DRAFT INSTREAM FLOW RECOMMENDATION March 13, 2014 Version

Ms. Linda Bassi Colorado Water Conservation Board 1313 Sherman Street, Room 721 Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Ms. Bassi:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is writing this letter to formally communicate its recommendation for an instream flow water right on Brush Creek, located in Water Division 6.

Location and Land Status. Brush Creek originates approximately two miles east of Douglas Pass and flows into East Douglas Creek. This recommendation covers the stream reach beginning at headwaters and extends downstream to the confluence with East Douglas Creek. This stream reach covers a distance of approximately 4.5 miles. The BLM manages approximately 2.5 miles of this stream reach, while 2.0 miles are in private ownership.

Biological Summary. Brush Creek is a cold-water, moderate to high gradient stream in a narrow canyon. The stream cuts through alluvial deposits in the narrow valley and is not confined by bedrock in most locations. The stream generally has small substrate, consisting of sands and gravels. While riffle habitat is abundant, parts of the stream lack extensive pool habitat because of historic overgrazing and lack of woody vegetation.

Fisheries surveys have revealed self-sustaining population of rainbow trout and cutthroat trout. Fish numbers are generally more abundant in the lower portions of the reach. Intensive macroinvertebrate surveys have not been conducted, but spot samples have revealed various species of mayfly, caddisfly, and stonefly.

The riparian community along Brush Creek is comprised of grasses and sedges in some parts of the creek, while other portions are dominated by blue spruce and douglas fir. The riparian area is recovering from historic grazing practices. In portions of the reach with a conifer riparian community, cover and shading for the stream is good. Some portions of the creek with sedge and grass riparian community have good width-to-depth ratios, while other portions of the reach with sedge and grass community are open and wide, which limits usable fish habitat.

R2Cross Analysis. BLM collected the following R2Cross data from Brush Creek:

Cross Section	Discharge Rate	Top Width	Winter Flow	Summer Flow
Date			Recommendation	Recommendation
			(meets 2 of 3	(meets 3 of 3
			hydraulic criteria)	hydraulic criteria)
07/29/2009 #1	0.73 cfs	4.24 feet	0.53 cfs	0.73 cfs
07/29/2009 #2	0.76 cfs	4.0 feet	0.47 cfs	0.57 cfs

Averages: 0.50 cfs 0.65 cfs

BLM's analysis of this data, coordinated with Colorado Parks and Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

0.65 cubic feet per second is recommended for the snowmelt runoff and high temperature period from April 1 through October 31. This recommendation is driven by the average depth criteria. This creek experiences consistently low flows during late summer and fall, so it is important to protect as much physical habitat as possible during the limited time when snowmelt runoff and growing season flows are available. This flow rate is capable of maintaining pool habitat in the creek and preventing excessively water high temperatures.

0.50 cubic feet per second is recommended for the late fall and winter period from November1 to March 31. This recommendation is driven the average velocity criteria. This flow rate should provide sufficient flow to prevent pools from freezing and protect overwintering fish.

Water Availability. The BLM is not aware of any historic gage data within the East Douglas Creek watershed. The BLM does not recommend relying upon other gages that are within western Rio Blanco County because those gages measure watersheds with very different characteristics. For example, UGSG Gage 09306380 (Douglas Creek at Rangely) is located at the bottom of the very large Douglas Creek watershed, of which Brush Creek is a part. However, this watershed contains many square miles of low elevation terrain with low runoff per unit of area. In contrast, Brush Creek is located at the top of Douglas Creek watershed, with high runoff per unit of area. Historic gages in the Piceance Creek watershed to the east, such as USGS Gage 09306175 (Black Sulphur Creek), measure large watersheds with characteristics similar to the large Douglas Creek watershed. Accordingly, the BLM recommends relying upon the StreamStats package developed jointly between the U.S. Geological Survey and the CWCB for the best flow estimates.

The BLM is not aware of any decreed water rights within the proposed instream flow reach.

Relationship to Land Management Plans. This stream reach is located within BLM's "East Douglas Creek Area of Critical Environmental Concern." The BLM designated this area to protect important biologically diverse plant communities, riparian habitat, and cutthroat trout habitat. TheBLM intends to continue management of this watershed for natural conditions and processes. Appropriation of an instream flow water right would assist BLM in long-term management of riparian values and important fishery values.

Data sheets, R2Cross output, fishery survey information, and photographs of the cross section were included with BLM's draft recommendation in February 2014. We thank both Colorado Parks and Wildlife and the Colorado Water Conservation Board for their cooperation in this effort.

If you have any questions regarding our instream flow recommendation, please contact Roy Smith at 303-239-3940.

Sincerely,

Leigh Espy Deputy State Director Resources and Fire


Cc: Kent Walter, White River FO Bob Lange, White River FO Ed Hollowed, White River FO

White River Field Office Stream Surveys June 2010

Brush Creek - Water Code #19299

Brush Creek, located on BLM lands administered by the White River Field Office, was sampled approximately two miles above the confluence with East Douglas Creek on June 16, 2010. Sampling was conducted to determine fishery status, determine the upper distribution of resident trout, and to analyze stream habitat complexity. The site was sampled with two crews using backpack electroshockers. Personnel present were Tom Fresques, Kristy Wallner, and Gregor Dekleva, BLM, and Frank Boyd Wright III, Colorado Division of Wildlife.

Rainbow trout, cutthroat trout, and apparent rainbow/cutthroat hybrids were collected. A population estimate was not completed.





Uppermost Sample Site



Middle Reach



Lower Reach



Rainbow trout



Rainbow trout



Old log drop structure?



Small waterfall - no fish seen or collected above here

Discussion:

Brush Creek was running clear and had a predominantly clean gravel substrate. The stream appears to be a Rosgen B channel type in the upper reaches and a B/A in the middle and lower reaches. The upper reach had reliable flow as a result of input from several tributary springs, but lacked good riparian habitat/stream shading, and larger deeper pools. Stream banks were dominated by dandelion and houndstongue with no woody species or desirable herbaceous species. The middle reach lacked woody species as well but had better herbaceous cover primarily sedges and rushes, although active bank erosion was evident and stream cover was still largely lacking. This reach had better flow and slightly better pool habitat. The lower reach was conifer dominated and had much better stream cover and shading. With increased flows, pools were deeper and more abundant. Large woody debris helped to create pools and slow stream velocities.

The upper reach apparently used to contain a rather large beaver pond complex although little evidence of these ponds exists. It appears that the ponds blew out some time ago and the stream appears to still be healing. It appears that some human constructed log drop structures were placed in the upper reach to create pool habitat. The majority of these have failed, but a few are still functioning. In the middle reach, it

appears that large woody material (spruce-fir trees) was placed into and adjacent to the creek to help slow stream velocities and reduce streambank erosion.

Fish were collected from the BLM/private land boundary upstream to a small waterfall. This waterfall does not appear to be a barrier to upstream movement but no fish were seen or collected above the site. Fish density near and at the upper sampling terminus was low. A total of 10 fish were seen or collected in approximately 800 feet of stream. This area is also close to the transition between the lower and middle reach. The middle reach provides substantially less cover and currently provides poor trout habitat. It is possible/likely that improvements in streamside vegetation and cover in the upper and middle reaches could improve and expand the upstream range of resident fish.

For the purposes of possible reclamation of the upper East Douglas Creek watershed, limited habitat complexity was noted on the portions of Brush Creek on BLM lands. The lack of beaver ponds could make chemical reclamation of this creek much easier and increase the likelihood of project success.

Recommendations:

- We assume that fish extend all the way down to the confluence with East Douglas Creek. We need to look at the creek on private lands and assess the habitat complexity of this lowest reach.
- Need to meet with private land owners in the Brush Creek watershed regarding potential reclamation and conversion of the creek back to a native cutthroat fishery.

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD INSTREAM FLOW / NATURAL LAKE LEVEL PROGRAM STREAM CROSS-SECTION AND FLOW ANALYSIS

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME:

XS LOCATION: XS NUMBER:	0.25 m u/s fr 1	conf w/ E. Douglas Ck.
DATE: OBSERVERS:	29-Jul-09 R. Smith, P. 0	Crowley
1/4 SEC: SECTION: TWP: RANGE: PM:	SE 34 4S 101W Sixth	
COUNTY: WATERSHED: DIVISION: DOW CODE:	Rio Blanco/G White River 6 19299	arfield
USGS MAP: USFS MAP:	0 0	
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	=	*** NOTE *** Leave TAPE WT and TENSION at defaults for data collected
TAPE WT: TENSION:	0.0106 99999	with a survey level and rod
CHANNEL PROFILE DATA	_	
SLOPE:	0.012	
INPUT DATA CHECKED B	Y:	DATE
ASSIGNED TO:		DATE

Brush Creek

STREAM NAME: XS LOCATION:

Brush Creek

0.25 m u/s fr conf w/ E. Douglas Ck.

XS NUMBER:

DATA POINTS=

18

VALUES COMPUTED FROM RAW FIELD DATA

FEATURE	DIST	VERT DEPTH	WATER DEPTH	VEL	WETTED PERIM.	WATER DEPTH	AREA (Am)	Q (Om)	% Q CELL
	וטוטו	DEPTH	DEPIN	VEL	PERIIVI.	DEPTH	(AIII)	(Qm)	CELL
RS	2.00	5.09			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
	3.00	5.62			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
1 G	4.50	5.91			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
W	5.00	6.35	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
	5.30	6.65	0.30	0.81	0.42	0.30	0.09	0.07	9.9%
	5.60	6.65	0.30	1.44	0.30	0.30	0.09	0.13	17.7%
	5.90	6.65	0.30	1.24	0.30	0.30	0.09	0.11	15.2%
	6.20	6.60	0.25	1.50	0.30	0.25	0.08	0.11	15.3%
	6.50	6.60	0.25	1.49	0.30	0.25	0.08	0.11	15.2%
	6.80	6.55	0.20	1.26	0.30	0.20	0.06	0.08	10.3%
	7.10	6.55	0.20	1.06	0.30	0.20	0.06	0.06	8.7%
	7.40	6.50	0.15	0.87	0.30	0.15	0.05	0.04	5.3%
	7.70	6.50	0.15	0.39	0.30	0.15	0.05	0.02	2.4%
	8.00	6.45	0.10	0.00	0.30	0.10	0.03	0.00	0.0%
W	8.20	6.35			0.22		0.00	0.00	0.0%
1 G	8.90	5.78			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
	11.80	5.56			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
LS	13.70	4.53			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
ТО	TALS				3.36	0.3	0.66	0.73	100.0%
						(Max.)			

 $\begin{tabular}{lll} Manning's n = & 0.0488 \\ Hydraulic Radius = & 0.19468418 \\ \end{tabular}$

XS LOCATION: 0.25 m u/s fr conf w/ E. Douglas Ck.

XS NUMBER:

WATER LINE COMPARISON TABLE

WATER	MEAS	COMP	AREA
LINE	AREA	AREA	ERROR
	0.66	0.66	0.0%
6.10	0.66	1.53	133.4%
6.12	0.66	1.45	121.9%
6.14	0.66	1.38	110.6%
6.16	0.66	1.31	99.3%
6.18	0.66	1.23	88.3%
6.20	0.66	1.16	77.3%
6.22	0.66	1.09	66.6%
6.24	0.66	1.02	55.9%
6.26	0.66	0.95	45.4%
6.28	0.66	0.88	35.1%
6.30	0.66	0.82	24.9%
6.31	0.66	0.78	19.8%
6.32	0.66	0.75	14.8%
6.33	0.66	0.72	9.8%
6.34	0.66	0.69	4.9%
6.35	0.66	0.66	0.0%
6.36	0.66	0.62	-4.9%
6.37	0.66	0.59	-9.7%
6.38	0.66	0.56	-14.5%
6.39	0.66	0.53	-19.2%
6.40	0.66	0.50	-23.9%
6.42	0.66	0.44	-33.1%
6.44	0.66	0.38	-42.1%
6.46	0.66	0.32	-50.9%
6.48	0.66	0.27	-59.4%
6.50	0.66	0.21	-67.4%
6.52	0.66	0.17	-74.0%
6.54	0.66	0.13	-80.3%
6.56	0.66	0.09	-85.6%
6.58	0.66	0.07	-90.1%
6.60	0.66	0.04	-94.1%

WATERLINE AT ZERO AREA ERROR =

6.350

XS LOCATION: 0.25 m u/s fr conf w/ E. Douglas Ck.

XS NUMBER:

Constant Manning's n

 $^*GL^*$ = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag $^*WL^*$ = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag STAGING TABLE

-	DIST TO	TOP	AVG.	MAX.		WETTED	PERCENT	HYDR		AVG.
	WATER	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA	PERIM.	WET PERIM	RADIUS	FLOW	VELOCITY
_	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(SQ FT)	(FT)	(%)	(FT)	(CFS)	(FT/SEC)
_										<u>.</u>
GL	5.91	4.24	0.54	0.74	2.29	4.73	100.0%	0.48	4.72	2.06
	5.95	4.15	0.51	0.70	2.12	4.60	97.4%	0.46	4.23	1.99
	6.00	4.03	0.48	0.65	1.92	4.45	94.1%	0.43	3.66	1.91
	6.05	3.91	0.44	0.60	1.72	4.29	90.8%	0.40	3.12	1.81
	6.10	3.79	0.40	0.55	1.53	4.14	87.6%	0.37	2.63	1.72
	6.15	3.67	0.37	0.50	1.34	3.98	84.3%	0.34	2.17	1.62
	6.20	3.55	0.33	0.45	1.16	3.83	81.0%	0.30	1.75	1.51
	6.25	3.44	0.29	0.40	0.99	3.67	77.7%	0.27	1.37	1.39
	6.30	3.32	0.25	0.35	0.82	3.52	74.4%	0.23	1.03	1.26
WL	6.35	3.20	0.20	0.30	0.65	3.36	71.2%	0.19	0.73	1.12
	6.40	3.05	0.16	0.25	0.50	3.18	67.3%	0.16	0.48	0.97
	6.45	2.90	0.12	0.20	0.35	3.00	63.4%	0.12	0.28	0.80
	6.50	2.25	0.09	0.15	0.21	2.32	49.2%	0.09	0.15	0.68
	6.55	1.60	0.07	0.10	0.11	1.65	34.9%	0.07	0.06	0.55
	6.60	0.95	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.97	20.6%	0.04	0.02	0.39

XS LOCATION: 0.25 m u/s fr conf w/ E. Douglas Ck.

XS NUMBER:

SUMMARY SHEET

MEASURED FLOW (Qm)=	0.73	cfs	RECOMMENDED INS	TREAM FLOW:
CALCULATED FLOW (Qc)=	0.73	cfs	=======================================	========
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	0.0	%		
			FLOW (CFS)	PERIOD
MEASURED WATERLINE (WLm)=	6.35	ft	========	======
CALCULATED WATERLINE (WLc)=	6.35	ft		
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	0.0	%		
MAX MEASURED DEPTH (Dm)=	0.30	ft		
MAX CALCULATED DEPTH (Dc)=	0.30	ft		
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100	0.0	%		
MEAN VELOCITY=	1.12	ft/sec		
MANNING'S N=	0.049			
SLOPE=	0.012	ft/ft		
.4 * Qm =		cfs		
2.5 * Qm=	1.8	cfs		
RECOMMENDATION BY:		AGENCY		DATE:
CWCB REVIEW BY:				DATE
ONAD MENIEM DI				DAIE


XS LOCATION: 0.25 m u/s fr conf w/ E. Douglas Ck.


XS NUMBER: 1 Jarrett Variable Manning's n Correction Applied


GL = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag


STAGING TABLE *WL* = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag


	DIST TO	TOP	AVG.	MAX.		WETTED	PERCENT	HYDR		AVG.
	WATER	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA	PERIM.	WET PERIM	RADIUS	FLOW	VELOCITY
	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(SQ FT)	(FT)	(%)	(FT)	(CFS)	(FT/SEC)
GL	5.91	4.24	0.54	0.74	2.29	4.73	100.0%	0.48	5.46	2.38
	5.95	4.15	0.51	0.70	2.12	4.60	97.4%	0.46	4.86	2.29
	6.00	4.03	0.48	0.65	1.92	4.45	94.1%	0.43	4.16	2.16
	6.05	3.91	0.44	0.60	1.72	4.29	90.8%	0.40	3.51	2.04
	6.10	3.79	0.40	0.55	1.53	4.14	87.6%	0.37	2.91	1.90
	6.15	3.67	0.37	0.50	1.34	3.98	84.3%	0.34	2.37	1.76
	6.20	3.55	0.33	0.45	1.16	3.83	81.0%	0.30	1.88	1.62
	6.25	3.44	0.29	0.40	0.99	3.67	77.7%	0.27	1.44	1.46
	6.30	3.32	0.25	0.35	0.82	3.52	74.4%	0.23	1.06	1.30
WL	6.35	3.20	0.20	0.30	0.65	3.36	71.2%	0.19	0.73	1.12
	6.40	3.05	0.16	0.25	0.50	3.18	67.3%	0.16	0.47	0.94
	6.45	2.90	0.12	0.20	0.35	3.00	63.4%	0.12	0.26	0.73
	6.50	2.25	0.09	0.15	0.21	2.32	49.2%	0.09	0.13	0.60
	6.55	1.60	0.07	0.10	0.11	1.65	34.9%	0.07	0.05	0.46
	6.60	0.95	0.04	0.05	0.04	0.97	20.6%	0.04	0.01	0.30













FIELD DATA **FOR INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS**



COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOAR	D			LOC	ATIC	II N	NFO	RMA	TIOI	1								Ů.
STREAM NAME: BYU	sh Cn	eek													C	ROSS-	SECTIO	N NO.:
CROSS-SECTION LOCATION:																		
	East Bouglas Creek																	
DATE: 7-29-09 OBS																		
LEGAL % SEC DESCRIPTION	TION:	E SECTI	ON:	34	/ TO	OWNSH	HP:	4	4 N	(3)	RANG	E:	10)/ E	100	PM:	60	
COUNTY: 1210 BI	COUNTY: WATER DIVISION: DOW WATER CODE:																	
USGS:							Ç.		2	12	. 3		D	9	65			
USFS:			_			6	96		F.	<u>, </u>			45		6	17		
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA																		
SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION:	YES/NO	METER	TYPE:	M.	- M													
METER NUMBER:	D	ATE RATED:	,		CALIE	3/SPIN.	_	:	sec	S TAPE V	U /\ ÆIGHT	MA		os/lool	TAP	E TENSI	/	eo ibs
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZ	EBANGE:	obble	5				рното	DGRAPI	IS TAKE	N YE	No		NUMBI	ER OF F	ното	GRAPHS	s: 3	
· ·																		
STATION	DISTA FROM	NCE TAPE (ft)		ROD	READ	ING (fi)	,					•	3					LEGEND:
X Tape @ Stake LB	0.0)	5	иу	ve	ye/		_									- st	ake 🕱
X Yape @ Stake HB	0.0)		»ll V	√ /€	<u>y00</u>		s K								•	i	ation (1)
1) WS @ Tape L8/R8	0.0)	6	9 3 .	5 /	6.3	35 j	E 1 C	13) = 7		TAPE	٨				Pr	noto (1)-
2 WS Upstream	8,	8		4		8	_	н					Δ	,			_	
3 WS Downstream	15	<u>.5</u>		6	, 5	8	_	-				•			\bigcap		Direct	ction of Flow
SLOPE ,	0/24	1,3=									_		<i>-</i>		U			
			AC	TAU	ıc s	AMF	LIN	G SI	JMM	ARY				•				
STREAM ELECTROFISHED: Y	ES/NO	DISTANCE ELE	CTROFIS	HED: _	ft		F	ISH CA	UGHT.	YES/NO)		WATER	CHEM	IISTRY	SAMPL	ED: YES	S/NO
	!	LENGTH - FR	QUENC	Y DISTR	RIBUTIO	ON BY (ONE-IN	CH SIZ	E GRO	JPS (1.	0 - 1 . 9 , 2	.0-2.9,	ETC.)					
SPECIES (FILL IN)		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	1.1	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL
			_		-		ļ		<u></u>	<u> </u>			ļ			<u> </u>	<u> </u>	
								-			ļ				 		-	
	 					-									 		-	
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION BY COMMON OF SCIENTIFIC ORDER NAME.																		
mouth	can	dist	<u>u</u>															
, ,			<i>J</i>		cc	MM	ENT	rs										
Ph= 8,4																		
TOS: 80	TOS: 800 Temp: 12°C																	
Temp=	12°C									<u>-</u>								

DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME:	By	New	Creek			CF	ROSS-SECTION	NO.:	7-28 -	09 SHEET	OF
BEGINNING OF N	_	FROFFIE	VATER LOOKING D		LEFT / RIGI	HT Gage	Reading:	11		45	
Stake (S) Grassline (G) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Depth of Obser- vation (It)	Revolution	Time (sec)	Veloci At Point	Mean in Vertical	Area (I1 ²)	Discharge (cfs)
125	20		5,09								
	30		5,62					<u> </u>	ļ		
G	4.5		5.91		-	 -					
W	5.0 5.3		6.35	.30			 	0.8	·-		<u> </u>
	5.6		6.65	, 30	-			1 44			
	5.9		6.65	,30				1.2	1		
_	6.2		6-60	25	-			1.50)		
	6,5		6.60	125				1_49	<u> </u>		
	60 8		6.55	. 70				1. 26	,		
	7.1		6,55	120				LOL	I		
	7.4		6,50	. 15			<u> </u>	0.5	3		
1	7.7		6,50	, 15				0,3	7	_	-
	8.0		6.45	.10				\$			
										1	
			-								
								_		-	ļ
						<u> </u>					
						<u></u>	_				
					·		<u> </u>			<u> </u>	<u> </u>
	ļ					_		 		-	-
	<u> </u>									 	
			 		. <u>-</u>	_				 	
	-										
							1				
W	8.2		6.35	<u> </u>							
G	8.9		5.78							 	-
1,5	13.7		5,56					1		 	
L 3	17./_		1.37			-	-	+			-
	<u> </u>										
									_	-	
ļ		_	<u> </u>	-		 		 		-	
-	1	-			-	-	-	+			
								1		-	
											
TOTALS:					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	1			
End of Meas	urement T	ime:	Gage Readir	ng:!	CALCULA	TIONS PERFO	RMED BY		CALCULATION	S CHECKED B	۲·

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD INSTREAM FLOW / NATURAL LAKE LEVEL PROGRAM STREAM CROSS-SECTION AND FLOW ANALYSIS

Approx. 0.25 u/s fr conf. w/ East Douglas Ck.

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME:

XS LOCATION:

XS NUMBER:	2	
DATE: OBSERVERS:	29-Jul-09 R. Smith, P. 0	Crowley
1/4 SEC: SECTION: TWP: RANGE: PM:	SE 34 4S 101W Sixth	
COUNTY: WATERSHED: DIVISION: DOW CODE:	Rio Blanco/G White River 6 19299	arfield
USGS MAP: USFS MAP:	0 0	
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	=	*** NOTE ***
		Leave TAPE WT and TENSIOn at defaults for data collected
TAPE WT:	0.0106	with a survey level and rod
TENSION:	99999	
CHANNEL PROFILE DATA	<u>.</u>	
SLOPE:	0.014	
INPUT DATA CHECKED B	Y:	DATE
ASSIGNED TO:		DATE

Brush Creek

STREAM NAME:

Brush Creek

XS LOCATION:

Approx. 0.25 u/s fr conf. w/ East Douglas Ck.

XS NUMBER:

DATA POINTS=

16

VALUES COMPUTED FROM RAW FIELD DATA

FEATURE		VERT	WATER		WETTED	WATER	AREA	Q	% C
i.	DIST	DEPTH	DEPTH	VEL	PERIM.	DEPTH	(Am)	(Qm)	CELL
RS	2.00	4.65			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
1 G	3.90	5.48			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
W	4.10	6.10	0.00	0.00	0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
	4.20	6.25	0.15	0.00	0.18	0.15	0.03	0.00	0.0%
	4.50	6.30	0.20	0.72	0.30	0.20	0.06	0.04	5.7%
	4.80	6.40	0.30	1.15	0.32	0.30	0.09	0.10	13.7%
	5.10	6.40	0.30	1.48	0.30	0.30	0.09	0.13	17.6%
	5.40	6.40	0.30	1.34	0.30	0.30	0.09	0.12	15.9%
	5.70	6.40	0.30	1.39	0.30	0.30	0.09	0.13	16.5%
	6.00	6.35	0.25	1.39	0.30	0.25	0.08	0.10	13.8%
	6.30	6.30	0.20	1.43	0.30	0.20	0.06	0.09	11.3%
	6.60	6.30	0.20	0.85	0.30	0.20	0.05	0.04	5.6%
W	6.80	6.10	0.00	0.00	0.28		0.00	0.00	0.0%
	7.10	5.64			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
G	8.50	5.36			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
LS	10.60	4.75			0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
ТО	TALS				2.89	0.3	0.64	0.76	100.0%
	-					(Max.)			

Manning's n = Hydraulic Radius=

0.0536 0.21958927 STREAM NAME: Brush Creek
XS LOCATION: Approx. 0.25
XS NIMBER: 2

Approx. 0.25 u/s fr conf. w/ East Douglas Ck. 2

XS NUMBER:

WATER LINE COMPARISON TABLE

WATER	MEAS	COMP	AREA
LINE	AREA	AREA	ERROR
	0.64	0.64	0.0%
5.85	0.64	1.34	111.1%
5.87	0.64	1.28	101.9%
5.89	0.64	1.22	92.7%
5.91	0.64	1.17	83.6%
5.93	0.64	1.11	74.5%
5.95	0.64	1.05	65.5%
5.97	0.64	0.99	56.6%
5.99	0.64	0.94	47.7%
6.01	0.64	0.88	38.9%
6.03	0.64	0.83	30.1%
6.05	0.64	0.77	21.5%
6.06	0.64	0.74	17.1%
6.07	0.64	0.72	12.8%
6.08	0.64	0.69	8.5%
6.09	0.64	0.66	4.3%
6.10	0.64	0.64	0.0%
6.11	0.64	0.61	-4.2%
6.12	0.64	0.58	-8.5%
6.13	0.64	0.55	-12.6%
6.14	0.64	0.53	-16.8%
6.15	0.64	0.50	-20.9%
6.17	0.64	0.45	-29.1%
6.19	0.64	0.40	-37.2%
6.21	0.64	0.35	-45.2%
6.23	0.64	0.30	-53.1%
6.25	0.64	0.25	-60.8%
6.27	0.64	0.20	-68.3%
6.29	0.64	0.16	-75.4%
6.31	0.64	0.12	-81.5%
6.33	0.64	0.09	-86.6%
6.35	0.64	0.06	-91.1%

WATERLINE AT ZERO AREA ERROR =

6.100

XS LOCATION: Approx. 0.25 u/s fr conf. w/ East Douglas Ck.

XS NUMBER:

 $^*GL^*$ = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag $^*WL^*$ = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag STAGING TABLE

	DIST TO	TOP	AVG.	MAX.		WETTED	PERCENT	HYDR		AVG.
	WATER	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA	PERIM.	WET PERIM	RADIUS	FLOW	VELOCITY
_	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(SQ FT)	(FT)	(%)	(FT)	(CFS)	(FT/SEC)
GL	5.48	4.00	0.64	0.92	2.55	4.91	100.0%	0.52	5.41	2.12
	5.50	3.89	0.64	0.90	2.47	4.79	97.5%	0.52	5.22	2.11
	5.55	3.63	0.63	0.85	2.29	4.48	91.2%	0.51	4.79	2.09
	5.60	3.36	0.63	0.80	2.11	4.17	85.0%	0.51	4.40	2.08
	5.65	3.14	0.62	0.75	1.95	3.90	79.5%	0.50	4.02	2.06
	5.70	3.09	0.58	0.70	1.79	3.79	77.2%	0.47	3.57	1.99
	5.75	3.04	0.54	0.65	1.64	3.68	74.9%	0.45	3.14	1.91
	5.80	2.99	0.50	0.60	1.49	3.57	72.6%	0.42	2.73	1.83
	5.85	2.94	0.46	0.55	1.34	3.45	70.3%	0.39	2.34	1.75
	5.90	2.89	0.41	0.50	1.19	3.34	68.1%	0.36	1.97	1.65
	5.95	2.85	0.37	0.45	1.05	3.23	65.8%	0.33	1.63	1.55
	6.00	2.80	0.33	0.40	0.91	3.12	63.5%	0.29	1.31	1.44
	6.05	2.75	0.28	0.35	0.77	3.00	61.2%	0.26	1.02	1.33
WL	6.10	2.70	0.24	0.30	0.63	2.89	58.9%	0.22	0.76	1.19
	6.15	2.62	0.19	0.25	0.50	2.76	56.3%	0.18	0.53	1.05
	6.20	2.53	0.15	0.20	0.37	2.63	53.6%	0.14	0.33	0.89
	6.25	2.45	0.10	0.15	0.25	2.50	50.9%	0.10	0.18	0.70
	6.30	1.80	0.08	0.10	0.14	1.82	37.2%	0.07	0.08	0.58
	6.35	1.35	0.04	0.05	0.06	1.36	27.8%	0.04	0.02	0.39
	6.40	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

Constant Manning's n

XS LOCATION: Approx. 0.25 u/s fr conf. w/ East Douglas Ck.

XS NUMBER:

SUMMARY SHEET

MEASURED FLOW (Qm)=	0.76		RECOMMENDED INS	TREAM FLOW:
CALCULATED FLOW (Qc)=	0.76	cfs	=======================================	========
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	0.0	%	FLOW (CES)	DEDIOD
MEASURED WATERLINE (WLm)=	6.10	ft	FLOW (CFS)	PERIOD ======
CALCULATED WATERLINE (WLc)=	6.10			
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	0.0			
MAX MEASURED DEPTH (Dm)=	0.30	ft		
MAX CALCULATED DEPTH (Dc)=	0.30			
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100	0.0			
MEAN VELOCITY=	1 10	ft/sec		
MANNING'S N=	0.054	10300		
SLOPE=	0.014	ft/ft		
.4 * Qm =	0.3	cfs		
2.5 * Qm=	1.9			
RECOMMENDATION BY:		AGENCY		DATE:
CWCB REVIEW BY:				DATE:


XS LOCATION: Approx. 0.25 u/s fr conf. w/ East Douglas Ck.


XS NUMBER: 2 Jarrett Variable Manning's n Correction Applied


GL = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag


STAGING TABLE *WL* = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag


-	DIST TO	TOP	AVG.	MAX.		WETTED	PERCENT	HYDR		AVG.
	WATER	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA	PERIM.	WET PERIM	RADIUS	FLOW	VELOCITY
	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(SQ FT)	(FT)	(%)	(FT)	(CFS)	(FT/SEC)
GL	5.48	4.00	0.64	0.92	2.55	4.91	100.0%	0.52	6.21	2.43
GL	5.50	3.89	0.64	0.92	2.47	4.79	97.5%	0.52	5.99	2.42
	5.55	3.63	0.63	0.85	2.29	4.48	91.2%	0.51	5.48	2.40
	5.60	3.36	0.63	0.80	2.11	4.17	85.0%	0.51	5.02	2.38
	5.65	3.14	0.62	0.75	1.95	3.90	79.5%	0.50	4.59	2.36
	5.70	3.09	0.58	0.70	1.79	3.79	77.2%	0.47	4.04	2.25
	5.75	3.04	0.54	0.65	1.64	3.68	74.9%	0.45	3.52	2.14
	5.80	2.99	0.50	0.60	1.49	3.57	72.6%	0.42	3.02	2.03
	5.85	2.94	0.46	0.55	1.34	3.45	70.3%	0.39	2.56	1.91
	5.90	2.89	0.41	0.50	1.19	3.34	68.1%	0.36	2.13	1.79
	5.95	2.85	0.37	0.45	1.05	3.23	65.8%	0.33	1.74	1.65
	6.00	2.80	0.33	0.40	0.91	3.12	63.5%	0.29	1.37	1.51
	6.05	2.75	0.28	0.35	0.77	3.00	61.2%	0.26	1.05	1.36
WL	6.10	2.70	0.24	0.30	0.63	2.89	58.9%	0.22	0.76	1.19
	6.15	2.62	0.19	0.25	0.50	2.76	56.3%	0.18	0.51	1.02
	6.20	2.53	0.15	0.20	0.37	2.63	53.6%	0.14	0.31	0.83
	6.25	2.45	0.10	0.15	0.25	2.50	50.9%	0.10	0.15	0.62
	6.30	1.80	0.08	0.10	0.14	1.82	37.2%	0.07	0.07	0.49
	6.35	1.35	0.04	0.05	0.06	1.36	27.8%	0.04	0.02	0.30
	6.40	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!













FIELD DATA FOR **INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS**



CONSERVATION BOAR	D			L.	LOCA	ALIO	יוו ואי	IFUF	IWA	HUN							············		
STREAM NAME: Brus	sh C	eek.														C	ROSS-S	ECTION	-:.ON N
CROSS-SECTION LOCATION:		MOY.	1/4	m	ile	. ()	<u> </u>	hec	m	- Fr	to W	1 <	COM	1	- ~	/_			
		East		-	19/0	"		100	a										<u>_</u>
1-27-07	TION	2, Sw	A I I	*	<u>P</u>	Tro	W CO					RANGE	 				PM:	<u> </u>	7
LEGAL W SEC DESCRIPTION COUNTY:		SE SE		· 	31	<u> </u>			TER DIV	VISION	S /		· ——		DOW W	ATER C		6!	
140 151	WALO	YYATEN	W	hit	: CJ	Court	ver		I East Co.			<u>6</u>						M	299
MAP(S): USGS:																			
Vars.					ent.			· Τ Λ [- Δ	 -									
		Τ.,.			50r	PPLE	:M E :	NIA		HA.									
SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION:	YES) A	v O 1	TER TY	PE: 	M	-1	1			—-г			NO116	4 A		η	e la	ለየሚ	more —
HANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE										TAPE	SUNCYCO TENSION: LIBS								
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZ	E RANGE	cob	DE	35				рното	GRAPH	IS TAKE	N YES	<i>)</i> }•		NUMBL	H Or .	HUIGO	i H AFri.):	; :
<i>C</i>					СНА	NNE	EL P	ROF	ILE !	DATA	٠				<u></u> -				
STATION	D FF	DISTANCE ROM TAPE (III	t)		ROD	READI	ING (II)		(X)								LEGEND:		
Y Tape @ Stake LB		0.0		surveyed								Stake 🛠			ake 🕱				
(X) Tape @ Stake RB		0.0		I	5 (1	ş	f f	1 8	S K E						Station 1			ation (1)	
1) WS@ Tape LB/RB		0.0		16	<u>6.18</u>		6,1		7 3 4								Photo (
2 WS Upstream	<u> </u>	70		+		0.0			_						Direction of			ction of Flov	
3 WS Downstream		₹,0 15.0		01		7,0	<u></u>	\dashv		8 (1))			
SCOPE LAW &	Similar & Comment	3 · V			<i>l'7</i> DUAT	ic s	AMF	LIN	⊥ G Sl	IMM	ARY						-		
STREAM ELECTROFISHED: N	VERINO.	DISTANCE	F F ECT			- It	Ī	ı		UGHT:		1		WATER	CHEN	IISTRY:	SAMPL	EU YE	S/NO
STREAM ELECTROPISHES.	rea/no	LENGTH						<u> </u>		-			0-2.9,						7
SPECIES (FILL IN)		LLINE	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	B	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL
			\vdash		 			-		 	-					-	 	 	
			\vdash	<u> </u>	 	 	 			 - -	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u> </u>
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM		1		ENTIFIC	C ORDE	ER NAM	IE.												
maybly, c	- ada	y Mark	1																
•						CC	омм	ENT			·				_				
Ph= 8,4									Ex	(C	ell	en i	7 /	rpo	17	an	. d-		
705: 80 Temp= 1	0								M	Of	ph	pla	0 9 V	<u> </u>	10 h	de	dys	M =	 _
Temp= 1	<u>So (</u>																	-	

DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME:	Bru	sh (Creek			CF	IOSS-SECTION	NO:: 2	DATE: 7-29-	C7 SHEET	OF
BEGINNING OF M		EDGE OCH	ATER LOOKING DO	OWNSTREAM:	LEFT / RIGH	-IT Gage	Reading:	ft] 1		10 an	
Stake (S) Grasstine (G) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (it)	Depth of Obser- vation (ft)	Revolution	Time (sec)	Velocity At Point	Mean in Vertical	Area (fi ²)	Discharge (cfs)
25 G W	2.0 3.9 4.1		4,65 5.48 6.10		_						
	4.2 4.5 4.8		6.30	.15				0.72			
	5.1 5.4		6.40	,30				1,48 1,324			
	5.7 6.0 6.3		6.40 6.35 6.30	,30 ,25 ,20				1.39	9		
	6.6		6.30	,20				0.8	>		
				-							
	1 4		(, , , ,								
W G LS	6.8 7.1 8.5		5,64 5,36 4,75								
	1.0,0		1/10								
										8	
TOTALS:			0 5		CALCULAT	IONS PERFO	RMED BY	<u>! </u>	CALCULATIONS	CHECKED BY	
End of Measu	rement Ti	me.	Gage Readini	g (i	<u> </u>				<u> </u>	<u> </u>	















