

#### COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD

# WATER SUPPLY RESERVE ACCOUNT





FIRI Analysis and Tailwater Return Flow Study on Fort Lyon Canal

#### Name of Water Activity/Project

Lower Arkansas Valley Water Conservancy District

#### Name of Applicant

Arkansas Basin Roundtable

**Amount from Statewide Account:** 

145,136

**Amount from Basin Account(s):** 

30,000

#### **Approving Basin Roundtable(s)**

(If multiple basins specify amounts in parentheses.)

**Total WSRA Funds Requested:** 

175,136

#### **FEIN:**

# **Application Content**

Application Instructions	page 2
Part I – Description of the Applicant	page 3
Part II – Description of the Water Activity	page 5
Part III – Threshold and Evaluation Criteria	page 7
Part IV – Required Supporting Material	
Water Rights, Availability, and Sustainability	page 10
Related Studies	page 10
Signature Page	page 12

### **Required Exhibits**

- A. Statement of Work, Budget, and Schedule
- B. Project Map
- C. As Needed (i.e. letters of support, photos, maps, etc.)

#### **Appendices – Reference Material**

- 1. Program Information
- 2. Insurance Requirements
- 3. WSRA Standard Contract Information (Required for Projects Over \$100,000)
- 4. W-9 Form (Required for All Projects Prior to Contracting)

Revised October 2013

#### **Instructions**

To receive funding from the Water Supply Reserve Account (WSRA), a proposed water activity must be approved by the local Basin Roundtable **AND** the Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB). The process for Basin Roundtable consideration and approval is outlined in materials in Appendix 1.

Once approved by the local Basin Roundtable, the applicant should submit this application with a detailed statement of work including budget and schedule as Exhibit A to CWCB staff by the application deadline.

WSRA applications are due with the roundtable letter of support 60 calendar days prior to the bi-monthly Board meeting at which it will be considered. Board meetings are held in January, March, May, July, September, and November. Meeting details, including scheduled dates, agendas, etc. are posted on the CWCB website at: <a href="http://cwcb.state.co.us">http://cwcb.state.co.us</a> Applications to the WSRA Basin Account are considered at every board meeting, while applications to the WSRA Statewide Account are only considered at the March and September board meetings.

When completing this application, the applicant should refer to the WSRA Criteria and Guidelines available at: <a href="http://cwcb.state.co.us/LoansGrants/water-supply-reserve-account-grants/Documents/WSRACriteriaGuidelines.pdf">http://cwcb.state.co.us/LoansGrants/water-supply-reserve-account-grants/Documents/WSRACriteriaGuidelines.pdf</a>

The application, statement of work, budget, and schedule **must be submitted in electronic format** (Microsoft Word or text-enabled PDF are preferred) and can be emailed or mailed on a disk to:

Craig Godbout - WSRA Application Colorado Water Conservation Board 1580 Logan Street, Suite 200 Denver, CO 80203 Craig.godbout@state.co.us

If you have questions or need additional assistance, please contact Craig Godbout at: 303-866-3441 x3210 or craig.godbout@state.co.us.

Part I	- Descri	ption of	f the A	pplicant	(Proje	ect S <sub>1</sub>	ponsor	or (	Owner)	);
--------	----------	----------	---------	----------	--------	--------------------	--------	------	--------	----

1.	Applicant Name(s):	Lower Arkansas Valley Water Conservancy District & Fort Lyon Rule 10 Association						
	Mailing address:	801 Swink Ave. Rocky Ford, CO 81067						
	FEIN#:	4812	98144					
	Primary Contact:	Jay Winner			Position/Title:	General M	anager	
	Email:		jwinner@centuryt	el	.net			
	Phone Numbers:	Cell: 719-469-8935 Office: 719-2			719-254-5	54-5115		
	Alternate Contact:	Peter D. Nichols			Position/Title:	Special C	ounsel	
	Email:		pdn@bhgrlaw.com					
	Phone Numbers:	Cell: 303-494-0278			Office:	ce: 303-402-1600		
2. El	Public (Government) – agencies are encourage Federal agencies are elithe grant recipient.	municipa d to work igible, bu	clude the following. What the lalities, enterprises, counties, with local entities and the late only if they can make a confittle 32/special districts, (confittle 32/special districts, (confittle 32/special districts).	, and loca mpe	d State of Color al entity should elling case for v	rado agencies. Fe be the grant recip why a local partne	pient. er cannot be	
	Private Incorporated – mutual ditch companies, homeowners associations, corporations.							
	Private individuals, partnerships, and sole proprietors are eligible for funding from the Basin Accounts but not for funding from the Statewide Account.							
	Non-governmental orga	anizations	s – broadly defined as any o	rga	nization that is	not part of the go	vernment.	

3. Provide a brief description of your organization

5.

The Lower Arkansas Valley Water Conservancy District ("Lower Ark District") is a water conservancy district established in 2002 pursuant to Colorado law, C.R.S.§ 37-45-101 et seq. The Lower Ark District's mission is to acquire, retain and conserve water resources within the Lower Arkansas River; to encourage the use of such water for the socio-economic benefit of the District citizens; and to participate in water-related projects that will embody thoughtful conservation, responsible growth, and beneficial water usage within the Lower Arkansas Valley. As such, this includes promoting and protecting agriculture in the Lower Arkansas Basin. A critical aspect in preserving agriculture is to ensure agriculture's current and future economic viability. This can be achieved through increased irrigation efficiency and the associated maximum utilization of available water supplies. Currently, the vast majority of irrigation occurring in the Arkansas River Basin continues to employ surface (flood) irrigation. After participating in the development of the Irrigation Improvements Rules (discussed below), the Lower Ark District stepped forward to prepare and administer the only Rule 10 Compact compliance plans on behalf of irrigation system improvement owners.

4. If the Contracting Entity is different then the Applicant (Project Sponsor or Owner) please describe the Contracting Entity here.

The Lower Ark District formed a Water Activity Enterprise in 2003 to manage the District's water assets and provide services to the District on a reimbursable basis. The Lower Arkansas Valley Water Enterprise Fund would be the contracting entity for this project. This approach has successfully completed on four prior CWCB grants (two concerning the Super Ditch, including two WSRA grants, and two concerning the State Engineer's Irrigation Improvements Rules).

establisl	ect funded by the WSRA grant. In order to expedite the contracting process the CWCB has ned a standard contract with provisions the applicant must adhere to. A link to this standard contract led in Appendix 3. Please review this contract and check the appropriate box.
х	The Applicant will be able to contract with the CWCB using the Standard Contract
	The Applicant has reviewed the standard contract and has some questions/issues/concerns. Please be aware that any deviation from the standard contract could result in a significant delay between grant approval and the funds being available.

Successful applicants will have to execute a contract with the CWCB prior to beginning work on the portion of

6. The Tax Payer Bill of Rights (TABOR) may limit the amount of grant money an entity can receive. Please describe any relevant TABOR issues that may affect the applicant.

The Lower Ark District's 1.5 mill property tax levy is exempt from TABOR pursuant to the election that formed the district in 2002.

		<del>-</del>	of the Water Activity/Project grant application? (Please check only one)
		Nonconsumptive (Er	vironmental or Recreational)
	X	Agricultural	
		Municipal/Industrial	
		Needs Assessment	
		Education	
		Other Expl	ain:
2. It	f you feel th	his project addresses m	ultiple purposes please explain.
"Ta	ilwater Si		ses, the Tailwater Return Flow Study on the Fort Lyon Canal (the ess ongoing Arkansas River Compact compliance issues and has the
3. Is	s this proje	ct primarily a study or i	mplementation of a water activity/project? (Please check only one)
	X	Study	Implementation
4. T	o catalog r	neasurable results achie	eved with WSRA funds can you provide any of the following numbers?
		New Storage Creat	ed (acre-feet)
>2	225	New Annual Wate	Supplies Developed, Consumptive or Nonconsumptive (acre-feet)
		Existing Storage P	reserved or Enhanced (acre-feet)
		Length of Stream I	Restored or Protected (linear feet)
		Length of Pipe/Car	nal Built or Improved (linear feet)
		Efficiency Savings	(acre-feet/year OR dollars/year – circle one)
		Area of Restored o	r Preserved Habitat (acres)
		Other Explain:	

**Revised October 2013** 

\*\*\*NOTE: This identified 225 acre-feet in new annual water supplies is an estimated amount of water that could be saved annually upon completion of the Study, which is calculated based on the continued rate of growth of sprinkler installation that has occurred between 2012 to 2014. During that time, the number of sprinklers on the Fort Lyon Canal has more than doubled from 65 in 2012 to approximately 145 in 2014. Assuming this continues during the 2-year period of the first phase of the study, and the tailwater assumption is demonstrated to be reduced to 5% or less and the farm irrigation efficiency factor is similarly increased as a result of the completion of the Tailwater Study, this could "free-up" an estimated 225 acre-feet of new supplies resulting from reductions in projected 2017 demands. This savings would be realized upon acceptance of adjustments to the H-I model and the ISAM. The amount of water that could be saved in future years of Rule 10 operation would continue to increase as more sprinklers were installed.

4. To help us map WSRA projects please include a map (Exhibit B) and provide the general coordinates below: *The coordinates for the Fort Lyon Diversion Dam are:* 

Latitude: 38°07′03″N Longitude: -103°48′33″W

5. Please provide an overview/summary of the proposed water activity (no more than one page). Include a description of the overall water activity and specifically what the WSRA funding will be used for. A full **Statement of Work** with a detailed budget and schedule is required as **Exhibit A** of this application.

The FIRI Analysis and Tailwater Study will enable a better understanding of the farm irrigation efficiency and how tailwater return flows actually accrue to the Arkansas River as compared to the tailwater assumption an irrigation efficiency factor contained in the Irrigation System Analysis Model (ISAM). ISAM was developed to provide a standard methodology for performing evaluations as to whether irrigation system improvements result in a reduction or change in the timing or location of historical seepage losses or return flows in violation of Article IV-D of the Arkansas River Compact and to implement the "Compact Rules Governing Improvements to Surface Water Irrigation Systems in the Arkansas River Basin in Colorado" (the "Irrigation Improvements Rules"). The ISAM incorporates certain assumptions and factors contained in the H-I Model. The ISAM assumes 10% of the supply to the farm headgate is returned to the river as tailwater. This is widely believed to be overly-conservative on water-short ditch systems such as the Fort Lyon Canal. Moreover, it did not consider whether tailwater run-off from one field may actually be utilized on another field within the same farm. The ISAM currently contains the H-I Model's 65% maximum farm irrigation efficiency factor. In the most recent litigation between Kansas and Colorado over the Arkansas River Compact, this maximum farm irrigation efficiency was the subject of dispute, and Colorado experts believed that the 65% factor was unreasonably low for the Arkansas River Basin.

A priority of all parties in developing the Irrigation Improvements Rules and the ISAM was to ensure that these rules would not create a disincentive to install irrigation system improvements. However, overly-conservative assumptions in the ISAM can have this effect and can also result in over-delivery of Colorado's water resources to Kansas. The high-quality data specifically measuring tailwater return flows and farm irrigation efficiency that would be obtained from the Tailwater Study could be used to correct these deficiencies. By reducing the deliveries required by Fort Lyon improvements in Compact

**Revised October 2013** 

compliance plans, demands on water supplies to meet delivery obligations will similarly be lessened, and additional water sources will remain available for maximum utilization and beneficial use within Colorado. A lower tailwater assumption and increased maximum farm irrigation efficiency factor will also have the benefit of increasing the anticipated transferable yield associated with Fort Lyon shares in the context of other water transfers, such as rotational leasing-fallowing projects.

The first phase of the study (for which Applicant is currently seeking funding) will utilize the Farm Irrigation Rating Index (FIRI) method on canal-wide basis to analyze irrigation efficiency. This analysis will involve extensive data collection and observation pertaining to irrigation management and systems with the goal of establishing a more representative canal-wide irrigation efficiency. It will then select a limited number of farms on a single section of the Fort Lyon Canal to provide sufficient data on tailwater return flows. The goal of the first phase of the Study would be to obtain high-quality data on farm efficiency pursuant to a FIRI analysis and on the actual amounts of tailwater return flows occurring from flood-irrigated farms on a subset of the Fort Lyon Canal. The resultant data and analysis will then be used to assess whether broader, on-site field irrigation and tailwater evaluations would be beneficial and are justified for future phases. If undertaken, the more extensive, second phase would be conducted with the aim of adjusting the tailwater assumption and irrigation efficiency factor in the H-I Model and the ISAM to more accurately reflect actual conditions.

#### Part III. - Threshold and Evaluation Criteria

- 1. <u>Describe how</u> the water activity meets these **Threshold Criteria.** (Detailed in Part 3 of the Water Supply Reserve Account Criteria and Guidelines.)
  - a) The water activity is consistent with Section 37-75-102 Colorado Revised Statutes.<sup>1</sup>

The Study would positively enhance the current system of allocating water within Colorado through ensuring maximum utilization of available water supplies, encouraging water savings through improved irrigation efficiency, and reducing potential over-deliveries of Colorado's water resources to Kansas

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 37-75-102. Water rights - protections. (1) It is the policy of the General Assembly that the current system of allocating water within Colorado shall not be superseded, abrogated, or otherwise impaired by this article. Nothing in this article shall be interpreted to repeal or in any manner amend the existing water rights adjudication system. The General Assembly affirms the state constitution's recognition of water rights as a private usufructuary property right, and this article is not intended to restrict the ability of the holder of a water right to use or to dispose of that water right in any manner permitted under Colorado law. (2) The General Assembly affirms the protections for contractual and property rights recognized by the contract and takings protections under the state constitution and related statutes. This article shall not be implemented in any way that would diminish, impair, or cause injury to any property or contractual right created by intergovernmental agreements, contracts, stipulations among parties to water cases, terms and conditions in water decrees, or any other similar document related to the allocation or use of water. This article shall not be construed to supersede, abrogate, or cause injury to vested water rights or decreed conditional water rights. The General Assembly affirms that this article does not impair, limit, or otherwise affect the rights of persons or entities to enter into agreements, contracts, or memoranda of understanding with other persons or entities relating to the appropriation, movement, or use of water under other provisions of law.

**Revised October 2013** 

under the Irrigation Improvements Rules. The study would enhance and improve evaluations of current water use practices and would inform water resource management decisions. The grant would not be implemented in a way that would diminish, impair, or cause injury to any property or contractual right created by intergovernmental agreements, contracts, stipulations among parties to water cases, terms and conditions in water decree, or any other similar document related to the allocation or use of water.

b) The water activity underwent an evaluation and approval process and was approved by the Basin Roundtable (BRT) and the application includes a description of the results of the BRTs evaluation and approval of the activity. At a minimum, the description must include the level of agreement reached by the roundtable, including any minority opinion(s) if there was not general agreement for the activity. The description must also include reasons why general agreement was not reached (if it was not), including who opposed the activity and why they opposed it. Note- If this information is included in the letter from the roundtable chair simply reference that letter.

#### Roundtable Evaluation Pending

c) The water activity meets the provisions of Section 37-75-104(2), Colorado Revised Statutes.<sup>2</sup> The Basin Roundtable Chairs shall include in their approval letters for particular WSRA grant applications a description of how the water activity will assist in meeting the water supply needs identified in the basin roundtable's consumptive and/or non-consumptive needs assessments.

The Study is designed to conserve existing water resources and reduce pressure on existing water supplies, both of which would assist in meeting both the M&I and agricultural water gaps identified in the Arkansas River Basin Consumptive Needs Assessment: 2030 (June 2008). The potential water savings that may result from a lower, more accurate tailwater return flow assumption and increased maximum farm irrigation efficiency factor on the Fort Lyon Canal could reduce the amount of water supplies needed to meet Compact compliance requirements under the Irrigation Improvements Rules, leaving that water available to meet other needs, including M&I needs. Also, by decreasing the costs associated with Compact compliance for irrigation system improvements, the Study could promote further installation of irrigation system improvements and the resultant benefits of increased water efficiency, increased productivity, and improved water quality. Additional transferable yield will similarly be available from temporary (i.e., rotational leasing and fallowing programs) and/or permanent changes to Fort Lyon shares. These outcomes will mean that less pressure is placed on

-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> 37-75-104 (2)(c). Using data and information from the Statewide Water Supply Initiative and other appropriate sources and in cooperation with the on-going Statewide Water Supply Initiative, develop a basin-wide consumptive and nonconsumptive water supply needs assessment, conduct an analysis of available unappropriated waters within the basin, and propose projects or methods, both structural and nonstructural, for meeting those needs and utilizing those unappropriated waters where appropriate. Basin Roundtables shall actively seek the input and advice of affected local governments, water providers, and other interested stakeholders and persons in establishing its needs assessment, and shall propose projects or methods for meeting those needs. Recommendations from this assessment shall be forwarded to the Interbasin Compact Committee and other basin roundtables for analysis and consideration after the General Assembly has approved the Interbasin Compact Charter.

Revised October 2013

existing water supplies and existing water supplies may be extended further. This will assist in filling the identified Arkansas Basin's 28,000 acre-foot M&I gap.

This information will also be addressed in the letter from the Arkansas Basin Roundtable chair.

d) Matching Requirement: For requests from the **Statewide Fund**, the applicants will be required to demonstrate a **25 percent** (or greater) match of the total grant request from the other sources, including by not limited to Basin Funds. A minimum match of 5% of the total grant amount shall be from Basin funds. A minimum match of 5% of the total grant amount must come from the applicant or 3rd party sources. Sources of matching funds include but are not limited to Basin Funds, in-kind services, funding from other sources, and/or direct cash match. Past expenditures directly related to the project may be considered as matching funds if the expenditures occurred within 9 months of the date the contract or purchase order between the applicant and the State of Colorado is executed. Please describe the source(s) of matching funds. (NOTE: These matching funds should also be reflected in your Detailed Budget in **Exhibit A** of this application)

17% (\$30,000) matching funds from the Arkansas Basin Roundtable (requested) 29% (\$50,000) matching funds from the Lower Ark District

2. For Applications that include a request for funds from the **Statewide Account**, <u>describe how</u> the water activity/project meets all applicable **Evaluation Criteria**. (Detailed in Part 3 of the Water Supply Reserve Account Criteria and Guidelines and repeated below.) Projects will be assessed on how well they meet the Evaluation Criteria. **Please attach additional pages as necessary.** 

Evaluation Criteria – the following criteria will be utilized to further evaluate the merits of the water activity proposed for funding from the Statewide Account. In evaluation of proposed water activities, preference will be given to projects that meet one or more criteria from each of the three "tiers" or categories. Each "tier" is grouped in level of importance. For instance, projects that meet Tier 1 criteria will outweigh projects that only meet Tier 3 criteria. WSRA grant requests for projects that may qualify for loans through the CWCB loan program will receive preference in the Statewide Evaluation Criteria if the grant request is part of a CWCB loan/WSRA grant package. For these CWCB loan/WSRA grant packages, the applicant must have a CWCB loan/WSRA grant ratio of 1:1 or higher. Preference will be given to those with a higher loan/grant ratio.

# <u>Tier 1: Promoting Collaboration/Cooperation and Meeting Water Management Goals and Identified Water Needs</u>

a. The water activity addresses multiple needs or issues, including consumptive and/or non-consumptive needs, or the needs and issues of multiple interests or multiple basins. This can be demonstrated by obtaining letters of support from other basin roundtables (in addition to an approval letter from the sponsoring basin).

The Study will address multiple needs and issues and address the needs and issues of multiple interests within the Arkansas River Basin. A key goal of the study is to encourage further water savings through continued installation of more efficient irrigation system improvements. Increases in irrigation

**Revised October 2013** 

efficiency provide benefits to all Colorado water users. With agricultural water use accounting for over 80% of water use in the State, increases in irrigation efficiency are a crucial way to address water scarcity problems. Moreover, improved irrigation efficiency can lead to improved water quality by reducing irrigation runoff and seepage, may result in improved crop yields, and can reduce the need for hired labor, thereby supporting the agricultural economy. These benefits accrue not just to the State's agricultural communities, but can be felt Basin-wide.

By reducing the amount of water needed to meet Compact compliance obligations (which will encourage further investments in water-saving irrigation improvements) and increasing the transferrable yield of Fort Lyon Canal shares, the Study could also result in both direct water savings and a reduction in demands placed upon all basin water supplies. And in so doing, it has the potential to contribute to a reduction in the agricultural and M&I water gaps and serve multiple interests. Irrigation improvement owners on the Fort Lyon and municipal users interested in participating in leasing-fallowing with shareholders in the Fort Lyon Canal Company could all directly benefit. Moreover, all water users that compete for the limited water supplies available in the Arkansas River basin would benefit from an improved, more accurate H-I Model that reduces the pressure placed on limited supplies to meet Compact compliance obligations.

b. The number and types of entities represented in the application and the degree to which the activity will promote cooperation and collaboration among traditional consumptive water interests and/or non-consumptive interests, and if applicable, the degree to which the water activity is effective in addressing intrabasin or interbasin needs or issues.

The Lower Ark District will work in close collaboration with Fort Lyon Canal farmers to undertake the first phase of the Study. The study will also involve cooperation and collaboration with the Fort Lyon Canal Company in undertaking the study and the Division 2 Engineer's Office of the Division of Water Resources. After Completion of the first phase and in cooperation with interested parties, Applicant will evaluate whether the results justify pursuing the expanded second phase of the study. If justified, Applicant will work with the Division 2 Office in the expanded study's final design, implementation, and in the potential integration of the results of the completed Tailwater Study into the H-I Model and the ISAM.

Because the Tailwater Study has the potential to free-up existing water supplies, increase water supplies through irrigation efficiency, and increase the transferable yield of Fort Lyon shares in temporary and permanent transfers, the study could ultimately benefit all water users in the Arkansas River Basin. It therefore has the potential to promote cooperation amongst historically competing water interests. Moreover, the data that will be obtained from the study will contribute to a better understanding of Arkansas River Basin's water resources, which can lead to improved decision-making and reduced conflicts among historically competing users. Finally, by reducing one of the barriers associated with sprinkler installation (the amount and concomitant cost of calculated return flow maintenance under ISAM), the Tailwater Study could lead to increases in the installation of irrigation improvements which has the benefit of improving water quality and the environment.

Revised October 2013

c. The water activity helps implement projects and processes identified as helping meet Colorado's future water needs, and/or addresses the gap areas between available water supply and future need as identified in SWSI or a roundtable's basin-wide water needs assessment.

The Tailwater Study is designed to actively and measurably lead to the development of conserved water to meet both the M&I gap and the agricultural gap, as identified in the Arkansas River Basin Consumptive Needs Assessment: 2030 (June 2008). It also keeps with the vision of that Needs Assessment by sustaining agriculture through encouraging increasing water efficiency and agricultural productivity. The potential water savings that may result from the Tailwater Study will assist in reducing the agricultural and M&I water gaps by reducing the amount of water supplies needed to meet Compact compliance requirements under the Irrigation Improvements Rules, leaving that water available to meet other needs, including M&I needs.

The Tailwater Study also meets the needs of irrigators and agricultural communities, and rural economies by reducing the costs associated with improvements to irrigation. By promoting water conservation through irrigation improvements, potentially reducing Compact compliance requirements, and increasing the transferable yield associated with Fort Lyon shares, the study meets the broad-based water management goal of maximum utilization of water while ensuring compliance with Arkansas River Compact. Moreover, the proposed FIRI analysis has the potential to provide useful and meaningful data that could be incorporated into the Arkansas decision support system currently under development.

The benefits from a potentially reduced tailwater assumption and increased farm irrigation efficiency factor on the Fort Lyon Canal will continue to grow and multiply over time. As more sprinklers are installed, the amount of water saved through these corrections will continue to increase. And by reducing the costs associated with installation of sprinklers, the study will encourage continued sprinkler installation and the associated water conservation savings. As the largest canal in Colorado, water savings on the Fort Lyon Canal will be significant.

#### Tier 2: Facilitating Water Activity Implementation

d. Funding from this Account will reduce the uncertainty that the water activity will be implemented.

Funding from the WSRA will reduce the uncertainty that this first phase of the Tailwater Study will be implemented. The Lower Ark District and Division 2 Engineer's Office have discussed the concept of this Tailwater Study and its potential benefits since the original development of the ISAM. Without funding from the WSRA, this phase of the Tailwater Study will not be undertaken. Alternate means of funding have been explored but none appear to be available.

e. The amount of matching funds provided by the applicant via direct contributions, demonstrable in-kind contributions, and/or other sources demonstrates a significant and appropriate commitment to the project.

Revised October 2013

The Lower Ark District has demonstrated a significant commitment to the Tailwater Study through matching funds of \$50,000. Moreover, the Lower Ark District has consistently demonstrated its commitment to implementation of the Irrigation Improvements Rules through its in-kind efforts in operating and administering the only Compact compliance plans since the adoption of the rules. The District has worked closely with the Division 2 Engineer's Office and the CWCB to improve and refine the Compact compliance process and the ISAM.

#### Tier 3: The Water Activity Addresses Other Issues of Statewide Value and Maximizes Benefits

f. The water activity helps sustain agriculture and open space, or meets environmental or recreational needs.

The anticipated results of the Tailwater Study will help sustain agriculture by ensuring that Fort Lyon farmers continue to have incentives to invest in their operations and install irrigation system improvements. Such investments are needed to ensure the future health and vitality of agricultural communities. Moreover, these irrigation system investments could improve water quality and benefit both the environment and recreation on the Lower Arkansas River.

g. The water activity assists in the administration of compact-entitled waters or addresses problems related to compact entitled waters and compact compliance and the degree to which the activity promotes maximum utilization of state waters.

The Tailwater Study will address problems related to compact-entitled water and compact compliance and promotes maximum utilization of state waters. One of the study's broad aims is to ensure that the accuracy of "return flow maintenance water" calculations pursuant to the Irrigation Improvements Rules such that a violation of the Arkansas River Compact is avoided. Colorado's experts in the Kansas v. Colorado litigation took issue with what was viewed as an unreasonably low 65% maximum farm irrigation efficiency factor that is currently used in the H-I Model. The Tailwater Study will provide the data needed to refine the H-I Model and the ISAM in a way that is anticipated to reduce the burdens of Compact compliance on farmers. By reducing Compact compliance obligations (which, in turn, preserves existing water supplies and could lead to future water savings), the results of the study will promote maximum utilization of state waters to a high degree.

h. The water activity assists in the recovery of threatened and endangered wildlife species or Colorado State species of concern.

While the Tailwater Study does not directly assist in the recovery of threatened or endangered wildlife, it will provide important information that can be integrated into water management decisions. With better information, decisions regarding water resource management can more carefully balance the needs of consumptive water users with those of threatened and endangered species and the environment. Moreover, if the Tailwater Study has the intended effect of continuing to encourage irrigation efficiency improvements, the associated water quality benefits would accrue to the Lower

**Revised October 2013** 

Arkansas River ecosystem. Such ecosystem improvements could contribute to the overall recovery of threatened and endangered wildlife species.

i. The water activity provides a high level of benefit to Colorado in relationship to the amount of funds requested.

The first phase of the Tailwater Study provides a high level of benefit in relationship to the amount of funds requested. Though not easily quantified because the amount of water saved will ultimately depend on the results of the full study and the rate of sprinkler growth, the data and analysis generated from this phase of the Tailwater Study will provide a high level of benefit.

The anticipated reduction in the tailwater assumption and an increase in the maximum farm irrigation efficiency factor on the Fort Lyon is likely to lead to encourage increasing water efficiency and agricultural productively through installation of sprinkler systems on irrigated lands and reductions in return flow obligations under the Arkansas River Compact. This would result in less pressure on other Arkansas basin water supplies. It could similarly increase the potential transferable yield of Fort Lyon shares in, for example, a rotational municipal leasing - land fallowing program, which will benefit farmers and municipalities alike. Additionally, the data gathered and results obtained from the FIRI analysis have the potential to contribute to development of the Arkansas decision support system.

Just in the period between 2012 and 2014, the Fort Lyon Canal has seen more than a doubling of sprinklers operating under it and included in a Compact compliance plan. In 2012, there were 65 sprinklers on the Fort Lyon covered by a Compact compliance plan. In 2014, this number has increased to approximately 145 sprinklers. In 2014, these Compact compliance plans will provide for approximately 2000 acre-feet of "return flow maintenance water." If the rate of sprinkler installation continues during the first phase of the Tailwater Study, up to approximately 325 sprinklers on the Fort Lyon could be covered by Compact compliance plans. Extrapolating from the current "return flow maintenance requirements," this could mean more than a doubling in the amount of water needed for operation of those plans to approximately 4,500 acre-feet. If the Tailwater Study would result in a reduction of the tailwater assumption by 5% and an associated increase in farm irrigation efficiency factor by 5%, this could potentially result in 225 acre-feet of new water made available based on the number of anticipated sprinklers in 2017. A greater reduction in the tailwater assumption and associated increase in the farm irrigation efficiency would similarly result in even greater annual savings, and the savings would increase each year as more sprinklers are added. This is a significant amount of water and reflects a high degree of benefit from the funds requested for the Tailwater Study.

j. The water activity is complimentary to or assists in the implementation of other CWCB programs.

The Project both compliments and assists in the implementation of other CWCB programs. Most immediately, this data and analysis resulting from completion of the full Tailwater Study may be incorporated into the H-I Model and the ISAM and will further the CWCB goals of promoting more efficient use of water while ensuring Compact compliance, C.R.S. § 37-60-106(1)(i) and (r). It also has the potential to generate data that may be relevant for the Arkansas decision support system. Consistent

**Revised October 2013** 

with these goals, the CWCB has previously provided financial assistance to the Lower Ark District formulate and implement cost-effective means to comply with the Irrigation Improvements Rules to avoid potential Compact violations. See e.g. S.B. 09-125.

#### Part IV. – Required Supporting Material

1. **Water Rights, Availability, and Sustainability** – This information is needed to assess the viability of the water project or activity. Please provide a description of the water supply source to be utilized, or the water body to be affected by, the water activity. This should include a description of applicable water rights, and water rights issues, and the name/location of water bodies affected by the water activity.

The Tailwater Study will facilitate more complete use of the water available to shareholders of the Fort Lyon Canal. The study will accordingly lead to better utilization of available water supplies within the Arkansas River basin. The results of the Tailwater Study are expected to positively affect all Fort Lyon Canal water rights by allowing the maximum utilization of those rights. Additionally, the results of the Tailwater Study are anticipated to have reduced pressure on water supplies currently being used to meet what are believed to be excessive return flow maintenance requirements. The Arkansas River as a whole may be positively affected by the results of the study.

2. Please provide a brief narrative of any related studies or permitting issues.

The Tailwater Study builds upon work previously conducted by Colorado State University in the Lower Arkansas River Basin regarding irrigation practices, water consumption, and return flows pursuant to a number of CWCB and other grants. As discussed above, it specifically seeks to refine the generalized 10% tailwater assumption that came out of that work.

The Tailwater Study also relates to prior work done by the Division 2 Engineer's Office in developing and refining ISAM, including the pond seepage study. Finally, it is anticipated that data and analysis from this study would be utilized in the anticipated Arkansas Decision Support System currently in development by the CWCB.

It is not currently anticipated that the first phase of the Tailwater Study would require any permits or approvals. Participation in the study would be voluntary and installation of equipment is anticipated to be on-farm only. However, if it is determined that any permits or approvals are required, Applicant will commit to obtaining such approvals.

3. Statement of Work, Detailed Budget, and Project Schedule

The statement of work will form the basis for the contract between the Applicant and the State of Colorado. In short, the Applicant is agreeing to undertake the work for the compensation outlined in the statement of work and budget, and in return, the State of Colorado is receiving the deliverables/products specified. **Please note that costs incurred prior to execution of a contract or purchase order are not subject to reimbursement**. All WSRA funds are disbursed on a reimbursement basis after review invoices and appropriate backup material.

Revised October 2013

**See Exhibit A**. Additionally, maps depicting the irrigated acres under the Fort Lyon Canal and those parcels covered by a Lower Ark District-operated Rule 10 Compact compliance plan are attached hereto.

#### REPORTING AND FINAL DELIVERABLE

Reporting: The applicant shall provide the CWCB a progress report every 6 months, beginning from the date of the executed contract. The progress report shall describe the completion or partial completion of the tasks identified in the statement of work including a description of any major issues that have occurred and any corrective action taken to address these issues.

Final Deliverable: At completion of the project, the applicant shall provide the CWCB a final report that summarizes the project and documents how the project was completed. This report may contain photographs, summaries of meetings and engineering reports/designs.

#### **PAYMENT**

Payment will be made based on actual expenditures and invoicing by the applicant. Invoices from any other entity (i.e. subcontractors) cannot be processed by the State. The request for payment must include a description of the work accomplished by major task, and estimate of the percent completion for individual tasks and the entire water activity in relation to the percentage of budget spent, identification of any major issues and proposed or implemented corrective actions. The last 10 percent of the entire water activity budget will be withheld until final project/water activity documentation is completed. All products, data and information developed as a result of this grant must be provided to the CWCB in hard copy and electronic format as part of the project documentation. This information will in turn be made widely available to Basin Roundtables and the general public and help promote the development of a common technical platform.

The above statements are true to the best of my knowledge:
Signature of Applicant
Print Applicant's Name: JAY WINNER, LOWER ARKANSAS VALLEY WATER CONSERVANCY DISTRICT Project Title: FIRI ANALYSIS + TAILWATER STUDY ON THE FORT Date: JUNE CANAL
Project Title: FIRI ANALYSIS + TAILWATER STUDY ON THE FORT
Date: July 11, 2014

Return an electronic version (hardcopy may also be submitted) of this application to:

Craig Godbout – WSRA Application Colorado Water Conservation Board 1580 Logan Street, Suite 200 Denver, CO 80203 303-866-3441, ext. 3210 (office) 303-547-8061 (cell) craig.godbout@state.co.us