

#### COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD

## WATER SUPPLY RESERVE ACCOUNT 2007-2008 GRANT APPLICATION FORM



Colorado Basin Nonconsumptive Needs Quantification								
Name of Water Activity/Project		River Basin Location						
\$315,171	х	Basin Account	Yes					
		Statewide Account	x No					
Amount of Funds Requested	Please	Check Applicable Box	Approval Letter Signed By Roundtable Chair and Description of Results of Evaluation and Approval Process					

- \* For the Basin Account, the Application Deadline is 60 Days Prior to the Bimonthly CWCB meeting. The CWCB meetings are posted at www.cwcb.state.co.us and are generally the third week of the month.
- \* For the Statewide Account, the Application Deadline is 60 Days Prior to the March and September CWCB Board Meetings.
- \* In completing the application you may attach additional sheets if the form does not provide adequate space. If additional sheets are attached please be sure to reference the section number of the application that you are addressing (i.e., A.1. etc.).

Instructions: This application form must be submitted in electronic format (Microsoft Word or Original PDF are preferred). The application can be emailed or a disc can be mailed to the address at the end of the application form. The Water Supply Reserve Account Criteria and Guidelines can be found at <a href="http://cwcb.state.co.us/IWMD/">http://cwcb.state.co.us/IWMD/</a>. The criteria and guidelines should be reviewed and followed when completing this application. You may attach additional sheets as necessary to fully answer any question, or to provide additional information that you feel would be helpful in evaluating this application. Include with your application a cover letter summarizing your request for a grant. If you have difficulty with any part of the application, contact Todd Doherty of the Intrastate Water Management and Development (Colorado Water Conservation Board) for assistance, at (303) 866-3441 ext.3210 or email Todd at <a href="todd.doherty@state.co.us">todd.doherty@state.co.us</a>.

Generally, the applicant is also the prospective owner and sponsor of the proposed water activity. If this is not the case, contact the Todd Doherty before completing this application.

Form Revised May 2007

#### Part A. - Description of the Applicant (Project Sponsor or Owner);

1. Applicant Name(s): Lane Wyatt Director, Water Quality and Quantity Committee

Northwest Colorado Council of Governments P.O. Box 2308

Mailing address:

Silverthorne, CO 80498

Taxpayer ID#: 84-0639906 Email address: qqlane@colorado.net

Phone Numbers: Business: 970.468.0295 x 116

Home:

Fax: 970.468.1208

2. Person to contact regarding this application if different from above:

Name:

Lane Wyatt

Position/Title

Director, NWCCOG Water Quality and Quantity
Committee

3. Provide a brief description of your organization below: see "Description of Applicant" in Part 2 of Criteria and Guidance for required information.

Northwest Colorado Council of Governments (NWCCOG) is a voluntary association of county and municipal governments, formalized by intergovernmental agreement, who individually and collectively believes that working together on a regional basis provides benefits that could not be obtained without the association.

NWCCOG was established as Colorado Planning and Management Region XII in 1972 by Executive Order of the Governor in response to the Federal Intergovernmental Cooperation Act of 1968. Regional planning was encouraged as a means to avoid overlap, duplication and competition between local planning activities.

Today, NWCCOG serves 28 member jurisdictions in a five-county region including the Cities of Glenwood Springs, Steamboat Springs and Carbondale, Eagle County and the towns of Avon, Basalt, Eagle, Gypsum, Minturn, Red Cliff and Vail; Grand County and the towns of Fraser, Granby, Grand Lake, Hot Sulphur Springs, Kremmling and Winter Park; Jackson County and the Town of Walden; Pitkin County

Form Revised May 2007	
County and the City of Aspen; and Summit County and the town Dillon, Frisco, Montezuma, and Silverthorne.	ns of Breckenridge,
For more information please see the following website: http://www.nwc.cog.co.us/	
4. If the Contracting Entity is different then the Applicant (Project Sponsor or Owne Contracting Entity here.	r) please describe the
Not applicable	
Part B Description of the Water Activity - Please Refer to Criteria and Guidar	ace Document for Eligibly
Requirements	
1. Name of water activity/project:	
Colorado Basin Nonconsumptive Needs Quantification	1
What is the purpose of this grant application?	
Environmental compliance and feasibility study	
Technical Assistance regarding permitting, feasibility studies, and environments	onmental compliance
X Studies or analysis of structural, nonstructural, consumptive, nonconsum	iptive water needs, projects
Study or Analysis of:	
Structural project or activity	
X Nonstructural project or activity	
Consumptive project or activity	
X	
Nonconsumptive project or activity	
Structural and/ or nonstructural water project or activity	

Form Revised May 2007

2. <u>Describe how</u> the water activity meets these **Threshold Criteria.** 

1. The water activity meets the eligibility requirements outlined in Part 2 of the Criteria and Guidelines.

Northwest Colorado Council of Governments (NWCCOG) is an association of county and municipal governments, formalized by intergovernmental agreement. The project is to further study the Colorado Basin's nonconsumptive needs assessments.

2. The water activity is consistent with Section 37-75-102 Colorado Revised Statutes. The requirements/language from the statute is provided in Part 3 of the Criteria and Guidelines.

The water needs assessment will not restrict the ability of the holder of a water right to use or to dispose of that water right in any manner permitted by Colorado law and is consistent with CRS 37-75-102.

3. The water activity underwent an evaluation and approval process and was approved by the Basin Roundtable (BRT) and the application includes a description of the results of the BRTs evaluation and approval of the activity. At a minimum, the description must include the level of agreement reached by the roundtable, including any minority opinion(s) if there was not general agreement for the activity. The description must also include reasons why general agreement was not reached (if it was not), including who opposed the activity and why they opposed it. Note- If this information is included in the letter from the roundtable chair simply reference that letter.

A letter from Roundtable Chair (Jim Pokrandt) summarizing the results of the CBRT voting on this activity is forthcoming.

4. The water activity meets the provisions of Section 37-75-104(2), Colorado Revised Statutes. The requirements/language from the statute is provided in Part 3 of the Criteria and Guidelines.

This is exactly in keeping with section 37-75-104(2)(c) of the Colorado Revised Statutes in that it is designed to develop the information necessary for the Colorado River Basin nonconsumptive needs assessment.

## Water Supply Reserve Account – Grant Application Form Form Revised May 2007

3. For Applications that include a request for funds from the Statewide Account, <u>describe how</u> the water activity meets the **Evaluation Criteria**. See Part 3 of Criteria and Guidelines.

Not applicable

Form Revised May 2007

4. Please provide an overview of the water project or activity to be funded including – type of activity, statement of what the activity is intended to accomplish, the need for the activity, the problems and opportunities to be addressed, expectations of the participants, why the activity is important, the service area or geographic location, and any relevant issues etc. Please include any relevant TABOR issues that may affect the Contracting Entity. Please refer to Part 2 of Criteria and Guidance document for additional detail on information to include.

The Colorado Basin non-consumptive needs assessment committee has been meeting for the 18 months to complete the Colorado Basin's Nonconsumptive Needs Assessment which is required under HB05-1177. The committee's efforts have focused on three key efforts:

- Defined function environmental and recreational attributes in the basin
- Developed a matrix of attributes at risk and associated map of the areas within the Colorado Basin at risk
- Participated in pilot study of the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool for the Roaring Fork Watershed

To further quantify flow needs within the basin, the Committee recommends that site-specific quantification of instream flow needs for the Colorado River between Kremmling, Colorado and No Name, Colorado be completed and that the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool be completed for the entire Colorado River Basin to focus future site-specific and water management efforts.

The objectives of the study are to:

- Build upon existing quantification efforts in the basin to maintain consistency in approaches and to develop a comprehensive dataset of quantification measures in the basin
- Conduct site-specific quantification of instream flow needs for Colorado River between Kremmling and No Name which would determine the current state of the aquatic ecosystem in this river reach including:
  - Physical/geomorphic characteristics
  - Hydrologic characteristics
  - Riparian characteristics
  - Instream aquatic habitat characteristics

Form Revised May 2007

- The site-specific quantification for the Colorado River between Kremmling and No Name would also determine expected changes as a result of hydrologic change with additional water regulation such as
  - Expected geomorphic changes
  - Expected riparian changes
  - Expected aquatic habitat changes
- Complete evaluation of Colorado River Basin using the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool
- Conduct study within a stakeholder process with the Colorado Basin Nonconsumptive Needs Assessment Committee and Basin Roundtable. Coordination and communication will be provided with all affected stakeholders including water providers, watershed groups, local, state and federal agencies and interested citizens. All related material will be publicly available through the Colorado Basin Roundtable/IBCC website.
- 5. Please summarize the proposed scope of work. Please refer to Part 2 of the Criteria and Guidance document for detailed requirements. On the following page there is an example format for the Scope of Work. You can use the example format or your own format, provided that comparable information is included.

The scope of work should outline by task how the water activity will be accomplished. It is important that the scope of work detail the specific steps, activities/procedures that will be followed to accomplish the water activity and the specific products/deliverables that will be accomplished. The scope of work should include but not be limited to: task description, key personnel, budget, schedule and deliverables and the final report/project documentation upon completion of the water activity.

Please see attached scope of work. The scope of work details the efforts required to complete site-specific quantification for the Colorado River between Kremmling and No Name and applying the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool.

**Suggested Format for Scope of Work** 

The scope of work will form the basis for the contract between the Applicant and the State of Colorado. In short, the Applicant is agreeing to undertake the work for the compensation outlined in the scope of work and budget, and in return, the State of Colorado is receiving the deliverables/products specified. Summarized below is a suggested format for the Scope of Work.

#### I. Task 1

- Description of task:
- Method or procedure that will be used or followed to accomplish the task and identify who will undertake
  the task:
- Description of the major deliverables/products that will be produced upon successful completion of the task:

<u>Task 2</u> (Repeat the above format for each task)

#### II. Personnel

Provide a list of key water activity/project participants and their qualifications to accomplish the water activity/project. If specific individuals or firms have not yet been identified indicate the types of expertise that will be sought (i.e. professional engineering firm, registered land surveyor, aquatic biologist etc.).

#### III. Budget

A detailed budget by task, which includes the level of effort (hours) and rates. Any unit costs or other direct costs must be specified (i.e. mileage, number of miles, dollars per mile). For an example budget format – See Attachment 1 at the end of this application.

If applicable, the budget should also detail the source and amount of matching funds and/or in-kind contributions, if any. If applicable, the budget should also include any other outstanding or previously applied for funding that also supports the water activity:

#### IV. Schedule

A detailed project schedule including key milestones and the dates these are expected to be completed.

NOTE: Costs incurred prior to execution of a contract or purchase order are not subject to reimbursement.

Form Revised May 2007

6. Water Availability and Sustainability – this information is needed to assess the viability and effectiveness of the water project or activity. Please provide a description of each water supply source to be utilized for, or the water body to be affected by, the water activity. For water supply sources being utilized, describe its location, yield, extent of development, and water right status. For water bodies being affected, describe its location, extent of development, and the expected effect of the water activity on the water body, in either case, the analysis should take into consideration a reasonable range of hydrologic variation.

The main purpose of this project is to quantify the nonconsumptive needs in the Colorado Basin. This project is not a water development project and will not identify or utilize water supply sources for project development. The Colorado Decision Support System model will be used to simulate hydrology in the basin.

Form Revised May 2007

7. Please provide a brief narrative of any related or relevant previous studies.

The site specific evaluation of non consumptive flows on the Upper Colorado River is proposed to be done with the consultation and oversight of the CDOW in collaboration and support of the ongoing work of the "Alternatives to Wild & Scenic Designation Stakeholder Group." There will be no duplication of efforts in this reach.

The CWCB is in the process of completing two pilot studies using the "Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool": one in the Fountain Creek Watershed, the other in the Roaring Fork Watershed. This proposed Colorado Basin wide study will use the same methodology, thereby building upon the common technical platform being developed by the state for all Roundtables to use in the evaluation of non-consumptive needs.

This study is intended to provide the mandated information regarding the Colorado Basin's non-consumptive needs that will be used by the State in the Water Supply Availability study currently underway.

Form Revised May 2007

8. Additional Information – If you feel you would like to add any additional pertinent information please feel free to do so here.

There is an urgency to undertake the site specific quantification on the Upper Colorado River within a timeline that will ensure that the field data collection is completed beginning with Spring 2009 for the high, medium and low flow period. The funds in this grant for this field data collection will complement the funding of the related field data collection and analysis being funding through the CWCB/Wild & Scenic process and ensure there is no duplication of effort.

If this grant is not funded during March 2009, both the site specific data collection and the Watershed Flow Evaluation for the Colorado Basin will be delayed another full year. Consideration during a future grant cycle (May 2009) will miss the immediate contracting deadlines for getting this work done during 2009.

The above	statements at	re true to	the hest	of my	knowledge:
THE ADDVE	STATE HIGHES A	ie ii ue io	THE DEST	OLITIV	KIIOWICUSC.

**Signature of Applicant**:

**Print Applicant's Name:** 

**Project Title**:

#### **Return this application to:**

Mr. Todd Doherty
Intrastate Water Management and Development Section
COLORADO WATER CONSERVATION BOARD
1580 Logan Street, Suite 600
Denver, CO 80203

To submit applications by Email, send to: todd.doherty@state.co.us

Form Revised May 2007

#### **Reference Information**

The following information is available via the internet. The reference information provides additional detail and background information regarding these criteria and guidelines and water policy issues affecting our state.

Sample Contract and Purchase Order – http://cwcb.state.co.us/IWMD/RelatedInformation/ToolsResources/

#### Colorado Water Conservation Board Policies

Loan and Grant policies and information are available at – <a href="http://cwcb.state.co.us/Finance/">http://cwcb.state.co.us/Finance/</a>

Water Supply Reserve Account Criteria and Guidelines –

http://cwcb.state.co.us/IWMD/RelatedInformation/ToolsResources/

### Interbasin Compact Committee and Basin Roundtables

Interbasin Compact Committee By-laws and Charter (under Helpful Links section) –

http://ibcc.state.co.us/Basins/IBCC/

#### Legislation

House Bill 05-1177 - Also known as the Water for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century Act –

http://cwcbweblink.state.co.us/DocView.aspx?id=105662&searchhandle=28318

House Bill 06-1400 – Adopted the Interbasin Compact Committee Charter –

http://cwcbweblink.state.co.us/DocView.aspx?id=21291&searchhandle=12911

Senate Bill 06-179 – Created the Water Supply Reserve Account –

http://cwcbweblink.state.co.us/DocView.aspx?id=21379&searchhandle=12911

#### Statewide Water Supply Initiative

General Information – http://cwcb.state.co.us/IWMD/

## Water Supply Reserve Account – Grant Application Form Form Revised May 2007

 $Phase\ 1\ Report-\underline{http://cwcb.state.co.us/IWMD/SWSITechnicalResources/SWSIPhaseIReport/\underline{}}$ 

## Scope of Work Colorado River Basin Nonconsumptive Needs Quantification

This Scope of Work is divided into four sections as suggested in the Grant Application Instructions. Section 1 provides a description of each major task associated with the project along with a functional description of who will be completing the work and a description of the deliverables associated with the task. Section 2 lists the key personnel proposed for the project along with a brief description of their relevant project experience. Section 3 presents a detailed breakdown of the costs to complete the study and Section 4 presents the proposed Project Schedule.

# Section 1 Task Summary Introduction and Background

The Colorado Basin non-consumptive needs assessment committee has been meeting for the 18 months to complete the Colorado Basin's Nonconsumptive Needs Assessment which is required under HB05-1177. The committee's efforts have focused on three key efforts:

- Defined function environmental and recreational attributes in the basin
- Developed a matrix of attributes at risk and associated map of the areas within the Colorado Basin at risk
- Participated in pilot study of the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool for the Roaring Fork Watershed

To further quantify flow needs within the basin, the Committee recommends that site-specific quantification of instream flow needs for the Colorado River between Kremmling, Colorado and No Name, Colorado be completed and that the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool be completed for the entire Colorado River Basin to focus future site-specific and water management efforts.

## **Study Objectives**

The objectives of the study are to:

- Build upon existing quantification efforts in the basin to maintain consistency in approaches and to develop a comprehensive dataset of quantification measures in the basin
- Conduct site-specific quantification of instream flow needs for Colorado River between Kremmling and No Name which would determine the current state of the aquatic ecosystem in this river reach including:
  - Physical/geomorphic characteristics

Form Revised May 2007

- Hydrologic characteristics
- Riparian characteristics
- Instream aquatic habitat characteristics
- The site-specific quantification for the Colorado River between Kremmling and No Name would also determine expected changes as a result of hydrologic change with additional water regulation such as
  - Expected geomorphic changes
  - Expected riparian changes
  - Expected aquatic habitat changes
- Complete evaluation of Colorado River Basin using the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool
- Conduct study within a stakeholder process with the Colorado Basin Nonconsumptive Needs Assessment Committee and Basin Roundtable

This study is divided into four tasks, addressing each of the objectives. The following is a list and description of tasks to be completed under this work plan.

## Task 1 Conduct Site-Specific Quantification of Colorado between Kremmling and No Name

# Task 1.1 Meeting, site visit, initial inventory, and high flow field data collection [WILL BE FUNDED UNDER ANOTHER CONTRACT INCLUDED HERE FOR PROJECT UNDERSTANDING ONLY]

Task 1.1 will consist of the following work elements:

#### Meeting:

There will be an initial meeting to refine the Phase 1 scope of work, schedule for the initial tasks and expected products.

#### Site Visit:

The site visit will be a reconnaissance level effort to familiarize the team with the project area and finalize plans for the initial inventory of field data.

#### **Initial Inventory:**

This will consist of an effort to assemble any existing physical and biological data for this reach of the Colorado River. In addition, an additional site visit will take place for both the physical and biological data collection. The data collection effort will be sufficient to qualitatively describe the existing

Form Revised May 2007

environment within the reach and to develop a proposed approach to quantify changes that may occur with changes in river hydrology.

- <u>Riparian</u>: The initial riparian inventory will use both aerial photography and ground truthing to describe the existing riparian conditions, notes on vegetative species composition, general locations of native riparian corridor and ranchland.
- <u>Aquatic Habitat</u>: Distinct aquatic habitat reaches exist within this reach of river, a canyon bound reach and meandering reach. General characteristics of these habitat reaches will be described using data from topographic maps, aerial photography, and field mapping of short sections (1/2 mile or less) of the river. Aquatic species information will be acquired from the Colorado Division of Wildlife data or other sources.
- <u>High Flow Measurements</u>: The initial site set up and high flow measurements will be collected.

#### Schedule:

Phase 1 will be completed between March 31st and June 30th, 2009.

## Task 1.2 Completion of Data Collection [FOR THIS SUBTASK, NWCOG IS SEEKING FUNDING]

This task is contingent on completion of Task 1.3. This work assumes that River 2D will be the model used for data analysis and habitat quantification. As such, the field data collection will be consistent with those data needed to calibrate and simulate River 2D for a range of flows. The initial data collection for high flow will be collected in Phase 1. Phase 2 data collection will include mid flow and low flow data including river topography.

#### Schedule:

Phase 2 will be completed between July 1st and November 15th 2009.

# Task 1.3 Data Analysis, Model Calibration, Model Simulation and Report [WILL BE FUNDED UNDER ANOTHER CONTRACT INCLUDED HERE FOR PROJECT UNDERSTANDING ONLY]

Data analysis, model calibration, model simulation, and report. This work will include data summary from the field data provided in Phases 1 and 2; input of data for model calibration and model simulations. Model simulations will include hydraulic and GIS habitat analysis for a range of flows for up to five species at each site. A report will be prepared to document field data collection, model calibration and simulation and results.

#### Schedule:

Phase 3 can begin during data collection; however, the majority of the work will be completed after all field data collection is complete. Phase 3 would be completed between September 15th, 2009 and March 15th, 2010.

Form Revised May 2007

## Task 2 Apply Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool throughout Colorado River Basin

Completion of this task is based on the following assumptions:

- Completing this task will be contingent on recommendation and acceptance of Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool by Colorado Basin Roundtable Nonconsumptive Subcommittee and Colorado Basin Roundtable
- Any site-specific quantifications completed in the basin will be considered to take precedent over the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool results and these site-specific quantifications will be used to validate results from the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool

### Task 2.1 Stakeholder Meeting and Facilitation

#### Public Outreach

NCNA Sub-Committee and Consultant team will develop and hold a minimum of three public meetings to gain additional public input and provide public education about the NCNA process. The public will be able to suggest other stream reaches that may be added to the mix for evaluation and quantification. Other means of public outreach, both within and outside the Basin, will also be developed using various media and forums. The final report will also be distributed to various public outlets and be available through the IBCC web site.

#### Project Team Meetings and Coordination

The primary means of coordinating on project activities will take place through the Colorado Basin Nonconsumptive Needs Assessment Sub-Committee. The Consultant team will meet with the Sub-Committee and interested Stakeholders on a bi-monthly basis – or as needed – during the course of the project. At a minimum the CDM project manager will meet with the Sub-Committee and interested Stakeholders. When key deliverables are presented to the Committee other project team members will attend the Sub-Committee meetings. It is anticipated that other project team members will attend up to four subcommittee meetings. The CDM team will also develop monthly status reports on study progress to be sent to the Sub-Committee and all interested Stakeholders.

The following topics will be discussed during the bi-monthly – or as needed -- Sub-Committee and Stakeholder meetings:

- Review of scope of work
- Review schedule
- Coordination with Colorado Division of Wildlife and stakeholders on past quantification efforts in the Colorado Basin and what data is available for comparison with Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool Results
- Locations to apply the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool

Form Revised May 2007

- Summarize where we have Colorado Decision Support System (CDSS) baseline nodes throughout the basin and develop stakeholder agreement on main nodes to be considered in the basin based on where the tool will be applied
- Discuss how CDSS model will be simulated and garner support from stakeholder group on this item
- Discuss work products that will be developed from the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool and how these can be integrated into other basin efforts
- Results of Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool GIS Risk mapping
- Comparison of results with other quantification efforts in the basin
- Review of memos summarizing Tasks 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4
- Draft report summarizing study results
- Utilizing work efforts in coordination with other studies in the basin such as the supply availability study
- Training and use of the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool. The final product will be available for use by others outside this NCNA work team.

#### Task 2.2 Inventory Hydrologic Data

The Consultant team will complete the following work elements in inventorying hydrologic data:

- Summarize Colorado Decision Support System (CDSS) baseline and non-baseline nodes
- Recommend additional CDSS baseline nodes
- Inventory USGS gages
- Get agreement from stakeholders on nodes that will be modeled using CDSS
- Based on stakeholder input, assess what other hydrologic models are available in the basin for comparison with the CDSS results such as Denver's PACSIM model.

Consultant team will prepare mapping of the basin showing DSS nodes, USGS gages, and watersheds that have models other than the CDSS. Consultant team will prepare brief memo that describes the hydrologic data inventory and major decision items that will need to be completed by the stakeholder group regarding the inventory.

Form Revised May 2007

## Task 2.3 Hydrologic Modeling using the Colorado Decision Support System (CDSS) and Calculate Flow Statistics

The Consultant team will complete the following elements for the hydrologic modeling:

- Recommend conditions and assumptions used to generate hydrology from CDSS model to stakeholder group and get agreement from stakeholder group on conditions
- Assume that limited number of additional baseline nodes will need to be added based on stakeholder input but will be limited based on budgetary constraints with consideration of model simulation
- Utilizing CDSS model, run baseline and current conditions hydrology for nodes agreed upon in Task 2.1
- Daily and monthly data will be generated from model for agreed upon nodes from Task 2.1
- Quality Assurance/Quality Control of model output
- Review model output and compare to other hydrologic models in the basin if available. This assumes that other existing model output will be available from the model owner and that the Consultant team will not have to simulate other existing models for model output.

For baseline and current conditions, the Consultant team will calculate the following flow statistics that are related to aquatic ecology using IHA software or other methods in Excel:

- mean annual flow (MAF) for each year and per record (1)
- mean of Aug. and Sept. flow for each year and per record (2)
- metrics in (2) above divided by metric (1) above, that is Aug + Sept average/MAF
- 30 day high flow (moving average across average daily flow series) for each year and average per record
- annual peak flow (daily average) for each year and average per record
- Additional statistics may be developed based on geomorphic conditions in the watershed

The Consultant team will prepare a memo that describes the model simulation conditions, model output and flow statistics. The memo will also address any quality assurance issues or concerns with the model simulation output and any comparison with other models.

Form Revised May 2007

## Task 2.4 Review Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool Ecological Flow Curves and GIS Mapping of Flow Statistics/Ecological Flow Curves Using Risk Framework

The Consultant team will complete the elements for this task:

- Review existing flow ecology curves and compare to relevant studies that have been conducted in the Colorado River Basin
- Peer review existing flow ecology curves with local basin Colorado Division of Wildlife biologists and other experts
- Based on metrics for biological indicators generated during the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool Pilot Study, generate risk framework mapping of flow conditions vs. the biological indicators. The biological indicators include: trout, riparian, invertebrates, and warm water fishes.
- Facilitate dialogue with local biologists and stakeholders on distribution of biological indicators throughout the basin
- GIS maps will show areas that have various levels of support for the biological indicators based on the flow metrics calculated in Task 2.3

The Consultant team will prepare a memo that describes any recommended changes to the flow ecology curves and the GIS mapping. Consultant team will generate GIS mapping that can be utilized by stakeholder group.

#### Task 2.5 Compare Results of Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool with Other Basin Efforts

The Consultant team will compare the results of the flow evaluation tool with other efforts occurring in the Basin such as the Wild and Scenic efforts or the Grand County Stream Management Plan. The Consultant team will prepare a memorandum describing this comparison and summarize any suggested changes to the technical elements of the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool.

## Task 2.6 Finalize Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool, Develop Draft and Final Report and Final Presentation

Based on comments from stakeholders and comparison efforts in Task 2.5, the Consultant team will finalize the technical elements of the Watershed Flow Evaluation tool. The Consultant team will also develop the following work products which will document the study efforts:

- Develop Draft and Final Report based on memorandums throughout Task 2
- Develop Final Presentation regarding study results that will be presented at Colorado Basin Roundtable and CWCB Board

Deliverables will be produced in electronic format for distribution to stakeholders.

## **Section 2 Key Personnel**

Relevant project experience for the key personnel proposed for the Colorado River Basin Nonconsumptive Needs Quantification are discussed in this section.

## Colorado Basin Roundtable and Non-Consumptive Use Needs Assessment Sub-Committee - Project oversight and Facilitation

The NCNA Sub-Committee will hold primary responsibility for the oversight and progress of this project. The Sub-Committee and Co-Chairs will meet or otherwise communicate on a regular basis with the Project Manager to ensure timely compliance with the Scope and schedule. The Sub-Committee will review drafts of the Report, Flow Evaluation Tool and Data, and report regularly to the Basin Roundtable as a whole. The Sub-Committee will also be responsible, with the Project manager and primary Consultants for Public Outreach, meetings and education.

### Nicole Rowan, P.E. -Project Management

Ms. Rowan has over 13 years of water resources engineering experience and is a senior project manager who focuses on water supply, watershed management and natural resources projects. She was the project manager for the Statewide Water Supply Initiative (SWSI) and is the project manager for CDM's current contract with the Colorado Water Conservation Board to provide technical support to the Interbasin Project Compact process.

## Tim Cox, Ph.D., P.E. - Hydrologic Modeling

Dr. Cox has a diverse background in water supply planning and water quality management planning. He has broad skills in applying mathematical programming, water quality models, and water supply allocation models in addressing western water resources issues. Dr. Cox has used the CDSS model in examining water allocation and environmental flow need as part of the SWSI project.

## Ming Yen-Tu, Ph.D., P.E. - Hydrologic Modeling

Dr. Tu has a broad background in water resources planning and management, mathematical programming, numerical analysis, and hydrologic, hydraulic, and hydrodynamic analyses. In addition, he has extensive experience in developing optimization models to analyze large-scale water distribution systems with reservoir operations and water allocations.

#### Rebecca Dunavant - Environmental Scientist

Ms. Dunavant is an environmental scientist who specializes in water resource related projects. She has worked on various surface water quality projects and has knowledge of the associated regulatory requirements. Ms. Dunavant is responsible for the GIS mapping and biological risk indicators for the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool pilot study.

## William J. Miller, Ph.D. - Site-Specific Quantification and Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool Application

Dr. Miller will be responsible for directing and participating in all aspects of the proposed work. Dr. Miller is the President and Senior Aquatic Ecologist for Miller Ecological Consultants, Inc. Dr. William

Form Revised May 2007

J. Miller has over 30 years experience in fisheries, instream flow, and aquatic ecology studies. He has worked extensively throughout the western U.S. and is a recognized expert in the areas of instream flow, water temperature modeling and habitat assessments. Dr. Miller's experience includes research and evaluations for several threatened, endangered, and candidate aquatic species in the Colorado River and Platte River basins. He has extensive experience in designing and conducting studies using the Instream Flow Incremental Methodology (IFIM), instream water temperature modeling and developing and implementing ecological models for aquatic systems. Dr. Miller is a former member of the USFWS Instream Flow Group. He is co-author on the Stream Network Temperature Model, Instream Flow Information Paper 16. Dr. Miller is a Certified Fisheries Scientist (No. 2008). Dr. Miller's experience includes designing and directing basin wide instream flow evaluations. He has completed instream flow evaluations for US Forest Service, US Fish and Wildlife Service, Bonneville Power Administration, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, and the Department of Justice. Dr. Miller developed a GIS based methodology for determining flow/habitat relationships for aquatic species using 2 dimensional hydraulic modeling and habitat evaluations. Dr. Miller has presented his research at international conferences in Japan and New Zealand.

#### Kristin Swaim M.S. - Site-Specific Quantification

Ms. Swaim is a fishery biologist for MEC. Ms Swaim has over 8 year experience in fishery and aquatic ecology. Ms. Swaim has assisted with instream flow studies and aquatic ecology studies on both cold water and warm water ecosystems. Ms. Swaim has field experience in data collection for both biological and physical data for aquatic ecosystems.

#### Samuel T. Combs, Ph.D., P.E. - Site-Specific Quantification

Dr. Combs is a staff associate for MEC that would be available for assistance with model calibration and simulations, if needed. Dr. Combs is a hydraulic engineer and has provided support to MEC for software development and problem solving for unique solutions to complex hydraulic analysis.

#### Brian Bledsoe, Ph.D. - Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool Application

Dr. Bledsoe is an Associate Professor in the Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering at Colorado State University. He has managed externally funded research program in surface water quality, stream and watershed rehabilitation, and multi-scale biophysical linkages in aquatic ecosystems. His recent projects include grants from the National Science Foundation, U.S. EPA, Water Environment Research Foundation, and the U.S. Forest Service to examine water quality benefits of stream restoration practices, effects of urbanization on the physical character of aquatic habitat, channel erosion and nutrient loading, and analysis of physical, chemical and biological characteristics of streams.

## LeRoy Poff, Ph.D. - Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool Application

Dr. Poff is a Professor in the Department of Biology at Colorado State University. Dr. LeRoy Poff's research, teaching and outreach activities are motivated by a desire to advance an interdisciplinary understanding and management of freshwater ecosystems, especially streams and rivers. Dr. Poff focuses primarily on how the diversity and function of plant and animal communities respond to

Form Revised May 2007

natural and human-caused changes in environmental factors (e.g. stream flow patterns) over time. His work has been both basic and applied, and has been supported by the National Science Foundation, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, the U.S. Forest Service, and the U.S. Geological Survey.

### John Sanderson, Ph.D. - Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool Application

John Sanderson is Senior Freshwater Ecologist at The Nature Conservancy of Colorado. He joined The Nature Conservancy in 2005 after completing his Ph.D. in Ecology at Colorado State University. John has been working on conservation of streams and wetlands in Colorado since 1994. He has been assisting various roundtables, the CWCB, and CDM with implementation of the non-consumptive needs assessment, particularly with development of approaches to priority setting and the Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool. Other relevant current projects include establishing flow guidelines for the North Fork Cache la Poudre River through a Shared Vision Planning process and a statewide assessment of river ecosystems called Freshwater Measures of Conservation Success.

### Thomas Wilding, Ph.D. - Watershed Flow Evaluation Tool Application

Thomas Wilding is a PhD student at Colorado State University, working with LeRoy Poff on the ecological effects of flow alteration. Thomas worked on the Water Flow Evaluation Tool, deriving the flow-ecology relationships. He has 10 years experience with regional government, providing scientific input for water resource planning and permitting. His skills include habitat modeling (PHABSIM) and the monitoring of streams and lakes.

## **Section 3 Budget**

A detailed breakdown of the estimated labor and other direct costs for the proposed project is presented in the following pages.

## **Section 4 Project Schedule**

The proposed project schedule is presented on the following page. It is anticipated that the project will be completed within twelve months.