



United States Department of the Interior

BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT

Colorado State Office
2850 Youngfield Street
Lakewood, Colorado 80215-7093
www.blm.gov/co



In Reply Refer To:
7250 (CO-932)

DEC 11 2009

Ms. Linda Bassi
Colorado Water Conservation Board
1313 Sherman Street, Room 721
Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Ms. Bassi:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is writing this letter to formally communicate its recommendation for an instream flow water right for the upper Animas River, located in Water Division 7.

Location and Land Status: The Animas River starts in the San Juan Mountains east of Silverton and flows downstream to the Durango area. This recommendation covers two stream reaches located upstream from Silverton. The upper reach begins at the confluence with Minnie Gulch and extends to the confluence with Cunningham Creek. The lower reach begins at the confluence with Cunningham Creek and extends downstream to the confluence with Arrastra Creek.

In the upper reach, approximately 25 percent of the 2.25-mile reach is located on federal lands managed by the BLM, while the remaining 75 percent is located on private lands. In the lower reach, approximately 50% of the 2.25-mile reach is located on federal lands managed by the BLM, while the remaining 50% is located on private lands.

Biological Summary: These segments of the Animas River are moderate gradient streams with moderate to large substrate size, often punctuated by large boulders within the stream channel. Many portions of the stream channel have been affected by historic mining that moved bedload materials. Natural hydrologic processes since the mining activity ceased have created a natural stream channel, but some portions of the river are still highly braided and lack good width to depth ratios.

Water quality in the stream segment is affected by heavy metals from both natural sources and historic mining activities within the watershed. Minnie Gulch, Maggie Gulch, and Cunningham Creek contribute water to the river that has lower concentrations of heavy metals, so water quality improves as you move downstream through the two reaches.

Fish surveys have documented naturally reproducing populations of brook trout in both stream

reaches. Brook trout are the trout species that are most tolerant of heavy metal loads, but they indicate that the stream has sufficient macroinvertebrates to provide for fish forage, and that the stream has basic ecologic functions. Brook trout are not found either immediately above or below these two reaches, indicating that heavy metal loads in those locations are too high for fish habitat. The two recommended reaches provide an important connectivity between good fish habitat found in Minnie Gulch, Maggie Gulch, and Cunningham Creek. The Colorado Water Conservation Board (CWCB) has previously appropriated instream flow water rights on all three of these important tributaries, based upon recommendations from the BLM and the Colorado Division of Wildlife.

The riparian community consists primarily of willows and potentilla. As you move downstream and the water quality improves, the riparian community is more vigorous and has succeeded in converting the stream from a braided system to a channelized environment that is more favorable to fish populations.

R2Cross Analysis. Upper Segment - BLM collected the following R2Cross data from the creek:

Party	Date	Discharge	250%-40%	Summer (3/3)	Winter (2/3)
BLM	07/28/2004	37.55	15.0-93.9	15.62	Out of range
BLM	10/20/2004	18.10	7.2-45.3	Out of range	11.37
BLM	10/20/2004	13.81	5.5-34.5	8.80	6.80

The BLM's data analysis of this data, coordinated with the Division of Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

12.2 cubic feet per second is recommended during the high temperature period from May 1 through October 31. This recommendation is derived by averaging the results of the data sets. The recommendation is driven by the depth criteria. Given the wide creek channel in riffle habitats, 12.2 cfs is required to meet the depth criteria and provide sufficient physical habitat that is usable by the fish population.

9.1 cubic feet second is recommended for the period from November 1 to April 30. This recommendation is derived by averaging the results of the data sets. This recommendation is driven by the wetted perimeter criteria, and should provide adequate flow through pools and prevent complete icing of riffles during winter to insure successful overwintering by the fish population.

Lower Segment - The BLM collected the following R2Cross data from the creek:

Party	Date	Discharge	250%-40%	Summer (3/3)	Winter (2/3)
BLM	07/28/2004	39.80	15.9-99.5	31.73	Out of range
BLM	10/20/2004	39.33	15.7-98.3	16.32	Out of range
BLM	10/20/2004	39.08	15.6-97.7	22.69	Out of range
BLM	10/23/2008	24.63	9.9-61.6	22.43	13.92
BLM	10/23/2008	22.68	9.1-56.7	32.17	12.23

The BLM's data analysis of this data, coordinated with the Division of Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

25.0 cubic feet per second is recommended during the high temperature period from May 1 through October 31. This recommendation is driven by the depth criteria. This recommendation was derived by averaging the results of the data sets. Given the wide creek channel in riffle habitats, 25.0 cfs is required to meet the depth criteria and provide sufficient physical habitat that is usable by the fish population.

13.0 cubic feet second is recommended for the period from November 1 to April 30. This recommendation was derived by averaging the results of the data sets. A 60% wetted perimeter criteria was used, because the channel exceeds 40 foot width on average. This recommendation is driven by the average velocity criteria, and should provide adequate flow through pools and prevent complete icing of riffles during winter to insure successful overwintering by the fish population.

Water Availability: The BLM has identified a handful of decreed stream diversions located upstream from the proposed stream reaches. Further analysis would be required to determine in what seasons these diversions are operated and whether the decreed uses are consumptive:

Hematite Gulch Hydro – 6 cfs, conditional
 Burrows Creek Diversion – 1 cfs, conditional
 Mineral Point Ditch – 11 cfs, absolute
 Hematite Creek Pipeline – 0.5 cfs, absolute
 Highland Mary Pipeline – 8 cfs, absolute
 Pride of the West Pipeline – 0.5 cfs, absolute
 Cole Ranch Animas Diversion – 0.5 cfs, absolute
 Cole Ranch Minnie Diversion - 2.5 cfs, absolute
 Hewett Diversion – 0.233 cfs, absolute

For water availability analysis, the BLM recommends using the Animas River gage at Howardsville (USGS 09357500). This gage is located in the middle of the two recommended stream reaches and had a long period of record from 1935 to 1982. The gage record indicates that recommended flow rates are available during most hydrologic conditions.

Relationship to Management Plans: The BLM land use plan that covers this management unit is currently under revision. Under the new plan, many historical management actions are expected to continue. For example, the BLM will continue to cooperate with the Upper Animas Stakeholders Group to implement projects within the watershed that are designed to minimize acid mine drainage. The BLM will continue to provide recreation access along this stream segment for informal camping, hiking, and fishing. The river corridor will also continue to be managed as part of the Alpine Loop Scenic byway. If further water quality improvements result in additional stream reaches of the Animas being able to support fish populations, the BLM may bring forth additional instream flow recommendations at that time.

Data sheets, R2Cross output, fishery survey information, and photographs of the cross section were included with BLM's draft recommendation in February 2009. We thank both the Division of Wildlife and the Water Conservation Board for their cooperation in this effort.

If you have any questions regarding our instream flow recommendation, please contact Roy Smith at 303-239-3940.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Linda Anañia".

Linda Anañia,
Deputy State Director, Natural Resources and Fire

cc: Mark Stiles, San Juan Public Lands Center
Matt Janowiak, Columbine Field Office
Kelly Palmer, San Juan Public Lands Center
Shauna Jensen, Dolores Field Office

DRAFT INSTREAM FLOW RECOMMENDATION

Ms. Linda Bassi
Colorado Water Conservation Board
1313 Sherman Street, Room 721
Denver, Colorado 80203

Dear Ms. Bassi:

The Bureau of Land Management (BLM) is writing this letter to formally communicate its recommendation for an instream flow water right for the upper Animas River, located in Water Division 7.

Location and Land Status. The Animas River starts in the San Juan Mountains east of Silverton and flows downstream to the Durango area. This recommendation covers two stream reaches located upstream from Silverton. The upper reach begins at the confluence with Minnie Gulch and extends to the confluence with Cunningham Creek. The lower reach begins at the confluence with Cunningham Creek and extends downstream to the confluence with Arrastra Creek.

In the upper reach, approximately 25 percent of the 2.25-mile reach is located on federal lands managed by BLM, while the remaining 75 percent is located on private lands. In the lower reach, approximately 50% of the 2.25-mile reach is located on federal lands managed by BLM, while the remaining 50% is located on private lands.

Biological Summary. These segments of the Animas River are moderate gradient streams with moderate to large substrate size, often punctuated by large boulders within the stream channel. Many portions of the stream channel have been affected by historic mining that moved bedload materials. Natural hydrologic processes since the mining activity ceased have created a natural stream channel, but some portions of the river are still highly braided and lack good width to depth ratios.

Water quality in the stream segment is affected by heavy metals from both natural sources and historic mining activities within the watershed. Minnie Gulch, Maggie Gulch, and Cunningham Creek contribute water to the river that has lower concentrations of heavy metals, so water quality improves as you move downstream through the two reaches.

Fish surveys have documented naturally reproducing populations of brook trout in both stream reaches. Brook trout are the trout species that are most tolerant of heavy metal loads, but they indicate that the stream has sufficient macroinvertebrates to provide for fish forage, and that the stream has basic ecologic functions. Brook trout are not found either immediately above or below these two reaches, indicating that heavy metal loads in those locations are too high fish habitat. The two recommended reaches provide an important connectivity between good fish habitat found in Minnie Gulch, Maggie Gulch, and Cunningham Creek. The CWCB has previously appropriated instream flow water rights on all three of these important tributaries,

based upon recommendations from BLM and the Colorado Division of Wildlife.

The riparian community consists primarily of willows and potentilla. As you move downstream and the water quality improves, the riparian community is more vigorous and has succeeded in converting the stream from a braided system to a channelized environment that is more favorable to fish populations.

R2Cross Analysis. Upper Segment - BLM collected the following R2Cross data from the creek:

Party	Date	Discharge	250%-40%	Summer (3/3)	Winter (2/3)
BLM	07/28/2004	37.55	15.0-93.9	15.62	Out of range
BLM	10/20/2004	18.10	7.2-45.3	Out of range	11.37
BLM	10/20/2004	13.81	5.5-34.5	8.80	6.80

BLM's data analysis of this data, coordinated with the Division of Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

12.2 cubic feet per second is recommended during the high temperature period from May 1 through October 31. This recommendation is derived by averaging the results of the data sets. The recommendation is driven by the depth criteria. Given the wide creek channel in riffle habitats, 12.2 cfs is required to meet the depth criteria and provide sufficient physical habitat that is usable by the fish population.

9.1 cubic feet second is recommended for the period from November 1 to April 30. This recommendation is derived by averaging the results of the data sets. This recommendation is driven by the wetted perimeter criteria, and should provide adequate flow through pools and prevent complete icing of riffles during winter to insure successful overwintering by the fish population.

Lower Segment - BLM collected the following R2Cross data from the creek:

Party	Date	Discharge	250%-40%	Summer (3/3)	Winter (2/3)
BLM	07/28/2004	39.80	15.9-99.5	31.73	Out of range
BLM	10/20/2004	39.33	15.7-98.3	16.32	Out of range
BLM	10/20/2004	39.08	15.6-97.7	22.69	Out of range
BLM	10/23/2008	24.63	9.9-61.6	22.43	13.92
BLM	10/23/2008	22.68	9.1-56.7	32.17	12.23

BLM's data analysis of this data, coordinated with the Division of Wildlife, indicates that the following flows are needed to protect the fishery and natural environment to a reasonable degree.

25.0 cubic feet per second is recommended during the high temperature period from May 1 through October 31. This recommendation is driven by the depth criteria. This recommendation was derived by averaging the results of the data

sets. Given the wide creek channel in riffle habitats, 25.0 cfs is required to meet the depth criteria and provide sufficient physical habitat that is usable by the fish population.

13.0 cubic feet second is recommended for the period from November 1 to April 30. This recommendation was derived by averaging the results of the data sets. A 60% wetted perimeter criteria was used, because the channel exceeds 40 foot width on average. This recommendation is driven by the average velocity criteria, and should provide adequate flow through pools and prevent complete icing of riffles during winter to insure successful overwintering by the fish population.

Water Availability. BLM has identified a handful of decreed stream diversions located upstream from the proposed stream reaches. Further analysis would be required to determine in what seasons these diversions are operated and whether the decreed uses are consumptive:

Hematite Gulch Hydro – 6 cfs, conditional
Burrows Creek Diversion – 1 cfs, conditional
Mineral Point Ditch – 11 cfs, absolute
Hematite Creek Pipeline – 0.5 cfs, absolute
Highland Mary Pipeline – 8 cfs, absolute
Pride of the West Pipeline – 0.5 cfs, absolute
Cole Ranch Animas Diversion – 0.5 cfs
Cole Ranch Minnie Diversion - 2.5 cfs
Hewett Diversion – 0.233 cfs

For water availability analysis, BLM recommends using the Animas River gage at Howardsville (USGS 09357500). This gage is located in the middle of the two recommended stream reaches and had a long period of record from 1935 to 1982. The gage record indicates that recommended flow rates are available during most hydrologic conditions.

Relationship to Management Plans. The BLM land use plan that covers this management unit is currently under revision. Under the new plan, many historical management actions are expected to continue. For example, BLM will continue to cooperate with the Upper Animas Stakeholders Group to implement projects within the watershed that are designed to minimize acid mine drainage. BLM will continue to provide recreation access along this stream segment for informal camping, hiking, and fishing. The river corridor will also continue to be managed as part of the Alpine Loop Scenic byway. If further water quality improvements result in additional stream reaches of the Animas being able to support fish populations, BLM may bring forth additional instream flow recommendations at that time.

Data sheets, R2Cross output, fishery survey information, and photographs of the cross section were included with BLM's draft recommendation in February 2009. We thank both the Division of Wildlife and the Water Conservation Board for their cooperation in this effort.

If you have any questions regarding our instream flow recommendation, please contact Roy

Smith at 303-239-3940.

Sincerely,

Linda Anania
Deputy State Director
Resources and Fire

cc: Mark Stiles, San Juan Public Lands Center
Matt Janowiak, Columbine Field Office
Kelly Palmer, San Juan Public Lands Center
Shauna Jensen, Dolores Field Office

APPENDIX 6A

FISHERIES REPORT

Current and Historical Review of Animas Watershed Fisheries

Prepared for
Animas River Stakeholders Group
July 2000

A Collaborative Preparation by:

**William Simon, Animas Watershed Coordinator
Barb Horn, Colorado Division of Wildlife
David Wegner, EMI, Inc.**

6.1.2 Upper Animas River, Minnie Gulch to Cement Creek in Silverton (Segment 3a)

Trout populations in this section of the Upper Animas River are dominated by brook and rainbow trout (when stocked) with an isolated capture of a native cutthroat trout. Trout samplings are summarized by year and life stage in Table 6.3.

Table 6.3 Upper Animas River, below Minnie Gulch (Segment 3a). Trout population dynamics for 1976-1998.

Species Age	1976 Smith	1984 Western Aquatics	1992 CDPHE	1994 Cadmus Group	1996 CDOW	1997 CDOW	1998 CDOW
Rainbow							
Larval	0		0			0	
YOY	0	NFC	0	NFC	NFC	0	NFC
Juvenile	0		0			0	
Adult	4		12			1	
Brook							
Larval		0	0	0		0	0
YOY	NFC	0	9	4	NFC	0	179 ¹
Juvenile		0	37	0		1	73
Adult		4 (+4 seen)	45	10 (seen)		0	87
Cutthroat							
Larval							1
YOY	NFC	NFC	NFC	NFC	NFC	NFC	0
Juvenile							0
Adult							0

¹Sampled at A45: upstream of P & G Mill tailing pond above Howardsville

In terms of actual numbers of trout captured in this area, brook trout predominate. This is in part attributed to the availability of beaver pond habitat in side channels above Silverton and the brook trout's ability to withstand higher metal concentrations, and habitat less suitable for other trout species. The rainbow trout sampled in 1992 were likely remnants of the CDOW stocking efforts and likely do not reflect natural reproduction of that species in the upper Animas River.

A study by the Department of Interior (unknown author, 1968) reported one fish captured through three electroshocking efforts. The report suggested the section may support very low numbers of trout on a "put and take" (the fish are put in for the purpose of being caught by fisherman before they would over winter) basis if population of bottom organisms were not limited by toxic metals. In 1976 Smith (1976) referred to this section of the Animas to be "essentially dead". Conditions apparently have substantially improved over the years. Data collected by CDOH (1992), Japhet (1998), and Brantliner (1998) indicate that brook trout are not only

present but are now self sustaining in the mainstem Animas River above Silverton (Table 6.5). Rainbow trout are no longer being stocked and therefore have not recently been captured.

SUMMARIES OF RECENT FISH SURVEYS FOLLOWS:

6.1.2.1 SMITH, 1976. Samples collected with electrofishing by Norwin Smith in 1976 (Silverton to Animas Forks) found a total of four adult rainbow trout with an average length of 8.2 inches. Smith concluded that this segment would essentially be devoid of life (fish) if it weren't for stocking. These observed fish were likely stocked fish from the 1976 summer planting when a total of 3,180 rainbow trout, with an average size of 8.9 inches, were planted in this segment of the river. Tributary sample results are as follows:

Segment 2 tributaries:

- Burrows Creek - no fish collected
- Horseshoe Creek - no fish collected
- Cinnamon Creek - no fish collected
- Picayne Gulch - no fish collected
- Animas River, South Fork - no fish collected

Segment 3 tributaries:

- Minnie Gulch (2 sites) - cutthroat (23) - estimated 8.2 lbs./acre and 8.6lbs/acre biomass
- Maggie Gulch - no fish collected
- Stony Gulch - no fish collected
- Cunningham Creek (3 sites) - brook trout (13), rainbow trout (1) - 58 fish total with an estimated 8.6lbs/acre biomass
- Arrastra Creek - no fish collected

6.1.2.2 CDOW, 1987. In 1987 personnel with the CDOW sampled Maggie Gulch and Cunningham Creek above Silverton. Six fish were sampled in Maggie Gulch yielding an estimated biomass for brook trout of 9.4 lbs./acre and cutthroat trout of 22.9 lbs./acre (Horn, 1988). Cunningham Gulch yielded sixteen brook trout (39.2 lbs./acre) and one cutthroat trout. It was concluded by Woodling (1988) that limited natural reproduction of brook trout was occurring in Cunningham Creek, predominately associated with beaver pond habitats in the lower reaches. Both researchers concluded that habitat improvements could be made and water quality improvements in Cunningham would result in higher fish productivity.

6.1.2.3 Colorado Department of Health, 1992. Samples collected with electrofishing by the Colorado Department of Health in 1992 identified mostly brook trout at three locations above Silverton, sites A68, A55, A53, A40, and A45. This survey yielded biomass estimates of 32.3, 6.3, 11.4, 15.2, and 16.3 lbs. per acre respectfully (combined brook and rainbow trout). In 1992 CDOW stocked the upper Animas River with cacheable size rainbow trout in July prior to the sampling effort, thus affecting the rainbow sampling results. Results of this survey are presented in Table 6.5.

6.1.2.4 Cadmus Group, 1994. Adult Trout Sampling. In mid-July of 1994 the Cadmus group sampled four locations for adult trout using a timed backpack electrofishing method. The results of this sampling event are presented in Table 6.4.

Table 6.4 1994 Adult Trout Electoshocking Results: Captured or Sighted

HAR1	Animas River 1 mile below confluence with Maggie Gulch	20 brook trout
HAR2	Animas River immediately upstream of the USGS gage at Howardsville extending upstream to below the Howardsville bridge	2 brook trout
HAR3	Animas River 1.5 to 2.0 miles below USGS gage	2 brook trout
HAR 4	Immediately upstream of Lakawanna bridge	3 brook trout

The Cadmus group was surprised to find that the Animas between Howardsville and Maggie gulch contained a brook trout fishery. They concluded that results suggest that "brook trout are capable of limited, but sustainable recruitment and maintenance". They also noted that a lack of brown, cutthroat, and rainbow trout suggests physical or chemical limitations to these species.

6.1.2.5 Colorado Division of Wildlife, 1998. The most quantitative sampling done to date on the upper Animas River occurred in 1992 (CDOH, 1992) and 1998 (Japhet, 1998). These sampling efforts were conducted in the area above Cataract Gulch and P & G Mill tailings ponds (Howardsville, site A45) in a riffle section located adjacent to a series of beaver dam pools. In 1998, the predominant species collected in the sampled 1000' stretch using streambank multi-pass generator assisted electrofishing, were small brook trout (average 3.8 inches in length) and one native trout (1.5 inches in length). The biomass of the brook trout sampled was calculated to be 20.9 lbs. per acre which is at the low end of the spectrum for a high elevation fishery. Table 6.5 provides quantification of the results of these two sampling events plus others as listed.

Table 6.5 Combined CDOH (1992) and CDOW (1998) Fish Sampling Results, Segment 3a


Site ID	Date	Brook # and (lbs/acre)	Rainbow # and (lbs/acre)	Cut-throat # and (lbs/acre)	Location
A40a	1992	28 (15.2)			above Maggie Gulch
A45	1992	41 (16.3) *			above P&G Mill
A45	1998 CDOW	333(20.9) *		1.0	above Cataract Creek (above P&G Mill)
A53	1992	15(7.6)			below P&G tailings
A53a	1992	18 (11.4)			below Cunningham
A55a	1992	21.0 (4.9)	1.0	1.0 (.2)	above Arrastra Gulch

A68	1992	34.2 (6.2)	36.8		above 14 th street

*Although the numbers of individuals is accurate the biomass might not be calculated in the same fashion possibly explaining the huge increase in numbers in 1998 but small increase in biomass.

The length frequency distribution of the trout captured in 1992 is compared to those captured in 1998 in Figure 6.1 below.

Figure 6.1 Brook & Cutthroat Trout Length-Frequency
Animas River above Howardsville Mill Tailings (A45)



6.1.2.6 Cadmus Group, 1994, Fry Sampling. The Cadmus Group collected samples with a 15 foot, one-sixteenth inch mesh seine and a dipnet at 16 locations. Only seven trout fry were collected. Results are presented in Table 6.6.

Table 6.6 Cadmus Group Upper Animas River Fry Sampling Results, May 23 & 24, 1994.

Site	Location	Results
AFS1	Animas River, immediately downstream of Lackawanna bridge	1 - post larval fry
AFS2	Animas River 100 yds. below Howardsville bridge	No collection
AFS3	Animas River 0.25 miles below Howardsville bridge	No collection
AFS4	Animas River side tributary from beaver pond, 0.5 miles below Howardsville bridge	No collection
AFS5	Cunningham Creek upstream of confluence with Animas River	3 - fry
AFS6	Lowermost beaver pond on Cunningham Creek above confluence with Animas River	No collection
AFS7	Northern side channel of Cunningham Creek 100 yards above confluence with Animas River	No collection
AFS8	Cunningham Creek 50 yards above confluence with Animas River	No collection
HAR2	Animas River immediately upstream of the USGS gage at Howardsville extending upstream to below the Howardsville bridge	No collection
HAR3	Animas River 1.5 to 2.0 miles below USGS gage	No collection
AFS9	Animas River upstream of Howardsville bridge and 5.0 miles above Cement Creek confluence	No collection
AFS10	Animas River 5.3 miles above Cement Creek confluence, .3 miles above AFS9 (same site as CDOH (1992) and CDOW (1998) site.	3 - fry collected
AFS11	Animas River 100 yards upstream of Maggie Gulch, 6 miles above Cement Creek confluence	No collection
HAR1	Animas River 1 mile below confluence with Maggie Gulch	No collection
AFS12	Animas River 5.5 miles above Cement Creek confluence (just below Maggie Gulch)	No collection

AFS13	Animas river 100 yards downstream of gaging station (A72), 1.6 miles below Cement Crk, 50 yds. Below railroad bridge	No collection
-------	--	---------------

They did note "many fish in pond" near Howardsville but were unable to identify or quantify the species present (these beaver ponds are known to contain numerous brook trout).

6.1.2.7 Brantlinger, 1998, Upper Animas Fry Sampling

Fry collections from backpack electroshocking in the Upper Basin in 1998 by Brantlinger indicates that the brook trout are dominating the fish assemblage and that natural reproduction is occurring at several sites. Table 6.7 summarizes this data.

Table 6.7 Brantlinger's fry electrofishing results from the Upper Animas River, 1998.

Date	Location	# of fry captured
9/8/98	Animas River above Minnie Gulch (Segment 2)	None
9/8/98	Animas River above Arrastra Creek (Segment 3a) 100' 2 pass	None caught, 2 observed
9/8/98	Animas River above Boulder Creek (Segment 3a) 100' 3 pass	4 brook trout
9/9/98	Animas River above Cunningham Creek (Segment 3a) 100'- 4 pass	27 brook trout
9/10/98	Animas River above Maggie Gulch (Segment 2) 3 pass 100'	24 brook trout
9/8/98	Animas River above 14 th Street Bridge (Segment 3a) 100' 2 pass	20 brook trout fry 3 brook trout adult
9/8/98	South Mineral Creek (Segment 9a) 100' one pass	24 brook trout fry
9/?/98	Arastra Gulch (spot locations)	No fish taken

The Upper Animas River, below Minnie gulch and above the confluence with Cement and Mineral Creeks, reflects a riverine ecosystem that has been naturally and anthropogenically impacted by elevated levels of metals and minimal habitat resulting in low productivity. Historic stocking of catchable rainbow trout had seasonally augmented a resident brook trout population. Recent data indicates that brook trout have been able to increase their population and biomass, particularly above Howardsville.

6.1.3 Cement and Mineral Creeks (Segments 7, 8, 9a, 9b).

Limited surveys of the fishery in Cement and Mineral Creeks have been conducted although those plus observations of many are probably enough to conclude a lack of fish. The earliest documented sampling effort occurred in Cement Creek in 1984. Western



COLORADO WATER
CONSERVATION BOARD

FIELD DATA
FOR
INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS



LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME		Animas River - between Minnie & Maggie Gulch				CROSS-SECTION NO		1	
CROSS-SECTION LOCATION									300' downstream from ...
DATE		7/28/04							
OBSERVERS		R. Smith, L. Jensen							
LEGAL DESCRIPTION		SECTION		SECTION	TOWNSHIP	RANGE	6 E/W PM		
COUNTY		San Juan		WATERSHED		Animas	WATER DIVISION		
USGS		Hwy		7.5'		135 011371 112500			
USFS									

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION:		YES/NO	METER TYPE:		Marsh - McBirney				
METER NUMBER:		DATE RATED:		CALIB/SPIN:	sec	TAPE WEIGHT	lbs/100ft	TAPE TENSION:	lbs
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE:		10/20 - 44 Duv		PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN		YES/NO	NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPHS:		
							3		

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

STATION	DISTANCE FROM TAPE (ft)	ROD READING (ft)	
(X) Tape @ Stake LB	0.0	surveyed	
(X) Tape @ Stake RB	0.0	surveyed	
(1) WS @ Tape LB/RB	0.0	6.47/6.44	
(2) WS Upstream	5'	6.00	
(3) WS Downstream	65'	7.3	
SLOPE	1.38/130.0' = .0106		

AQUATIC SAMPLING SUMMARY

STREAM ELECTROFISHED: YES/NO	DISTANCE ELECTROFISHED	ft	FISH CAUGHT: YES/NO	WATER CHEMISTRY SAMPLED: YES/NO													
LENGTH - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY ONE-INCH SIZE GROUPS (1.0-1.9, 2.0-2.9, ETC.)																	
SPECIES (FILL IN)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION BY COMMON OR SCIENTIFIC ORDER NAME																	
caddisfly, stonefly - not as abundant as tributaries																	

COMMENTS

TDS	420 ug
pH	8.9
temp	22°C
Access permission obtained from private landowner.	

CHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTE

STREAM NAME: <u>Animas River</u>				CROSS-SECTION NO. <u>1</u>		DATE: <u>7-28-04</u>		SHEET <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>				
BEGINNING OF MEASUREMENT		EDGE OF WATER LOOKING DOWNSTREAM (0.0 AT STAKE)		LEFT / RIGHT		Gage Reading: <u>0.4</u> ft		TIME <u>12:10</u>				
Features	Stake (S) Grassline (G) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Depth of Observation (ft)	Revolutions	Time (sec)	Velocity (ft/sec)		Area (ft ²)	Discharge (cfs)
									At Point	Mean in Vertical		
		0.0		2.56								
		1.0		2.68								
		1.3		2.76								
		2.0		4.74								
G		3.7		5.68								
		4.6		6.00								
W		5.0		6.24	0							
		6.5		6.52	.15					1.57		
		8.0		6.88	.20					1.23		
		9.5		7.01	.40					2.28		
		11.0		7.16	.70					1.83		
		12.5		7.08	.60					1.65		
		14.0		7.22	.80					2.43		
		15.0		7.32	.70					2.11		
		16.5		7.32	.90					1.64		
		17.5		7.13	.90					2.31		
		20.0		7.25	.95					2.34		
		21.0		7.27	.80					1.76		
		22.0		7.27	.80					2.22		
		24.0		7.09	.75					1.96		
		26.0		7.13	.70					1.92		
		27.5		7.16	.80					1.05		
		28.0		7.16	.70					1.80		
		28.5		6.96	.60					2.11		
		30.0		7.08	.50					2.16		
		32.0		7.28	.80					1.00		
		33.0		7.25	.40					1.73		
		34.0		6.79	.40					0.15		
		35.0		6.62	0					0		
W		36.2		6.44	0					0		
G		44.0		5.75								
		45.1		5.54								
		57.5		4.25								
TOTALS:												
End of Measurement		Time: <u>12:50</u>		Gage Reading: <u>0.4</u> ft		CALCULATIONS PERFORMED BY:				CALCULATIONS CHECKED BY:		



FIELD DATA FOR INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS



COLORADO WATER
CONSERVATION BOARD

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME		Animas River - between Maggie + Cunningham				CROSS-SECTION NO.	3			
CROSS SECTION LOCATION		250 ft. downstream from confluence / Maggie								
DATE	10-10-04									
OBSERVERS		R. Smith, S. Jensen								
LEGAL DESCRIPTION	SECTION	SW	SECTION	31	TOWNSHIP	42N	RANGE	6E	PM	N.M.
COUNTY	San Juan		WATERSHED		Animas		WATER DIVISION	7	DOW WATER CODE	
USGS:	Howardsville 7.5'				13 S	0273008				
USFS:						4193044				

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION:	YES/NO	METER TYPE:	Marsh - McBarney								
METER NUMBER:		DATE RATED:		CALIB/SPIN:	sec	TAPE WEIGHT:	Surveyed	lb/foot	TAPE TENSION:	Surveyed	lbs
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE:			gravel to 1 foot boulders			PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN:	YES/NO	NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPHS			
									3		

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

STATION	DISTANCE FROM TAPE (ft)	ROD READING (ft)	SKETCH	LEGEND
⊗ Tape @ Stake LB	0.0	surveyed		
⊗ Tape @ Stake RB	0.0	surveyed		
① WS @ Tape LB/RB	0.0	7.00/1.06		
② WS Upstream	100	6.68		
③ WS Downstream	100	8.71		
SLOPE			2.03/200.0 = .01	

Stake ⊗

Station ○

Photo ◇

Direction of flow →

AQUATIC SAMPLING SUMMARY

STREAM ELECTROFISHED: YES/NO	DISTANCE ELECTROFISHED	ft	FISH CAUGHT: YES/NO	WATER CHEMISTRY SAMPLED	YES/NO												
LENGTH - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY ONE-INCH SIZE GROUPS (1.0-1.9, 2.0-2.9, ETC.)																	
SPECIES (FILL IN)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL
no previous																	
Survey																	
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION BY COMMON OR SCIENTIFIC ORDER NAME																	
mayfly, caddisfly																	

COMMENTS

PH = 7.8		TDS = 230		Temp = 30	

DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME: <u>Animas River</u>		CROSS-SECTION NO <u>3</u>		DATE: <u>10-20-04</u> SHEET <u>1</u> OF <u>1</u>								
BEGINNING OF MEASUREMENT		EDGE OF WATER LOOKING DOWNSTREAM (0.0 AT STAKE)		LEFT / RIGHT		Gage Reading: <u>0.45</u>		TIME <u>11:00 am</u>				
Features	Stake (S) Grassline (G) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Depth of Observation (ft)	Revolutions	Time (sec)	Velocity (ft/sec)		Area (ft ²)	Discharge (cfs)
									At Point	Mean in Vertical		
	LS	0.0		5.48								
	G	0.8		5.66								
		8.0		6.34								
		22.0		6.42								
	W	25.3		7.10								
		27.0		7.20	0.1					0		
		29.0		7.32	0.2					0.51		
		31.0		7.30	0.2					0.60		
		33.0		7.24	0.15					0		
		35.0		7.38	0.30					0		
		37.0		7.15	0.05					0		
		39.0		7.25	0.3					0		
		41.0		7.27	0.10					0		
		43.0		7.33	0.20					1.48		
		45.0		7.56	0.45					1.60		
		47.0		7.42	0.35					1.37		
		49.0		7.61	0.60					1.05		
		51.0		7.56	0.55					0.38		
		53.0		7.84	0.30					1.18		
	56 >	55.0	7.90 >	8.08	0.30	< 0.8				2.01	< 1.2	
	58 >	57.0	8.02 >	8.10	1.00	< 1.1				1.91	< 1.53	
	60 >	59.0	8.11 >	7.82	0.75	< 1.05				1.27	< 0.15	
		61.0		7.52	0.60					1.49		
		63.0		7.42	0.35					0.55		
		65.0		7.16	0.05					0		
	W	66.4		7.06								
		68.5		6.72								
	G	69.7		5.76								
	RS	72.9		4.60								
TOTALS:												
End of Measurement		Time: <u>11:20</u>		Gage Reading: <u>0.45</u>		CALCULATIONS PERFORMED BY:				CALCULATIONS CHECKED BY:		



FIELD DATA FOR INSTREAM FLOW DETERMINATIONS



COLORADO WATER
CONSERVATION BOARD

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: <u>Animas River - between Magg, c & Minnie.</u>		CROSS-SECTION NO: <u>4</u>
CROSS-SECTION LOCATION: <u>250 ft. downstream from confluence with Minnie Gulch.</u>		
DATE: <u>10-20-04</u>	OBSERVERS: <u>R. Smith, S. Jensen, C. Gunn</u>	
LEGAL DESCRIPTION:	SECTION: <u>NW</u>	SECTION: <u>31</u>
	TOWNSHIP: <u>42N</u>	RANGE: <u>6 E</u> PM <u>N.M.</u>
COUNTY: <u>San Juan</u>	WATERSHED: <u>Animas</u>	WATER DIVISION: <u>7</u>
		DOW WATER CODE: <u>38011</u>
MAP(S):	USGS: <u>Howardsville 7.5'</u>	
	USFS:	

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

SAG TAPE SECTION SAME AS DISCHARGE SECTION: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	METER TYPE: <u>Marsh McBurney</u>			
METER NUMBER:	DATE RATED:	CALIB/SPIN: _____ sec	TAPE WEIGHT: <u>surveyed</u> lbs/100ft	TAPE TENSION: <u>surveyed</u> lbs
CHANNEL BED MATERIAL SIZE RANGE: <u>grave to 18-inch boulders.</u>	PHOTOGRAPHS TAKEN: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	NUMBER OF PHOTOGRAPHS: <u>3</u>		

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

STATION	DISTANCE FROM TAPE (ft)	ROD READING (ft)
(X) Tape @ Stake LB	0.0	<u>surveyed</u>
(X) Tape @ Stake RB	0.0	<u>surveyed</u>
(1) WS @ Tape LB/RB	0.0	<u>6.45 / 6.45</u>
(2) WS Upstream	<u>100</u>	<u>5.50</u>
(3) WS Downstream	<u>100</u>	<u>7.31</u>
SLOPE	<u>1.81 / 200 = 0.009</u>	

SECTION

LEGEND

Stake (X)

Station (1)

Photo (2)

Direction of Flow

AQUATIC SAMPLING SUMMARY

STREAM ELECTROFISHED: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	DISTANCE ELECTROFISHED: _____ ft	FISH CAUGHT: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO	WATER CHEMISTRY SAMPLED: <input checked="" type="radio"/> YES <input type="radio"/> NO														
LENGTH - FREQUENCY DISTRIBUTION BY ONE-INCH SIZE GROUPS (1.0-1.9, 2.0-2.9, ETC.)																	
SPECIES (FILL IN)	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	>15	TOTAL
<u>See previous survey</u>																	
AQUATIC INSECTS IN STREAM SECTION BY COMMON OR SCIENTIFIC ORDER NAME																	
<u>mayfly, caddisfly</u>																	

COMMENTS

<u>TDS: 240</u>	<u>Ph: 8.0</u>	<u>Temp: 6°C</u>

DISCHARGE/CROSS SECTION NOTES

STREAM NAME						CROSS-SECTION NO.	DATE	SHEET	OF			
BEGINNING OF MEASUREMENT			EDGE OF WATER LOOKING DOWNSTREAM: (0.0 AT STAKE)		LEFT / RIGHT	Gage Reading:	TIME					
Features	Stake Grassline (G) Waterline (W) Rock (R)	(S) (R)	Distance From Initial Point (ft)	Width (ft)	Total Vertical Depth From Tape/Inst (ft)	Water Depth (ft)	Depth of Observation (ft)	Revolutions	Time (sec)	Velocity (ft/sec) At Point Mean in Vertical	Area (ft ²)	Discharge (cfs)
	LS		0.0		4.00							
	G		2.3		4.52							
			5.0		5.81							
	W		7.3		6.45	Ø				Ø		
			6.0		5.87	0.2				1.21		
			9.7		7.00	0.5				0.57		
			10.0		6.82	0.35				1.21		
			11.0		7.02	0.50				0.77		
			12.0		7.08	0.60				0.64		
			13.0		6.98	0.50				0.77		
			14.0		7.05	0.60				1.21		
			15.0		6.97	0.60				1.80		
			16.0		7.00	0.60				1.87		
			17.0		6.95	0.50				1.74		
			18.0		6.90	0.40				2.34		
	19.5		19.0	7.10	7.14	0.75	0.7		1.87	1.87		
			20.0		7.16	0.80			2.09	2.09		
			20.5		7.05	0.70			2.03	2.03		
			21.0		6.96	0.50			2.03	2.03		
			21.5		6.72	0.50			1.16	1.16		
			22.0		6.93	0.50			1.17	1.17		
			23.0		7.24	0.75			1.29	1.29		
			24.0		6.95	0.55			1.21	1.21		
			25.0		7.03	0.60			1.42	1.42		
			26.0		6.87	0.40			0.87	0.87		
			27.0		6.82	0.30			Ø	Ø		
			28.0		6.58	0.10			Ø	Ø		
			29.0		6.45	Ø			Ø	Ø		
	W		30.6		6.45	Ø			Ø	Ø		
			36.4		5.74							
			40.0		5.65							
	G		41.6		4.52							
	RS		47.8		4.50							
TOTALS:												
End of Measurement	Time:	1:30	Gage Reading:	0.8 ft	CALCULATIONS PERFORMED BY:				CALCULATIONS CHECKED BY:			

Data Input & Proofing

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie and Minnie
 XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from conflu. w/ Minnie
 XS NUMBER: 4
 DATE: 10/20/04
 OBSERVERS: R. Smith, S. Jensen, C. Gunn

 1/4 SEC: NW
 SECTION: 31
 TWP: 42 N
 RANGE: 6 W
 PM: N.M.P.M.

 COUNTY: San Juan
 WATERSHED: Animas
 DIVISION: 7
 DOW CODE: 38.11
 USGS MAP: Howardsville 7.5
 USFS MAP:

 TAPE WT: 0.0106 lbs / ft
 TENSION: 99999 lbs

 SLOPE: 0.009 ft / ft

CHECKED BY:.....DATE.....

ASSIGNED TO:DATE.....

GL=1	FEATURE	DIST	VERT DEPTH	WATER DEPTH	VEL	A	Q	Tape to Water
Total Data Points = 34								
1	LS	0.00	4.00			0.00	0.00	0.00
	G	2.30	4.52			0.00	0.00	0.00
		5.00	5.81			0.00	0.00	0.00
	W	7.30	6.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		8	6.87	0.40	0.27	0.34	0.09	6.47
		9.00	7.00	0.50	0.57	0.50	0.29	6.50
		10	6.82	0.35	0.00	0.35	0.00	6.47
		11	7.02	0.50	0.77	0.50	0.39	6.52
		12	7.08	0.60	0.24	0.60	0.14	6.48
		13	6.98	0.50	0.94	0.50	0.47	6.48
		14	7.05	0.60	1.24	0.60	0.74	6.45
		15	6.99	0.60	1.80	0.60	1.08	6.39
		16	7.00	0.60	1.87	0.60	1.12	6.40
		17	6.95	0.50	1.94	0.50	0.97	6.45
		18	6.90	0.40	2.34	0.40	0.94	6.50
		19	7.14	0.75	1.89	0.56	1.06	6.39
		19.5	7.10	0.70	1.87	0.35	0.65	6.40
		20	7.16	0.80	2.09	0.40	0.84	6.36
		20.5	7.08	0.70	2.03	0.35	0.71	6.38
		21	6.96	0.50	2.03	0.25	0.51	6.46
		21.5	6.92	0.50	1.16	0.25	0.29	6.42
		22	6.93	0.50	1.79	0.38	0.67	6.43
		23	7.24	0.75	1.29	0.75	0.97	6.49
		24	6.95	0.55	1.24	0.55	0.68	6.40
		25	7.03	0.60	1.42	0.60	0.85	6.43
		26	6.87	0.40	0.87	0.40	0.35	6.47
		27	6.82	0.30	0.00	0.30	0.00	6.52
		28	6.58	0.10	0.00	0.10	0.00	6.48
		29	6.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
	W	30.6	6.45	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
		36.4	5.94			0.00	0.00	0.00
		40	5.65			0.00	0.00	0.00
	G	41.6	4.52			0.00	0.00	0.00
	RS	47.8	4.50			0.00	0.00	0.00

Totals	10.73	13.81
--------	-------	-------

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD
INSTREAM FLOW / NATURAL LAKE LEVEL PROGRAM
STREAM CROSS-SECTION AND FLOW ANALYSIS

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie and Minnie
XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from conflu. w/ Minnie
XS NUMBER: 4

DATE: 20-Oct-04
OBSERVERS: R. Smith, S. Jensen, C. Gunn

1/4 SEC: NW
SECTION: 31
TWP: 42 N
RANGE: 6 W
PM: N.M.P.M.

COUNTY: San Juan
WATERSHED: Animas
DIVISION: 7
DOW CODE: 38.11

USGS MAP: Howardsville 7.5
USFS MAP: 0

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

*** NOTE ***

Leave TAPE WT and TENSION
at defaults for data collected
with a survey level and rod

TAPE WT: 0.0106
TENSION: 99999

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

SLOPE: 0.009

INPUT DATA CHECKED BY:DATE.....

ASSIGNED TO:DATE.....

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie and Minnie
 XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from conflu. w/ Minnie
 XS NUMBER: 4

DATA POINTS= 34

VALUES COMPUTED FROM RAW FIELD DATA

FEATURE	DIST	VERT DEPTH	WATER DEPTH	VEL
LS	0.00	4.00		
1 G	2.30	4.52		
	5.00	5.81		
W	7.30	6.45	0.00	0.00
	8.00	6.87	0.40	0.27
	9.00	7.00	0.50	0.57
	10.00	6.82	0.35	0.00
	11.00	7.02	0.50	0.77
	12.00	7.08	0.60	0.24
	13.00	6.98	0.50	0.94
	14.00	7.05	0.60	1.24
	15.00	6.99	0.60	1.80
	16.00	7.00	0.60	1.87
	17.00	6.95	0.50	1.94
	18.00	6.90	0.40	2.34
	19.00	7.14	0.75	1.89
	19.50	7.10	0.70	1.87
	20.00	7.16	0.80	2.09
	20.50	7.08	0.70	2.03
	21.00	6.96	0.50	2.03
	21.50	6.92	0.50	1.16
	22.00	6.93	0.50	1.79
	23.00	7.24	0.75	1.29
	24.00	6.95	0.55	1.24
	25.00	7.03	0.60	1.42
	26.00	6.87	0.40	0.87
	27.00	6.82	0.30	0.00
	28.00	6.58	0.10	0.00
	29.00	6.45	0.00	0.00
W	30.60	6.45	0.00	0.00
	36.40	5.94		
	40.00	5.65		
G	41.60	4.52		
RS	47.80	4.50		

WETTED PERIM.	WATER DEPTH	AREA (Am)	Q (Qm)	% Q CELL
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.82	0.40	0.34	0.09	0.7%
1.01	0.50	0.50	0.29	2.1%
1.02	0.35	0.35	0.00	0.0%
1.02	0.50	0.50	0.39	2.8%
1.00	0.60	0.60	0.14	1.0%
1.00	0.50	0.50	0.47	3.4%
1.00	0.60	0.60	0.74	5.4%
1.00	0.60	0.60	1.08	7.8%
1.00	0.60	0.60	1.12	8.1%
1.00	0.50	0.50	0.97	7.0%
1.00	0.40	0.40	0.94	6.8%
1.03	0.75	0.56	1.06	7.7%
0.50	0.70	0.35	0.65	4.7%
0.50	0.80	0.40	0.84	6.1%
0.51	0.70	0.35	0.71	5.1%
0.51	0.50	0.25	0.51	3.7%
0.50	0.50	0.25	0.29	2.1%
0.50	0.50	0.38	0.67	4.9%
1.05	0.75	0.75	0.97	7.0%
1.04	0.55	0.55	0.68	4.9%
1.00	0.60	0.60	0.85	6.2%
1.01	0.40	0.40	0.35	2.5%
1.00	0.30	0.30	0.00	0.0%
1.03	0.10	0.10	0.00	0.0%
1.01		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%

TOTALS -----

22.07 0.8 10.73 13.81 100.0%

(Max.)

Manning's n = 0.0677
 Hydraulic Radius= 0.486019426

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie and Minnie
 XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from conflu. w/ Minnie
 XS NUMBER: 4

WATER LINE COMPARISON TABLE

WATER LINE	MEAS AREA	COMP AREA	AREA ERROR
	10.73	10.80	0.7%
6.20	10.73	17.09	59.3%
6.22	10.73	16.55	54.3%
6.24	10.73	16.02	49.4%
6.26	10.73	15.50	44.5%
6.28	10.73	14.98	39.6%
6.30	10.73	14.46	34.8%
6.32	10.73	13.96	30.1%
6.34	10.73	13.45	25.4%
6.36	10.73	12.96	20.8%
6.38	10.73	12.47	16.2%
6.40	10.73	11.98	11.7%
6.41	10.73	11.74	9.5%
6.42	10.73	11.51	7.3%
6.43	10.73	11.27	5.0%
6.44	10.73	11.03	2.9%
6.45	10.73	10.80	0.7%
6.46	10.73	10.58	-1.3%
6.47	10.73	10.37	-3.4%
6.48	10.73	10.15	-5.4%
6.49	10.73	9.94	-7.3%
6.50	10.73	9.73	-9.3%
6.52	10.73	9.30	-13.3%
6.54	10.73	8.88	-17.2%
6.56	10.73	8.47	-21.1%
6.58	10.73	8.06	-24.9%
6.60	10.73	7.65	-28.7%
6.62	10.73	7.24	-32.5%
6.64	10.73	6.84	-36.2%
6.66	10.73	6.44	-40.0%
6.68	10.73	6.04	-43.7%
6.70	10.73	5.64	-47.4%

WATERLINE AT ZERO

AREA ERROR = 6.453

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie and Minnie
 XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from conflu. w/ Minnie
 XS NUMBER: 4

Constant Manning's n

GL = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag

STAGING TABLE

WL = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag

	DIST TO WATER (FT)	TOP WIDTH (FT)	AVG. DEPTH (FT)	MAX. DEPTH (FT)	AREA (SQ FT)	WETTED PERIM. (FT)	PERCENT WET PERIM (%)	HYDR RADIUS (FT)	FLOW (CFS)	AVG. VELOCITY (FT/SEC)
GL	4.52	39.30	1.94	2.72	76.42	40.44	100.0%	1.89	243.26	3.18
	5.45	36.02	1.15	1.79	41.27	36.66	90.6%	1.13	93.01	2.25
	5.50	35.85	1.10	1.74	39.47	36.46	90.1%	1.08	86.68	2.20
	5.55	35.67	1.06	1.69	37.69	36.26	89.6%	1.04	80.53	2.14
	5.60	35.50	1.01	1.64	35.91	36.05	89.1%	1.00	74.57	2.08
	5.65	35.29	0.97	1.59	34.14	35.82	88.6%	0.95	68.85	2.02
	5.70	34.56	0.94	1.54	32.39	35.08	86.7%	0.92	63.96	1.97
	5.75	33.84	0.91	1.49	30.68	34.34	84.9%	0.89	59.27	1.93
	5.80	33.11	0.88	1.44	29.01	33.60	83.1%	0.86	54.77	1.89
	5.85	32.32	0.85	1.39	27.37	32.80	81.1%	0.83	50.52	1.85
	5.90	31.52	0.82	1.34	25.77	31.99	79.1%	0.81	46.48	1.80
	5.95	30.73	0.79	1.29	24.22	31.20	77.1%	0.78	42.60	1.76
	6.00	29.98	0.76	1.24	22.70	30.44	75.3%	0.75	38.88	1.71
	6.05	29.24	0.73	1.19	21.22	29.68	73.4%	0.71	35.33	1.67
	6.10	28.49	0.69	1.14	19.78	28.92	71.5%	0.68	31.97	1.62
	6.15	27.74	0.66	1.09	18.37	28.17	69.6%	0.65	28.77	1.57
	6.20	26.99	0.63	1.04	17.00	27.41	67.8%	0.62	25.75	1.51
	6.25	26.24	0.60	0.99	15.67	26.65	65.9%	0.59	22.91	1.46
	6.30	25.50	0.56	0.94	14.38	25.89	64.0%	0.56	20.23	1.41
	6.35	24.75	0.53	0.89	13.12	25.14	62.2%	0.52	17.72	1.35
	6.40	24.00	0.50	0.84	11.90	24.38	60.3%	0.49	15.37	1.29
WL	6.45	21.67	0.50	0.79	10.73	22.04	54.5%	0.49	13.82	1.29
	6.50	21.20	0.46	0.74	9.66	21.55	53.3%	0.45	11.77	1.22
	6.55	20.73	0.42	0.69	8.61	21.07	52.1%	0.41	9.87	1.15
	6.60	20.35	0.37	0.64	7.58	20.67	51.1%	0.37	8.09	1.07
	6.65	20.06	0.33	0.59	6.57	20.35	50.3%	0.32	6.44	0.98
	6.70	19.76	0.28	0.54	5.58	20.04	49.6%	0.28	4.95	0.89
	6.75	19.47	0.24	0.49	4.59	19.73	48.8%	0.23	3.62	0.79
	6.80	19.18	0.19	0.44	3.63	19.42	48.0%	0.19	2.47	0.68
	6.85	18.01	0.15	0.39	2.69	18.23	45.1%	0.15	1.57	0.58
	6.90	16.58	0.11	0.34	1.83	16.77	41.5%	0.11	0.87	0.48
	6.95	13.10	0.08	0.29	1.08	13.26	32.8%	0.08	0.42	0.39
	7.00	7.76	0.07	0.24	0.54	7.88	19.5%	0.07	0.19	0.35
	7.05	3.93	0.06	0.19	0.25	4.01	9.9%	0.06	0.08	0.33
	7.10	2.35	0.04	0.14	0.10	2.40	5.9%	0.04	0.02	0.24
	7.15	0.68	0.04	0.09	0.03	0.70	1.7%	0.04	0.01	0.23
	7.20	0.24	0.02	0.04	0.00	0.26	0.6%	0.02	0.00	0.14

$$1. \frac{0.39 \times 8.09}{0.37 \times 9.87} \times \frac{0.02}{0.05} \times \frac{1}{1.78} = 0.71 + 8.09 = 8.80 \text{ cfs}$$

$$2. 50\% \text{ wetted perimeter} = 5.80 \text{ cfs}$$

$$3. \frac{1 \text{ ft/sec} \times 6.44}{1.00 \times 8.09} \times \frac{0.02}{0.09} \times \frac{1}{1.65} = 0.36 + 6.44 = 6.80 \text{ cfs}$$

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie and Minnie
XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from conflu. w/ Minnie
XS NUMBER: 4

SUMMARY SHEET

MEASURED FLOW (Qm)=	13.81 cfs
CALCULATED FLOW (Qc)=	13.82 cfs
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	-0.1 %
MEASURED WATERLINE (WLm)=	6.45 ft
CALCULATED WATERLINE (WLC)=	6.45 ft
(WLm-WLC)/WLm * 100 =	-0.1 %
MAX MEASURED DEPTH (Dm)=	0.80 ft
MAX CALCULATED DEPTH (Dc)=	0.79 ft
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100	1.7 %
MEAN VELOCITY=	1.29 ft/sec
MANNING'S N=	0.068
SLOPE=	0.009 ft/ft
.4 * Qm =	5.5 cfs
2.5 * Qm=	34.5 cfs

RECOMMENDED INSTREAM FLOW:

FLOW (CFS)	PERIOD
------------	--------

1. **Author(s)** [Name(s) of the author(s)]
 2. **Title** [Title of the work]
 3. **Journal** [Name of the journal]
 4. **Volume** [Volume number]
 5. **Issue** [Issue number]
 6. **Page(s)** [Page numbers]
 7. **Year** [Year of publication]

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION:

=====


(This area is intentionally left blank for student work.)

RECOMMENDATION BY: _____ AGENCY _____ DATE: _____


CWCB REVIEW BY: DATE:

Animas River-between Maggie and Minnie

CROSS SECTION DATA ANALYSIS



Percent Wetted Perimeter vs. Discharge



COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD
INSTREAM FLOW / NATURAL LAKE LEVEL PROGRAM
STREAM CROSS-SECTION AND FLOW ANALYSIS

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: Animas River between Minnie and Maggie Gulch
XS LOCATION: 300' downstream from confl. w/ Minnie Gulch
XS NUMBER: 1

DATE: 28-Jul-04
OBSERVERS: R. Smith, S. Jensen, C. Hagen

1/4 SEC: NW
SECTION: 31
TWP: 42 N
RANGE: 6W
PM: NM

COUNTY: San Juan
WATERSHED: Animas
DIVISION: 7
DOW CODE: 38011

USGS MAP: Howardsville 7.5
USFS MAP: 0

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

*** NOTE ***

Leave TAPE WT and TENSION
at defaults for data collected
with a survey level and rod

TAPE WT: 0.0106
TENSION: 99999

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

SLOPE: 0.0106

INPUT DATA CHECKED BY:DATE.....

ASSIGNED TO:DATE.....

STREAM NAME: Animas River between Minnie and Maggie Gulch
 XS LOCATION: 300' downstream from confl. w/ Minnie Gulch
 XS NUMBER: 1

DATA POINTS= 33

VALUES COMPUTED FROM RAW FIELD DATA

FEATURE	DIST	VERT DEPTH	WATER DEPTH	VEL
LS	0.00	2.50		
	0.60	2.68		
	1.30	4.26		
	2.00	4.74		
1 GL	3.70	5.68		
	4.60	6.29		
WL	5.00	6.49	0.00	0.00
	6.50	6.65	0.15	0.57
	8.00	6.58	0.10	1.28
	9.50	7.01	0.40	2.38
	11.00	7.16	0.70	1.83
	12.50	7.08	0.60	1.65
	14.00	7.22	0.80	2.43
	15.50	7.32	0.90	2.11
	17.00	7.32	0.90	1.64
	18.50	7.13	0.90	2.31
	20.00	7.35	0.95	2.34
	21.50	7.32	0.80	1.76
	23.00	7.19	0.80	2.22
	24.50	7.09	0.75	1.96
	26.00	7.13	0.70	1.92
	27.50	7.16	0.80	1.05
	29.00	7.15	0.70	1.20
	30.50	6.96	0.60	2.11
	32.00	7.08	0.50	2.16
	33.50	7.28	0.80	1.00
	35.00	7.00	0.50	1.73
	36.50	6.79	0.30	0.95
	38.00	6.62	0.00	0.00
WL	40.20	6.44	0.00	0.00
1 GL	44.00	5.92		
	50.10	5.89		
RS	59.50	4.23		

WETTED PERIM.	WATER DEPTH	AREA (Am)	Q (Qm)	% Q CELL
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
1.51	0.15	0.23	0.13	0.3%
1.50	0.10	0.15	0.19	0.5%
1.56	0.40	0.60	1.43	3.8%
1.51	0.70	1.05	1.92	5.1%
1.50	0.60	0.90	1.49	4.0%
1.51	0.80	1.20	2.92	7.8%
1.50	0.90	1.35	2.85	7.6%
1.50	0.90	1.35	2.21	5.9%
1.51	0.90	1.35	3.12	8.3%
1.52	0.95	1.43	3.33	8.9%
1.50	0.80	1.20	2.11	5.6%
1.51	0.80	1.20	2.66	7.1%
1.50	0.75	1.13	2.21	5.9%
1.50	0.70	1.05	2.02	5.4%
1.50	0.80	1.20	1.26	3.4%
1.50	0.70	1.05	1.26	3.4%
1.51	0.60	0.90	1.90	5.1%
1.50	0.50	0.75	1.62	4.3%
1.51	0.80	1.20	1.20	3.2%
1.53	0.50	0.75	1.30	3.5%
1.51	0.30	0.45	0.43	1.1%
1.51		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%

TOTALS -----

33.21 0.95 20.48 37.55 100.0%
 (Max.)

Manning's n = 0.0604
 Hydraulic Radius= 0.616561514

STREAM NAME: Animas River between Minnie and Maggie Gulch
 XS LOCATION: 300' downstream from confl. w/ Minnie Gulch
 XS NUMBER: 1

WATER LINE COMPARISON TABLE

WATER LINE	MEAS AREA	COMP AREA	AREA ERROR
	20.48	17.02	-16.9%
6.31	20.48	25.82	26.1%
6.33	20.48	25.09	22.5%
6.35	20.48	24.36	19.0%
6.37	20.48	23.64	15.5%
6.39	20.48	22.92	12.0%
6.41	20.48	22.21	8.5%
6.43	20.48	21.50	5.0%
6.45	20.48	20.79	1.5%
6.47	20.48	20.09	-1.9%
6.49	20.48	19.39	-5.3%
6.51	20.48	18.70	-8.6%
6.52	20.48	18.36	-10.3%
6.53	20.48	18.02	-12.0%
6.54	20.48	17.69	-13.6%
6.55	20.48	17.35	-15.3%
6.56	20.48	17.02	-16.9%
6.57	20.48	16.69	-18.5%
6.58	20.48	16.36	-20.1%
6.59	20.48	16.03	-21.7%
6.60	20.48	15.71	-23.3%
6.61	20.48	15.39	-24.8%
6.63	20.48	14.77	-27.8%
6.65	20.48	14.17	-30.8%
6.67	20.48	13.58	-33.7%
6.69	20.48	13.00	-36.5%
6.71	20.48	12.42	-39.3%
6.73	20.48	11.85	-42.1%
6.75	20.48	11.28	-44.9%
6.77	20.48	10.71	-47.7%
6.79	20.48	10.15	-50.4%
6.81	20.48	9.60	-53.1%

WATERLINE AT ZERO

AREA ERROR = 6.454

Constant Manning's n

WL = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag

STAGING TABLE

	DIST TO WATER (FT)	TOP WIDTH (FT)	AVG. DEPTH (FT)	MAX. DEPTH (FT)	AREA (SQ FT)	WETTED PERIM. (FT)	PERCENT WET PERIM (%)	HYDR RADIUS (FT)	FLOW (CFS)	AVG. VELOCITY (FT/SEC)
GL	5.92	39.95	1.02	1.43	40.55	40.36	#DIV/0!	1.00	102.96	2.54
	5.95	39.65	0.99	1.40	39.19	40.05	99.2%	0.98	97.80	2.50
	6.00	39.21	0.95	1.35	37.22	39.59	98.1%	0.94	90.43	2.43
	6.05	38.77	0.91	1.30	35.27	39.13	97.0%	0.90	83.32	2.36
	6.10	38.33	0.87	1.25	33.34	38.67	95.8%	0.86	76.47	2.29
	6.15	37.89	0.83	1.20	31.44	38.21	94.7%	0.82	69.88	2.22
	6.20	37.45	0.79	1.15	29.56	37.76	93.6%	0.78	63.55	2.15
	6.25	37.01	0.75	1.10	27.69	37.30	92.4%	0.74	57.48	2.08
	6.30	36.57	0.71	1.05	25.85	36.83	91.3%	0.70	51.69	2.00
	6.35	36.10	0.67	1.00	24.04	36.35	90.1%	0.66	46.18	1.92
	6.40	35.63	0.62	0.95	22.24	35.87	88.9%	0.62	40.95	1.84
WL	6.45	35.10	0.58	0.90	20.47	35.32	87.5%	0.58	36.03	1.76
	6.50	34.29	0.55	0.85	18.74	34.50	85.5%	0.54	31.58	1.69
	6.55	33.21	0.51	0.80	17.05	33.41	82.8%	0.51	27.56	1.62
	6.60	31.53	0.49	0.75	15.42	31.73	78.6%	0.49	24.14	1.57
	6.65	29.44	0.47	0.70	13.90	29.63	73.4%	0.47	21.26	1.53
	6.70	28.83	0.43	0.65	12.45	29.00	71.9%	0.43	17.93	1.44
	6.75	28.21	0.39	0.60	11.02	28.38	70.3%	0.39	14.85	1.35
	6.80	27.62	0.35	0.55	9.63	27.77	68.8%	0.35	12.02	1.25
	6.85	27.09	0.30	0.50	8.26	27.23	67.5%	0.30	9.44	1.14
	6.90	26.56	0.26	0.45	6.92	26.69	66.1%	0.26	7.12	1.03
	6.95	26.02	0.22	0.40	5.60	26.15	64.8%	0.21	5.08	0.91
	7.00	24.60	0.18	0.35	4.33	24.71	61.2%	0.18	3.44	0.79
	7.05	22.85	0.14	0.30	3.15	22.95	56.9%	0.14	2.12	0.67
	7.10	19.74	0.10	0.25	2.06	19.82	49.1%	0.10	1.15	0.56
	7.15	12.88	0.10	0.20	1.22	12.94	32.1%	0.09	0.64	0.53
	7.20	8.90	0.08	0.15	0.71	8.94	22.1%	0.08	0.33	0.47
	7.25	6.26	0.05	0.10	0.33	6.28	15.6%	0.05	0.12	0.36
	7.30	3.86	0.02	0.05	0.08	3.87	9.6%	0.02	0.02	0.19

$$1.53 + 5.08 = 6.61 \text{ Lfs}$$

STREAM NAME: Animas River between Minnie and Maggie Gulch
XS LOCATION: 300' downstream from confluence w/ Minnie Gulch
XS NUMBER: 1

SUMMARY SHEET

MEASURED FLOW (Qm)=	37.55 cfs
CALCULATED FLOW (Qc)=	36.03 cfs
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	4.0 %
MEASURED WATERLINE (WLm)=	6.56 ft
CALCULATED WATERLINE (WLc)=	6.45 ft
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	1.5 %
MAX MEASURED DEPTH (Dm)=	0.95 ft
MAX CALCULATED DEPTH (Dc)=	0.90 ft
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100	5.7 %
MEAN VELOCITY=	1.76 ft/sec
MANNING'S N=	0.060
SLOPE=	0.0106 ft/ft
.4 * Qm =	15.0 cfs
2.5 * Qm=	93.9 cfs

RECOMMENDED INSTREAM FLOW:

=====

FLOW (CFS)	PERIOD
=====	=====

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION:


=====

RECOMMENDATION BY: AGENCY: DATE:

CWCB REVIEW BY: _____ DATE: _____


Animas River between Minnie and Maggie Gulch

CROSS SECTION DATA ANALYSIS




Channel Bottom Computed Water Line

Percent Wetted Perimeter vs. Discharge



Percent Wetted Perimeter vs. Discharge



Data Input & Proofing

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie & Cunningham
 XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from confluence w/ Maggie
 XS NUMBER: 3
 DATE: 10/20/04
 OBSERVERS: R. Smith, S. Jensen,
 1/4 SEC: SW
 SECTION: 31
 TWP: 42 N
 RANGE: 6 W
 PM: N.M.P.M.
 COUNTY: San Juan
 WATERSHED: Animas
 DIVISION: 7
 DOW CODE:
 USGS MAP: Howardsville 7.5
 USFS MAP:
 TAPE WT: 0.0106 lbs / ft
 TENSION: 99999 lbs
 SLOPE: 0.01 ft / ft

CHECKED BY:.....DATE.....

ASSIGNED TO:DATE.....

GL=1	FEATURE	DIST	VERT DEPTH	WATER DEPTH	VEL	A	Q	Tape to Water
Total Data Points = 32								
1	LS	0.00	5.48			0.00	0.00	0.00
	G	0.80	5.66			0.00	0.00	0.00
		8.00	6.34			0.00	0.00	0.00
	W	22.00	6.42			0.00	0.00	0.00
		25.3	7.10			0.00	0.00	0.00
		27.00	7.20	0.10	0.00	0.19	0.00	7.10
		29	7.32	0.20	0.51	0.40	0.20	7.12
		31	7.30	0.20	0.60	0.40	0.24	7.10
		33	7.24	0.15	0.00	0.30	0.00	7.09
		35	7.38	0.30	0.00	0.60	0.00	7.08
		37	7.15	0.05	0.00	0.10	0.00	7.10
		39	7.25	0.15	0.00	0.30	0.00	7.10
		41	7.29	0.10	0.00	0.20	0.00	7.19
		43	7.33	0.20	1.48	0.40	0.59	7.13
		45	7.56	0.45	1.60	0.90	1.44	7.11
		47	7.42	0.25	1.37	0.50	0.69	7.17
		49	7.61	0.60	1.05	1.20	1.26	7.01
		51	7.56	0.55	0.38	1.10	0.42	7.01
		53	7.84	0.80	1.18	1.60	1.89	7.04
		55	8.08	0.90	2.04	1.35	2.75	7.18
1		56	7.90	0.80	1.56	0.80	1.25	7.10
		57	8.10	1.00	1.91	1.00	1.91	7.10
		58	8.22	1.10	1.53	1.10	1.68	7.12
		59	7.82	0.75	1.27	0.75	0.95	7.07
		60	8.11	1.05	0.73	1.05	0.77	7.06
		61	7.82	0.80	1.49	1.20	1.79	7.02
		63	7.42	0.25	0.55	0.50	0.28	7.17
		65	7.16	0.05	0.00	0.09	0.00	7.11
1	W	66.4	7.06			0.00	0.00	0.00
		68.5	6.72			0.00	0.00	0.00
	G	69.7	5.76			0.00	0.00	0.00
	RS	72.9	4.60			0.00	0.00	0.00

Totals	16.02	18.10
--------	-------	-------

COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD
INSTREAM FLOW / NATURAL LAKE LEVEL PROGRAM
STREAM CROSS-SECTION AND FLOW ANALYSIS

LOCATION INFORMATION

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie & Cunningham
XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from confluence w/ Maggie
XS NUMBER: 3

DATE: 20-Oct-04
OBSERVERS: R. Smith, S. Jensen,

1/4 SEC: SW
SECTION: 31
TWP: 42 N
RANGE: 6 W
PM: N.M.P.M.

COUNTY: San Juan
WATERSHED: Animas
DIVISION: 7
DOW CODE: 0

USGS MAP: Howardsville 7.5
USFS MAP: 0

SUPPLEMENTAL DATA

*** NOTE ***

Leave TAPE WT and TENSION
at defaults for data collected
with a survey level and rod

TAPE WT: 0.0106
TENSION: 99999

CHANNEL PROFILE DATA

SLOPE: 0.01

INPUT DATA CHECKED BY:DATE.....

ASSIGNED TO:DATE.....

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie & Cunningham
 XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from confluence w/ Maggie
 XS NUMBER: 3

DATA POINTS= 32

VALUES COMPUTED FROM RAW FIELD DATA

FEATURE	DIST	VERT DEPTH	WATER DEPTH	VEL
LS	0.00	5.48		
1 G	0.80	5.66		
	8.00	6.34		
W	22.00	6.42		
	25.30	7.10		
	27.00	7.20	0.10	0.00
	29.00	7.32	0.20	0.51
	31.00	7.30	0.20	0.60
	33.00	7.24	0.15	0.00
	35.00	7.38	0.30	0.00
	37.00	7.15	0.05	0.00
	39.00	7.25	0.15	0.00
	41.00	7.29	0.10	0.00
	43.00	7.33	0.20	1.48
	45.00	7.56	0.45	1.60
	47.00	7.42	0.25	1.37
	49.00	7.61	0.60	1.05
	51.00	7.56	0.55	0.38
	53.00	7.84	0.80	1.18
	55.00	8.08	0.90	2.04
	56.00	7.90	0.80	1.56
	57.00	8.10	1.00	1.91
	58.00	8.22	1.10	1.53
	59.00	7.82	0.75	1.27
	60.00	8.11	1.05	0.73
	61.00	7.82	0.80	1.49
	63.00	7.42	0.25	0.55
	65.00	7.16	0.05	0.00
W	66.40	7.06		
	68.50	6.72		
G	69.70	5.76		
RS	72.90	4.60		

WETTED PERIM.	WATER DEPTH	AREA (Am)	Q (Qm)	% Q CELL
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
1.70	0.10	0.19	0.00	0.0%
2.00	0.20	0.40	0.20	1.1%
2.00	0.20	0.40	0.24	1.3%
2.00	0.15	0.30	0.00	0.0%
2.00	0.30	0.60	0.00	0.0%
2.01	0.05	0.10	0.00	0.0%
2.00	0.15	0.30	0.00	0.0%
2.00	0.10	0.20	0.00	0.0%
2.00	0.20	0.40	0.59	3.3%
2.01	0.45	0.90	1.44	8.0%
2.00	0.25	0.50	0.69	3.8%
2.01	0.60	1.20	1.26	7.0%
2.00	0.55	1.10	0.42	2.3%
2.02	0.80	1.60	1.89	10.4%
2.01	0.90	1.35	2.75	15.2%
1.02	0.80	0.80	1.25	6.9%
1.02	1.00	1.00	1.91	10.6%
1.01	1.10	1.10	1.68	9.3%
1.08	0.75	0.75	0.95	5.3%
1.04	1.05	1.05	0.77	4.2%
1.04	0.80	1.20	1.79	9.9%
2.04	0.25	0.50	0.28	1.5%
2.02	0.05	0.09	0.00	0.0%
1.40		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%
0.00		0.00	0.00	0.0%

TOTALS -----

41.45 1.1 16.02 18.10 100.0%
 (Max.)

Manning's n = 0.0698
 Hydraulic Radius= 0.386462185

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie & Cunningham
 XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from confluence w/ Maggie
 XS NUMBER: 3

WATER LINE COMPARISON TABLE

WATER LINE	MEAS AREA	COMP AREA	AREA ERROR
	16.02	16.83	5.0%
6.83	16.02	27.44	71.3%
6.85	16.02	26.56	65.8%
6.87	16.02	25.69	60.4%
6.89	16.02	24.83	55.0%
6.91	16.02	23.97	49.6%
6.93	16.02	23.11	44.3%
6.95	16.02	22.26	38.9%
6.97	16.02	21.41	33.6%
6.99	16.02	20.57	28.4%
7.01	16.02	19.73	23.1%
7.03	16.02	18.89	17.9%
7.04	16.02	18.48	15.3%
7.05	16.02	18.06	12.7%
7.06	16.02	17.65	10.2%
7.07	16.02	17.24	7.6%
7.08	16.02	16.83	5.0%
7.09	16.02	16.42	2.5%
7.10	16.02	16.01	-0.1%
7.11	16.02	15.61	-2.6%
7.12	16.02	15.21	-5.1%
7.13	16.02	14.81	-7.6%
7.15	16.02	14.02	-12.5%
7.17	16.02	13.25	-17.3%
7.19	16.02	12.51	-21.9%
7.21	16.02	11.78	-26.5%
7.23	16.02	11.08	-30.8%
7.25	16.02	10.40	-35.1%
7.27	16.02	9.76	-39.1%
7.29	16.02	9.18	-42.7%
7.31	16.02	8.65	-46.0%
7.33	16.02	8.19	-48.9%

WATERLINE AT ZERO

AREA ERROR = 7.100

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie & Cunningham
 XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from confluence w/ Maggie
 XS NUMBER: 3

Constant Manning's n

GL = lowest Grassline elevation corrected for sag

STAGING TABLE

WL = Waterline corrected for variations in field measured water surface elevations and sag

	DIST TO WATER (FT)	TOP WIDTH (FT)	AVG. DEPTH (FT)	MAX. DEPTH (FT)	AREA (SQ FT)	WETTED PERIM. (FT)	PERCENT WET PERIM (%)	HYDR RADIUS (FT)	FLOW (CFS)	AVG. VELOCITY (FT/SEC)
GL	5.76	67.84	1.29	2.46	87.79	68.66	100.0%	1.28	220.28	2.51
	6.10	63.82	1.03	2.12	65.42	64.50	93.9%	1.01	140.66	2.15
	6.15	63.23	0.98	2.07	62.24	63.89	93.1%	0.97	130.29	2.09
	6.20	62.63	0.94	2.02	59.09	63.27	92.2%	0.93	120.26	2.04
	6.25	62.04	0.90	1.97	55.98	62.66	91.3%	0.89	110.59	1.98
	6.30	61.45	0.86	1.92	52.89	62.05	90.4%	0.85	101.27	1.91
	6.35	59.25	0.84	1.87	49.84	59.83	87.1%	0.83	93.99	1.89
	6.40	50.44	0.93	1.82	47.10	51.00	74.3%	0.92	95.13	2.02
	6.45	46.69	0.96	1.77	44.72	47.23	68.8%	0.95	91.84	2.05
	6.50	46.39	0.91	1.72	42.39	46.91	68.3%	0.90	84.41	1.99
	6.55	46.08	0.87	1.67	40.08	46.58	67.8%	0.86	77.24	1.93
	6.60	45.78	0.83	1.62	37.78	46.25	67.4%	0.82	70.33	1.86
	6.65	45.47	0.78	1.57	35.50	45.92	66.9%	0.77	63.70	1.79
	6.70	45.17	0.74	1.52	33.24	45.60	66.4%	0.73	57.34	1.73
	6.75	44.72	0.69	1.47	30.99	45.13	65.7%	0.69	51.37	1.66
	6.80	44.16	0.65	1.42	28.77	44.57	64.9%	0.65	45.76	1.59
	6.85	43.61	0.61	1.37	26.57	44.01	64.1%	0.60	40.43	1.52
	6.90	43.06	0.57	1.32	24.41	43.45	63.3%	0.56	35.39	1.45
	6.95	42.51	0.52	1.27	22.27	42.89	62.5%	0.52	30.64	1.38
	7.00	41.96	0.48	1.22	20.15	42.33	61.7%	0.48	26.18	1.30
WL	7.05	41.41	0.44	1.17	18.07	41.77	60.8%	0.43	22.02	1.22
	7.10	40.55	0.40	1.12	16.02	40.90	59.6%	0.39	18.27	1.14
	7.15	39.00	0.36	1.07	14.03	39.35	57.3%	0.36	15.03	1.07
	7.20	36.27	0.34	1.02	12.15	36.61	53.3%	0.33	12.41	1.02
	7.25	33.16	0.31	0.97	10.41	33.49	48.8%	0.31	10.17	0.98
	7.30	26.64	0.33	0.92	8.91	26.96	39.3%	0.33	9.07	1.02
	7.35	21.06	0.37	0.87	7.77	21.37	31.1%	0.36	8.42	1.08
	7.40	19.55	0.35	0.82	6.76	19.85	28.9%	0.34	7.02	1.04
	7.45	18.07	0.32	0.77	5.81	18.36	26.7%	0.32	5.75	0.99
	7.50	16.15	0.31	0.72	4.95	16.43	23.9%	0.30	4.75	0.96
	7.55	14.22	0.30	0.67	4.20	14.49	21.1%	0.29	3.91	0.93
	7.60	11.33	0.31	0.62	3.55	11.59	16.9%	0.31	3.44	0.97
	7.65	10.21	0.30	0.57	3.02	10.46	15.2%	0.29	2.82	0.93
	7.70	9.60	0.26	0.52	2.53	9.84	14.3%	0.26	2.18	0.86
	7.75	9.00	0.23	0.47	2.06	9.23	13.4%	0.22	1.62	0.78
	7.80	8.39	0.19	0.42	1.63	8.61	12.5%	0.19	1.14	0.70
	7.85	7.64	0.16	0.37	1.23	7.84	11.4%	0.16	0.76	0.62
	7.90	6.75	0.13	0.32	0.87	6.93	10.1%	0.13	0.46	0.53
	7.95	5.34	0.11	0.27	0.56	5.48	8.0%	0.10	0.26	0.47
	8.00	3.93	0.08	0.22	0.33	4.03	5.9%	0.08	0.13	0.40
	8.05	2.51	0.07	0.17	0.17	2.58	3.8%	0.07	0.06	0.35
	8.10	1.37	0.06	0.12	0.08	1.41	2.0%	0.06	0.02	0.31
	8.15	0.76	0.04	0.07	0.03	0.78	1.1%	0.03	0.01	0.22
	8.20	0.22	0.01	0.02	0.00	0.22	0.3%	0.01	0.00	0.10

1. 0.68

$$\begin{array}{r} 0.65 \quad 45.76 \quad 0.03 \\ 0.68 \quad \times \\ 0.69 \quad 51.37 \quad 0.04 \end{array} \quad \frac{\times}{5.61} = 4.21 + 45.76 = 49.97 \text{ cfs}$$

2. 50% wetted perimeter

$$\begin{array}{r} 0.488 \quad 10.17 \quad 0.012 \\ 0.500 \quad \times \\ 0.533 \quad 12.41 \end{array} \quad \frac{\times}{0.045} = 0.60 + 10.17 = 11.37 \text{ cfs}$$

3. 1 ft sec V

$$\begin{array}{r} 1.00 \quad 10.17 \quad 0.02 \\ 1.02 \quad \times \\ 1.04 \quad 12.41 \end{array} \quad \frac{\times}{0.04} = 1.12$$

$$1.12 + 10.17 = 11.29 \text{ cfs}$$

STREAM NAME: Animas River-between Maggie & Cunningham
XS LOCATION: 250' downstream from confluence w/ Maggie
XS NUMBER: 3

SUMMARY SHEET

MEASURED FLOW (Qm)=	18.10 cfs
CALCULATED FLOW (Qc)=	18.27 cfs
(Qm-Qc)/Qm * 100 =	-0.9 %

MEASURED WATERLINE (WLm)=	7.08 ft
CALCULATED WATERLINE (WLc)=	7.10 ft
(WLm-WLc)/WLm * 100 =	-0.3 %

MAX MEASURED DEPTH (Dm)=	1.10 ft
MAX CALCULATED DEPTH (Dc)=	1.12 ft
(Dm-Dc)/Dm * 100	-1.8 %

MEAN VELOCITY=	1.14 ft/sec
MANNING'S N=	0.070
SLOPE=	0.01 ft/ft

.4 * Qm =	7.2 cfs
2.5 * Qm =	45.3 cfs

RECOMMENDED INSTREAM FLOW:

Abstract

FLOW (CFS)

=====

PERIOD

1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000
 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000 1000

Figure 1 consists of two horizontal bar charts. The left chart shows the percentage of respondents for 'All respondents' and 'Respondents who have been in the military'. The right chart shows the percentage of respondents for 'All respondents' and 'Respondents who have been in the military'.

RATIONALE FOR RECOMMENDATION:

[illegible]

RECOMMENDATION BY: _____ AGENCY: _____ DATE: _____

CWCB REVIEW BY: DATE:

Animas River-between Maggie & Cunningham

CROSS SECTION DATA ANALYSIS

