GRAND VALLEY REGIONAL
WATER CONSERVATION PLAN

Revised July 8, 2009

Grant Application

For

HB04-1365
Water Conservation Plan Grant

Through the
Colorado Water Conservation Board
Office of Water Conservation and Drought Planning

City of Grand Junction, Clifton Water District, and
Ute Water Conservancy District

C/o Rick Brinkman
City of Grand Junction
2553 River Road
Grand Junction, Co 81501

I. INTRODUCTION AND APPLICANT ELIGIBILITY:

The City of Grand Junction Department of Utilities, Clifton Water District, and Ute Water Conservancy District (the Entities) are local governments and water districts created for the purpose of developing water resources for the Grand Valley on the western slope of Colorado. The Entities provide domestic water to the Grand Valley including the City of Grand Junction between the Towns of Fruita and Palisade, Colorado.

Each of the Entities developed a Water Conservation Plan in 1996 and would now like to combine and update their Water Conservation Plans into one regional Water Conservation Plan.

II. APPLICATION SUBMITTAL REQUIREMENTS:

1. Contact information of entity seeking grant:

City of Grand Junction, Clifton Water District, and Ute Water Conservancy District
C/o Rick Brinkman, Water Services Manager
Utility & Streets Systems
City of Grand Junction
2553 River Road
Grand Junction, Co 81501
(T) 970-244-1495 (F) 970-244-1426
Email: rickbr@gjcity.org

Ms. Rebecca Nichols (Consultant, RHN Water) will write the Water Conservation Plan (WCP) and a Steering Committee will be assembled from the three entities to assist in data gathering, analyzing, and review of the WCP. Organizational charts for the three entities are attached for your information. Terry Franklin from the City of Grand Junction will act as the lead person for the Steering Committee and the contact person for the CWCB.

2. Selected firms to assist in development of WCP:

RHN Water Resource Consultants
Rebecca H. Nichols, President
1600 American Way. P.O. Box 3053
Montrose, Colorado 81402
T: (970) 252-0278
F: (970) 252-9464

Ms. Nichols holds a Masters of Science in Watershed Science. She has been involved in water rights and water development for over 20 years. For the past eleven years, she has conducted general water resource consulting including development of plans for augmentation, research and water rights analysis, and development of water management, conservation, and supply plans.

3. Retail Water Delivery by the three entities for the years 2003-2007 (based on residential water use):

City of Grand Junction

YEAR	ANNUAL WATER USE (1000 Gallons)	POPULATION (2.7 persons per active tap)	PER CAPITA WATER USE (gpd)
2003	889,074	25,545	95.4
2004	843,707	25,750	89.8
2005	785,756	25,850	83.3
2006	831,397	26,150	87.1
2007	851,353	26,500	88.0

Per capita water use for the City of Grand Junction was by reducing the annual water use to daily water use and dividing that value by the population.

Ute Water Conservancy District

YEAR	ANNUAL RESIDENTIAL WATER USE (1000 gallons)	ESTIMATED POPULATION (2.7 persons per active tap)	PER CAPITA USE (gpd)
2003	2,137,206	73,855	80.3
2004	2,178,645	76,901	78.7
2005	2,198,586	79,636	76.7
2006	2,339,200	82,220	79.0
2007	2,450,037	84,745	80.3

Per capita water use the Ute Water Conservancy District was calculated their by reducing their average monthly water use to daily water use and dividing that value by 2.7 (the estimated person per household).

Clifton Water District

YEAR	ANNUAL WATER USE (Million Gallons)	ESTIMATED POPULATION (2.7 persons per active tap)	PER CAPITA USE (gpd)
2003	1,129.7	25,162	98
2004	1,100.3	25,917	94
2005	1,083.2	26,465	87
2006	1,106.5	27,502	90
2007	1,166.6	28,185	93

Per capita water use by the Clifton Water District was calculated by reducing the annual water use to daily water use and dividing that value by the EQU (equivalent residential unit) and by 2.7 (the estimated person per household).

4. The City of Grand Junction, Clifton Water District, and Ute Water Conservancy District each qualify as a covered entity, as described in *Guidelines for Financial Assistance to Covered Entities to Develop Water Conservation Plans* definitions.

5. Background characterizing the water system, potential growth, and any other pertinent issues that relate to the stated evaluation criteria:

   City of Grand Junction

   In 1911, the City of Grand Junction was awarded a water right for 7.81 cfs from Kannah Creek. In 1955, the City acquired Hallenbeck #1 Reservoir (Purdy Mesa Reservoir), Juniata and Reeder Reservoirs on Grand Mesa with direct flow rights to fill the reservoirs. In 1957, the City was awarded water rights from the Gunnison River in the amount of 120 cfs. The City also was awarded additional water rights in Raber Click and Juniata Reservoirs. In 1972, the City was awarded a water right for 120 cfs from the Colorado River and later purchased the Somerville Ranch and associated water rights to insure that the City would have adequate water in terms of quantity and quality in times of drought. The City has a water treatment plant that can treat up to 16 MGD.

   Ute Water Conservancy District

   The Ute Water Conservancy District was formed in 1956 to provide water to the rural and urbanizing areas of the Grand Valley. The District was awarded a water right for 20 cfs from the tailrace of the Lower Molina Power Plant and also a water right for 30 cfs from Plateau Creek. The District also constructed Jerry Creek Reservoir #1 and has purchased many properties with water rights as well as many reservoirs on Grand Mesa. The District constructed a 22 MGD water treatment plant with 28.5 MG of finished storage.

   Clifton Water District

   The Clifton Water District co-owns with the City of Grand Junction the Grand Junction Colorado River Pipeline. The water right was decreed conditionally on July 25, 1959 in Water Court case CA 8303 for 120.0 cfs for municipal industrial and domestic uses. The City of Grand Junction owns 80.0 cfs, the Clifton Water District owns 20.0 cfs, and the remaining 20.0 cfs was conveyed to another entity in 1976. To date the Clifton Water District has beneficially used 11.61 cfs, the City of Grand Junction has beneficially used 6.96 cfs and the remaining 81.43 cfs remains conditional. The Clifton Water District also owns 1,626 shares in the Grand Valley Irrigation Company ditch, an amount equal to 21.6 cfs. The District has a water treatment plant that can treat up to 12 MGD.

a. Through full implementation of this WCP, the entities hope to achieve a 20% savings of its water supplies. However, these savings may not be realized for some time to come because behaviors, attitudes, and habits can be difficult to change to achieve sustained savings over a long period of time.

b. According to the State Demographer, estimated population growth for Mesa County for the years of 2005-2010 will equal or exceed 2.9% per year. Because of the growth of the oil and gas industry and an increase of retirees moving to Mesa County, population growth in Mesa County will probably exceed 3.5% per year.

6. RHN will reference the Entities previous Water Conservation Plans to create a new Regional Water Conservation Plan. The WCP will describe current conservation measures implemented by the Entities under their previous Water Conservation Plans and attempt to quantify how those have impacted water usage. The updated Water Conservation Plan will also describe new conservation measures and goals that the Entities intend to achieve.

7. The Entities requests approval of grant money totaling $56,625.00. Please refer to the "Project Schedule and Milestones" for plan expenditure and timeline. A 50% completion will be achieved by six months from grant approval and 95% completion at 12 months from grant approval (including a 60 day public review period).

8. The Entities currently have limited staff and resources to complete WCP but see an opportunity to implement water conservation measures as a result that will greatly impact its finite water supply. The Entities are determined to change water use patterns and habits in the Grand Valley by encouraging it's customers to become more water conservation minded so that water savings can be obtained for the future. The Entities intend to use the grant monies as well as in-kind services to complete the WCP. The Entities will provide RHN all information, including billing and financial information, as well as Steering Committee and staff time (in-kind services) to successfully complete the WCP.

9. The Entities acknowledges the unmatched importance and scarcity of water in Western Colorado. In arid regions of the United States, conservation is imperative. The Entities are committed to implementing effective long-term water conservation measures to insure water rights and accessibility for current and future generations.

   We understand and commit that upon approval of a grant of $56,625.00 from the Colorado Water Conservation Board, the District will complete a WCP to comply with all of the conservation measures identified in the Colorado Water Conservation Board model plan as required.

_____ Terry Franklin, Deputy Director, City of Grand Junction

Grand Valley Regional Water Conservation Plan

Planning Steps

Purpose

Water conservation plans are required under the Water Conservation Act of 2004 for covered entities that seek financial assistance from the CWCB or the Colorado Water Resources and Power Development Authority. The objective of this task is to develop a Plan that meets the CWCB requirements, makes beneficial and responsible use of the Entities water supplies, and ultimately enables the Entities to apply for state financial assistance for subsequent projects.

Approach

The Water Conservation Plan will be developed following the CWCB Water Conservation Plan Development Guidance Document. This document outlines the requirements needed for CWCB approval. RHN will submit a draft plan to the Steering Committee for comments prior to a public-review period. Following the public-review process, RHN will incorporate public comments and submit the Plan to CWCB for final approval.

The development of the plan is divided into subtasks similar to what is indicated in the CWCB Model Plan Template. These subtasks list the items that need to be included in the WCP for CWCB approval.

Step 1 – Profile the Existing Water System

Purpose

The activities described under this task will provide information on the District's existing water supply system.

Approach

1.1 Profile physical characteristics of the existing water supply system:

RHN, with the help of Steering Committee, will describe the physical characteristics of each of the water systems. Included in the summary will be key system characteristics, geographic area served, population and connections served, types of users, key existing facilities, and water demand.

1.2 Identify all water sources:

RHN will identify and describe all of the system's water supply sources including attributes, age, seniority, and conditions of its use. Estimates/assumptions will be made on missing information.

1.3 Identify system limitations:

RHN will describe system limitations on the District's water supply.

1.4 Characterize water costs and pricing structures:

RHN will document past and current history of water sales with help from District Staff.

1.5 Review current policies and planning initiatives:

In coordination with staff, CWS will discuss major policies that the District has in place that affect water use under normal and drought conditions. In addition, CWS will coordinate to summarize major planning efforts to date.

1.6 Summarize current water conservation activities:

CWS will summarize current water conservation activities.

Step 2 – Characterize Water Use and Demand Forecast

Purpose

The activities described under this task will provide information on the District's existing and projected water use.

Approach

2.1 Characterize current water use:

In coordination with staff, RHN will review billing records to summarize water use in 2005-2007. Included in the discussion will be quantifications of indoor vs outdoor use. RHN will also try to develop historical trends in use and quantify the amount of the water purchased.

2.2 Select forecasting method:

A demand forecasting method will be selected and described.

2.3 Prepare demand forecast:

RHN estimate future water demand by customer category according to the selected forecasting method.

Step 3 – Profile Proposed Facilities

Purpose

The activities described under this task will identify and describe planned improvements based on the results from step two and estimate the associated costs.

Approach

3.1 Estimate supply costs based on the demand forecast:
RHN will work with Steering Committee to prepare incremental and total costs for water supplies that are appropriate for the demand forecast.

3.2 Identify and describe anticipated capital facility improvements and additions:
RHN will use information from each of the Entities to summarize project capital improvements.

3.3 Estimate total, annual and unit cost of the improvements:
RHN will summarize cost estimates of improvements.

3.4 Develop a water supply capacity forecast:
RHN will combine information gathered in this step to provide a summarized supply capacity forecast.

Step 4 – Identify Conservation Goals

Purpose

The activities described under this task will identify conservation goals for the Entities.

Approach

4.1 Develop water conservation goals:
RHN will develop water conservation goals in collaboration with the Steering Committee. Areas for water conservation will be identified by the Steering Committee based on results from Steps 2 and 3. A specific water-savings target, as well as how the savings will be measured, will be identified.

4.2 Document the goal development process:
RHN will document the process used to develop the water conservation goals.

Step 5 – Identify Conservation Measures and Programs

Purpose

The activities described under this task will identify conservation measures and programs that the Entities may implement to reach the conservation goals set in Step 4.

Approach

5.1 Identify conservation measures and programs:

RHN will work with the Steering Committee to develop water conservation measures.

5.2 Develop and define screening criteria:

The Steering Committee and RHN will describe the screening criteria used to evaluate and eliminate some of the water conservation measures and programs.

5.3 Screen conservation measures and programs:

The screening criteria will be applied to the "universal" list of conservation measures and programs to determine which ones will be further evaluated in the planning process.

Step 6 – Evaluate and Select Conservation Measures and Programs

Purpose

The activities described under this task evaluate and select the optimal conservation measures and programs that the District may implement.

Approach

6.1 Create combinations of measures and programs:

RHN will review all conservation measures and programs that passed the screening criteria and group them, so similar measures and associated water-savings are accurately described.

6.2 Estimate costs and water savings of conservation options:

The Steering Committee and RHN will estimate the cost of each conservation measure/program and the associated water savings. A benefit/cost analysis will be included.

6.3 Compare benefits and costs:

RHN will summarize conservation measure costs and water savings, including a net benefit from all suggested measures using Worksheets 6-1 and 6-2.

6.4 Define evaluation criteria:

The Steering Committee and RHN will develop criteria used to select the conservation measures/programs for implementation. Key criteria will be cost for implementation and potential water savings.

6.5 Select conservation measures and programs:

RHN will summarize the evaluation of each measure/program based on the evaluation criteria and indicate, with the Steering Committee input, which measures/programs will be implemented.

Step 7 – Integrate Resources and Modify Forecasts

Purpose

The activities described under this task will modify the supply and demand forecasts to account for water savings from the selected conservation measures and programs. The benefits of conservation as well as revenue effects will also be addressed.

Approach

7.1 Revise demand forecast:

RHN will revise the demand forecast prepared in Step 2 to account for the water savings of the measures/programs from Step 6.

7.2 Identify project-specific savings:

The Steering Committee and RHN will determine the effect of water savings from conservation on the timing and capacity of facility improvement projects and quantify savings.

7.3 Revise supply-capacity forecast:

RHN will revise the supply capacity forecast based on findings from 7.2.

7.4 Summarize forecast modifications and benefits of conservation:

RHN will develop a graph showing demand and supply with and without conservation.

7.5 Consider revenue effects:

RHN will quantify impacts to revenues from implementation of water conservation. Savings in capital improvement projects or delayed water acquisition will be presented against loss in sales revenue. Strategies to address this issue will be discussed.

Step 8 – Develop Implementation Plan

Purpose

The activities described under this task will present a strategy for implementing the selected conservation measures and describe methods for monitoring the success of the plan.

Approach

8.1 Develop implementation schedule:
RHN and the Steering Committee will discuss significant implementation actions and obstacles for implementing the selected conservation measures. RHN will develop a reasonable implementation schedule and timetable to follow.

8.2 Develop plan for public participation in implementation:
The Steering Committee and RHN will describe how to involve the public in the implementation process.

8.3 Develop plan for monitoring and evaluation progress:
RHN will determine and describe how the Water Conservation Plan will be measured for effectiveness.

8.4 Develop plan for updating and revising the plan:
The Steering Committee will describe when it intends to update the Water Conservation Plan.

8.5 Define plan adoption date/plan completed date/plan approved date:
A copy of the approval resolution adopting the final Water Conservation Plan will be included. RHN will develop a schedule for approval and adoption.

Step 9 – Monitor, Evaluate and Revise Conservation Activities and the Conservation Plan

Purpose

Commit to monitor the performance of the plan including updating the plan as required.

Approach

9.1 Implement the plan:
The plan will be implemented and monitored based on the schedule developed from Step 8.

TASK B – PUBLIC REVIEW PROCESS

Purpose

The Entities will make a draft WCP available in different forms to seek public input on the plan through use of a 60-day public review period.

Approach

RHN will attend a Board Meeting for each of the Entities during the 60-day public review period to help answer questions from the public on the Water Conservation Plan. This meeting will seek feedback on the Water Conservation Plan from District constituents.

The Steering Committee, with help from RHN, will coordinate the following:

- Announcing the public-review period and making the plan publicly available.
- Advertising to the public that comments will be taken at a specific Board Meeting during the 60-day public review period.
- Collecting and organizing public comments. These comments will be provided to RHN following the public-review period.

RHN will incorporate and respond to public comments in the final draft of the plan.

REQUIREMENTS

1. The Steering Committee and the Entity Boards and staff will review a final draft of the plan and provide comments.
2. RHN will incorporate comments prior to the public-review process.
3. Public comments will be solicited and incorporated into the plan.
4. The Entities will formally adopt the final plan.
5. RHN will submit the final plan to CWCB.
6. CWCB will review final plan.

DELIVERABLES

RHN will submit the following:

- Monthly invoices to the District with brief progress reports.
- Submit 50% and 95% progress reports to CWCB.
- Provide draft plan to Steering Committee for comments prior to submission to CWCB.
- Final plan submitted electronically to CWCB with all comments, including public input.
- Ten hard copies of the final Water Conservation Plan submitted to Entities after CWCB's final approval.

Time-line for Completion of Grand Valley Regional Water Conservation Plan

It is anticipated that the Grand Valley Regional Water Conservation Plan (GVWCP) will be developed in a 12-month period. Following is the proposed time-line for the completion of the GVWCP:

1. Upon approval of the CWCB grant for the GVWCP, consultant will begin collecting and summarizing the background water information data for the City of Grand Junction, the Ute Water Conservancy District, and the Clifton Water District. This will include:

Step 1. Profiling the Existing Water Systems
Step 2. Characterizing Water Use and Demand Forecast and
Step 3. Profiling Proposed Facilities.

Concurrently, the Steering Committee will meet to develop goals of the GVWCP,
Step 4. Identification of Water Conservation Goals.

It is anticipated that the GVWCP will be at 50% completion within 4 months of the initiation of the Water Conservation Plan. A 50% completion of the GVWCP, a progress report will be sent to the Office of Water Conservation and Drought Planning.

2. During the following 4 months, the Water Conservation Plan will be developed following:

   Step 6. Evaluation and Selection of Water Conservation Measures and Programs
   Step 7. Integration of Resources and Modification of the Demand Forecasts
   Step 8. Development of the Implementation Program with a timeline and plan for
   Step 9. Monitoring, Evaluating and Revising the conservation activities and WCP.

After Step 9 is reached, it is anticipated that the GVWCP will be at 90% completion. A progress report and draft GVWCP will be sent to the CWCB Office of Water Conservation and Drought Planning and to the Board of Directors of the City of Grand Junction, the Ute Water Conservancy District, and the Clifton Water District.

3. During the last 4 months, comments and recommendations from the CWCB and the three Boards of Directors will be considered and included in the GVWCP. Once comments are received and included in the GVWCP, the Plan will be announced for public review for a 60-day period. At the end of the 60 days, all comments received will be considered for inclusion in the Plan and the final draft will be sent to the CWCB for approval. Upon approval, hard copies with an electronic copy will be sent to the Boards and the CWCB.

Grand Valley Regional Water Conservation Plan Budget

Task A- Develop Water Conservation Plan	RHN Hours	RHN Rate $125	Steering Committee Hours	Steering Committee Rate $75	Total	Cash Contribution	**Grant Request**
Step 1 - Profile Existing Water System							
1.1 Profile Existing Water Systems	12	$ 1,500.00	6.7	$ 502.50	$ 2,002.50	$ -	$ 1,500.00
1.2 Identify Sources of Water	9	$ 1,125.00	5.0	$ 375.00	$ 1,500.00	$ -	$ 1,125.00
1.3 Identify System Limitations	9	$ 1,125.00	5.0	$ 375.00	$ 1,500.00	$ -	$ 1,125.00
1.4 Characterize Water Costs and Pricing	12	$ 1,500.00	6.7	$ 502.50	$ 2,002.50	$ -	$ 1,500.00
1.5 Review Current Policies and Planning Initiatives	12	$ 1,500.00	6.7	$ 502.50	$ 2,002.50	$ 500.00	$ 1,000.00
1.6 Summarize Current Water Conservation Activities	12	$ 1,500.00	6.7	$ 502.50	$ 2,002.50	$ 500.00	$ 1,000.00
Subtotal	66	$ 8,250.00	36.8	$ 2,760.00	$ 11,010.00	$ 1,000.00	$ 7,250.00
Step 1 - Characterize Water Use and Forecast Demand							
2.1 Characterize Current Water Use	24	$ 3,000.00	13.4	$ 1,005.00	$ 4,005.00	$ 500.00	$ 2,500.00
2.2 Select Forecasting Method	6	$ 750.00	3.4	$ 255.00	$ 1,005.00	$ -	$ 750.00
2.3 Prepare Demand Forecast	30	$ 3,750.00	16.7	$ 1,252.50	$ 5,002.50	$ -	$ 3,750.00
Subtotal	60	$ 7,500.00	33.5	$ 2,512.50	$ 10,012.50	$ 500.00	$ 7,000.00
Step 3 - Profile Proposed Facilities							
3.1 Estimate Supply Costs Based on the Demand Forecast	12	$ 1,500.00	6.7	$ 502.50	$ 2,002.50	$ 500.00	$ 1,000.00
3.2 Identify and Cost Potential Facility Improvements	12	$ 1,500.00	6.7	$ 502.50	$ 2,002.50	$ 500.00	$ 1,000.00
3.3 Estimate Total, Annual and Unit Cost of the Improvements	15	$ 1,875.00	8.4	$ 630.00	$ 2,505.00	$ -	$ 1,875.00
3.4 Develop a Water Supply Capacity Forecast	12	$ 1,500.00	6.7	$ 502.50	$ 2,002.50	$ -	$ 1,500.00
Subtotal	51	$ 6,375.00	28.5	$ 2,137.50	$ 8,512.50	$ 1,000.00	$ 5,375.00
Sep 4 - Identify Conservation Goals							
4.1 Develop Water Conservation Goals	15	$ 1,875.00	8.4	$ 630.00	$ 2,505.00	$ -	$ 1,875.00
4.2 Document the Goal Development Process	15	$ 1,875.00	8.4	$ 630.00	$ 2,505.00	$ -	$ 1,875.00
Subtotal	30	$ 3,750.00	16.8	$ 1,260.00	$ 5,010.00	$ -	$ 3,750.00
Step 5 - Identify Conservation Measures and Programs							
5.1 Identify Conservation Measures and Programs	24	$ 3,000.00	13.4	$ 1,005.00	$ 4,005.00	$ 500.00	$ 2,500.00
5.2 Develop and Define Screening Criteria	8	$ 1,000.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,337.50	$ -	$ 1,000.00
5.3 Screen Conservation Measures and Programs	20	$ 2,500.00	11.1	$ 832.50	$ 3,332.50	$ 500.00	$ 2,000.00
Subtotal	52	$ 6,500.00	29	$ 2,175.00	$ 8,675.00	$ 1,000.00	$ 5,500.00

Step 6 - Evaluate and Select Conservation Measures and Programs						
6.1 Create Combinations of Measures and Programs	12	$ 1,500.00	6.7	$ 502.50	$ 2,002.50	$ -	$ 1,500.00
6.2 Estimate Costs and Water Savings of Conservation Options	48	$ 6,000.00	26.7	$ 2,002.50	$ 8,002.50	$ 2,000.00	$ 4,000.00
6.3 Compare Benefits and Costs	24	$ 3,000.00	13.4	$ 1,005.00	$ 4,005.00	$ 500.00	$ 2,500.00
6.4 Define Evaluation Criteria	4	$ 500.00	2.25	$ 168.75	$ 668.75	$ -	$ 500.00
6.5 Select Conservation Measures and Programs	12	$ 1,500.00	6.6	$ 500.00	$ 2,000.00	$ -	$ 1,500.00
Subtotal	100	$12,500.00	55.65	$ 4,178.75	$ 16,678.75	$ 2,500.00	$10,000.00
Step 7 - Integrate Resources and Modify Forecasts						
7.1 Revise Demand Forecast	8	$ 1,000.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,337.50	$ -	$ 1,000.00
7.2 Identify Project-Specific Savings	8	$ 1,000.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,337.50	$ -	$ 1,000.00
7.3 Revise Supply-Capacity Forecast	8	$ 1,000.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,337.50	$ -	$ 1,000.00
7.4 Summarize Forecast Modification and Benefits of Conservation	8	$ 1,000.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,337.50	$ -	$ 1,000.00
7.5 Consider Revenue Effects	10	$ 1,250.00	5.6	$ 420.00	$ 1,670.00	$ -	$ 1,250.00
Subtotal	42	$ 5,250.00	23.6	$ 1,770.00	$ 7,020.00	$ -	$ 5,250.00
Step 8 - Develop Implementation Plan						
8.1 Develop Implementation Schedule	8	$ 1,000.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,337.50	$ -	$ 1,000.00
8.2 Develop Plan for Public Participation in Implementation	8	$ 1,000.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,337.50	$ -	$ 1,000.00
8.3 Develop Plan for Monitoring and Evaluation of Progress	4	$ 500.00	2.25	$ 168.75	$ 668.75	$ -	$ 500.00
8.4 Develop Plan for Updating and Revising the Plan	4	$ 500.00	2.25	$ 168.75	$ 668.75	$ -	$ 500.00
8.5 Define Plan Adoption Date/Plan Completion Date/Plan Approval Date	4	$ 500.00	2.25	$ 168.75	$ 668.75	$ -	$ 500.00
Subtotal	28	$ 3,500.00	15.75	$ 1,181.25	$ 4,681.25	$ -	$ 3,500.00
Step 9 - Monitor, Evaluate, and Revise Conservation Activities and Conservation Plan						
9.1 Implement the Conservation Plan	0	$ -				$ -	$ -
Subtotal	0	$ -				$ -	$ -
Task A Total	429	$53,625.00	239.6	$17,970.00	$ 71,595.00	$ 6,000.00	$47,625.00
Task B - Public Review Process						
Develop and Coordinate Public Review Process	6	$ 750.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,087.50	$ -	$ 750.00
Collect and Organize Public Comments	7	$ 875.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,212.50	$ -	$ 875.00
Incorporate selected public comments into Plan	6	$ 750.00	4.5	$ 337.50	$ 1,087.50	$ -	$ 750.00
Task B Total	19	$ 2,375.00	13.5	$ 1,012.50	$ 3,387.50	$ -	$ 2,375.00
Miscellaneous: Travel at $0.65/mile, printing & copy services						$1,000.00	
Total		**$56,000.00**		**$18,982.50**	**$ 74,982.50**	**$ 7,000.00**	**$50,000.00**