# **Statement of Work**

WATER ACTIVITY NAME - Rural Douglas County groundwater-level monitoring network

**GRANT RECIPIENT** – Rural Water Authority of Douglas County

FUNDING SOURCE - USGS matching funds, CWCB state account and basin account

### INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Provide a brief description of the project. (Please limit to no more than 200 words; this will be used to inform reviewers and the public about your proposal)

This project establishes a county-wide groundwater-level monitoring network for the long-term monitoring of the water resources of rural areas of unincorporated Douglas County, Colorado. A scientific evaluation of groundwater resources in the Authority's service area has never been accomplished. In 2010, Douglas County estimated the population would grow from 293,521 residents to more than 444,784 by 2030. Some water providers in the county have surface-water rights, but their allocations do not provide enough water to satisfy the renewable supplies necessary to fulfill the existing water demands of the county. Development of the groundwater resources will continue to be necessary to meet the growing needs of the county.

### **OBJECTIVES**

The primary objective of this study is to establish a county wide groundwater-level monitoring network for the long-term monitoring of the water resources of Douglas County, Colorado. The network will consist of approximately 30 existing wells throughout the county. Water levels will be measured monthly in all wells and five sites will be equipped with pressure transducers for continuous monitoring. Water levels measured from wells in the network will provide an assessment of the current water resource and provide the basis from which to monitor long-term changes of the hydrologic system.

#### **TASKS**

Provide a detailed description of each task using the following format

# TASK -1 Identifying Target Monitoring Areas and Selecting Water-level Monitoring Sites.

<u>Description of Task</u> Overlying existing aquifer maps with well location maps and simulated drawdown maps within each service district will assist in identifying areas of interest for future water-level monitoring. This task will be completed by utilizing the CDWR well-permit web site and retrieving any county resources currently available

<u>Method/Procedure</u> This task will utilize existing State, county, and USGS maps. Potential sites will be identified based on the location, perforated interval, full completion within individual formations, and completeness of the available record.

# Deliverable

Identity of possible well sites

## TASK – 2 Field Visit and Site Survey.

#### Description of Task

This task will involve site visits for identifying wells suitable for water-level monitoring. Final selection will be based on well screened interval, well access, primary use, frequency of use, and well owner cooperation. Wells are considered suitable for water-level monitoring if the screened-interval depth and well-construction materials are known from well-drilling records and if the well can be accessed.

#### Method/Procedure

Once sites are selected for monitoring, the wells will be fully inventoried. The surrounding area, well head, access port, and measuring point will be photographed for the well record. The well location and elevation of the well head will be measured to within 1 foot using a high precision GPS unit. Field work for this task is estimated to require 164 hours of labor.

#### Deliverable

Well site selection

## TASK – 3 Monthly Water- Level Measurements and data Management

<u>Description of Task</u> Water levels in selected wells will be measured on a monthly basis and recorded. This task includes reviewing the field data forms for accuracy, establishing the sites in NWIS, preparing site files, and entering the water level data into the NWIS database.

# Method/Procedure

One well within each district will be equipped with a pressure transducer and data recorder for recording daily readings for comparison. Completion of this task is estimated to require 40 hours each month for a total of 632 hours. Data loggers are estimated to cost just under \$6,000 over the 15 month monitoring period. After each round of water-level measurements, hydrographs will be produced and reviewed to ensure that the selected wells are providing reliable data. This task is estimated to require 212 hours.

# Deliverable

Data log of water levels in selected wells

# TASK - 4 Report Preparation

#### Description of Task

A standard USGS Scientific Investigation Report (SIR) will be prepared to summarize the year of water-level monitoring results, including comparison of the results to other existing data (for example, the CDWR water-level monitoring data). Any GIS data sets generated during the project will be provided to the RWADC as a deliverable

## Method/Procedure

Preparation of report. This task is estimated to require 384 hours of labor.

### Deliverable

SIR Report and any GIS data sets generated.

A semi-annual report will be provided to the CWCB by the RWADC.

(**NOTE:** the full USGS proposal is included as Attachment 6.)

### REPORTING AND FINAL DELIVERABLE

Reporting: The applicant shall provide the CWCB a progress report every 6 months, beginning from the date of the executed contract. The progress report shall describe the completion or partial completion of the tasks identified in the statement of work including a description of any major issues that have occurred and any corrective action taken to address these issues.

Final Deliverable: At completion of the project, the applicant shall provide the CWCB a final report that summarizes the project and documents how the project was completed. This report may contain photographs, summaries of meetings and engineering reports/designs.

Form Revised March 2009

# **BUDGET**

Provide a detailed budget by task including number of hours and rates for labor and unit costs for other direct costs (i.e. mileage, \$/unit of material for construction, etc.). A detailed and perfectly balanced budget that shows all costs is required for the State's contracting and purchase order processes. Sample budget tables are provided below. Please note that these budget tables are examples and will need to be adapted to fit each individual application. Tasks should correspond to the tasks described above.

Total Costs								
	Labor	Other Direct	USGS	CWCB Grant to	Total Project			
		Costs	Matching	RWADC	Costs			
			Funds					
Task 1 – Identify target	\$16,785		\$5875	\$10,910	\$16,785			
monitoring areas and select								
sites								
Task 2 –Field visit and site	\$20,250	\$2440	\$7940	\$14,750	\$22,690			
survey								
Task 3 – FY10 Monthly	\$22,475	\$3765	\$9185	\$17,055	\$26,240			
water-level measurements and								
data management								
Task 3 – FY11 Monthly	\$53,870	\$11,645	\$22,930	\$42,580	\$65,515			
water-level monitoring and								
data management	<b></b>		A44050	<b>**</b>	4.41.0			
Task 4 – FY12 Report	\$42,710		\$14,950	\$27,760	\$42,710			
preparation								
In-Kind Contributions								
Total Costs:	\$156,090	\$17,850	\$60,880	\$113,055	\$173,935			
1								

# Labor costs

Labor Costs						
Project	Project	GIS		Database		
Personnel:	Manager	Specialist	Hydrologist	Manager	Hydrologist	
	(\$126/hr	(\$101.65/hr)	(\$91.50/hr)	(\$77.25/hr)	(\$77.25/hr)	Total Costs
Hourly Rate:	,	, ,	, ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	, , ,	
Task 1 - Identify	\$1,010	\$4,066	\$11,709			\$16,785
target						
monitoring areas						
and select water-						
level monitoring						
sites						
Task 2 – Field			\$10,980		\$9,270	\$20,250
visit and site						
survey						
Task 3 – FY10 -			\$15,368	\$7,107		\$22,475
Monthly water-						ŕ
level						
measurements						
and data						
management						
Task 3 – FY11 -	\$1,070		\$48,870	\$3,930		\$53,870
Monthly water-						
level						
measurements						
and data						
management						
Task 4 – FY12	\$5,650		\$33,600	\$3,460		\$42,710
Report	ĺ					,
preparation						
Total Hours:	56	40	1,248	180	120	
Cost:	\$7,730	\$4,066	\$120,527	\$14,497	\$9,270	\$156,090

# **Direct costs**

Item:	Copies	Materials	Equipment/ Supplies	Mileage	Total
Units: Unit Cost:	No.			Miles	
Task 2 – Field visit and site survey			\$1,425	\$1,015	\$2,440
Task 3 – FY10 - Monthly water- level measurements and data management			\$1,735	\$2,030	\$3,765
Task 3 – FY11 - Monthly water- level measurements and data management			\$5,545	\$6,100	\$11,645
Total Units:					
Total Cost:			\$8,705	\$9,145	\$17,850

### SCHEDULE "

Rtqxkf g"c"rtqlgev'uej gf wrg'kpenwf kpi "ng{"o krguvqpgu"hqt"gcej "kcum'cpf "vj g"eqo r rgvkqp"f cvgu"qt'vko g" r gtkqf "htqo "vj g"P qvkeg"vq"Rtqeggf "\*P VR+0"Vj ku"f cvkpi "o gvj qf "cmqy u"hrgzkdkrkv{ "kp" vj g"gxgpv'qh'r qvgpvkcn" f grc{u"htqo "vj g"rtqewtgo gpv'rtqeguu0"Uco r rg"uej gf wrgu"ctg"rtqxkf gf "dgrqy 0"Rrgcug"pqvg"vj cv'vj gug" uej gf wrgu"ctg"gzco r rgu"cpf "vq"dg"cf cr vgf "vq"hkv"gcej "kpf kxkf wcn'cr r rkecvkqp0"

, O c { "dg"cf lwwgf "vq"tghrgev"ej cpi g"kp"uvctv"f cvg"qt "qvj gt "f grc { u"

Y qtm'Rrcp'Grgo gpv'		Ң /4233"			H[ /4234"				H[ /4235"			
Vcum'3<'Krgpvkh{kpi "Vcti gv'Oqpkxqtkpi "Ctgcu" """"""( "Tgxkgy "Ygm'Nqi u"	"	"	" Z"	" Z"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vcun'4-"Hkgrf "Xkuk/"cpf "Ukg"Ecpxcuukpi "	"	"	Z"	Z"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"
Vcum'5<'O gcuwtg''Y cvgt''Ngxgnu''( " """""F cvc''O cpci go gpv'''	"	"	**	" Z"	" Z"	" Z"	" Z"	" Z"	•	"	"	"
Vcum'6<'Tgr qtv'	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	"	Z"	11	"	"

# **PAYMENT**

Rc{o gpv'y km'dg'o cf g''dcugf "qp''cewcn'gzr gpf kwtgu''cpf "kpxqkekpi "d{" y g''cr r necpv0" Kpxqkegu'htqo "cp{" qy gt "gpvk\{" "klg0'uwdeqpvtcevqtu+"ecppqv'dg''r tqeguugf "d{" y g''Uvcy0" "V j g''tgs wguv'hqt "r c{o gpv'o wuv'' kpenwf g''c' f guetkr vkqp"qh'y g''y qtm''ceeqo r nkuj gf "d{" o clqt "vcum"cpf "guvko cvg"qh'y g''r gtegpv'eqo r ngwlqp" hqt "kpf kxkf wcn'vcumu"cpf "y g"gpvktg'y cvgt "cevkxkv{" kp" tgncvkqp" 'q' y g''r gtegpvci g"qh'dwf i gv'ur gpv." kf gpvkhecvkqp"qh'cp{" o clqt "kuuwgu"cpf "r tqr qugf "qt" ko r ngo gpvgf "eqttgevkxg"cevkqpu0""Vj g''ncuv'7" r gtegpv'qh'' y g"gpvktg'y cvgt "cevkxkv{"dwf i gv'y km'dg'y ky j gnf "wpvkn'hkpcn'r tqlgevly cvgt "cevkxkv{"f qewo gpvcvkqp"ku" eqo r ngvgf 0"Cm'r tqf wevu. "f cvc"cpf "kphqto cvkqp"f gxgnqr gf "cu"c" tguwnv'qh'y ku'i tcpv'o wuv'dg''r tqxkf gf "vq" y g"EYED'kp'j ctf "eqr {"cpf "gngevtqpke"hqto cv'cu"r ctv'qh'y g"r tqlgev'f qewo gpvcvkqp0""Vj ku'kphqto cvkqp" y km'kp''wtp"dg''o cf g'y kf gn\{"cxckrcdng"vq"Dcukp"Tqwpf vcdngu"cpf "y g''i gpgtcn'r wdrke"cpf "j gnr "r tqo qvg"y g" f gxgnqr o gpv'qh'c"eqo o qp"vgej pkecn'r ncvhqto 0"