# **<u>Stream</u>: Little Spring Creek (Upper Segment)**

## **Executive Summary**

Water Division: 4 Water District: 40 CWCB ID: 09/4/A-004

**Segment:** Crystal Springs to the Inlet of Ragged Reservoir # 1 Upper Terminus: CRYSTAL SPRINGS (Latitude 39° 2' 1.19"N) (Longitude 107° 19' 46.52"W)

**Lower Terminus**: INLET OF RAGGED RESERVOIR # 1 (Latitude 39° 1' 55.37"N) (Longitude 107° 20' 3.76"W)

Watershed: North Fork Gunnison (HUC#: 14020004) Counties: Gunnison Length: 0.4 miles USGS Quad(s): Chair Mountain Flow Recommendation: 1.25 cfs (January 1 to December 31)



# **Staff Analysis and Recommendation**

## Summary

The information contained in this report and the associated instream flow file folder forms the basis for staff's instream flow recommendation to be considered by the Board. It is staff's opinion that the information contained in this report is sufficient to support the findings required in Rule 5.40.

Colorado's Instream Flow Program was created in 1973 when the Colorado State Legislature recognized "the need to correlate the activities of mankind with some reasonable preservation of the natural environment" (see 37-92-102 (3) C.R.S.). The statute vests the CWCB with the exclusive authority to appropriate and acquire instream flow and natural lake level water rights. In order to encourage other entities to participate in Colorado's Instream Flow Program, the statute directs the CWCB to request instream flow recommendations from other state and federal agencies. The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) recommended this segment of Little Spring Creek to the CWCB for inclusion into the Instream Flow Program. Little Spring Creek is being considered for inclusion into the Instream Flow Program because it has a natural environment that can be preserved to a reasonable degree with an instream flow water right.

Little Spring Creek is approximately 1 mile long. It begins at a large groundwater source know as Crystal Springs and ends at the confluence with Spring Creek. All 100% of the land on the 0.4 mile segment addressed by this report is publicly owned. Little Spring Creek is located within Gunnison County. Little Spring Creek generally flows in a southwesterly direction and the total drainage area of the creek is approximately 60 acres.

The subject of this report is a segment of Little Spring Creek beginning at Crystal Springs and extending downstream to the inlet of Ragged Reservoir # 1. The proposed segment is located approximately 17 miles northeast of Paonia. The staff has received only one recommendation for this segment, from the BLM. The recommendation for this segment is discussed below.

## Instream Flow Recommendation

The BLM recommended 1.25 cfs, year round; based on its May 16, 2007 data collection efforts. The modeling results from this survey effort are within the confidence interval produced by the R2Cross model.

## Land Status Review

		Total Length	Land Ow	nership
Upper Terminus	Lower Terminus	(miles)	% Private	% Public
Crystal Springs	Crystal Ditch Headgate	0.4	0%	100%

100% of the public lands are owned by the BLM.

# **Biological Data**

Fishery surveys indicate that the stream environment is in excellent condition, and supports a self-sustaining brook trout fishery. Channel and bank stability, substrate, food supplies, and water quality are excellent for salmonids. The small reservoir on the stream channel provides refuge for the fish during winter icing periods. The quantity of stream habitat in this creek that is suitable for spawning is limited, underscoring the importance of adequate flow in maintaining as much of this spawning habitat as possible. The very stable flow in the creek allows the stream channel to support watercress and a vigorous willow-sedge riparian community.

# **Field Survey Data**

BLM staff used the R2Cross methodology to quantify the amount of water required to preserve the natural environment to a reasonable degree. The R2Cross method requires that stream discharge and channel profile data be collected in a riffle stream habitat type. Riffles are most easily visualized, as the stream habitat types that would dry up first should streamflow cease. This type of hydraulic data collection consists of setting up a transect, surveying the stream channel geometry, and measuring the stream discharge.

## **Biological Flow Recommendation**

The CWCB staff relied upon the biological expertise of the cooperating agencies to interpret output from the R2Cross data collected to develop the initial, biologic instream flow recommendation. This initial recommendation is designed to address the unique biologic requirements of each stream without regard to water availability. Three instream flow hydraulic parameters, average depth, percent wetted perimeter, and average velocity are used to develop biologic instream flow recommendations. The CDOW has determined that maintaining these three hydraulic parameters at adequate levels across riffle habitat types, aquatic habitat in pools and runs will also be maintained for most life stages of fish and aquatic invertebrates (Nehring 1979; Espegren 1996).

For this segment of stream, one data set was collected with the results shown in Table 1 below. Table 1 shows who collected the data (Party), the date the data was collected (Date), the measured discharge at the time of the survey (Q), the accuracy range of the predicted flows based on Manning's Equation (240% and 40% of Q), the summer flow recommendation based on meeting 3 of 3 hydraulic criteria and the winter flow recommendation based upon 2 of 3 hydraulic criteria. It is believed that recommendations that fall outside of the accuracy range of the model, over 250% of the measured discharge or under 40% of the measured discharge may not give an accurate estimate of the necessary instream flow required.

Tuble 1. Duu	ı				
Party	Date	Q	250%-40%	Summer (3/3)	Winter (2/3)
BLM	5/16/2007	1.35	3.4 - 0.5	1.34	0.55

Table 1: Data

BLM = Bureau of Land Management

The flow recommendation of 1.25 cfs meets 3 of 3 criteria and is being recommended year round. Although the typical R2Cross recommendation is based on a variable annual flow regime, the BLM is recommending a constant flow-rate year round because it is clear that this

stream environment has adjusted to an extremely stable flow comprised primarily of the discharge from an upstream spring.

## Hydrologic Data and Analysis

After receiving the cooperating agency's biologic recommendation, the CWCB staff conducted an evaluation of the stream hydrology to determine if water was physically available for an instream flow appropriation. This evaluation was done through a computation that is, in essence, a "water balance". In concept a "water balance" computation can be viewed as an accounting exercise. When done in its most rigorous form, the water balance parses precipitation into all the avenues water pursues after it is deposited as rain, snow, or ice. In other words, given a specified amount of water deposition (input), the balance tries to account for all water depletions (losses) until a selected end point is reached. Water losses include depletions due to evaporation and transpiration, deliveries into ground water storage, temporary surface storage, incorporations into plant and animal tissue and so forth. These losses are individually or collectively subtracted from the input to reveal the net amount of stream runoff as represented by the discharge measured by stream gages. Of course, the measured stream flow need not be the end point of interest; indeed, when looking at issues of water use to extinction stream flow measurements may only describe intermediate steps in the complex accounting process that is a water balance carried out to a net value of zero.

In its analysis, CWCB staff has attempted to use this idea of balancing inputs and losses to determine if water is available for the recommended Instream Flow Appropriation. Of course, this analysis must be a practical exercise rather than a lengthy, and costly, scientific investigation. As a result, staff has simplified the process by lumping together some variables and employing certain rational and scientifically supportable assumptions. The process may be described through the following description of the steps used to complete the evaluation for this particular stream.

The first step required in determining water availability is a determination of the hydrologic regime at the Lower Terminus (LT) of the recommended ISF reach. In the best case this means looking at the data from a gage at the LT. Further, this data, in the best case, has been collected for a long period of time (the longer the better) including wet and dry periods. In the case of **Little Spring Creek** no such gage is available at the LT or in the remainder of this 60.7 acres tributary. Except in very unusual circumstances, a stream system of this size in this location would not merit the cost and effort required in monitoring. The roughly 1.1 mile long stream begins as a spring at the Upper Terminus and flows through a USDI Bureau of Land Management (BLM) flow-through pond and a small channel before joining Spring Creek a short distance downstream at an elevation of 7,600 ft above mean sea level (amsl). To quantify the anecdotal estimates of long-term sustained spring-fed base flow the proponent, BLM, made field measurements of discharge and installed stage measuring devices. BLM collected these data for a year to substantiate the anecdotal estimates of flow and the characterization of the creek as spring flow dominated.

Because of the existence of the BLM pond at roughly the middle of the Little Spring Creek reach, the instream flow reaches will be treated as two segments with the pond eliminated. Specifically, an Upper Little Spring Creek ISF reach will be defined to have a Lower Terminus

at the inlet of the BLM pond and a Lower Little Spring Creek ISF reach will be defined to have an Upper Terminus at the outlet of the BLM pond.

No consumptive diversions were found within the small watershed tributary to Little Spring Creek but were found in the main Spring Creek basin (both above and below the confluence of Little Spring Creek and Spring Creek. The hydrograph (plot of discharge over time) produced from BLM's data, therefore, is uninfluenced by consumptive use diversions. The flow regime throughout the length of these two small ISF reaches can be treated as constant due to the absence of such diversions. The resultant hydrograph, including recommended Instream Flow values, is displayed in figure 1.



### "Little Spring Creek" abv LT Discharge and Recommended ISF

**Existing Water Right Information** 

Staff has analyzed the water rights tabulation and contacted the Division Engineer Office (DEO) to identify any potential water availability problems. There are no decreed surface diversion within this reach of stream. The lower terminus Ragged Reservoir # 1 is owned and managed by the BLM as a flow through structure with a constant water level maintained at all times. Staff has determined that water is available for appropriation on Little Spring Creek, between the Crystal Springs to the inlet of Ragged Reservoir # 1, to preserve the natural environment to a reasonable degree without limiting or foreclosing the exercise of valid existing water rights.

CWCB Staff's Instream Flow Recommendation

Staff recommends the Board form its intent to appropriate on the following stream reach:

## Segment: Crystal Springs to the Inlet of Ragged Reservoir # 1

Upper Terminus: CRYSTAL SPRINGS (Latitude 39° 2' 1.19"N) (Longitude 107° 19' 46.52"W) UTM North: 4323094.5 UTM East: 298360.8 NW NW S10 T12S R89W 6PM 575' East of the West Section Line; 850' South of the North Section Line

Lower Terminus: INLET OF RAGGED RESERVOIR # 1

(Latitude 39° 1' 55.37"N)
(Longitude 107° 20' 3.76"W)
UTM North: 4322926.1
UTM East: 297941.6
SE NE S9 T12S R89W 6PM
775' West of the East Section Line; 1440' South of the North Section Line

Watershed: North Fork Gunnison (HUC#: 14020004) Counties: Gunnison Length: 0.4 miles USGS Quad(s): Chair Mountain Flow Recommendation: 1.25 cfs (January 1 to December 31)

# Vicinity Map





# Topographic & Water Rights Map





# United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT Colorado State Office 2850 Youngfield Street Lakewood, Colorado 80215-7093 www.blm.gov/co



In Reply Refer To: 7250 (CO-932)

DEC 3 0 2008

RECEIVED

JAN 0 5 2009

Golando Water Conservation duard

Ms. Linda Bassi Colorado Water Conservation Board 1313 Sherman Street, Room 721 Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Ms. Bassi:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is writing this letter to formally communicate its instream flow recommendation for Little Spring Creek, located in Water Division 4.

Location and Land Status: Little Spring Creek is tributary to Spring Creek and East Muddy Creek approximately three miles north of Paonia Reservoir. The BLM recommends instream flow appropriations on two stream reaches. The upper terminus for the first reach is at a large groundwater source known as Crystal Springs, which provides the entire flow of the creek. The creek flows for 0.4 miles and then into Ragged Reservoir #1, which is recommended as the lower terminus of the first reach. The BLM owns and operates Ragged Reservoir #1 as a flow-through structure, with a constant water level maintained at all times. The upper terminus for the second reach is the outlet of Ragged Reservoir #1, and the suggested lower terminus is the headgate of the Crystal Ditch. Both stream reaches are located entirely upon BLM lands.

**Biological Summary:** Fishery surveys indicate that the stream environment is in excellent condition and supports a self-sustaining brook trout fishery. Channel and bank stability, substrate, food supplies, and water quality are excellent for salmonids. The small reservoir on the stream channel provides refuge for the fish during winter icing periods. The quantity of stream habitat in this creek that is suitable for spawning is limited, underscoring the importance of adequate flow in maintaining as much of this spawning habitat as possible. The very stable flow in the creek allows the stream channel to support watercress and a vigorous willow-sedge riparian community.

**R2Cross Analysis:** The BLM's data analysis, coordinated with the Division of Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

1.25 cubic feet per second (cfs) is recommended year-round. The typical R2Cross recommendation is based on a variable annual flow regime. However, the BLM is recommending a constant flow-rate year round because it is clear that this stream environment has adjusted to an extremely stable flow comprised primarily of the discharge from the upstream spring. The stable flow and stable water-dependent environment is evidenced by the elevational position and stability of the riparian and aquatic vegetation, and by the lack of fluvial, channel features (scour and fill, in-channel bars, etc.) that would imply a varied flow. The 1.25 cfs recommendation is driven by the average velocity criteria. Meeting the average velocity criteria is important for maintaining spawning gravels and preventing excessive vegetation growth in the channel during the annual growing period. It is also important for preventing icing in this high-altitude stream.

Water Availability: The traditional water availability analysis used by the Board will not be applicable to this stream, because the stream is almost entirely spring-fed. A very high percentage of the flow is provided by a large groundwater discharge point known as Crystal Spring that flows at a fairly constant rate year-round. The stream receives a small amount of extra flow during the snowmelt runoff period from the very small watershed in which the creek is located.

The BLM has installed a pressure transducer in Little Spring Creek to gather information about the monthly variability of the flow rate. The BLM observed flow readings ranging from 1.25 to 1.4 cfs, with slightly higher readings corresponding to the snowmelt runoff period.

The BLM is not aware of any surface diversions or water rights within the proposed instream flow reach, other than water rights on the spring and reservoir held by the BLM.

**Relationship to Management Plans:** This recommendation is important to BLM management objectives because this area provides one of the few public access routes into the Raggeds Wilderness Area. As such, the creek and the reservoir receive significant public usage. Even though this reach is short, it supports extensive and unique water-dependent values. The creek also provides examples of unique hydrology and unusually high water quality. The creek also faces development threats, as evidenced by requests to allow usage of the spring for bottled drinking water.

Data sheets, R2Cross output, fishery survey information, and photographs of the cross section to support this recommendation were provided with a draft recommendation in February 2008. We thank both the Division of Wildlife and the Water Conservation Board for their cooperation in this effort.

If you have any questions regarding our instream flow recommendation, please contact Roy Smith at 303-239-3940.

Sincerely, Linda Anania

Deputy State Director, Resources and Fire

cc:

Dennis Murphy, Uncompany Field Office Barb Sharrow, Uncompany Field Office

### DRAFT INSTREAM FLOW RECOMMENDATION – LITTLE SPRING CREEK, WD 4

Feb. 13, 2008

Ms. Linda Bassi Colorado Water Conservation Board 1313 Sherman Street, Room 721 Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Ms. Bassi:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is writing this letter to formally communicate its instream flow recommendation for Little Spring Creek, located in Water Division 4.

**Location and Land Status**. Little Spring Creek is tributary to Spring Creek and East Muddy Creek approximately three miles north of Paonia Reservoir. The creek originates entirely from a large groundwater source known as Crystal Springs. The creek flows for 0.4 miles and then into Ragged Reservoir #1. BLM owns and operates Ragged Reservoir #1 as a flow-through structure, with a constant water level maintained at all times. The reach terminates at the headgate of the Crystal Ditch. The entire stream reach is located on BLM lands.

**Biological Summary.** Fishery surveys indicate that the stream environment is in excellent condition, and supports a self-sustaining brook trout fishery. Channel and bank stability, substrate, food supplies, and water quality are excellent for salmonids. The small reservoir on the stream channel provides refuge for the fish during winter icing periods. The quantity of stream habitat in this creek that is suitable for spawning is limited, underscoring the importance of adequate flow in maintaining as much of this spawning habitat as possible. The very stable flow in the creek allows the stream channel to support watercress and a vigorous willow-sedge riparian community.

**R2Cross Analysis.** BLM's data analysis, coordinated with the Division of Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

1.25 cubic feet per second is recommended year-round. The typical R2Cross recommendation is based on a variable annual flow regime. However, BLM is recommending a constant flow-rate year-round because it is clear that this stream environment has adjusted to an extremely stable flow comprised primarily of the discharge from the upstream spring. The stable flow and stable water-dependent environment is evidenced by the elevational position and stability of the riparian and aquatic vegetation, and by the lack of fluvial, channel features (scour and fill, in-channel bars, etc) that would imply a varied flow. The 1.25 cfs recommendation is driven by the average velocity criteria. Meeting the average velocity criteria is important for maintaining spawning gravels and preventing excessive vegetation growth in the channel during the annual growing period. It is also important for preventing icing in this high-

altitude stream.

**Water Availability.** The traditional water availability analysis used by the Board will not be applicable to this stream, because the stream is almost entirely spring-fed. A very high percentage of the flow is provided by a large groundwater discharge point known as Crystal Spring that flows at a fairly constant rate year-round. The stream receives a small amount of extra flow during the snowmelt runoff period from the very small watershed in which the creek is located.

BLM has installed a pressure transducer in Little Spring Creek to gather information about the monthly variability of the flow rate. To date, BLM has observed flow readings ranging from 1.2 to 1.4 cfs, with slightly higher readings corresponding to the snowmelt runoff period. BLM intends to provide a summary of this data to the board at the end of the 2008 field season.

BLM is not aware of any surface diversions or water rights within the proposed instream flow reach, other than water rights on the spring and reservoir held by the BLM.

**Relationship to Management Plans.** This recommendation is important to BLM management objectives because this area provides one of the few public access routes into the Raggeds Wilderness Area. As such, the creek and the reservoir receive significant public usage. Even though this reach is short, it supports extensive and unique water-dependent values. The creek also provides examples of unique hydrology and unusually high water quality. The creek also faces development threats, as evidenced by requests that have come to BLM to allow usage of the spring for bottled drinking water.

Data sheets, R2Cross output, fishery survey information, and photographs of the cross section are enclosed to support this recommendation. We thank both the Division of Wildlife and the Water Conservation Board for their cooperation in this effort.

If you have any questions regarding our instream flow recommendation, please contact Roy Smith at 303-239-3940.

Sincerely,

Linda Anania Deputy State Director Resources and Fire

4 Enclosures

cc: Dennis Murphy, Uncompahgre Field Office Barb Sharrow, Uncompahgre Field Office



## FIELD DATA FOR **INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS**



LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NA	ME: )	-1771e	Spai	ng C	reek	$\overline{\mathbf{C}}$				CROSS-SECTION I	NO.:/
CROSS-SEC	TION LOC		21 Mp	stroal	n f	nom	lake				
DATE: 5	16-0.	OBSERVERS:	12 5	in ith,	$\mathcal{D}$	. Mi	mpky				
LEGAL DESCRIPTIO	N	% SECTION:	NE	ECTION:	9	TOWNSHIP:	12. (NS	RANGE:	89 E.W	$)^{\text{PM:}}$	2
COUNTY:	Su	<i>chison</i>	WATERSHE	Gui	nnis	on	WATER DIVISION:	-	DOW WATER	132 HT	40
MAR(S)	USGS:	Chair	- Mon	, 7.5	1						
Micr (3).	USFS:										

### SUPPLEMENTAL DATA



### CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

STATION	DISTANCE FROM TAPE (ft)	ROD READING (ft)		(*)	LEGEND:
X Tape @ Stake LB	0.0	surveyed		¥	Stake 🛞
🗴 Tape @ Stake RB	0.0	surveyed	s к		Station (1)
1 WS @ Tape LB/RB	0.0	3.22/3,22	E T C	TAPE	Photo (1)
2 WS Upstream	12.0	2.86	н		~~
3 WS Downstream	12.0	3,56			Direction of Flow
SLOPE S	.71 24.0 =			<b>O</b>	

### AQUATIC SAMPLING SUMMARY

STREAM ELECTROFISHED: YES NO	YESNO DISTANCE ELECTROFISHED:ft					FISH CAUGHT: YESNO					WATER CHEMISTRY SAMPLE YES NO						
LENGTH - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY ONE-INCH SIZE GROUPS (1.0-1.9, 2.0-2.9, ETC.)																	
SPECIES (FILL IN)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL
										L		L					
			ļ	ļ					ļ		ļ	ļ	ļ		ļ	ļ	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·												<u> </u>			ļ	<b> </b>	
					L.					l		L	[				
maufly abus	Adau	1	SY	tou	07	1											
- 1 1		1		СС	OMM	IENT	S										
TDS = 40 70	705 = 40 Jenne 42° + Mr= 8,5																

FORM #ISF FD 1-85

### DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME:	2122	le S	oring (	C ree	K		CROSS	S-SECTION	NO.: /		-O-I SHEET	OF
BEGINNING OF N	EASUREMENT	EDGE OF W	ATER LOOKING D	OWNSTREAM:	LEFT / RIG	iht Gi	age Rea	ading:	ft	TIME: 12	.45	
v) D Stake (S)	Distance	Width	Total	Water	Depth	Revolut	ions		Velocit	y (ft/sec)		
Grassline (G) Waterline (W) BRock (R)	From Initial Point (ft)	(ft)	Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Depth (ft)	of Obser- vation (ft)			Time (sec )	At Point	Mean in Vertical	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Discharge (cfs)
RS	0.0		2.39									
G	2.5		272						·····			
	5.3		2.94									
W	5,6		3.22									
	6.0		3.36	.15					1.07	_		
	4.3		2.33	.10		 			1,10		ļ	
	6.6		3,37	.15					1,10			
	6.9		3.38	.15					1.90	>		
	7.2		3,37	. /5					1. 80		[	
	7.5		3.40	, 20					1,92	<u> </u>		
	28		3.36	,15					LZS			
	81		3,42	, 20					1.55			
	84		3.32	, 10					1.00			
	3.7		3,28	.05					Ø			
	90		3.39	.15					2.00			
	93		3,37	13					1.92	•		
	96		3,38	,15					1,48		L	
	9.9		3,36	. 15					1.79			
	10.Z		3,37	, 15					1.50	>		
	12.5		3.35	, 15		L			1.30			
	10.8		3,31	.10		ļ			1.05		1	
	11-1		3,36	.15					. 90			
	11.4		3.36	.15					1,55			
	11.7		3.36	. 15					1.53			
	12.0		3.31	. )0					, 98			
	12.3		3.39	. 15					1,45			
	-											
				_		ļ						
ļ												
										_		
J	ļ				ļ						ļ	ļ
	4											
<u>⊢</u>	13.2		3.00		ļ							
5	145		271									
15	175		231						- <u></u>	-		
			Ø, 70			<b> </b>						
	+									·		
					<u> </u>		{					
TOTALS:												
End of Measur	ement Tin	ne:	Gage Reading	g:ft	CALCULAT	IONS PERI	FORME	) BY:	(	CALCULATIONS	CHECKED BY:	

	FIELD DATA FOR INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS												COLUMNSION DIVISION	OF WHIT						
COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD	)	<u>`</u>		Ĺ	-00/	ΑΤΙΟ	N IN	IFOF	RMA	LION										
STREAM NAME:	0 5	annig	1. 1.		K		*				,					, [			2	
CROSS-SECTION LOCATION:	<u>X-Se</u>	dich	# -2	2	(	3PE	5#	21	-	d	οω	<u>ns P</u>	rec	im	dr	om	la	ke	<b>*</b>	
DATE S/11/07 OBSE		Smith	1	D,	ma	IRF	16.4													
LEGAL % SEC DESCRIPTION	TION:	NE	CTION	:	(	7 ["	own\$h	IP:	ľ	ZN	9	RANGE	:	G	9 E	Ø	<sup>рм:</sup> (	oth		
COUNTY: GUNNI	son	WATERSHE	»: С	Jur	IN	501	r	WA	TER DI	/ISION:		4			DOW W	ATER C	ODE:	0		
MAP(S): USGS: Chair Midn. 7.5'																				
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA																				
SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS PESINO METER TYPE: NORL MCBINGI																				
METER NUMBER:		DATE RATE	D:	/		CALIE	B/SPIN:		<u> </u>			5 EIGHT:	un	sey.	¢ d	ТАРЕ	SL		eyed	
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZ	HANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE / COBDES PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN: YES NO NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPHS: 3																			
CHANNEL PROFILE DATA																				
STATION	DI FR	STANCE OM TAPE (ft	)		ROC	READ	ING (ft						۲	\$					EGEND:	
Tape @ Stake LB		0.0	·				~		-										ake 🛞	
Tape @ Stake RB		0.0		-	21	6	5		S K E				ω			~		Sta	ation (1)	
(1) WS @ Tape LB/RB		0.0		3,	68	$\frac{3}{3}$	,66	2	T C H			,	, tap		4	$\langle \langle \rangle$	$\checkmark$	Photo (i)		
2 WS Upstream		4			<u></u> .	44	2					1	<u> </u>					- Dire	ction of Flow	
(3) WS Downstream	3	,0		1	3,	68	5						Č (	\$		$\bigcirc$		(	$\bigcirc$	
SLOPE C	7,22 1	17.0	-							<u> </u>						$\overline{\mathbb{O}}$				
	F			AC	UAT	IC S		PLIN	G SI	JMM	ARY									
STREAM ELECTROFISHED:	YESNO	DISTANCE	ELECI	HOFIS	HED:			F	ISH CA	UGHT:	YESING			WATE	CHEN	AISTRY	SAMPL	EDGES	\$7.50	
SPECIES (FILL IN)		LENGTH	- FREQ	2	3		5	6	7	E GRO	9 9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL	
					ļ		ļ					ļ	ļ	ļ				ļ		
						ļ												<u> </u>		
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM	VI SECTION B		OR SCI	ENTIFI	C ORDI	ER NAM	IE:	I	l	1	L	L	l	L	<u>I</u>	<b></b>	L	<u>.</u>	L	
mayfly abundant, stonefly																				
COMMENTS																				
1105=40 Temp= 43°F Ph= 8,4																				
	<b>***</b> ***	,																		
1																				

FORM #ISF FD 1-85

### DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

ST	REAM NAME:	Litt	le Sp.	ring Ca	reek			CROSS	S-SECTION	NO.: 2	DATE:	57 SHEET	OF
ВE	GINNING OF M	EASUREMENT	EDGE OF W	ATERLOOKING D	OWNSTREAM:	LEFT / RIG	HT G	age Rea	ading:	ft	TIME: 1140	ping	
es	Stake (S)	Distance	Width	Total	Water	Depth	Revolut	tions		Veloci	ty (ft/sec)		
Featur	Grassline (G) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	From Initial Point (ft)	(ft)	Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Depth (ft)	of Obser- vation (ft)			Time (sec )	At Point	Mean in Vertical	Area (ft <sup>2</sup> )	Discharge (cfs)
													/
				2.63									
	645	10.5		3:2.2.									
	ω.	10.0		2.00									
		9.7		3.76	0.10						0.75		
		9.4		3.77	0.10						0.93		ļ
	_	9.1		3.82	0.15						1.26	·····	
		8.8		3.66	0,20						1,42		<u> </u>
_		8.5		3.87	0.20	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	-,				1.43		
_		R. Low		3.70	0.25						1.42		
-		213		2.00	0,00						1.33		
		1.6		291	120						172		
		7.0		2 07	0,25						1 70		
┝		110		3,31	0.00						1.72		
-		6.4		<u>, 07</u>	0.20						0.95		
-		6.1		3 79	0.10						0.90		
		5,8		3.79	0.10						0.86		
┢		5.5		3,84	0,15						0.98		
Γ		5.2		3,99	0.30						0.62		
		4.9		3.98	0.30						0.30		
		4.6		3,94	0.25						0.41		
L		4,30		3,87	0.20						0.0		<u> </u>
┝		2.00		3:14	0.03						0.0		
┝	1.1	200		3.12	0.05						0.0		+
┡	w	7.00		3,41.									
t	Gts	1.00		3,24									
Ľ													
										ļ			
L													
													<u> </u>
┡	TOTALS												
┢			0.01	1		CALCULAT	IONS PEF	FORME	L D BY:	<u>I                                     </u>	CALCULATIONS	CHECKED BY	
Ľ	End of Measur	rement Ti	me: L',CC	Gage Reading	g: fi								

#### COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD INSTREAM FLOW / NATURAL LAKE LEVEL PROGRAM STREAM CROSS-SECTION AND FLOW ANALYSIS

#### LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: XS LOCATION: XS NUMBER:	Little Spring Creek At foot trail crossing below Ragged Lake 2					
DATE: OBSERVERS:	16-May-07 R. Smith, D. M	Лurphy				
1/4 SEC: SECTION: TWP: RANGE: PM:	NE 9 12 North 89 West Sixth					
COUNTY: WATERSHED: DIVISION: DOW CODE:	Gunnison North Fork Gu 4 43240	unnison				
USGS MAP: USFS MAP:	Chair Mounta 0	in 7.5'				
SUPPLEMENTAL DATA	_	*** NOTE ***				
TAPE WT: TENSION:	0.0106 99999	Leave TAPE WT and TENSION at defaults for data collected with a survey level and rod				
CHANNEL PROFILE DATA	<u>-</u>					
SLOPE:	0.0129					
INPUT DATA CHECKED B	Y:	DATE				
ASSIGNED TO:		DATE				

STREAM NAME:	Little Spring Creek
XS LOCATION:	At foot trail crossing below Ragged Lake
XS NUMBER:	2

	#1	# DATA POINTS=							
FEATURE	DIST	VERT DEPTH	WATER DEPTH	VEL					
1 G,LS	1.00	3.24							
	2.00	3.46							
W	3.40	3.68							
	3.70	3.72	0.05	0.00					
	4.00	3.74	0.05	0.00					
	4.30	3.89	0.20	0.00					
	4.60	3.94	0.25	0.41					
	4.90	3.98	0.30	0.80					
	5.20	3.99	0.30	0.62					
	5.50	3.84	0.15	0.98					
	5.80	3.79	0.10	0.86					
	6.10	3.79	0.10	0.90					
	6.40	3.83	0.15	0.85					
	6.70	3.88	0.20	1.23					
	7.00	3.87	0.20	1.29					
	7.30	3.91	0.25	1.22					
	7.60	3.85	0.20	1.33					
	7.90	3.86	0.20	1.51					
	8.20	3.90	0.25	1.43					
	8.50	3.87	0.20	1.48					
	8.80	3.86	0.20	1.42					
	9.10	3.82	0.15	1.26					
	9.40	3.77	0.10	0.93					
	9.70	3.76	0.10	0.75					
W	10.00	3.66							
1 G,RS	10.30	3.22							

#### VALUES COMPUTED FROM RAW FIELD DATA

WETTED	WATER	AREA	Q	% Q
PERIM.	DEPTH	(Am)	(Qm)	CELL
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.30	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.0%
0.30	0.05	0.02	0.00	0.0%
0.34	0.20	0.06	0.00	0.0%
0.30	0.25	0.08	0.03	2.8%
0.30	0.30	0.09	0.07	6.6%
0.30	0.30	0.09	0.06	5.1%
0.34	0.15	0.05	0.04	4.0%
0.30	0.10	0.03	0.03	2.4%
0.30	0.10	0.03	0.03	2.5%
0.30	0.15	0.05	0.04	3.5%
0.30	0.20	0.06	0.07	6.7%
0.30	0.20	0.06	0.08	7.1%
0.30	0.25	0.08	0.09	8.4%
0.31	0.20	0.06	0.08	7.3%
0.30	0.20	0.06	0.09	8.3%
0.30	0.25	0.08	0.11	9.8%
0.30	0.20	0.06	0.09	8.1%
0.30	0.20	0.06	0.09	7.8%
0.30	0.15	0.05	0.06	5.2%
0.30	0.10	0.03	0.03	2.5%
0.30	0.10	0.03	0.02	2.1%
0.32		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
6.73	0.3	1.11	1.10	100.0%

TOTALS	

 Manning's n =
 0.0515

 Hydraulic Radius=
 0.164970722

(Max.)

STREAM NAME:	Little Spring Creek
XS LOCATION:	At foot trail crossing below Ragged Lake
XS NUMBER:	2

#### WATER LINE COMPARISON TABLE

WATER	MEAS	COMP	AREA
LINE	AREA	AREA	ERROR
	1.11	1.14	2.5%
3.42	1.11	3.02	172.1%
3.44	1.11	2.85	157.2%
3.46	1.11	2.69	142.4%
3.48	1.11	2.53	127.9%
3.50	1.11	2.37	113.6%
3.52	1.11	2.22	99.6%
3.54	1.11	2.06	85.8%
3.56	1.11	1.91	72.3%
3.58	1.11	1.77	59.0%
3.60	1.11	1.62	46.0%
3.62	1.11	1.48	33.2%
3.63	1.11	1.41	27.0%
3.64	1.11	1.34	20.7%
3.65	1.11	1.27	14.6%
3.66	1.11	1.20	8.5%
3.67	1.11	1.14	2.5%
3.68	1.11	1.07	-3.5%
3.69	1.11	1.01	-9.3%
3.70	1.11	0.94	-15.1%
3.71	1.11	0.88	-20.7%
3.72	1.11	0.82	-26.3%
3.74	1.11	0.70	-37.0%
3.76	1.11	0.59	-47.3%
3.78	1.11	0.48	-57.0%
3.80	1.11	0.38	-66.0%
3.82	1.11	0.29	-74.0%
3.84	1.11	0.21	-81.2%
3.86	1.11	0.14	-87.6%
3.88	1.11	0.09	-92.1%
3.90	1.11	0.06	-94.9%
3.92	1.11	0.04	-96.6%

#### WATERLINE AT ZERO AREA ERROR = 3.674

STREAM NAME:	Little Spring Creek
XS LOCATION:	At foot trail crossing below Ragged Lake
XS NUMBER:	2

#### Constant Manning's n

STAGING TABLE	

 $GL^*$  = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag \*WL\* = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag

-	DIST TO	TOP	AVG.	MAX.		WETTED	PERCENT	HYDR		AVG.
	WATER	WIDTH	DEPTH	DEPTH	AREA	PERIM.	WET PERIM	RADIUS	FLOW	VELOCITY
_	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(FT)	(SQ FT)	(FT)	(%)	(FT)	(CFS)	(FT/SEC)
*GL*	3.24	9.29	0.50	0.75	4.61	9.68	100.0%	0.48	9.21	2.00
	3.27	9.11	0.47	0.72	4.29	9.48	97.9%	0.45	8.31	1.93
	3.32	8.85	0.43	0.67	3.84	9.18	94.9%	0.42	7.06	1.84
	3.37	8.59	0.40	0.62	3.41	8.89	91.9%	0.38	5.90	1.73
	3.42	8.32	0.36	0.57	2.99	8.60	88.8%	0.35	4.84	1.62
	3.47	8.04	0.32	0.52	2.58	8.28	85.5%	0.31	3.88	1.51
	3.52	7.68	0.28	0.47	2.18	7.90	81.6%	0.28	3.04	1.39
	3.57	7.33	0.25	0.42	1.81	7.51	77.6%	0.24	2.29	1.27
	3.62	6.98	0.21	0.37	1.45	7.13	73.7%	0.20	1.64	1.13
*WL*	3.67	6.59	0.17	0.32	1.11	6.72	69.4%	0.17	1.10	0.99
	3.72	6.04	0.13	0.27	0.79	6.16	63.7%	0.13	0.66	0.84
	3.77	5.31	0.10	0.22	0.51	5.41	55.9%	0.09	0.34	0.68
	3.82	4.14	0.07	0.17	0.27	4.22	43.6%	0.06	0.14	0.53
	3.87	2.27	0.04	0.12	0.10	2.32	24.0%	0.04	0.04	0.40
	3.92	0.83	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.85	8.7%	0.04	0.01	0.39
	3.97	0.38	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.38	3.9%	0.01	0.00	0.15

STREAM NAME:	Little Spring Creek
XS LOCATION:	At foot trail crossing below Ragged Lake
XS NUMBER:	2

#### SUMMARY SHEET

MEASURED FLOW (Qm)=	.10 cfs	RECOMMENDED INSTREAM	1 FLOW:
CALCULATED FLOW (Qc)=	.10 cfs		=====
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	0.1 %		
		FLOW (CFS)	PERIOD
MEASURED WATERLINE (WLm)=	3.67 ft	=========	=======
CALCULATED WATERLINE (WLc)=	9.67 ft		
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	0.1 %		
	130 ft		
MAX CALCULATED DEPTH (Dc)=	0.32 ft		
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100	5.3 %		
MEAN VELOCITY=	.99 ft/sec		
MANNING'S N= 0.	051		
SLOPE= 0.0	0129 ft/ft		
.4 * Qm =	0.4 cfs		
2.5 * Qm=	2.7 cfs		

#### RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION:

\_\_\_\_\_

ACENCY		
AGEINCY	DATE:	



# Percent Wetted Perimeter vs. Discharge









