Welcome & Administrative
34	Kenny proceeded with a roll call and Lawson called the meeting to order.
35	
36	Draft FY 2011 PRRIP Budget & Work Plan
37	Kenny discussed the draft FY 2011 PRRIP budget spreadsheet and work plan document. The proposed
38	budget for 2011 is larger from 2010 largely due to potential increased in the Water Plan budget. Sellers
39 40	asked if Kenny will provide a breakdown of each employee's time for the PRRIP versus other projects.
40	Kenny said that will be included in the ED contract which will also be discussed and approved during the
41 42	December GC meeting. The contract will show only estimated time for the PRRIP, not for other non- Program work. Czaplawski asked if ag operation numbers on LP.4 are affected by any income. Kenny
42 43	Program work. Czaplewski asked if ag operation numbers on LP-4 are affected by any income. Kenny said they are not influenced by an income reduction – they represent checks we anticipate having to write.
+5	said they are not influenced by an income reduction – they represent checks we anticipate having to write.

- Income numbers are being pulled into a land operation summary report that will be presented at the 44
- 45 March 2011 GC meeting. Ament asked if the Land Plan special advisors are from one firm or people
- 46 from various firms with different areas of expertise. Kenny said they are from one firm now but
- 47 additional specialty expertise may need to be added in 2011. Sellers said it is a large increase from 2010

PRRIP - ED OFFICE DRAFT



10/18/2010

48 and wondered what the justification is. Kenny said we are getting used to working with that area of 49 expertise and we learned in 2010 how we could better utilize that expertise and relieve the burden from 50 EDO staff. Farnsworth said we will have over 7,000 acres we need to manage day-to-day operations on 51 and the single EDO staffer working on this is over-taxed. Ament asked if this management includes 52 farming practices and wildlife management. Kenny said it is primarily farming practices – ag chemicals, 53 fertilizer, etc. As EDO staff interacts with science side of things, we want to turn some of this crop group 54 into grassland and we need to start paying attention to chemicals and other matters now to improve our 55 success later with things like grassland restoration. Sellers asked if Kenny could provide more details 56 budget-wise on how this line item will be spent – particular firm, what tasks they will be doing, additional 57 experts that may be necessary, etc. Kenny said the EDO will provide that and will include some of 58 that detail in the work plan.

59

60 Sellers asked about the 2010 numbers for WP-1(a) and (b) – was that all spent in 2010 and did we meet those budget estimates? Kenny said we spent \$400,000 for WP-1(b) and will end up spending most of 61 62 \$50,000 in WP-1(a). Sellers said if you add these numbers plus the estimated numbers we will exceed the 63 \$1 million originally budgeted for this kind of task. Kenny said that number was developed prior to the 64 appearance of Phragmites in the system so that is the reason the initial estimate is under what the Program 65 is spending at this point. Sellers asked if Kenny thinks these costs will extend beyond 2011. Kenny said 66 he hopes we find and implement a solution to the choke point so that WP-1(a) will be complete at least by 67 2012. By that time, the main channel should be cleared but it is hard to predict whether maintenance will 68 be necessary throughout the First Increment (WP-1b). It is likely that we will focus on Program land 69 maintenance and rely more on others to take care of their own land.

70

The The The Terror Terr

- this year. Kenny said we have obligated about \$600,000. Lawson asked if there would be a substantial
- additional obligation before the end of the year. Kenny said no. Lawson asked if a chunk of money
- 74 would be brought over to FY 2011. Kenny said no. Lawson said we must be foregoing something.
- 75 Kenny said we are scaling back after thinking longer and harder about the situation and trying to build on

76 what other people have learned. Also, some items have moved up to WP-4 in FY 2011 because they are 77 moving forward into implementation. Kenny said the EDO would provide additional detail for the

- 78 Water Plan special advisors line item in the work plan.
- 79

Lawson asked if any of the money from LP-2 would be carried over to 2011. Kenny said likely not.
Work at Cottonwood Ranch is obligated, as is out-of-channel activities at Elm Creek so most of that work
will likely be completed by the end of this year. Farnsworth said we have obligated probably close to

- around \$800,000. We have been getting very good bid prices which helps to hold costs down.
- 84
- Sellers asked about G-5, geomorphology and in-channel vegetation monitoring, and why the budget went
 up substantially from 2010. Kenny said this is the first time we are including data analysis and reporting
 for the contractor, and we have never had the contractor compile the maps and atlases before. In addition,
- the contractor's annual monitoring costs continue to go up each year.
- 89

90 Kenny said we have spent most of the budget for AMP special advisors for our sediment transport and

91 geomorphology advisors, as well as a little for ecological statistics. The EDO will provide additional

- 92 detail in the work plan for this line item. Sellers asked about which concept was being tested through
- 93 IMRP-4. Kenny said it is a Proof of Concept approach to testing the Flow-Sediment-Mechanical strategy.
- 94

PRRIP - ED OFFICE DRAFT



- 95 Sellers asked if the ISAC could just meet two times a year instead of three. Kenny said the EDO would
- 96 provide additional detail on why three meetings are necessary in 2011. Sellers asked if there were
- 97 less peer reviewed documents in 2010. Kenny said 2010 was a light year for peer review.
- 98

99 Lawson asked how much of the FY 2010 budget will be spent. Kenny said probably something close to

100 \$9-\$10 million. Kenny said some of the work plan descriptions would be enhanced with detail (special

101 advisors, ISAC). The next level of input would be during the two scheduled FC meetings in November.

102 The final budget and work plan will be distributed prior to the December GC meeting and final approval

- 103 will be sought during that meeting. Lawson asked if there will be a final draft of both documents for the
- 104 FC meeting on November 23. Kenny said yes, so we need comments back to the EDO by November 12.

105 There will be a joint distribution of the final draft of the budget and work plan to the FC and GC prior to

- 106 the November 23 FC meeting.
- 107

108 **Closing Business**

109 Meeting adjourned at 3:50 p.m. Central time. 110

111 Summary of Action Items/Decisions from October 2010 GC Draft FY 2011 Budget & Work Plan

112 **Conference Call**

- 113 1) EDO agreed to provide additional detail in the FY 2011 work plan regarding EDO Special Advisors 114 for the Land Plan, Water Plan, and Adaptive Management Plan.
- 115 2) EDO agreed to provide additional detail in the FY 2011 work plan regarding the need for three ISAC 116 meetings in 2011.

This document is a draft based on one person's notes of the meeting. The official meeting minutes may be different if corrections are made by the Governance Committee before approval. PRRIP GC Meeting Minutes Page 3 of 3