Exhibit A
Statement of Work

WATER ACTIVITY NAME - A Multi-Media Program for Reporting Crop and Turf Water Use Estimates from the Colorado Agricultural Meteorological Network (CoAgMet)

GRANT RECIPIENT – Sangre de Cristo RC&D Council, Inc.

FUNDING SOURCE – Arkansas Basin Roundtable (20%) and Statewide (80%) Water Supply Reserve Account

AMOUNT—$46,971

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

A multi-media approach (3-yrs) in order to expand access to the crop water use reports provided by CoAgMet. Feedback from CoAgMet users indicates that CoAgMet is appealing and helpful, but not used to full advantage due to two primary obstacles: 1) field schedules do not afford irrigators consistent time to access the online site, and; 2) some irrigators are not regular online computer users. An expanded multi-media approach will work to overcome these obstacles by using print media (e.g., local newspapers) and cellular telephone text-messaging for interested subscribers. The multi-media program will also be evaluated for efficacy towards improving the use and sustainability of the statewide CoAgMet system.

OBJECTIVES

1. The program will mimic a successful CoAgMet outreach program in the San Luis Valley, by coordinating with newspapers and radio stations to disseminate weekly ET reports for local crops and weather conditions.
2. The other project component will develop a telemetric system for distributing daily (or weekly) ET reports through cellular telephone text-messaging.

TASK 1 – Distribution of crop and turf water use reports to newspapers and print media outlets ($10,220).

Description of Task. Currently, CoAgMet users can only access the network through the Internet website. A major focus of this project is to expanded use of the CoAgMet data. Many newspapers in the Arkansas Basin print public service announcements and upcoming events for the benefit of their readers. These news outlets will be interested providing CoAgMet data to their readers, some of whom will be irrigators or landowners who wish to conserve water through irrigation scheduling. These news outlets include, but are not limited to the *Rocky Ford Daily Gazette*, *Lamar Ledger*, *Ordway New Era*, *Trinidad Times*, *The Plainsman Herald*, *Canon City Daily Record*, *The Mountain Mail*, *Chaffee Times*, *Salida Citizen*, *The Chieftain* (Pueblo), *La Junta Tribune*, *Bent County Times*, *Fowler Tribune*, and *Ag Journal*. The list could include as many as 13 newspapers. A similar program was conducted in the Arkansas Valley years ago, also in coordination with the Sangre de Cristo RC&D.

Method/Procedure. Over a 3-year period, a student intern will generate weekly ET reports during the growing season, based on the preferences of local irrigators and landowners. The reports will utilize ET rate estimates from the nearest CoAgMet station in the vicinity of the participating news outlet. Example reports are provided in Table 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3 (following page) for the Rocky Ford, Lamar, and

Hoehne irrigated regions, respectively. The intern will send the reports to participating newspapers for weekly printing.

Deliverable. The primary output will be improved access to daily agricultural water use data for key crops irrigated in the Arkansas Basin. To the extent that irrigators use the reports, the primary outcome will be the water savings achieved through irrigation scheduling.

Table 2.1. 7-Day Crop and Turf ET Report for April 26, 2010 (Rocky Ford, CO)

Station:CSU Expt Stn Rocky Ford
Location:2.5 mi SE Rocky Ford
Elevation:4180
Longitude:103.695
Latitude:38.0385

Crop Evapotranspiration in Inches

Date	Alfalfa	Corn	Drybeans	Smallgrn	Sgrbeets	Potatoes	Onion/sd	WntrWheat	Turf	RefET	Precip
04/26/2010	0.06	0.03		0.13	0.04		0.07	0.17	0.09	0.17	0.15
04/27/2010	0.08	0.05		0.18	0.05		0.10	0.23	0.13	0.23	0.00
04/28/2010	0.14	0.07		0.30	0.08		0.16	0.35	0.20	0.35	0.00
04/29/2010	0.13	0.06		0.28	0.08		0.14	0.31	0.18	0.31	0.00
04/30/2010	0.11	0.05		0.22	0.06		0.11	0.24	0.14	0.24	0.00
05/01/2010	0.10	0.04		0.19	0.05		0.10	0.21	0.12	0.21	0.00
05/02/2010	0.10	0.04		0.18	0.05		0.09	0.19	0.11	0.19	0.00
Sum	0.72	0.34	0.00	1.48	0.41	0.00	0.78	1.71	0.97	1.71	0.15
Average	0.10	0.05	0.00	0.21	0.06	0.00	0.11	0.24	0.14	0.24	0.02

Table 2.2. 7-Day Crop and Turf ET Report for April 26, 2010 (Lamar, CO)

Station:Lamar #4
Location:4.5 mi NNE Lamar
Elevation:3705
Longitude:102.599
Latitude:38.1539

Crop Evapotranspiration in Inches

Date	Alfalfa	Corn	Drybeans	Smallgrn	Sgrbeets	Potatoes	Onion/sd	WntrWheat	Turf	RefET	Precip
04/26/2010	0.08	0.04		0.16	0.05		0.10	0.22	0.12	0.22	0.17
04/27/2010	0.08	0.04		0.17	0.05		0.10	0.22	0.12	0.22	0.00
04/28/2010	0.08	0.04		0.18	0.05		0.10	0.22	0.13	0.22	0.09
04/29/2010	0.10	0.05		0.20	0.06		0.11	0.24	0.14	0.24	0.00
04/30/2010	0.10	0.05		0.20	0.06		0.11	0.24	0.14	0.24	0.00
05/01/2010	0.09	0.04		0.17	0.05		0.09	0.20	0.11	0.20	0.00
05/02/2010	0.08	0.03		0.15	0.04		0.08	0.17	0.10	0.17	0.00
Sum	0.60	0.30	0.00	1.23	0.35	0.00	0.68	1.51	0.86	1.51	0.26
Average	0.09	0.04	0.00	0.18	0.05	0.00	0.10	0.22	0.12	0.22	0.04

Table 2.3. 7-Day Crop and Turf ET Report for April 26, 2010 (Hoehne, CO)

TASK 2 – Distribution of crop and turf water use reports to radio stations ($7,880).

Description of Task. Radio stations throughout the Arkansas Basin broadcast public service announcements and upcoming events for the benefit of their listeners. These radio stations will be interested providing CoAgMet data to their listeners, some of whom will be irrigators or landowners who wish to conserve water through irrigation scheduling. These news outlets include, but are not limited to KLMR (Lamar), KVAY (Lamar), KRLN (Canon City), KBLJ/KTHN (La Junta), and KSPK (Walsenburg). This list could include as many as 5 radio stations.

Method/Procedure. Over a 3-year period, a student intern will generate weekly ET reports, based on the preferences of local irrigators and landowners. The reports will utilize ET rate estimates from the nearest CoAgMet station in the vicinity of the participating radio station. The generated reports will be read and digitized as a sound recording, then sent to participating radio stations for daily (or weekly broadcast). Should the station choose to broadcast the information themselves, an ET report will be generated and sent to them, in a fashion similar to that used for the print media outlet.

Station:Hoehne
Location:NE Trinidad
Elevation:5625
Longitude:104.313
Latitude:37.2893

Crop Evapotranspiration in Inches

Date	Alfalfa	Corn	Drybeans	Smallgrn	Sgrbeets	Potatoes	Onion/sd	WntrWheat	Turf	RefET	Precip
04/26/2010	0.05	0.03		0.11	0.03		0.06	0.14	0.08	0.14	0.11
04/27/2010	0.09	0.05		0.19	0.06		0.11	0.24	0.13	0.24	0.00
04/28/2010	0.13	0.07		0.29	0.08		0.16	0.35	0.20	0.35	0.00
04/29/2010	0.16	0.08		0.33	0.09		0.17	0.38	0.22	0.38	0.00
04/30/2010	0.10	0.04		0.20	0.05		0.10	0.22	0.13	0.22	0.00
05/01/2010	0.09	0.04		0.17	0.05		0.09	0.19	0.11	0.19	0.00
05/02/2010	0.08	0.03		0.14	0.04		0.07	0.16	0.09	0.16	0.00
Sum	0.70	0.34	0.00	1.42	0.40	0.00	0.76	1.68	0.95	1.68	0.11
Average	0.10	0.05	0.00	0.20	0.06	0.00	0.11	0.24	0.14	0.24	0.02

Deliverable. The primary output will be improved access to daily agricultural water use data for key crops irrigated in the Arkansas Basin. To the extent that irrigators utilize the information provided in the reports, the primary outcome will be the water savings achieved through irrigation scheduling.

TASK 3 – Development of coding and programming for pilot telemetric subscription service ($24,000).

Description of Task. A customary form of communication popularized by cellular telephone services is "text messaging." A text allows the cell phone user to receive a single message not exceeding 160 characters. A system will be developed through CSU (via sub-award agreement) by contracting the CoAgMet programmer (Mr. John Kleist) to write the necessary coding for a pilot subscription service. A beta-version of the service, CoAgMet-PRO (Personal Records Outreach) will be tested by participating producers. An example text message that would appear on the participant's phone is shown below for ET and Precipitation during a 7-day period for the week of April 26, 2010 in Rocky Ford, CO:

```
04/26 A 0.06 C 0.03 P 0.15
04/27 A 0.08 C 0.05 P 0.00
04/28 A 0.14 C 0.07 P 0.00
04/29 A 0.13 C 0.06 P 0.00
```

Where A = Alfalfa, C = Corn, and P = Precipitation

```
04/30 A 0.11 C 0.05 P 0.00
05/01 A 0.10 C 0.04 P 0.00
05/02 A 0.10 C 0.04 P 0.00
7DSUM A 0.72 C 0.34 P 0.15
```

The above text message is longer than 160 characters, so some modifications may be necessary for participants to receive single messages. *The system is currently established for daily reports, which are much shorter. To simplify, a modification may require those choosing weekly reports to get only the summation of the 7-day period*. Other modifications would include removal of spaces, symbols, and unnecessary punctuation, or perhaps only texting the summation quantity (i.e., 7-day summary, abbreviated "7DSUM") instead of each daily ET rate. A standardized messaging system will be developed in cooperation with pilot partner-irrigators.

Method/Procedure. The CoAgMet text/email service will consist of two parts. The first part will require a Web page developed to handle user authentication and user preferences. The user will be able to select which crops to monitor, specify the period over which to summarize and specify the frequency of text/email should be sent. For example: "Send a 7 day summary for corn and small grains every 3 days." The second part is the delivery routine that will run periodically and send the summaries. The delivery will use the same hypertext preprocessor (php) code that is already part of CoAgMet's Web based crop ET reports. Four planning meetings are also scheduled for this task, three of which will involve travel from Ft. Collins to Pueblo for Mr. Bauder (and perhaps the programmer, Mr. Kleist).

Deliverable. The primary output will be an automated data delivery approach for sending ET rates to participant cell phones, based on simple text messaging tools. Text messages will be customized based on the preferences of the receiver, who may select which crops and CoAgMet stations serve as the basis of the ET rates. This output will also serve as the underpinning for a statewide model of information transfer, coordinated with CoAgMet.

TASK 4 – Deployment of telemetric subscription service to cooperators ($28,039)

Description of Task. Upon development of the text messaging system, pilot participants will be enlisted to utilize and troubleshoot the data delivery service.

Method/Procedure. A major element of this task will involve workshops in the various regions of the Arkansas Basin that will benefit from the service. Four (4) workshops will be conducted each year in the Upper and Lower Arkansas Basin areas (Salida, Trinidad, Rocky Ford, Lamar). During these workshops, producers will be introduced to the text messaging subscription service and instructed on how they can subscribe in order to receive ET reports according their preferences, which will be customized to the extent possible.

Deliverable. The primary output will be a standard presentation that will be available for the program in the event that a statewide application is recommended. As irrigators utilize the information provided by the text messaging service, the primary outcome will be the water savings achieved through irrigation scheduling.

TASK 5 – Monitoring and assessment of program ($12,043)

Description of Task. A program evaluation will be necessary to systematically collect information about the activities, characteristics, and results of the program. The evaluation will enable judgments to be made about the program, improve or further develop program effectiveness, inform decisions about future program development, and increase understanding of our accomplishments.

Method/Procedure. The majority of the evaluation work will focus on the innovative use of text messaging in order to reduce water consumption. Surveys will be administered at the workshops listed in Task 4 and at a post program follow-up in order to assess long-term change due to program participation. Questions will include concepts such as attitudes toward water conservation (e.g., water survey questionnaire that measures attitudes, knowledge, and behavioral intention to save water; Watson et al., 1988) and self-efficacy of ET text reports (i.e., the irrigator's belief in their ability to use the text reports to conserve water).

Deliverable. The primary output will be a professional evaluation that will be utilized to allow the program to be developed for statewide application.

BUDGET

Table 3. Complete Itemized Budget (includes adjustments for 4% annual inflation)

Category	*Year 1*	*Year 2*	*Year 3*	*Total*
PERSONNEL SALARIES				
Administrative Professional (Perry Cabot):	$ 3,769	$ 3,920	$ 4,076	$ 11,765
Fringe Rate (26%)	$ 980	$ 992	$ 1,048	$ 3,020
Administrative Professional (John Kleist):	$ 8,000	$ 3,328	$ 3,461	$ 14,789
Fringe Rate (26%)	$ 2,080	$ 842	$ 889	$ 3,811
Non-Student Hourly (CSU-Pueblo Intern):	$ 4,800	$ 3,328	$ 3,461	$ 11,589
Fringe Rate (3.50%)	$ 547	$ 493	$ 519	$ 1,559
TOTAL SALARY:	$ 19,869	$ 14,008	$ 14,567	$ 48,444
TOTAL FRINGE:	$ 4,465	$ 3,195	$ 3,373	$ 11,033
TOTAL PERSONNEL:	**$ 24,334**	**$ 17,203**	**$ 17,940**	**$ 59,477**
DOMESTIC TRAVEL (see Table 5 below):	**$ 1,397**	**$ 982**	**$ 1,023**	**$ 3,402**
MATERIALS/SUPPLIES (see Table 6 below)	**$ 4,800**	**$ 2,704**	**$ 2,812**	**$ 10,316**
OTHER DIRECT COSTS (see Table 7 below)				
Evaluation and Survey Consultant & Analysis:	**$ 3,200**	**$ 2,080**	**$ 2,163**	**$ 7,443**
SUBCONTRACTS:				
EQUIPMENT:				
TOTAL DIRECT COSTS:	**$ 29,573**	**$ 18,669**	**$ 19,452**	**$ 67,694**

Table 4. Simple Budget Breakdown.

Category	*Total Direct*	*Indirect (Overhead Rate*	*Indirect (Overhead Cost*	*Project Total Cost*
Sangre de Cristo RC&D, Inc.	$ 17,759	10%	$	$ 19,535
Colorado State University (Salary Matching Contribution + Fringe + Indirect	$ 14,786	26%†	$	$ 18,631
Colorado State University (Sub-Award)	$ 22,002	25%‡	$	$ 27,503
Colorado State University – Pueblo (Sub-Award)	$ 13,147	25%	$	$ 16,431
TOTAL:	**$ 67,694**		**$**	**$ 82,102**
Matching (Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy Dist@ $3,500/yr @ 3 yrs)				$ 10,500
Matching (Lower Arkansas Valley Water Conservancy Dist @ $2,000/yr @ 3 yrs)				$ 6,000
Colorado State University (Salary Matching Contribution + Fringe + Indirect Costs) – Table 2				$ 18,631
TOTAL MATCHING CONTRIBUTION				**$ 35,131**
TOTAL FUNDS REQUESTED from CWCB Water Supply Reserve Account				**$ 46,971**
TOTAL FUNDS REQUESTED (Statewide Account)				**$ 37,577**
TOTAL FUNDS REQUESTED (Basin Account)				**$ 9,394**
Matching Percentage (Matching Contribution/Total)				43%

† Indirect Cost (Facilities and Administrative) for CSU Matching Contribution
‡ Maximum allowable Indirect Cost Rate for Colorado Water Conservation Board

Table 5. Labor Costs.

	Personnel					*Total*
Task	*Description*	*Labor Rate*	*Inflation*	*Fringe*	*Commitment*	*Labor Cost*
Task 1. Print Media Outlet Distribution	Intern	$20/hr	4%	11.4%	160 hours	$ 3,756
Task 2. Radio Media Distribution	Intern	$20/hr	4%	11.4%	160 hours	$ 3,756
Task 3. Telemetric Subscription Service Development	Programmer	$40/hr	4%	26.0%	360 hours	$ 18,600
Task 4. Telemetric Subscription Workshops	Intern	$20/hr	4%	11.4%	240 hours	$ 5,635

Table 6. Project Costs associated with WSRA request.

	Personnel	*Travel Mileage and Per Diem*	*Materials and Supplies*	*Evaluation*	*Indirect Costs*	*Total*
Item Description						
Task 1. Print Media Outlet Distribution	$ 6,220		$ 2,200		$ 1,800	$ 10,220
Task 2. Radio Media Distribution	$ 6,220				$ 1,580	$ 7,880
Task 3. Telemetric Subscription Service Development	$ 18,600	$ 600			$ 4,800	$ 24,000
Task 4. Telemetric Subscription Workshops	$ 13,028	$ 2,202	$ 8,116		$ 4,693	$ 28,039
Task 5. Evaluation and Assessment	$ 2,465	$ 600		$ 7,443	$ 1,535	$ 12,043
TOTAL Project Cost	**$ 46,533**	**$ 3,402**	**$ 10,316**	**$ 7,443**	**$ 14,408**	**$ 82,102**

Matching (Southeastern Colorado Water Conservancy Dist@ $3,500/yr @ 3 yrs)	$ 10,500
Matching (Lower Arkansas Valley Water Conservancy Dist @ $2,000/yr @ 3 yrs)	$ 6,000
Matching (CSU Salary Matching Contribution + Fringe + Indirect Costs) – Table 1 (above))	$ 18,631
TOTAL FUNDS REQUESTED from CWCB Water Supply Reserve Account	**$ 46,971**

Table 7. Travel Schedule Breakdown (based on $0.45/mile State of Colorado mileage rate and 4% inflation per year)

Meeting Title	*Destination*	*Traveler*	*Year 1*	*Year 2*	*Year 3*	*Total*
Planning Meeting (CSU group)	Ft. Collins, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 240	$ ---	$ ---	$
Planning Meeting (CSU group)	Pueblo, CO	Bauder, Troy ; Kleist, J.	$ 312	$ 324	$ 337	$
Planning Meeting (Upper Arkansas)	Salida, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 240	$ ---	$ ---	$
Planning Meeting (Lower Arkansas)	La Junta, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 210	$ ---	$ ---	$
Planning Meeting (Purgatoire River	Trinidad, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 81	$ 81	$ 88	$
Workshop - Upper Arkansas	Salida, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 90	$ 90	$ 97	$
Workshop - Lower Arkansas	La Junta, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 60	$ 60	$ 65	$
Workshop - Lower Arkansas	Lamar, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 262	$ 262	$ 283	$
Workshop – Purgatoire	Trinidad, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 81	$ 81	$ 88	$
Winter Water Storage Meeting	La Junta, CO	Cabot, Perry (or Intern)	$ 60	$ 60	$ 65	$
TOTAL			**$ 1,397**	**$ 982**	**$ 1,023**	**$ 3,402**

Table 8. Materials and Supplies Breakdown.

		Year 1		*Year 2*		*Year 3*	
Item Description	*Price*	*Qty*	*Cost*	*Qty*	*Cost*	*Qty*	Cost	*Total*
CoAgMet WORKSHOPS								
1. Facility Rental	$ 300	4	$ 1,200	4	$	4	$	$
2. Refreshments, Drinks, etc.	$ 200	4	$ 800	4	$	4	$	$
3. Print Costs (CoAgMet Handbooks)	$ 150	4	$ 600	4	$	4	$	$
Laptop Computer (2.53GHz Processor; 17.3" Display; 6GB Memory; 640GB Hard Drive	$ 1,500	1	$ 1,500	0	$	0	$	$
Software (Spreadsheet, Processing, etc.)	$ 700	1	$ 700	0	$	0	$	$
Anti-Virus Software	$ 50	1	$ 50	1	$	1	$	$
TOTAL								**$ 10,316**

Table 9. Other Direct Costs (Evaluation and Assessment Program).

Item Description	*Year 1*	*Year 2*	*Year 3*	*Total*
Evaluation and Assessment Program				
Phase I: Program Design, Literature Search & Instrument Development	$ 800	$ ---	$ ---	$ 800
Phase II: Program Implementation & Assessment	$ 1,000	$ 832	$ 865	$ 2,697
Phase III: Data Analysis & Reporting Statistics	$ 1,000	$ 832	$ 865	$ 2,697
Phase IV: Program Improvement, Interpretations & Recommendations	$ 400	$ 416	$ 433	$ 1,249
TOTAL	$ 3,200	$ 2,080	$ 2,163	**$ 7,443**

SCHEDULE

Provide a project schedule including key milestones for each task and the completion dates or time period from the Notice to Proceed (NTP). This dating method allows flexibility in the event of potential delays from the procurement process. Sample schedules are provided below. Please note that these schedules are examples and will need to be adapted to fit each individual application.

The proposed start date for the project is early 2012, pending contract approval by the Colorado Water Conservation Board.

Table 10. Project Timeline for WSRA Project (Arkansas Basin)

OBJECTIVES and TASKS	YEAR 1 (2012) J F M A M J J A S O N D	YEAR 2 (2013) J F M A M J J A S O N D	YEAR 3 (2014) J F M A M J J A S O N
Task 1. Print Media Outlet Distribution			
Interview and hire student intern; begin on-the-job training	■		
Develop protocol for reporting system through CoAgMet (e.g., contacts with newspapers, etc.)	■		
Begin deploying weekly ET reports to participating newspaper outlets	■	■	■
Task 2. Radio Media Distribution			
Develop protocol for reporting system through CoAgMet (e.g., contacts with radio stations, etc.)	■		
Begin deploying weekly (or daily) ET reports to participating radio stations	■	■	■
Task 3. Telemetric Subscription Service Development			
Development of web page developed to handle user authentication and user preferences	■		
Development of delivery routine to run periodically and send the summaries	■		
Initial run of text-messaging delivery service	■		
Trouble-shooting and revision of text-messaging delivery service	■		
Full deployment of text-messaging delivery service to Arkansas Basin	■	■	■
Re-evaluation and modification of text-messaging delivery service, based on assessment phases	■	■	■
Task 4. Telemetric Subscription Workshops			
Initial planning meetings to prepare for first run of text-messaging service	■		
After-action review (AAR) meetings to receive feedback on first run of text-messaging service	■	■	
Mini-Workshop and Coordination Meeting (Upper Arkansas area serving Chaffee, Fremont, and Custer Counties)	■		
Mini-Workshop and Coordination Meeting (Lower Arkansas Valley area serving Pueblo, Otero, Crowley, Bent Counties)	■		
Mini-Workshop and Coordination Meeting (Ogallala Aquifer area serving Prowers and Baca Counties)	■		
Mini-Workshop and Coordination Meeting (Purgatoire River area serving Las Animas and Huerfano Counties)	■		
Full Workshop (Upper Arkansas area serving Chaffee, Fremont, and Custer Counties)		■	■
Full Workshop (Lower Arkansas Valley area serving Pueblo, Otero, Crowley, Bent Counties)		■	
Full Workshop (Ogallala Aquifer area serving Prowers and Baca Counties)		■	
Full Workshop (Purgatoire River area serving Las Animas and Huerfano Counties)		■	
Task 5. Evaluation and Assessment			
Phase I: Program Design (Literature Search & Instrument Development)	■	■	
Phase II: Program Implementation & Assessment		■	■
Phase III: Data Analysis & Reporting Statistics		■	■
Phase IV: Program Improvement, Interpretations & Recommendations		■	■

Formatted: Font: Character scale: 94%, Not Expanded by / Condensed by

Formatted: Font: Character scale: 94%, Expanded by 0.1 pt

Formatted: Font: Character scale: 89%

PAYMENT

Payment will be made based on actual expenditures and invoicing by the applicant. Invoices from any other entity (i.e. subcontractors) cannot be processed by the State. The request for payment must include a description of the work accomplished by major task, and estimate of the percent completion for individual tasks and the entire water activity in relation to the percentage of budget spent, identification of any major issues and proposed or implemented corrective actions. The last 5 percent of the entire water activity budget will be withheld until final project/water activity documentation is completed. All products, data and information developed as a result of this grant must be provided to the CWCB in hard copy and electronic format as part of the project documentation. This information will in turn be made widely available to Basin Roundtables and the general public and help promote the development of a common technical platform.