Basin Implementation Planning: Nonconsumptive Workshop

April 30, 2014



COLORADO

Colorado Water Conservation Board

Department of Natural Resources

Goals of workshop

- **1.** Revisit Nonconsumptive analyses and resources (SWSI II present)
- **2.** Review original data sources
- 3. Expectations for nonconsumptive analyses in BIPs
- 4. Gap Analysis Framework
- 5. Nonconsumptive workshops May 2014

SWSI II

- Greater understanding of nonconsumptive attributes and needs in the state.
- State provided a list of environmental and recreational resources to consider – they were mapped as well.
- Served as the foundation for Phase I mapping process in SWSI 2010.

Environmental Coverages

- Boreal Toad Distrubution
- Audubon Important Bird Areas
- Colorado Natural Heritage Program Riparian/Wetland Plants and Plant Communities
- Federally Listed Critical Habitat
- Water Quality Control Division Monitoring and Evaluation List
- Water Quality Control Division 303(d) List
- Colorado Pikeminnow Distribution
- Humpback Chub Distribution
- Razorback Sucker Distribution
- Bonytail Chub Distribution
- Greenback Cutthroat Trout Distribution
- Arkansas Darter Distribution
- Flannelmouth Sucker Distribution
- Bluehead Sucker Distribution
- Roundtail Chub Distribution
- Colorado River Cutthroat Trout Distribution
- Rio Grande Cutthroat Trout Distribution

SWSI 2010 – Phases I & II



Figure 1-2 State of Colorado Nonconsumptive Needs Assessment Approach



Colorado Basin Nonconsumptive Needs Assessment Focus Map Proposed or Planned Projects





Project and Methods Ready for Implementation





Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool (WFET)



Nonconsumptive Needs Toolbox

<u>Step A example</u>: Maintain population of native fish species so that none are listed.

<u>Step B example</u>: Sustain 10 populations of bluehead sucker in 10 different river locations.

<u>Step C example</u>: Based on analysis of existing levels of protection, only five populations of bluehead sucker are protected. As a result, we need to protect an additional five populations to meet our established measurable outcomes.

<u>Step D example</u>: For one of the five locations where protection of bluehead sucker populations is limited, moving through the decision template may lead to the determination that reservoir reoperation could achieve desired outcomes.



Original data – Attributes of Interest



Original data – Attributes of Interest

Appendix B NCNA Mapping Data Sources

1	Appendix B of
]	Nonconsumptive
]	Needs Assessment
]	Focus Mapping
((July 2010)

SWSI 2 Shapefile	Data Source			
Greenback Cutthroat Trout Streams	CDOW			
Greenback Cutthroat Trout Lakes	CDOW			
Arkansas Darter	CDOW			
Boreal Toad Known Breeding Sites	CDOW			
Significant Riparian/Wetland Plant Communities	CNHP			
Instream Flow Streams	CWCB			
Natural Lake Level Lakes	CWCB			
Audubon Important Bird Areas	National Audubon Society			
Whitewater Boating	Whitewater of the Southern Rockies			
	Southwest Paddler			
Recreational In-Channel Diversion Structures	CWCB			
Additional Data Layers	Data Source			
Bald Eagle Active Nestsites	CDOW (NDIS)			
Piping Plver	CDOW (NDIS)			
Least Tern	CDOW (NDIS)			
Colorado Birding Trail Locations	National Audubon Society			
Waterfowl Hunting	CDOW parcels with waterfowl hunting			
Reservoir/Lake Fishing	NCNA Committee Members, Trout Unlimited, Colorado Springs			
-	CO State Parks Boatable Waters List			
	http://parks.state.co.us/Boating/BoatableWaters/			
River/Stream Fishing	NCNA Committee Members, Trout Unlimited, Colorado Springs			
National Wetlands Inventory	FWS, USGS			
-	http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/Data/DataDownload.html			
Colorado Outstanding Waters	digitized from WQCD list			
	http://www.blm.gov/nstc/WaterLaws/pdf/Colorado2.pdf			
Additional Wilderness Area and Wilderness Study Area Waters	digitized waters within WA and WSA boundaries not included in			
	CO Outstanding Waters list			
Additional Whitewater Boating	Colorado State Parks, NCNA Committee Members			
Flatwater Motorized and Non-motorized Boating	CO State Parks Boatable Waters List			
-	http://parks.state.co.us/Boating/BoatableWaters/			

Original data – Projects

- Source: SWSI 2010 Section 3, Appendix F
- 5 Main Sources

Source Category	Example		
CDOW	Great Plains Reservoir Restoration		
CWCB	Mine Remediation through Wetland Treatment		
ISF	Currant Creek		
NCNA Interviewed	Jackson Creek Wetlands Project		
Stewardship	Conservation Easement		
WSRA	Tamarisk Control		

• BIPs should update NCNA Interview projects and their status

Original data – Projects

NCNA Interviewed Projects

Table 3-1 Summary of Meetings to Collect Nonconsumptive Project and Methods Information

Basin Roundtable	No. of Individuals or Organizations Contacted	No. of Meetings	No. Projects and Methods in Focus Areas	No. Projects and Methods Outside Focus Areas	Total No. Projects and Methods
Arkansas	7	5	40	0	40
Colorado	21	12	168	35	203
Gunnison	9	4	44	15	59
Metro	See South Platte	See South Platte	See South Platte	See South Platte	See South Platte
North Platte	1	1	41	7	48
Rio Grande	10	5	59	0	59
South Platte	17	14	54	53	107
Southwest	17	12	84	10	94
Yampa-White	9	4	22	16	38
TOTAL	91	57	512	136	648

All these data...so now what?

Minimum BIP expectations:

- SWSI 2010 Projects & Methods list
 - Review project name, type,location, basin, status
 - Provide status updates if applicable
 - Provide information for projects and methods not in list
- 2. RICDs
- 3. Wild & Scenic reaches

<u>Project can be implemented in the near-term?</u>



Gap Analysis Framework

- Designed to help BRTs evaluate projects and methods and identify where there may be opportunities to address gaps in nonconsumptive needs.
- Using the dataset generated from the Nonconsumptive Needs Assessment (NCNA), serves as an initial framework for organizing and categorizing existing Projects and Methods within each basin to consider potential gaps in protection.
- Helps to quantify existing levels of protection for attributes for basinwide goals and measurable outcomes → identify longer-term goals and outcomes.







STEP 4. VERIFY PROTECTION CLASSIFICATIONS AND IDENTIFY LOCATIONS FOR ADDITIONAL PROJECTS AND METHODS

High

P&M

Gap

Med-

High

P&M

Gap

Med

P&M

Gap

Low

P&M

Gap

A stream reach or waterbody that does not have a Project or Method that directly addresses nonconsumptive needs. * Tends to be Stewardship (land management) with a SRGAP status score of 2.5-4.

A stream reach or waterbody that is highly in need of additional Projects and Methods to meet nonconsumptive needs due to significant gaps in existing or planned Projects and Methods.

A stream reach or waterbody that is moderately in need of additional Projects and Methods to meet nonconsumptive needs due to the presence of Projects and Methods that may not be fully addressing nonconsumptive attributes.

A stream reach or waterbody whose nonconsumptive needs are met with existing or planned Projects and Methods and is therefore a low priority for additional Projects and Methods. **These projects should consider the Monitoring Program.*



Basin	Arkansas			
Protection	High	Med-High	Med	Low
Arkansas Darter	0%	0%	1%	99%
Arkansas Headwaters Recreation Areas	0%	32%	29%	39%
Arkansas State Wildlife Areas and State Fishing Units	0%	0%	0%	99%
Audubon important bird areas	0%	0%	0%	100%
Birding Trails	0%	0%	0%	100%
Colorado Outstanding Waters	0%	0%	100%	0%
Durango Natural Studies	0%	1%	0%	99%
Eligible Wild and Scenic	0%	0%	100%	0%
Flatwater Boating	0%	0%	0%	100%
Gold Metal Trout Lakes	0%	0%	0%	100%
Gold Metal Trout Streams	0%	0%	0%	100%
Greenback Cutthroat Trout	0%	3%	0%	97%
High Recreation Lakes and Reservoirs	0%	0%	0%	99%
High Recreation Rivers	0%	0%	0%	100%
Important Wetlands	0%	0%	0%	100%
Lake Fishing	0%	0%	0%	100%
Least Tern	0%	0%	0%	100%
Lesser Prairie Chicken	0%	32%	0%	68%
Piping Plover	0%	0%	0%	100%
THE OPPORTUGEN OF A		1000	-	



Table 1: Existing Needs for Additional Protections by Attribute for Each Basin





Next Steps: Nonconsumptive Workshops for Individual Basins

- Assistance with continued analysis through the Gap Analysis Framework:
 - Updating Projects and Methods, creating maps
 - Assessing levels and types of protection
 - Categorizing/filtering projects
 - Which areas need further study?
 - Where is no action needed?
 - What can be done?
 - Where is flow or water level quantification helpful?
 - Where can a project (restoration, flow, etc.) move forward?