



Outline

- Risk Informed Dam Safety Process
 - Risk = Potential for Failure * Consequences
 - Consequences
 - Potential Failure Modes
- Interim Risk Reduction Measures

Dam Safety Mod Study

 Risk Assessments for Current and Future Conditions

3

- Risk Reduction Measures Identification
- Alternatives Development



Risk Informed Dam Safety Process

- Screening Portfolio Risk Assessment Process
 - Screened in 2005
 - Assigned DSAC II in 2008
- Interim Risk Reduction Measures Plan
 - Original plan approved in 2008
 - Plan updated in 2012 and is currently undergoing review
- Issue Evaluation Study 2009 to 2011
 - HQ approved project for DSMS in 2011
 - Approval was based primarily on overtopping
- Dam Safety Modification Study
 - Began in 2012 with several hydrologic studies

► Risk Assessment began in 2013







Loss of Life and Damage Estimates

Simulation/Elevation	LOL (Day)	LOL (Night)	Total Aggregate Damages (\$ Billions)
Overtopping			
5648.8 NAVD88 (PMF) No Fail	28	11	\$5.0B
5648.8 NAVD88 (PMF) Fail	2400	1500	\$ 17.0B

7



Failure Modes Investigated

- Internal erosion through the alluvium where the impervious cut off is incomplete and does not reach the top of bedrock. (IE5)
- Internal erosion due to poorly compacted layers along the conduit (IE1)
- Overtopping, followed by erosion and breach of the embankment due to a Probable Max Flood (PMF) event (HE1)

8















PMP Uncertainty

Cherry Creek Dam Safety Study Chronology

- 1969 HMR44 Published Identified Overtopping as an issue
- 1984 HMR55 Published Overtop 4.4'
- 1988 HMR55A Published Overtop 4.2'
- 1993 Dam Safety Recon Report Approved
- 1995 NWS Site Specific PMP Overtop 2.5'
- 1997 Scoping Meetings Tomlinson comments
- 1999 Congress pulls funding for Dam Safety study
- 2001 CWCB hires AWA for independent PMP study
- 2003 AWA PMP Study completed
- 2006 Corps receives funding to proceed, reviews AWA study
- 2010 NWS PMP Adopted Approved by USACE Hydrology Committee and Federal Inter-Agency Extreme Storm Events Work Group













Dam Safety Modification Study

- (2012-2013) Hydrologic and Geotechnical Site Characterization Studies
- (2013-2014) Risk Estimate
 - Baseline Conditions
 - Future without Action Conditions
- (2014-2015) Formulate and Evaluate Risk Management Plans
 - ► NEPA Process
- (2015-2016)Dam Safety Modification Study Report



Hydrologic Study	Description
Update PMF with new HMS	PMF estimate was calculated using the most recent HEC-HMS. Slight increase in runoff. Peak discharge also increased slightly. 3.2 feet of overtopping.
Antecedent Flood Study	Antecedent flood is assumed to occur prior to the PMP, partially filling the reservoir. Study recommends reducing the antecedent flood from 50 percent of the PMP to 32 percent of the PMP. HQ must approve this change.
Dam Breach and Consequences	Lidar surveys used to improve inundation mapping. HEC-RAS modeling used to estimate flood inundation for PMF with dam breach and PMF without dam breach
Spillway Erosion	Spillway erosion study performed to determine the length of time that would be required to remove the sediment during a spillway flow and impact on downstream consequences.

CHERRY CREEK

Dam Safety Mod Study

Baseline Risk Assessment

Future Without Action Condition

24







Potential Risk Reduction Measures for Foundation Seepage (IE5)

- Do Nothing
- Cutoff wall into the foundation
- Downstream filter berm
- Upstream blanket
- Add relief wells



Potential Risk Reduction Measures for Seepage Along Conduit (IE1)

- Do Nothing
- Filter at downstream end of conduit
- Cutoff wall
- Grouting
- Replace conduit



Potential Risk Reduction Measures for Overtopping (HE1)

- Increase upstream storage capacity
- Modify the dam
- Modify the spillway
- Modify outlet works
- Harden downstream dam face
- Dredge reservoir area
- Restrict pool elevation





Minimum Required Alternatives

- No Action (Future without Action Condition)
- Meet Full Tolerable Risk Guidelines
- Achieve Tolerable Risk for Life Safety
- Remove Structure
- Replace Structure





