



COLORADO

**Colorado Water
Conservation Board**

Department of Natural Resources

1313 Sherman Street
Denver, CO 80203

P (303) 866-3441

F (303) 866-4474

John Hickenlooper, Governor

Mike King, DNR Executive Director

James Eklund, CWCB Director

TO: Colorado Water Conservation Board Members

FROM: Chris Sturm, Stream Restoration Coordinator

DATE: July 15, 2015

AGENDA ITEM 14 Criteria and Guidelines Phreatophyte Legislation

Background

The Invasive Phreatophyte Control Program (IPCP) is designed to provide funding for the removal of woody riparian invasive species through integrated pest management (IPM). IPM is a strategy that focuses on invasive removal through a combination of techniques that may include biological, mechanical, and chemical control. IPCP also incorporates funding for riparian re-vegetation/restoration after invasive removal. IPCP was originally called the Tamarisk and Russian Olive Program (TRO), and its guidance document was approved by the Board in January of 2009. In May of 2009, the Board approved a \$900,000 funding recommendation for 13 TRO projects. In September of 2012, the Board approved revised guidance for IPCP. IPCP has allocated nearly all of the \$1 million appropriated in the 2012 projects bill.

Discussion

The attached revisions to the 2012 IPCP guidance incorporate changes required by recent legislation passed in House Bill 15-1006 (attached). Staff added language to the guidance that describes a need to reduce overall groundwater by invasive species. It also expresses intent to achieve geographic diversity in funding by choosing qualifying projects on both sides of the continental divide. The bill requires a 45 day notice for public comment on the guidelines. It appropriates up to \$4 million, and the repeal date is July 1, 2018. Staff changed the match requirement from 50% to 25% of the project total because it will be difficult to find the match funding in the short timeline defined in the bill. The repeal date and the grant cycle timeline make this a challenging program to manage. Staff released the notice for public comment through the Secretary of State's Non-rulemaking Public Notices in the Colorado Register (38 CR 10, Volume 38, No. 10, May 25, 2015). This was done in order to facilitate a grant cycle with project implementation beginning in the spring of 2016. The public notice was also sent to email lists that include the IBCC, basin roundtables, conservation districts, and watershed groups. The Tamarisk Coalition and the Colorado Weed Management Association were consulted as well. The public comment period closes on July 9, 2015.

Staff Recommendation

Staff recommends that the Board approve the revised guidance and application for the Invasive Phreatophyte Control Program



The Colorado Water Conservation Board has re-revised the 2012 Invasive Phreatophyte Control Program grant guidance document. The revisions incorporate requirements established in House Bill 15-1006, Concerning the establishment of a grant program for the management of invasive phreatophytes, and, in connection therewith, making an appropriation.

Public comment on the guidance document below is open until 11:59 pm on July 9, 2015. The Colorado Water Conservation Board strongly encourages interested parties to comment as soon as possible before July 9, 2015. All comments should be sent to Chris Sturm at chris.sturm@state.co.us.

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD
Invasive Phreatophyte Control Program
Grant Guidance
Re-revised May 2015

I. Background

A. Introduction

The purpose of this Guidance and Procedures is to establish and describe the criteria and competitive process for the issuance and administration of grants from the Colorado Water Conservation Board (“Board” or “CWCB”) for the Invasive Phreatophyte Control Program (IPCP). The Board is the state executive branch agency responsible for state water policy and planning. The Board’s mission is to conserve, develop, protect, and manage Colorado’s water for present and future generations. Its major programs include Watershed & Flood Protection, Water Supply Planning; Finance; Stream and Lake Protection; and Intrastate & Federal. Targeted control of invasive phreatophytes and riparian restoration meets the objectives of many of these programs and is consistent with the Board’s overall mission. More information about the CWCB and its sections can be found at <http://cwcb.state.co.us>.

B. History

The IPCP grant program is intended to provide cost share assistance to eligible entities to control and/or eradicate tamarisk (also known as salt cedar), Russian olive, or other riparian invasive phreatophytes that have degraded the state’s riparian areas, restricted channel capacity thereby increasing flood risk, and resulted in increased non-beneficial consumptive use of water.

The CWCB has had substantial involvement in developing a well planned strategy to solve Colorado’s invasive phreatophyte problem. In 2003 then Governor Owens issued Executive Order D002-03 which directed the Colorado Department of Natural Resources (“DNR”) and the Colorado Department of Agriculture (“CDA”), and any other state agency “... to take measures necessary to eradicate tamarisk on public lands within 10 years ... (and to) submit a report ... outlining a viable plan”. In January 2004 DNR submitted the required plan to the Governor's office and it was accepted. The plan recommended a local watershed based approach to invasive phreatophyte control, with the state providing technical assistance and coordination. The CWCB took on this challenge for DNR and has been moving ahead. In 2006 and 2007 CWCB funded a statewide mapping and inventorying of invasive phreatophyte infestations. In 2007 the *Colorado Headwaters Invasives Partnership* (CHIP) plan was completed for the Colorado, Gunnison, and Dolores rivers and endorsed by Governor Ritter. Currently, companion plans for the Arkansas, Purgatoire, Republican, South Platte, White, San Juan, and Yampa rivers are in various stages of completion. All are being completed utilizing, in part, the prior mapping work and other grants for technical assistance from the CWCB. On Oct. 11, 2006 the President signed the *Salt Cedar and Russian Olive Control Demonstration Act, PL 109-320*. Sponsors included Rep. Udall and Rep. Salazar, and Sen. Allard and Sen. Salazar. The Act authorizes federal matching funds for large-scale demonstration projects which Colorado entities hope to access if and when those funds are appropriated. In September 2012 the Colorado Water Conservation Board approved the Invasive Phreatophyte Control Program.

C. Authorization

House Bill 15-1006, proposed to the 2015 Colorado General Assembly, appropriates funding for the Invasive Phreatophyte Control Program..

HB 15-1006, SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, add 37-60-132 as follows:

37-60-132. Invasive phreatophyte control program - creation - fund - repeal. (1) THE BOARD SHALL EXPEND MONEYS FROM THE COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD CONSTRUCTION FUND TO AWARD GRANTS UNDER THE INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTE CONTROL PROGRAM, CREATED HEREIN, FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTES, INCLUDING TAMARISK AND RUSSIAN-OLIVE, WITHIN THE RIPARIAN AREAS OF THE STATE. THE BOARD MAY AWARD GRANTS TO PUBLIC ENTITIES, PRIVATE ENTITIES, AND PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS PROPOSING PROJECTS UTILIZING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTES TO DECREASE THEIR CONSUMPTION OF WATER AND PROTECT THE RIPARIAN HABITAT NATIVE TO EACH BASIN IN WHICH PROJECTS ARE PROPOSED.

The entire HB 15-1006 can be viewed as an appendix to this document.

II. Grant Approval Criteria

A. Competitive Process

The Board will select the projects to fund from those applicants best meeting the basic application (II.B.) and evaluation criteria (III. A.-B.). The Board reserves the right to negotiate with successful applicants to modify the scope and/or budget of their projects to better meet CWCB objectives and fund availability. A minimum of four member evaluation team consisting of a CWCB staff member, a Colorado Department of Agriculture staff member, a Tamarisk Coalition representative, and an environmental or volunteer organization representative will review the applications and select projects for grant funding.

B. Basic Application Criteria

Failure to meet any of these elements will result in rejection of the application. Please describe how the project complies with the basic criteria.

Describe the plan to control invasive phreatophytes in priority infested areas through “integrated pest management” (IPM). Please refer to “Tamarisk Best Management Practices in Colorado Watersheds” for more information regarding management strategies and techniques, including IPM. (Nissen, Scott; Sher, Anna; Norton, Andrew; Tamarisk Best Management Practices in Colorado Watersheds. Colorado State University 2010) (link - http://www.tamariskcoalition.org/sites/default/files/resource-center-documents/Nissen_BMP_Order_Form.pdf)

Describe a plan for secondary/subsequent weed control post invasive phreatophyte removal. Describe the restoration and re-vegetation plan. Treatment sites on disconnected floodplains associated with incised channels require a plan that ensures long term channel stability. Passive re-vegetation plans will be considered if sufficient justification is provided as to how the approach will be effective. Please refer to “Best Management Practices for Revegetation after Tamarisk Removal in the Upper Colorado River Basin” for more information regarding restoration strategies. (Sher, Anna; Lair, Ken; DePrenger-Levin, Michelle; Dohrenwend, Kara; Best Management Practices for Revegetation after Tamarisk Removal in the Upper

Colorado River Basin. Denver Botanic Gardens 2010.) (link - <https://portfolio.du.edu/asher>)

Describe the long term monitoring and maintenance plan. Monitoring of project effectiveness shall continue beyond project completion for a minimum of five years. Development of a monitoring plan, including a budget item for monitoring, must be included as part of each application. An annual monitoring letter report shall be provided to the CWCB each year for a minimum of five years after project completion. The reasonable estimated costs of monitoring during the initial five year period will be credited as a component of the applicant's matching fund obligation at project closeout and final payment. Maintenance of restored areas shall continue for a minimum of five years beyond project completion. A maintenance plan will be developed and included as part of each funded project. The reasonable estimated costs of maintenance during the initial five year period will be credited as a component of the applicant's matching fund obligation closeout and final payment.

The application must include a letter of support from the appropriate basin roundtable chair.

C. Multi-Objective IPCP Projects

Projects that meet the mandatory criteria described above and that can integrate other objectives that contribute to restoring ecological processes and protecting life and property will be given a higher funding priority.

Multi-objective IPCP projects may include one or more of the following elements:

- Restore stream channel capacity and reduce flood hazards
- Provide habitat for aquatic and terrestrial species
- Intensive restoration of riparian areas
- Reduce erosion
- Improve water quality
- Enhance recreational access
- Reduce groundwater consumption by invasive phreatophytes
- Protect water quality by complying with the "Forestry Best Management Practices to Protect Water Quality in Colorado 2010". This publication can be found at: <http://csfs.colostate.edu/pdfs/ForestryBMP-CO-2010.pdf>

D. Eligible Entities

State of Colorado departments and agencies, local governments, conservation and water conservancy districts, weed management districts, established non-profit organizations, watershed coalitions, private individuals, and Colorado's two Ute Tribes are eligible. Federal agencies are not eligible to receive grant funds, however, projects may be conducted on federal lands with appropriate permissions and under the sponsorship of an eligible entity. Partnership projects that include treatment of private lands and which cross jurisdictional boundaries in a coordinated manner to promote a comprehensive watershed approach are encouraged. The CWCB will strive to achieve geographic diversity by approving qualifying projects west and east of the continental divide.

Applicants should demonstrate:

A commitment to collaborative approaches that involves locally and/or regionally based diverse interests within the watershed in question,

Support from their local basin roundtable,

Consideration was given to all interested persons in the watershed,

There is a broad based involvement in and/or support for the grant application from relevant local, state, or federal governmental entities,

There is an ability to provide the appropriate in-kind or cash match for the activities proposed.

Applicants must have the capacity to enter into a contract with the State of Colorado and be able to furnish a valid federal taxpayer ID number.

Applicants are responsible for determining their ability to accept grant funds from the CWCB pursuant to any limitations established by the TABOR Amendment (Taxpayer Bill of Rights) and any other relevant laws.

CWCB staff may initiate studies or demonstration projects utilizing up to 25% of the annually authorized IPCP funding amount.

CWCB may reserve 10% of the annually authorized IPCP funding for monitoring and evaluation of existing projects.

E. Project Size

No single project and/or entity will receive more than \$500,000 per grant cycle. No project requesting less than \$10,000 will be considered. **In no case will the CWCB pay for work accomplished prior to State Controller approval of a valid purchase document.** As explained below, limited credit against the 25% minimum matching funds requirement may be met by activities performed in the six month period prior to the application deadline. The CWCB will accept applications for multiple projects from the same applicant. The applications should be submitted separately. Please contact the CWCB to discuss further. Contact information can be found below.

F. Mandatory Cost Share

Projects will be funded by the CWCB on a cost-share basis.
CWCB funds may not exceed 75% of the total cost of each individual project.

CWCB funds should be leveraged to the maximum extent possible to take full advantage of other local, private or federal funding sources. Applicants with greater than 25% match for the total project cost will score higher in **III. A.**

Project costs may consist of a combination of in-kind and cash match, but no more than half of the 25% match may be in the form of in-kind services.

Cash Match: Actual expenditures paid directly with cash funds from the grantee to a vendor. Examples are supplies, services, and necessary equipment purchase or rental.

In-Kind Match: Services and labor provided by the paid staff of the grantee to perform all or part of the approved project scope of work, including necessary project administration. This can include standard direct and indirect personnel fringe benefits. Volunteer services provided at no cost to the applicant by firms or individuals consistent with the approved scope of work will be valued for in-kind match at local prevailing wage rates. Project specific land acquisition or access agreement costs may also be claimed as in-kind contributions and credited against the 25% minimum requirement. Costs that CAN NOT be considered include: general organization operating costs such as utilities, operating supplies and services, amortized costs or rental costs for buildings and equipment used for the general operation of the organization, and general property and liability insurance costs, nor will overhead per cent charges to cover such items be allowed. These business expenses are NOT reimbursable costs and may not be claimed as matching contributions.

G. Application Submittal and Format

Applications must be submitted electronically meeting the following format requirements:

font size: minimum 11 pt., margins: 1 inch maximum; number of pages: 5 (excluding summary sheet, maps and attachments). Applications may be emailed to chris.sturm@state.co.us. Applications exceeding 10mb in size should be mailed on CD or DVD to Chris Sturm, 1313 Sherman St., Rm 721 Denver, Co 80211. The entire application, including attachments, should be submitted as one file, e.g. word .doc/.docx or adobe .pdf format.

The application must include:

A letter of support from the applicant's basin roundtable chair.

Detailed map of the project area showing relevant watercourses, major land owners, infested areas and areas to be treated at a suitable scale.

A scope of work, detailed project budget, and provisions for ongoing monitoring and maintenance. The budget will form the basis for a grant agreement with the CWCB and the structure for future invoices. Scope of work and budget/timeline templates are attached

Project schedule demonstrating ability to complete all CWCB funded activities by September 1, 2018. Project start dates should contemplate the application timeline (below) and a 6-8 week contracting period after the December 18 award date. At a minimum all CWCB funded control and re-vegetation work must be completed and monitoring and maintenance programs in place prior to final payment.

Letters of commitment from any entity identified as providing matching funds, allowing access to private property, or otherwise contributing to the essential feasibility of the proposed project.

III. Grant Evaluation Criteria

Applications will be scored based on the evaluation criteria below:

A. Organizational Capability

Identify the lead project sponsor and describe the other stakeholders' level of participation and involvement. 10 points

What is the applicant organization's history of accomplishments in the watershed? Provide several past project examples. List partner organizations and agencies with which applicant worked to implement past projects. 10 points

What information is the project sponsor using to develop the proposed plan or project? Include any relevant information regarding existing State approved invasive phreatophyte control plans, watershed plans, geomorphic assessments, flood studies, riparian conditions assessments, aquatic/terrestrial habitat conditions, wildlife studies, and/or river restoration reports. 10 points

What level of staffing will be directed toward the implementation of the proposed project/planning effort? Discuss the number of staff and amount of time dedicated for the project. Will volunteers be utilized, and if so, how? Will the project utilize labor from the Colorado Youth Corps or similar non-profit organization? Include brief resumes for each member of the active project team. 10 points

Specify in-kind services and cash contributions (match) amount for the proposed activities. The applicant must provide at least 25% match of the project's total cost. Discuss whether other funding sources are secured or pending. Applicants with greater than 25% match for the total project cost will score higher than applicants with the minimum match required. 10 points

Does the project incorporate an education component that increases public awareness of invasive phreatophyte issues? 5 points

B. Effectiveness of the Project

Demonstrate that the principles of integrated pest management (biocontrol, chemical, mechanical, etc.) are well utilized. Describe how the chosen control methods are most appropriate for the specific project area. How does the chosen control method(s) and subsequent restoration plan minimize the likelihood of re-infestation of invasive phreatophytes and secondary weeds? 20 points

Demonstrate that the project budget and schedule are realistic. Consider time required to obtain permits, i.e. 404 dredge/fill, county floodplain permits, herbicide application, NEPA, etc. All proposed CWCB funded tasks should be complete by September 1, 2018. Applicants that demonstrate an ability to complete projects by June 30, 2018 will score higher. The project start date for CWCB funded tasks should not occur before February 1, 2016. 10 points

Discuss the multi-objective aspects of the project and how they relate to invasive phreatophyte control.

Describe similar activities in the watershed and how this project complements but does not duplicate those activities. Multi objectives may include (but are not limited to) channel stabilization, riparian re-vegetation, habitat improvement, recreation opportunity enhancement, natural hazard reduction, flood mitigation, and water supply delivery improvement. 15 points

IV. Grant Program Administration

A. Application Timeline

The timeline for the grant application cycle is:

Applications available: July 31, 2015

Deadline to submit applications: October 16, 2015

Applications selected for funding: December 18, 2015
Progress reports due: Every six months after notice to proceed

B. Contact Information

Interested parties are strongly encouraged to call the CWCB to discuss potential applications. For more information please contact:

Chris Sturm

Stream Restoration Coordinator, Colorado Water Conservation Board

1313 Sherman St., Rm 721.

Denver, Co 80203

303 866 3441, ext. 3236

chris.sturm@state.co.us

C. Payment Procedure

The CWCB will make periodic payments no more often than monthly. All payments will be based on invoices for work completed and must include an accounting for the required matching funds applied during the invoice period. Eligible reimbursable expenses shall be limited to those items and rates in the approved budget which will be made a part of the grant contract between the applicant and the CWCB. Invoices must include supporting documentation justifying expenses, e.g. contractor invoices, timesheets, etc.

Ten percent of the total grant award will be withheld until the final report is submitted.

NOTE: The governor signed this measure on 5/12/2015.

An Act

HOUSE BILL 15-1006

BY REPRESENTATIVE(S) Coram and Vigil, Salazar;
also SENATOR(S) Sonnenberg, Hodge, Roberts, Aguilar, Baumgardner,
Crowder, Donovan, Garcia, Grantham, Guzman, Martinez Humenik,
Merrifield, Scott.

CONCERNING THE ESTABLISHMENT OF A GRANT PROGRAM FOR THE
MANAGEMENT OF INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTES, AND, IN CONNECTION
THEREWITH, MAKING AN APPROPRIATION.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of the State of Colorado:

SECTION 1. In Colorado Revised Statutes, **add** 37-60-132 as follows:

37-60-132. Invasive phreatophyte control program - creation - fund - repeal. (1) THE BOARD SHALL EXPEND MONEYS FROM THE COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD CONSTRUCTION FUND TO AWARD GRANTS UNDER THE INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTE CONTROL PROGRAM, CREATED HEREIN, FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTES, INCLUDING TAMARISK AND RUSSIAN-OLIVE, WITHIN THE RIPARIAN AREAS OF THE STATE. THE BOARD MAY AWARD GRANTS TO PUBLIC ENTITIES, PRIVATE ENTITIES, AND PRIVATE INDIVIDUALS PROPOSING PROJECTS UTILIZING BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR THE MANAGEMENT OF

Capital letters indicate new material added to existing statutes; dashes through words indicate deletions from existing statutes and such material not part of act.

INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTES TO DECREASE THEIR CONSUMPTION OF WATER AND PROTECT THE RIPARIAN HABITAT NATIVE TO EACH BASIN IN WHICH PROJECTS ARE PROPOSED.

(2) BEGINNING IN FISCAL YEAR 2015-16, THE BOARD SHALL AWARD GRANTS, GIVING PRIORITY TO PROPOSED PROJECTS THAT DEMONSTRATE AN INTEGRATED MANAGEMENT APPROACH TO INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTES AND ACHIEVE SPECIFIED MANAGEMENT OBJECTIVES. THE BOARD SHALL ALSO GIVE PRIORITY TO:

(a) PROPOSED PROJECTS THAT WOULD HAVE THE GREATEST IMPACT ON REDUCING OVERALL GROUNDWATER CONSUMPTION BY INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTES; AND

(b) PROPOSED PROJECTS THAT HELP THE BOARD ACHIEVE GEOGRAPHIC DIVERSITY IN GRANT FUNDING. THE BOARD SHALL STRIVE TO ACHIEVE GEOGRAPHIC DIVERSITY IN GRANT FUNDING BY APPROVING A MIX OF PROJECTS COVERING AREAS WEST OF THE CONTINENTAL DIVIDE AND PROJECTS COVERING AREAS EAST OF THE CONTINENTAL DIVIDE.

(3) AFTER PROVIDING AT LEAST FORTY-FIVE DAYS' NOTICE OF AND AN OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT ON THE BOARD'S PROPOSED CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES, THE BOARD, AFTER CONSIDERATION OF ANY COMMENTS RECEIVED, AND IN CONSULTATION WITH THE AFFECTED BASIN ROUNDTABLES OR THEIR SUCCESSOR ORGANIZATIONS; LOCAL WATER CONSERVATION DISTRICTS; AND LOCAL WATERSHED GROUPS, IF SUCH GROUPS EXIST, SHALL ESTABLISH CRITERIA AND GUIDELINES FOR THE GRANT PROGRAM, INCLUDING CRITERIA ON ELIGIBILITY, SELECTION, AND APPROVAL OF BEST MANAGEMENT PRACTICES FOR INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTE MANAGEMENT. THE SELECTION CRITERIA MUST REFLECT THE PRIORITIES LISTED IN SUBSECTION (2) OF THIS SECTION AND REQUIRE THAT PROPOSED PROJECTS BE ENDORSED BY THE BASIN ROUNDTABLE OR ITS SUCCESSOR ORGANIZATION IN EACH BASIN IN WHICH THE PROJECTS ARE PROPOSED.

(4) THE BOARD MAY ACCEPT AND EXPEND GIFTS, GRANTS, AND DONATIONS FOR THE PURPOSES OF THIS SECTION, BUT THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS SECTION IS NOT DEPENDENT ON THE RECEIPT OF GIFTS, GRANTS, AND DONATIONS. THE BOARD SHALL TRANSMIT ALL MONEYS RECEIVED THROUGH SUCH GIFTS, GRANTS, OR DONATIONS TO THE STATE TREASURER, WHO SHALL CREDIT THEM TO THE COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION

BOARD CONSTRUCTION FUND.

(5) ON AN ANNUAL BASIS, THE BOARD SHALL REPORT TO THE AGRICULTURE, NATURAL RESOURCES, AND ENERGY COMMITTEE IN THE SENATE AND THE AGRICULTURE, LIVESTOCK, AND NATURAL RESOURCES COMMITTEE IN THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES, OR THEIR SUCCESSOR COMMITTEES, ON THE PROGRESS OF THE GRANT PROGRAM. ON OR BEFORE MARCH 1, 2018, THE BOARD SHALL PROVIDE THE COMMITTEES A FINAL REPORT ON THE GRANT PROGRAM.

(6) THIS SECTION IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE SEPTEMBER 1, 2018.

SECTION 2. In Colorado Revised Statutes, 39-29-109.3, **add** (2) (s) as follows:

39-29-109.3. Severance tax operational fund - repeal.

(2) Subject to the requirements of subsections (3) and (4) of this section, if the general assembly chooses not to spend up to one hundred percent of the moneys in the operational fund as specified in subsection (1) of this section, the state treasurer shall transfer the following:

(s) (I) TO THE COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD CONSTRUCTION FUND FOR THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE INVASIVE PHREATOPHYTE CONTROL PROGRAM CREATED IN SECTION 37-60-132, C.R.S., FOR THE TWO FISCAL YEARS COMMENCING ON JULY 1, 2015, TWO MILLION DOLLARS; EXCEPT THAT, FOR THE FISCAL YEAR COMMENCING ON JULY 1, 2016, THE STATE TREASURER SHALL NOT TRANSFER ANY MONEYS TO THE COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD CONSTRUCTION FUND UNDER THIS PARAGRAPH (s) IF THE PROPORTIONAL REDUCTION SET FORTH IN PARAGRAPH (b) OF SUBSECTION (4) OF THIS SECTION IS TRIGGERED FOR THE OTHER TRANSFERS LISTED IN THIS SUBSECTION (2).

(II) THIS PARAGRAPH (s) IS REPEALED, EFFECTIVE JULY 1, 2018.

SECTION 3. Appropriation. For the 2015-16 state fiscal year, \$2,000,000 is appropriated to the department of natural resources for use by the Colorado water conservation board. This appropriation is from the Colorado water conservation board construction fund created in section 37-60-121 (1) (a), C.R.S. The Colorado water conservation board may use this appropriation to implement this act.

SECTION 4. Act subject to petition - effective date. This act takes effect at 12:01 a.m. on the day following the expiration of the ninety-day period after final adjournment of the general assembly (August 5, 2015, if adjournment sine die is on May 6, 2015); except that, if a referendum petition is filed pursuant to section 1 (3) of article V of the state constitution against this act or an item, section, or part of this act within such period, then the act, item, section, or part will not take effect unless approved by the people at the general election to be held in November 2016

and, in such case, will take effect on the date of the official declaration of the vote thereon by the governor.

Dickey Lee Hullinghorst
SPEAKER OF THE HOUSE
OF REPRESENTATIVES

Bill L. Cadman
PRESIDENT OF
THE SENATE

Marilyn Eddins
CHIEF CLERK OF THE HOUSE
OF REPRESENTATIVES

Cindi L. Markwell
SECRETARY OF
THE SENATE

APPROVED _____

John W. Hickenlooper
GOVERNOR OF THE STATE OF COLORADO

Scope of Work

GRANTEE and FISCAL AGENT (if different)

PRIMARY CONTACT

ADDRESS

PHONE

PROJECT NAME

GRANT AMOUNT

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Provide a brief description of the project. (Please limit to half a page)

OBJECTIVES

List the objectives of the project. Please include objectives for all aspects of the project whether funded by the CWCB or not

TASKS

Provide a detailed description of each task using the following format. Detailed descriptions are only required for CWCB funded tasks. Other tasks should be identified but do not require details beyond a brief description.

TASK 1 – [Name]

Description of Task

Method/Procedure

Deliverable

TASK 2 – [Name]

Description of Task

Method/Procedure

Deliverable

REPEAT FOR TASK 3, TASK 4, TAKE 5, ETC.

REPORTING AND FINAL DELIVERABLE

Reporting: The applicant shall provide the CWCB a progress report every 6 months, beginning from the date of the executed contract. The progress report shall describe the completion or partial completion of the tasks identified in the statement of work including a description of any major issues that have occurred and any corrective action taken to address these issues.

Final Deliverable: At completion of the project, the applicant shall provide the CWCB a final report that summarizes the project and documents how the project was completed. This report may contain photographs, summaries of meetings and engineering reports/designs.

Budget & Timeline Table

Task	Description	Target Start Date	Target Completion Date	CWCB Funds	Other Funding Cash*	Other Funding In-Kind*	Total
1							
2							
3							
4							
5							
6							
7							
	TOTALS						

This table is a guide. Variations may be submitted. For example, if a task includes purchase of materials, a column that identifies cost per unit should be included.

*Please include new columns for different sources of cash and/or in-kind funding sources. Identify the funding source.