

United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Colorado State Office 2850 Youngfield Street Lakewood, Colorado 80215-7210 www.co.blm.gov



DEC % \$ 2013

Colorado Nation Conservation Suom

In Reply Refer To: 7250 (CO-930) DEC 1 8 2013

Ms. Linda Bassi Colorado Water Conservation Board 1313 Sherman Street, Room 721 Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Ms. Bassi:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is writing this letter to formally communicate its recommendation for instream flow water rights on Ute Creek, located in Water Division 4.

Location and Land Status. Ute Creek is tributary to West Creek approximately five miles northeast of the town of Gateway. This recommendation covers the stream reach beginning at the headwaters and extending downstream to the confluence with West Creek, a distance of approximately 6.29 miles. The entire stream reach is located on public lands, with approximately 2.15 miles managed by the U.S. Forest Service and 4.14 miles managed by the BLM.

Biological Summary. Ute Creek is a cold-water stream with a high gradient in a narrow canyon. The stream is confined by bedrock and generally has large substrate. The stream has an adequate mix of riffle, run, and deep pool habitats to support a salmonid fishery. Intensive macroinvertebrate surveys have not been conducted, but spot samples have revealed various species of mayfly and caddisfly.

Fish surveys revealed populations of rainbow trout and brown trout. The stream appears to be used for spawning by rainbow trout and brown trout. The creek is likely a significant nursery habitat area for small fish spawned from parent fish that reside in West Creek. Nursery habitat is critical because much of West Creek is characterized by high gradients and velocities that aren't suitable for young-of-the-year trout.

The riparian community along Ute Creek is notable. The riparian community contains extremely healthy cottonwood galleries with all age classes present, and willow communities are robust where soil depths are insufficient for cottonwoods. The BLM considers Ute Creek to be one of the best examples of a completely natural and intact riparian community on the Uncompany Plateau.

Cross Section	Discharge Rate	Top Width	Winter Flow	Summer Flow
Date			Recommendation	Recommendation
			(meets 2 of 3	(meets 3 of 3
			hydraulic	hydraulic
			criteria)	criteria)
05/21/2010 #1	1.37 cfs	11.25 feet	1.70 cfs	2.04 cfs
05/21/2010 #2	1.28 cfs	9.50 feet	0.98 cfs	1.96 cfs
<u></u>		Averages:	1.34 cfs	2.0 cfs

R2Cross Analysis. BLM collected the following R2Cross data from Ute Creek:

The BLM's analysis of this data, coordinated with Colorado Parks and Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

2.0 cubic feet per second is recommended for the snowmelt runoff period, from April 1 through June 15. This recommendation is driven by the average velocity and wetted perimeter criteria. This creek experiences consistently low flows during late summer and fall, so it is important to protect as much physical habitat as possible during the limited time when snowmelt runoff flows are available.

0.3 cubic feet per second is recommended for the remainder of the year, from June 16 to March 31. This recommendation is driven by limited water availability. 0.3 cfs will provide approximately 30 percent wetted perimeter and an average velocity of 0.7 feet per second. This flow rate is capable of maintaining pool habitat in the creek and preventing excessively water high temperatures.

Water Availability. There is no readily available gage date for this creek or for the larger West Creek watershed in which Ute Creek is located. The BLM recommends using the StreamStats package developed jointly between the U.S. Geological Survey and the Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB). The BLM's experience is that for applications on the Uncompander Plateau, this package is very reliable in terms of estimating average monthly flow rates that can be expected during the snowmelt runoff period. However, the BLM believes that the Streamstats program tends to overestimate flow rates during late summer through winter, because the program is not capable of considering the local geology through which stream channels are routed. On the Uncompander Plateau, almost all stream systems are losing stream systems. The BLM's spot measurements of Ute Creek indicate that an average of 0.3 cfs is available on Ute Creek from July through March.

The BLM is not aware of any decreed water rights that operate within the recommended stream reach.

Relationship to Land Management Plans. The BLM's inventories of conditions in the Ute Creek watershed indicate that it is in largely natural condition, with very little surface disturbance

and no development other than trails and limited livestock grazing infrastructure. The BLM intends to continue management of the watershed for natural conditions and processes. Appropriation of an instream flow water right would assist the BLM in long-term management of outstanding riparian values and important fishery values.

Data sheets, R2Cross output, fishery survey information, and photographs of the cross section were included with the BLM's draft recommendation in February 2011. We thank both Colorado Parks and Wildlife and the CWCB for their cooperation in this effort.

If you have any questions regarding our instream flow recommendation, please contact Roy Smith, Water Rights Specialist, at (303) 239-3940.

Sincerely,

Leigh D. Espy

Deputy State Director, Resources and Fire

cc: Jim Cagney, Northwest DO Katie Stevens, Grand Junction FO Nate Dieterich, Grand Junction FO

DRAFT INSTREAM FLOW RECOMMENDATION

Ms. Linda Bassi Colorado Water Conservation Board 1313 Sherman Street, Room 721 Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Ms. Bassi:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is writing this letter to formally communicate its recommendation for instream flow water rights on Ute Creek, located in Water Division 4.

Location and Land Status. Ute Creek is tributary to West Creek approximately five miles northeast of the town of Gateway. This recommendation covers the stream reach beginning at the headwaters and extending downstream to the confluence with West Creek, a distance of approximately 6.29 miles. The entire stream reach is located on public lands, with approximately 2.15 miles managed by the U.S. Forest Service and 4.14 miles managed by the BLM.

Biological Summary. Ute Creek is a cold-water stream with a high gradient in a narrow canyon. The stream is confined by bedrock and generally has large substrate. The stream has an adequate mix of riffle, run, and deep pool habitats to support a salmonid fishery. Intensive macro-invertebrate surveys have not been conducted, but spot samples have revealed various species of mayfly and caddisfly.

Fish surveys revealed populations of rainbow trout and brown trout. The stream appears to be used for spawning by rainbow trout and brown trout. The creek is likely a significant nursery habitat area for small fish spawned from parent fish that reside primarily in West Creek. Nursery habitat is critical because much of West Creek is characterized by high gradient and velocities that aren't suitable for young-of-the-year trout.

The riparian community along Ute Creek is notable. The riparian community contains extremely healthy cottonwood galleries with all age classes present, and willow communities are robust where soil depths are insufficient for cottonwoods. BLM considers Ute Creek to be one of the best examples of a completely natural and intact riparian community on the Uncompany Plateau.

Cross Section	Discharge Rate	Top Width	Winter Flow	Summer Flow
Date			Recommendation	Recommendation
			(meets 2 of 3	(meets 3 of 3
			hydraulic	hydraulic
			criteria)	criteria)
05/21/2010 #1	1.37 cfs	11.25 feet	1.70 cfs	2.04 cfs
05/21/2010 #2	1.28 cfs	9.50 feet	0.98 cfs	1.96 cfs

R2Cross Analysis. BLM collected the following R2Cross data from Grace Creek:

Averages:1.34 cfs2.0 cfs

BLM's analysis of this data, coordinated with the Division of Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

2.0 cubic feet per second is recommended for the snowmelt runoff period, from March 16 through June 30. This recommendation is driven by the average velocity and wetted perimeter criteria. This creek experiences consistently low flows during late summer and fall, so it is important to protect as much physical habitat as possible during the limited time when snowmelt runoff flows are available.

0.3 cubic feet per second is recommended for the remainder of the year, from July 1 to March 15. This recommendation is driven by limited water availability. 0.3 cfs will provide approximately 30 percent wetted perimeter and an average velocity of 0.7 feet per second. This flow rate is capable of maintaining pool habitat in the creek and preventing excessively water high temperatures.

Water Availability. There is no readily available gage date for this creek or for the large West Creek watershed. BLM recommends using the StreamStats package developed jointly between the U.S. Geological Survey and the CWCB. BLM's experience is that for applications on the Uncompany Plateau, this package is very reliable in terms of estimating average monthly flow rates that can be expected during the snowmelt runoff period. However, BLM believes that the Streamstats program tends to overestimate flow rates during late from late summer through winter, because the program is not capable of considering the local geology through which stream channels are routed. On the Uncompany Plateau, almost all stream systems are losing stream systems. BLM's spot measurements of Ute Creek indicate that an average of 0.3 cfs is available on Ute Creek from July through February.

BLM is not aware of any decreed water rights that operate within the recommended stream reach.

Relationship to Land Management Plans. BLM's inventories of conditions in the Ute Creek watershed indicate that it is in largely natural condition, with very little surface disturbance and no development other than trails and limited livestock grazing infrastructure. BLM intends to continue management of the watershed for natural conditions and processes. Appropriation of an instream flow water right would assist BLM in long-term management of outstanding riparian values and important fishery values.

Data sheets, R2Cross output, fishery survey information, and photographs of the cross section were included with BLM's draft recommendation in February 2011. We thank both the Division of Wildlife and the Water Conservation Board for their cooperation in this effort.

If you have any questions regarding our instream flow recommendation, please contact Roy Smith at 303-239-3940.

Sincerely,

Leigh Espy Deputy State Director Resources and Fire

Cc: Catherine Robertson, Grand Junction FO Nate Dieterich, Grand Junction FO

Grand Junction Field Office Stream Surveys June 2010

Ute Creek - Water Code #45953

Ute Creek, located northeast of Gateway, Colorado on lands managed by the Grand Junction Field Office, was sampled on June 7, 2010. Ute Creek is tributary to West Creek and then the Dolores River. Sampling was conducted to determine fishery status and composition. Rainbow trout and brown trout were collected. Sampling was conducted via backpack electro-shocker. Personnel present were Tom Fresques and Gregor Dekleva, BLM.





Possible barrier at certain flow rates





Rainbow trout

STREAM SURVEY FISH SAMPLING FORM

WATER: Ute Creek H2O CODE: 45953 DATE: 6/7/2010

GEAR: Backpack Electroshocker EFFORT: ~ 200 ft. STATION #1 PASS #

CREW: Fresques, Dekleva, DRAINAGE: West Creek/Dolores River LOCATION: GPS-See Map.

species	length	weight	mark	species	length	weight	mark
RBT	157						
RBT	162						
RBT	123						
LOC	174						
RBT	24						

Station 1 Pass 1

Several YOY fish were observed and were likely this year's rainbows given their size (20-30mm)

Discussion:

This stream is small and appears to be a Rosgen A/B channel type with high gradient and moderate entrenchment. The stream had a good mix of riffles and pools, but larger, deeper pools were limited and were probably the biggest limiting factor regarding habitat condition, especially when coupled with limited stream flow and periodic water temperatures. The water temperature at the time of sampling was 62 degrees Fahrenheit. The stream was running clear with a flow rate of approximately 1 cfs.

Riparian habitat was in good condition. Species included willows, cottonwood, some sedges, rose, and horsetail. Cottonwood trees were large and the stream was well shaded with dense vegetative cover. The Grand Junction Field Office has noted that the riparian community is in exceptionally good condition compared to other streams on the Uncompany Plateau. There was a lot of knapweed adjacent to the lower end of the creek - approximately a 0.25 acre site was infested.

The stream appears to be used for spawning by rainbow and brown trout. The creek is likely a significant nursery habitat area for small fish spawned from parent fish that reside primarily in West Creek. Nursery habitat is critical because much of West Creek is characterized by high gradient and velocities that aren't suitable habitat for young-of-the year trout. Young-of-year trout in the 20-30 mm length range were noted but not collected as they were too small for net mesh size. Adult fish were collected only from the few better pools up to a natural pour over that appears to be a possible fish barrier at certain flows. No fish were collected immediately above the barrier. Several miles of suitable trout habitat exists above the barrier.

The stream would benefit from an instream flow recommendation to protect the existing rainbow and brown trout fishery and to help protect flows for spawning by these two trout species.

Recommendations:

- Periodically monitor the creek to determine fish status and monitor habitat conditions.
- Sample further upstream to confirm upper distribution of fish. If no fish are present, evaluate habitat quality, stream temperatures, and low flow rates with regard to possible reintroduction of Colorado River Cutthroat Trout.

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD STREAM NAME: UHE CROSS-SECTION LOCATION: DATE: 5-21-10 DATE: 5-21-10 DATE: 5-21-10 DATE: 5-21-10 UBSER LEGAL HASECTION COUNTY: Mesca MAP(S):	FIELD DATA FOR INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS LOCATION INFORMATION LOCATION INFORMATION LOCATION INFORMATION CROSS-SECTION NO. 1 INDIE Creek UIDN: 5DC FJ. UPSCHEMME FOM State highway OBSERVERS: R. Smith, W. Werkmoister SECTION: NE SECTION: 28 TOWNSHIP: 15 NO RANGE: 103ED PM: 644 SC WATERSHED: DOLORPS WATER DIVISION: 4 DOW WATER CODE: 45953																	
USFS:			<u> </u>	500		EME	ΝΤΛ									·		
				301	- 921								_					
SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION:	YESIND	МЕТЕЯ Т	YPE:		M	4-1	1						\$					
METER NUMBER:	DATE	RATED:			GAEI	B/SPIN			sec			sey	e 🕹	s/fool	ТАР	SV V		yed
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE	RANGE 11	ململ			4	· · · · · · · ·	РНОТ		IS TAK		SNO.	Ī	NUM8	ROFF	ното	GRAPH	5: 2	
<u>granel a</u>		2000	CS		•	·					2							
				СНА	NN	EL P	ROF	ILE	DAT	A								
STATION	DISTANC	É PE (It)		ROD	READ	ING (fl					-	6	9				- T -	EGEND:
X Tape @ Stake LB	0.0		+ .	ธน	Ne	e Ne	1						<u> </u>				- [.	
🕱 Tape @ Stake HB	0.0			50	W	sie	d	si ki									014	
(1) WS @ Tape LB/RB	0.0		1	015	5 /	10 1	5	E T				APE		and the second second	A		518	
WS Linetreem		~				1. (R		C H		∇	7	F	R	1-0	Ś			
	<u> </u>	2	· 	<u>(</u>	<u>, C</u>	<u>></u>		_					47	>			- Direc	ction of Flow
3 WS Downstream	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		<u> </u>	<u>, 3</u>	.>						()	\sim	P				-
SLOPE , 30	2 []6 .	3		<u>, O</u>	18	_								<u> </u>		_		$ \rightarrow $
			AC	UAT	IC S	амб	PLIN	G SL	лмм	ARY								
STREAM ELECTROFISHED: YES		ANCE ELEC	TROFIS	HED:	{	}	ţ.	ISH CA	UGHT	YES/N	כ		WATER	I CHEM	IISTRY	SAMPL	ED	an)o
	LEN	IGTH - FREC	UENC	r DISTR	IBUTR	ON BY	DNEIN	CH SIZ	EGRO	UPS (1.	0-1.9,2	2.0+2.9,	ETC.)					
SPECIES (FILL IN)		1	5	3	a	5	6	7	в	9	10	11	12	10	14	15	>15	TOTAL
							[[
				ļ		<u> </u>				<u> </u>					<u> </u>	<u> </u>	ļ	
				 		ļ							 		L			
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM S	AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION BY COMMON OR SCIENTIFIC ORDER NAME.																	
maytly, caddiskly																		
COMMENTS																		
Th5= 270		<u> </u>																
Dhe R.U							_											
TEMAA 17	00	·											,					
				_													-	- Hereitzen

DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME:	Ute	Cree	ek:			(CROSSISE	CTION	NO.:	DATE S-ZI	- 10 SHEE	1 Or
BEGINNING OF N	EASUREMENT	EDGE OF V	VATER LOOKING D	OWNSTREAM	LEFT / RIC	GHT Gag	e Reading	g:			OMA	
v/ a) Stake (S)	Distance	Wigth	Totei	Water	Depth	Revolutio	ns		Veloci	ly (ft/sec)		
Grassline (G) Waterline (W) CRock (R)	From Initia) Point (ft)	(ff)	Vertica) Depth From Tape/inst (ft)	Depth (ft)	of Obser- vation (It)		Tu (Se	me ec)	AI Point	Mean in Vertical	Агөв (It ²)	Discharge (c1s)
v2s	0.0		4.55								1	
	2.0		5,10									
6	2.9		5,33									
L	35		6.00									
⊢W	4.0		-6.15	.5								
	4,0		6.50	, 10	····				, 71		· · · ·	
	4.8		6.35	, 20					1,07			
·	5.1		6.40	-25		ļ <u>.</u>		_	<u>, 38</u>			
	5,4		6.55	.40					1,04			
	<u> </u>		6.55	.40					<u> </u>			
	6.0	•	6,90	.35	· · ·				0.6) 		
	6.3	. ,	6.5D	.35	•				1,05	7		
	6.7		6.50	,35				\rightarrow	0,9	1,		
	7.0	·· _ ·	6.55	.40					<u>I, D'</u>	<u>t</u>		
	12		645	.30	<u>_</u>							
	<u>s</u> 11		6.40	<u>_'&Z_</u>					070	7		
	8.4		6.25	$\frac{10}{10}$					0,95	/		
	<u> </u>		6 25						0 6			
	- 1-1 100		6 70	05		i		- †	<u> </u>	2		· · · · - ·
	10 5		6.25	10		+			0.5	6		
	110		1. 25	5				· · · ·	~ 7) /		
			0,63	- 10	<u>.</u> .			\rightarrow	-0, a	7 1	<u>+ </u>	
	7.0	··	1.75	-0-					 	1 7		
			6.00		·· ·	· · · ·	·		. 🗸 . V	7		
						· · · · ·					-++	
							-			-		
						ļ						
W	12.9		6,15			ļ				_		
	156		7.82									<u> </u>
G	14.2		5,39									
L5	15.0		4.90		·			-+			_ <u>_</u>	
<u> </u>					···· ·	+		-+				
		.						-			-	
						<u> </u>			- <u> </u>			+
										- 1		
								T				
TOTALS:												
End of Measure	ement Tim	1e: 11: 30	Gage Reading	:1	CALCULAT	IONS PERFO	RMED BY:			CALCULATION	S CHECKED BY	<i>(</i> :

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD INSTREAM FLOW / NATURAL LAKE LEVEL PROGRAM STREAM CROSS-SECTION AND FLOW ANALYSIS

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: XS LOCATION: XS NUMBER:	Ute Creek 500 ft. upstrea 1	am from state highway
DATE: OBSERVERS:	21-May-10 R. Smith, W.	Werkmeister
1/4 SEC: SECTION: TWP: RANGE: PM:	NE 28 15S 103W Sixth	
COUNTY: WATERSHED: DIVISION: DOW CODE:	Mesa Dolores 4 45953	
USGS MAP: USFS MAP:	0 0	
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	=	*** NOTE *** Leave TAPE WT and TENSION
TAPE WT: TENSION:	0.0106 99999	with a survey level and rod
CHANNEL PROFILE DATA	=	
SLOPE:	0.018	
INPUT DATA CHECKED B	Y:	DATE
ASSIGNED TO:		DATE

STREAM NAME:	Ute Creek
XS LOCATION:	500 ft. upstream from state highway
XS NUMBER:	1

	#	DATA POINTS	S=	28
FEATURE	DIOT	VERT	WATER	
	DIST	DEPTH	DEPTH	VEL
De	0.00	4 5 5		
RO	0.00	4.55		
1.0	2.00	5.10		
10	2.50	5.55 6.00		
۱۸/	3.50	6.15	0.00	0.00
vv	4.00	6.30	0.00	0.00
	4.30	6.35	0.15	1.07
	4.00	6.40	0.20	0.20
	5.10	0.40	0.25	1.04
	5.40	0.55	0.40	1.04
	5.70	0.00	0.40	0.60
	6.00	6.50	0.35	1.09
	0.30	0.50	0.35	1.09
	6.70	6.50	0.35	0.99
	7.00	0.55	0.40	1.04
	7.30	6.45	0.30	1.02
	7.90	6.40	0.25	1.03
	8.40	6.25	0.10	0.79
	8.90	6.25	0.10	0.96
	9.40	6.25	0.10	0.61
	10.00	6.20	0.05	0.53
	10.50	6.25	0.10	0.56
	11.00	6.25	0.10	0.34
	11.50	6.25	0.10	0.17
	12.00	6.25	0.10	0.07
W	12.90	6.15	0.00	0.00
	13.60	5.82		
G	14.20	5.39		
LS	15.00	4.50		

1

VALUES COMPUTED FROM RAW FIELD DATA

WETTED	WATER	AREA	Q	% Q
PERIM.	DEPTH	(Am)	(Qm)	CELL
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.52	0.15	0.06	0.05	3.8%
0.30	0.20	0.06	0.06	4.7%
0.30	0.25	0.08	0.03	2.1%
0.34	0.40	0.12	0.12	9.1%
0.30	0.40	0.12	0.18	12.9%
0.30	0.35	0.11	0.07	5.3%
0.30	0.35	0.12	0.13	9.7%
0.40	0.35	0.12	0.12	8.8%
0.30	0.40	0.12	0.12	9.1%
0.32	0.30	0.14	0.14	10.0%
0.60	0.25	0.14	0.14	10.3%
0.52	0.10	0.05	0.04	2.9%
0.50	0.10	0.05	0.05	3.5%
0.50	0.10	0.06	0.03	2.4%
0.60	0.05	0.03	0.01	1.1%
0.50	0.10	0.05	0.03	2.0%
0.50	0.10	0.05	0.02	1.2%
0.50	0.10	0.05	0.01	0.6%
0.50	0.10	0.07	0.00	0.4%
0.91		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
9.02	0.4	1 58	1 37	100.0%
5.02	(Max.)	1.00	1.07	100.070
	(

Manning's n = 0.0719 Hydraulic Radius= 0.17508064

TOTALS -----

STREAM NAME:Ute CreekXS LOCATION:500 ft. upstream from state highwayXS NUMBER:1

WATER LINE COMPARISON TABLE

WATER	MEAS	COMP	AREA
LINE	AREA	AREA	ERROR
	1.58	1.58	0.0%
5.90	1.58	3.96	150.8%
5.92	1.58	3.76	138.2%
5.94	1.58	3.56	125.6%
5.96	1.58	3.37	113.1%
5.98	1.58	3.17	100.7%
6.00	1.58	2.98	88.4%
6.02	1.58	2.78	76.1%
6.04	1.58	2.59	64.1%
6.06	1.58	2.40	52.1%
6.08	1.58	2.22	40.3%
6.10	1.58	2.03	28.6%
6.11	1.58	1.94	22.8%
6.12	1.58	1.85	17.1%
6.13	1.58	1.76	11.3%
6.14	1.58	1.67	5.7%
6.15	1.58	1.58	0.0%
6.16	1.58	1.49	-5.6%
6.17	1.58	1.40	-11.1%
6.18	1.58	1.32	-16.5%
6.19	1.58	1.23	-21.9%
6.20	1.58	1.15	-27.2%
6.22	1.58	0.99	-37.2%
6.24	1.58	0.85	-46.4%
6.26	1.58	0.74	-53.2%
6.28	1.58	0.66	-58.2%
6.30	1.58	0.58	-63.0%
6.32	1.58	0.51	-67.6%
6.34	1.58	0.44	-72.0%
6.36	1.58	0.38	-76.1%
6.38	1.58	0.32	-80.0%
6.40	1.58	0.26	-83.7%

WATERLINE AT ZERO AREA ERROR =

6.150

STREAM NAME: Ute Creek XS LOCATION: 500 ft. upstream from state highway XS NUMBER: 1

Constant Manning's n

STAGING TABLE

 $^{*}GL^{*}$ = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag $^{*}WL^{*}$ = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag

	DIST TO	TOP	AVG.	MAX.		WETTED	PERCENT	HYDR		AVG.
	WATER	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA	PERIM.	WET PERIM	RADIUS	FLOW	VELOCITY
_	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(SQ FT)	(FT)	(%)	(FT)	(CFS)	(FT/SEC)
GL	5.39	11.25	0.84	1.16	9.40	11.88	100.0%	0.79	22.30	2.37
	5.40	11.22	0.83	1.15	9.29	11.85	99.7%	0.78	21.90	2.36
	5.45	11.11	0.79	1.10	8.73	11.69	98.5%	0.75	19.92	2.28
	5.50	10.99	0.74	1.05	8.18	11.54	97.2%	0.71	18.02	2.20
	5.55	10.88	0.70	1.00	7.63	11.39	95.9%	0.67	16.20	2.12
	5.60	10.77	0.66	0.95	7.09	11.23	94.6%	0.63	14.46	2.04
	5.65	10.65	0.62	0.90	6.55	11.08	93.3%	0.59	12.80	1.95
	5.70	10.54	0.57	0.85	6.02	10.93	92.0%	0.55	11.23	1.86
	5.75	10.42	0.53	0.80	5.50	10.78	90.7%	0.51	9.74	1.77
	5.80	10.31	0.48	0.75	4.98	10.62	89.4%	0.47	8.34	1.67
	5.85	10.17	0.44	0.70	4.47	10.45	88.0%	0.43	7.03	1.57
	5.90	10.02	0.40	0.65	3.96	10.27	86.4%	0.39	5.83	1.47
	5.95	9.87	0.35	0.60	3.47	10.08	84.9%	0.34	4.72	1.36
	6.00	9.72	0.31	0.55	2.98	9.90	83.3%	0.30	3.71	1.24
	6.05	9.45	0.26	0.50	2.50	9.61	80.9%	0.26	2.82	1.13
	6.10	9.17	0.22	0.45	2.03	9.32	78.4%	0.22	2.04	1.00
WL	6.15	8.90	0.18	0.40	1.58	9.02	76.0%	0.18	1.37	0.87
	6.20	8.28	0.14	0.35	1.15	8.40	70.7%	0.14	0.85	0.74
	6.25	4.07	0.19	0.30	0.78	4.17	35.1%	0.19	0.71	0.91
	6.30	3.73	0.16	0.25	0.58	3.82	32.1%	0.15	0.46	0.79
	6.35	3.27	0.13	0.20	0.41	3.34	28.1%	0.12	0.28	0.68
	6.40	2.80	0.09	0.15	0.26	2.86	24.1%	0.09	0.14	0.56
	6.45	2.10	0.06	0.10	0.14	2.15	18.1%	0.06	0.06	0.44
	6.50	1.15	0.03	0.05	0.04	1.18	9.9%	0.03	0.01	0.27
	6.55	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

STREAM NAME:	Ute Creek
XS LOCATION:	500 ft. upstream from state highway
XS NUMBER:	1

SUMMARY SHEET

MEASURED FLOW (Qm)=	1.37	cfs
CALCULATED FLOW (Qc)=	1.37	cfs
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	0.0	%
MEASURED WATERLINE (WLm)=	6.15	ft
CALCULATED WATERLINE (WLc)=	6.15	ft
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	0.0	%
MAX MEASURED DEPTH (Dm)=	0.40	ft
MAX CALCULATED DEPTH (Dc)=	0.40	ft
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100	0.0	%
MEAN VELOCITY= MANNING'S N= SLOPE=	0.87 0.072 0.018	ft/sec ft/ft
.4 * Qm =	0.5	cfs
2.5 * Qm=	3.4	cfs

RECOMMENDED INSTREAM FLOW:

FLOW (CFS)	PERIOD

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION:

	105101	B 4 7 7
RECOMMENDATION BY:	 AGENCY	 DATE:
		DATE
	 	 UATE:











COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD STREAM NAME: CROSS-SECTION LOCATION: DATE: S-ZI-10 DATE: S-ZI-10 DESCRIPTION COUNTY: Mesc	FIEL INSTREAM FLOW LOCATION ECK O FJ. Upstream 2. Swith, W. Wo NE SECTION: 28 TOWN WATERSHED: DOLO (RS	D DATA OR DETERMINATIONS INFORMATION SHIP: 15 NS RANGE WATER DIVISION: 4	CROSS-SECTION NO. CROSS-SECTION NO. LA WAY LOS E/OPM: 612 DOW WATER CODE: 45973
MAP(S): USFS:	· • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •		
	SUPPLEM		_
SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION: METER NUMBER: CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE; OF OWEL OF	NO METER TYPE: M-M DATE RATED: CALIB/SP	N: SOC TAPE WEIGHT.	NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPHS: 3
0	CHANNEL	PROFILE DATA	
STATION D FR X Tape @ Stake LB X Tape @ Stake RB 1 WS @ Tape LB/RB 2 WS Upstream 3 WS Downstream SLOPE D, 22/1	$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		LEGEND: Stake & Station () Photo () Direction of Flow Comparison
	AQUATIC SAM	IPLING SUMMARY	
STREAM ELECTROFISHED: YES/10	DISTANCE ELECTROFISHED	FISH CAUGHT: YES/NO	WATER CHEMISTRY SAMPLED YES/0
SPECIES (FILL IN) AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION E MOMPLY, CODD	LENGTH - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION B	Y ONE-INCH SIZE GROUPS (1.0-1.9, 2.0-2.9, 5 / 8 9 10 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ETC.)
Temp= 120(· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME:	Ute	· Cre	<u>rek</u>				CROS	S-SECTION N	^{•0.} Z	DATE 5 - 21-	10 SHEET	OF
BEGINNING OF M	EASUREMENT	EDGE OF W. (0.0 AT STAK	ATER LOOKING DO	DWNSTREAM:	LEFT / RIG	нт G	age Rea	រថាបកិត.	tt		on	
Stake (S) Grassline (G) Waterline (W) Brock (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tapo/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (It)	Depth of Obser- vation (It)	Revolut	tions	Time (sec)	Velocit Al Point	ly (ft/sec) Mean in Vertical	Area (tt²)	Discharge (c1s)
LS	0.0		5.8D									
G	1.0		5.80									
	1.4		6.50			<u> </u>						
	2.6		7.05	25					%b			
	29		7.05	,25					1.20			
	3.2		7.05	.25					1.40			
	3.S		6.90	, 10					1.27			
	3.8		6,90	10		-			1.64	4		
	4.1		6.95	, 15					1.61	, 		<u> </u>
	4.4		7,00	120					1.50	, .		+
	4.7		710	15				├ <u></u>	1.05		-	+
	5.3		710	- <u>50</u> , 30				├ ─── <u></u>	0,8	6	-	
	5.6		7,10	.30					1.05	5		
	5.9		7.15	.35				ļ	1. 24	2		
	<u>6.</u> Z		7.25	145					1.24	4		+
	6.5		7,15	: 25				├	<u> </u>	+		
											1	
<u> </u>	+					[]						
										_		
								┟───┤				-
	·					 		┥				
<u> </u>			<u> </u>		├ ────		. 	<u></u>			- +	
	<u>├</u>					<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
	<u>├</u>					ļ		[]				
	_							<u> </u>]				
			┟────┤	··	 	<u> </u>		<u> </u>				
 	 	,	<u>├</u> ───┤									
1.	68		6,80									
	6.9		6.69									
ner-	10-2		6.34			 		+				
1120	<u>, v</u> , 2		1.00	<u> </u>	+	<u> </u>						
						Ţ						
						ļ						
	_		↓			 		<u> </u>		·		
TOTALS	<u>├</u> ───											+
Fod of Menau	ement T.	ne:1 7:7 *	Gane Pondia	и. Элого с	CALCULAT	1. TIONS PER	RFORME	A DBY:	Ī	CALCULATION	VS CHECKED B	<u>1</u> Υ:

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD INSTREAM FLOW / NATURAL LAKE LEVEL PROGRAM STREAM CROSS-SECTION AND FLOW ANALYSIS

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: XS LOCATION: XS NUMBER:	Ute Creek 200 ft. upstrea 2	am from state highway
DATE: OBSERVERS:	21-May-10 R. Smith, W.	Werkmeister
1/4 SEC: SECTION: TWP: RANGE: PM:	NE 28 15S 103W Sixth	
COUNTY: WATERSHED: DIVISION: DOW CODE:	Mesa Dolores 4 45973	
USGS MAP: USFS MAP:	0 0	
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	-	*** NOTE *** Leave TAPE WT and TENSION
TAPE WT: TENSION:	0.0106 99999	with a survey level and rod
CHANNEL PROFILE DATA	=	
SLOPE:	0.012	
INPUT DATA CHECKED B	Y:	DATE
ASSIGNED TO:		DATE

STREAM NAME:	Ute Creek
XS LOCATION:	200 ft. upstream from state highway
XS NUMBER:	2

	#	DATA POINTS	8=	22
FEATURE		VERT	WATER	
	DIST	DEPTH	DEPTH	VEL
LS	0.00	5.80		
1 G	1.00	5.80		
	1.40	6.56		
W	2.30	6.80	0.00	0.00
	2.60	7.05	0.25	0.86
	2.90	7.05	0.25	1.20
	3.20	7.05	0.25	1.40
	3.50	6.90	0.10	1.27
	3.80	6.90	0.10	1.64
	4.10	6.95	0.15	1.61
	4.40	7.00	0.20	1.50
	4.70	6.95	0.15	1.03
	5.00	7.10	0.30	1.71
	5.30	7.10	0.30	0.86
	5.60	7.10	0.30	1.05
	5.90	7.15	0.35	1.26
	6.20	7.25	0.45	1.24
	6.50	7.15	0.35	0.94
W	6.80	6.80	0.00	0.00
	6.90	6.69		
	8.00	6.34		
1 RS & G	10.50	5.80		

VALUES COMPUTED FROM RAW FIELD DATA

WETTED	WATER	AREA	Q	% Q
PERIM.	DEPTH	(Am)	(Qm)	CELL
0.00		0.00		0.00/
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.39	0.25	0.08	0.06	5.0%
0.30	0.25	0.08	0.09	7.0%
0.30	0.25	0.08	0.11	8.2%
0.34	0.10	0.03	0.04	3.0%
0.30	0.10	0.03	0.05	3.8%
0.30	0.15	0.05	0.07	5.7%
0.30	0.20	0.06	0.09	7.0%
0.30	0.15	0.05	0.05	3.6%
0.34	0.30	0.09	0.15	12.0%
0.30	0.30	0.09	0.08	6.0%
0.30	0.30	0.09	0.09	7.4%
0.30	0.35	0.11	0.13	10.3%
0.32	0.45	0.14	0.17	13.1%
0.32	0.35	0.11	0.10	7.7%
0.46		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
4.87	0.45	1.05	1.28	100.0%
	(Max.)			. 501070

Manning's n = 0.0480 Hydraulic Radius= 0.21554741

TOTALS -----

STREAM NAME:	Ute Creek
XS LOCATION:	200 ft. upstream from state highway
XS NUMBER:	2

WATER LINE COMPARISON TABLE

WATER	MEAS	COMP	AREA
LINE	AREA	AREA	ERROR
	1.05	1.05	0.0%
6.55	1.05	2.34	123.1%
6.57	1.05	2.22	111.8%
6.59	1.05	2.11	100.8%
6.61	1.05	2.00	90.1%
6.63	1.05	1.89	79.7%
6.65	1.05	1.78	69.4%
6.67	1.05	1.67	59.5%
6.69	1.05	1.57	49.8%
6.71	1.05	1.47	40.4%
6.73	1.05	1.38	31.1%
6.75	1.05	1.28	22.0%
6.76	1.05	1.23	17.5%
6.77	1.05	1.19	13.1%
6.78	1.05	1.14	8.7%
6.79	1.05	1.10	4.3%
6.80	1.05	1.05	0.0%
6.81	1.05	1.01	-4.3%
6.82	1.05	0.96	-8.5%
6.83	1.05	0.92	-12.8%
6.84	1.05	0.87	-17.0%
6.85	1.05	0.83	-21.2%
6.87	1.05	0.74	-29.5%
6.89	1.05	0.65	-37.8%
6.91	1.05	0.57	-45.6%
6.93	1.05	0.49	-52.9%
6.95	1.05	0.42	-59.7%
6.97	1.05	0.36	-66.0%
6.99	1.05	0.30	-71.6%
7.01	1.05	0.25	-76.6%
7.03	1.05	0.20	-81.3%
7.05	1.05	0.15	-85.8%

WATERLINE AT ZERO AREA ERROR =

6.800

STREAM NAME:	Ute Creek
XS LOCATION:	200 ft. upstream from state highway
XS NUMBER:	2

Constant Manning's n

STAGING TABLE

 $^{*}GL^{*}$ = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag $^{*}WL^{*}$ = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag

	DIST TO	TOP	AVG.	MAX.		WETTED	PERCENT	HYDR		AVG.
	WATER	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA	PERIM.	WET PERIM	RADIUS	FLOW	VELOCITY
_	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(SQ FT)	(FT)	(%)	(FT)	(CFS)	(FT/SEC)
GL	5.80	9.50	0.85	1.45	8.05	10.52	100.0%	0.77	22.83	2.84
	5.80	9.50	0.85	1.45	8.05	10.52	100.0%	0.77	22.83	2.84
	5.85	9.24	0.82	1.40	7.58	10.23	97.2%	0.74	21.05	2.78
	5.90	8.98	0.79	1.35	7.13	9.94	94.4%	0.72	19.36	2.72
	5.95	8.73	0.77	1.30	6.68	9.64	91.6%	0.69	17.75	2.66
	6.00	8.47	0.74	1.25	6.25	9.35	88.8%	0.67	16.22	2.59
	6.05	8.21	0.71	1.20	5.84	9.06	86.1%	0.64	14.76	2.53
	6.10	7.95	0.68	1.15	5.43	8.76	83.3%	0.62	13.39	2.47
	6.15	7.70	0.66	1.10	5.04	8.47	80.5%	0.60	12.09	2.40
	6.20	7.44	0.63	1.05	4.66	8.18	77.7%	0.57	10.87	2.33
	6.25	7.18	0.60	1.00	4.30	7.88	74.9%	0.55	9.72	2.26
	6.30	6.92	0.57	0.95	3.94	7.59	72.1%	0.52	8.65	2.19
	6.35	6.68	0.54	0.90	3.60	7.31	69.5%	0.49	7.63	2.12
	6.40	6.50	0.50	0.85	3.28	7.09	67.4%	0.46	6.64	2.03
	6.45	6.31	0.47	0.80	2.96	6.87	65.3%	0.43	5.71	1.93
	6.50	6.13	0.43	0.75	2.64	6.65	63.2%	0.40	4.85	1.83
	6.55	5.95	0.39	0.70	2.34	6.42	61.1%	0.36	4.05	1.73
	6.60	5.63	0.36	0.65	2.05	6.09	57.9%	0.34	3.37	1.64
	6.65	5.29	0.34	0.60	1.78	5.73	54.5%	0.31	2.76	1.55
	6.70	4.97	0.31	0.55	1.52	5.39	51.3%	0.28	2.22	1.46
	6.75	4.73	0.27	0.50	1.28	5.13	48.8%	0.25	1.72	1.34
WL	6.80	4.50	0.23	0.45	1.05	4.87	46.3%	0.22	1.28	1.22
	6.85	4.40	0.19	0.40	0.83	4.73	44.9%	0.18	0.88	1.06
	6.90	3.99	0.15	0.35	0.61	4.28	40.7%	0.14	0.56	0.92
	6.95	3.49	0.12	0.30	0.42	3.72	35.4%	0.11	0.34	0.80
	7.00	2.59	0.10	0.25	0.27	2.75	26.1%	0.10	0.20	0.72
	7.05	1.69	0.09	0.20	0.15	1.78	16.9%	0.08	0.10	0.65
	7.10	0.94	0.07	0.15	0.07	1.00	9.5%	0.07	0.04	0.57
	7.15	0.60	0.05	0.10	0.03	0.63	6.0%	0.05	0.01	0.44
	7.20	0.30	0.03	0.05	0.01	0.32	3.0%	0.02	0.00	0.28
	7.25	0.00	#DIV/0!	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.0%	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!	#DIV/0!

STREAM NAME:	Ute Creek
XS LOCATION:	200 ft. upstream from state highway
XS NUMBER:	2

SUMMARY SHEET

MEASURED FLOW (Qm)=	1.28	cfs	
CALCULATED FLOW (Qc)=	1.28	cts	
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	0.0	%	
			ļ
MEASURED WATERLINE (WLm)=	6.80	ft	
CALCULATED WATERLINE (WLc)=	6.80	ft	
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	0.0	%	
MAX MEASURED DEPTH (Dm)=	0.45	ft	
MAX CALCULATED DEPTH (Dc)=	0.45	ft	
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100	0.0	%	
MEAN VELOCITY=	1.22	ft/sec	
MANNING'S N=	0.048		
SLOPE=	0.012	ft/ft	
.4 * Qm =	0.5	cfs	
2.5 * Qm=	3.2	cfs	
	J.=		

RECOMMENDED INSTREAM FLOW:

FLOW (CFS) =======	PERIOD =======

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION:

DECOMMENDATION BY:		AGENCY			
	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••		 		•••••
CWCB REVIEW BY:			I		
			 K	2/ \ I L	



















